Modeling social distancing strategies to prevent SARS-CoV2 spread in Israel- A Cost-effectiveness analysis

Amir Shlomai MD,PhD, Ari Leshno MD, Ella H. Sklan PhD+, Moshe Leshno MD,PhD**

** equal contribution* *+Corresponding author*

Ella H. Sklan sklan@tauex.tau.ac.il

This file includes:

1. Tables S1-S3
2. Figures S1-S5

	National lockdown	Social distancing	Isolation
Strategy 1	All susceptible individuals	Individuals that are not under quarantine (have essential occupations).	All infected individuals or individuals at high exposure risk (14 days)
Strategy 2	None	All susceptible Individuals	All infected Individuals or individuals at high exposure risk (14 days)

Table S1. Summary of the two proposed strategies.

Strategy 1	Base	Low	High	Comments
r1_HR_c	0.007	0.005	0.009	proportion of the population under isolation due to high exposure risk
r1_S2	0.7	0.6	0.8	proportion of the S population under lockdown
r1_I	0.85	0.8	0.9	proportion of the I population in isolation
r1_E	0.3	0.2	0.4	proportion of the E population in isolation
Strategy 2	**Base**	**Low**	**High**	
r2_HR_c	0.003	0.001	0.005	proportion of the population in isolation due to high exposure risk
r2_S2	0	0	0	proportion of the S population in national lockdown
r2_S1	0.9	0.8	1	proportion of S population to adopt social distancing measures
r2_I	0.85	0.8	0.9	proportion of the I population in isolation
r2_E	0.3	0.2	0.4	proportion of the E population of in isolation

Table S2. Assumptions according to the two tested strategies.

	Cost (million $)	Number of deaths	ICER ($)
Strategy 1	12,495.0	303.5	-
Strategy 2	122.9	577.8	45,104,156

Table S3. Summary of the costs and number of deaths in each strategy.



Figure S1: Sensitivity analysis. Sensitivity analysis comparing the relative effect of the transmission rate (β, upper panel) and mortality rate (δ, lower panel) on the incremental cost effectiveness ratio (ICER).

A.



B.



Fig S2

Figure S2: One-way sensitivity analysis. Tornado diagram of the number of deaths in strategy 1 (top) and strategy 2 (bottom).



Fig S3

Figure S3: Infection dynamics without interventions. The graphs display the dynamics within the six compartments over time. Susceptible (S, blue); Infected (I, red); Carrier (Yellow, E); Carrier asymptomatic (Yellow, EA); Recovered (R, Green), Dead (D, Black).



Fig S4

Figure S4: An illustration of the modified SEIRD **model used in this study.**



Fig S5

Figure S5: Calibration analysis of the model parameters. Comparison of the expected number of deaths according to our model (calibrated on the observed dates between March 21 to April 21, marked with dashed lines) to the actual observed number of deaths in Israel between March 27 to June 30, 2020.