SI APPENDIX for Is climate a curse or a bless in the Covid-19 virus fighting? A cross-country panel investigation.

August 9, 2020

1 Contents

In this SI Appendix, we give an extensive working paper version of the 8-pages version of the paper. In section 2, we present an exhaustive literature about climate/Covid-19 relationships based on both lab experiments and statistical studies. In section 3, we develop our assumptions about how climatic factors may impact the Covid-19 outcomes and we outline some stylised facts. Section 4 gives details about data and methods by giving details about data sources and econometric methods. Section 5 outlines the main results by extending the results from Tables 1-3 of the paper by supplementary specifications. Section 6 presents many robustness checks: increasing lags, endogeneity issues (System GMM estimates), endogeneity issues by introducing the testing variable, time span changes, sample bias, week-end effects are considered.

2 Climatic factors and Covid-19 patterns

2.1 Lab experiments: the role of temperature and humidity

Though studies about survival times of the Covid-19 virus are still under investigation, some lab experiments works suggest that high levels of temperature and humidity can reduce the persistence and activation of the Covid-19. In line with many other respiratory pathogens showing seasonality, the Sars-Cov-2 might be sensible to environmental factors, especially absolute humidity conditions. Kampf et al. (2020) show that human coronaviruses can remain infectious on inanimate surfaces at room temperature for up to 9 days, but the duration of persistence is shorter at a temperature of 30C or more. A higher temperature such as 30C or 40 C reduced the duration of persistence of highly pathogenic MERS-CoV, TGEV and MHV. Few comparative data obtained with SARS-CoV indicate that persistence was longer with higher inocula. In addition, it was shown at room temperature that HCoV-229E persists better at 50% compared to 30% relative humidity. In the same vein, Van Doremalen et al. (2020) demonstrate that the stability of HCoV-19 and SARS-CoV-1 under the experimental circumstances tested is similar. Their results indicate that aerosol and fomite transmission of HCoV-19 are plausible, as the virus can remain viable in aerosols for multiple hours and on surfaces up to days. Chan et al. (2011) have confirmed for the previous SARS coronavirus that the virus viability was rapidly lost at higher temperatures and relative humidity levels, also leading to different epidemic curves in countries with subtropical and tropical areas, also considering air-conditioned environments.

Baker and Grenfell (2020) studied the dynamics of human infectious diseases such as seasonal influenza and RSV (respiratory syncytial virus) and investigated how warming climate can influence those dynamics in the coming years (also following Baker et al., 2019). Their major result is: even if one assumes that SARS-Cov-2 is as sensitive to climate as other seasonal viruses, summer heat still would not be enough right now to slow down its rapid initial spread through the human population.

Finally, these results are in accordance with other previous lab experiments on different viruses. Casanova et al.(2010) used gastroenterit virus and mouse heptatit virus to determine the effects of air temperature and humidity on pathogenic viruses such as the SARS-Cov and confirm the role of high temperatures (20C and more importantly at 40C) and the existence of non-monotonic relationships. Yuan et al. (2006) studying relationships between climatic factors, urbanization, air quality and epidemics, show that cold and dry conditions increase the transmission of the virus. These results are in line with Dalziel et al. (2018) regarding the influenza virus.

2.2 Statistical studies: mixed results

Statistical and econometric studies have recently tried to evaluate these conclusions stemming from lab experiments or epidemiological investigations. Negative effects of high levels of temperatures and humidity found in some recent empirical studies (Xu et al., 2020, Wu et al., 2020, Qi et al., 2020, Livadiotis, 2020) seem consistent with studies about the effect of physical factors on the virus and its survival rate conducted in experimental works. Sajadi et al. (2020) examine the epidemic between January and early March and highlight the conditions that most likely affect the risk of transmission by accounting for the role of other factors in the course of the virus. Regions with low humidity and average temperatures between 40 and 50 degrees Fahrenheit are likely to have a more important spreading of the virus. Xu et al.(2020) estimate the R transmission rate across nearly 4000 locations and find that above 25 degrees, there is a strong association between temperatures and reduced transmission rates with the largest effect is 30 to 40 percent reduction in the rate but in most locations, even 40 percent still leave Covid-19 climbing at an exponential rate and this situation is only seen for very hot and humid conditions. Araujo and Naimi (2020) develop a set of 200 ecological niche models to project monthly variations due to climatic conditions and conclude that although cases of COVID-19 are reported all over the world, most outbreaks display a pattern of clustering in relatively cold and dry areas.

However, this positive association between climate conditions and Covid-19 is a controversial debate and the relationship may be weak (Xu et al., 2020). Ma et al. (2020) find evidence of a positive association between daily death counts and diurnal temperature range, Xie and Zhu (2020) a positive linear relationship between Covid-19 cases and mean temperatures but find no clear evidence that the counts of Covid-19 cases are reduced when the weather is warmer. Jamil et al. (2020) do not find evidence of an association between relatively high temperatures (up to 20C) and the spread rate of the virus. Luo et al. (2020) are very doubtful about the existence of significant relationships. Examining the relationship between the variability in absolute humidity and transmission of COVID- 19 across provinces in China and other select locations, they show that the observed patterns of COVID-19 are not completely consistent with the hypothesis that high absolute humidity may limit the survival and transmission of this new virus.

Can the lack of controls included in the regressions explain these different empirical results? If the results from some short preliminary articles should be taken with cautious, it seems not to be the case. For example Ficetola and Rubilini (2020) show that Covid-19 growth rates peaked in temperate regions of the Northern Hemisphere with mean temperature of 5C, and specific humidity of 4-6 g/m3 during the outbreak period by controlling for population size, density and health expenditure during January-March 2020. Wilson (2020) controls for mobility and finds negative and significant effect of temperatures on Covid-19 cases and fatalities. This effect is thus robust to omitted variable bias. In contrast, he do not find significant relationship with precipitations and snowfall.

Finally, as recently outlined by Baker et al. (2020), the relative importance of climate drivers on Covid-19 spread is not fully characterized. With limited data on the ongoing epidemic, preliminary results were inevitably inconclusive or should have been read cautiously. Since we have now more distance and perspective about the epidemic and more available data with longer time and cross-country dimensions, we are now able to reassess the relationship between climatic factors and Covid-19 outbreak.

2.3 A lack of work about other climatic factors (solar radiation, wind and precipitations)

Temperatures and humidity have been the most studied factors in the previous studies. However, solar radiation, notably through ultraviolet, as well as wind and precipitations should also be evaluated on the transmission rate of the virus. Precipitations and wind have generally a positive impact on the transmission rate, that could result from people spending more time indoors. Xu et al. (2020) consider wind speed (log of Km/hour), precipitations (log of millimeters) and ultraviolet index (25 milliwatts/m2) as well as squared ultraviolet index as potential drivers of the Covid-19 reproduction number. They consider 3739 locations over the December 12, 2019 to April, 22, 2020 period and find a Ushaped relationship between UV index and the transmission rate. The UV may help more temperate countries during summer but increase risks in equatorial regions with very high levels of UV exposure. Islam et al. (2020) find that there was an inverse association between Covid-19 incidence and 14-day lagged UV index (but not with the current or the 7-day lagged data). Takagi et al. (2020) performed a random meta-regression for China confirming that UV index may be associated at high levels with less COVID-19 prevalence.

The wind speed is likely to be an other determinant of Covid-19 spread. Dbouka and Drikakisb (2020) found that human saliva-disease-carrier droplets may travel up to unexpected considerable distances depending on the wind speed. When the wind speed is approximately zero, the saliva droplets did not travel 2 meters (m), which is within the social distancing recommendations. However, at higher wind speeds, the saliva droplets can travel up to 6 m. Chen et al. (2020) assume that wind speed can affect droplets stability in the environment or the survival of viruses, like air temperature, and as a result, the transmission rate. Although wind speed is not an important factor if modeled as the only explanatory variable, it represents a necessary factor in their final model. Performing Spearman's correlations based on four climatic factors in Turkey, Sahin (2020) find that the 14-day lag of the average wind speed has the highest (positive) correlation with the number of cases in line with Xu et al. (2020). This wind speed effect is also significant in the recent study from Xu et al. (2020) and Islam et al. (2020) but not in Oliveiros et al. (2020). To our knowledge, there are no other studies related to the effects of wind, precipitations or UV on Covid-19 outbreak.

3 Theoretical assumptions and stylised facts

3.1 Theoretical assumptions

The value added of our study is to consider and test both direct and indirect factors i.e. both physical and human behaviors channels. Climate patterns are tested by investigating the significance of coefficients associated to five climatic factors: temperatures, humidity index, precipitations levels, wind and solar radiation.

Expected results and assumptions are summarized in the Table 1: - denotes negative effect is a decreasing number of cases or deaths and + a positive effect is an increasing number of cases or fatalities. We also use the ? symbol denoting that there exist some uncertainty regarding the absence of significant investigation about this question or mixed results. Temperatures are expected to directly impact the virus negatively but indirect effects by increasing incentives for people to go outdoors may be negative at low levels or positive at higher levels. Precipitations have no expected direct effect but indirect impact are expected; indeed, too much rains could generate incentives to stay indoors and increase the transmission of the virus. Humidity has been identified as a direct negative driver in some lab experiments but its indirect effect through human behavior is difficult to evaluate. The same observation stands for Wind. Solar radiation is likely to reduce the durability of the virus and its indirect effects are expected to be relatively similar to those associated with temperatures. Note in a general manner that mobility is not related to weather in an homogeneous manner. Activities that are done for leisure purpose are conditional to climatic conditions whereas mobility for work purpose for instance is an incompressible task that is *de facto* not sensitive to climatic conditions.

As a consequence, we expect that the net effect of climate factors on the Covid-19 spread will be the result of two different kinds of effects: direct versus indirect. As shown by the Table 1, signs from direct and indirect effects can be potentially opposed. Among indirect factors, it will again depend upon the arbitrage of different potential contrary effects. For example, the direct effect of high precipitations is expected to be null. The associated indirect effect is expected to be positive through higher precipitations since they will reduce the

Table 1: Expected direct climatic effects

Variables/Effect	Direct	Indirect
Temperatures	-	- or +
Precipitations	null or ?	+
Humidity	-	?
Wind	- or ?	?
Solar	-	- or +

propensity for people to go outdoors and so probably increase the virus diffusion. Thus, the aggregate climatic effect of precipitations should be positive with a high probability and so the global net effect will be an increasing of the number of infected people. In contrast, high temperatures and solar radiation are likely to have a negative direct effect. However their indirect effects are difficult to evaluate. Low levels are incentives for people to stay indoors, that is a priori a source of increasing diffusion of the virus. In contrast, moderate to high levels of temperatures and sunshine are in favor of a reducing transmission of the virus since people can go outdoors. However, it is not a guarantee that it will reduce the virus transmission. In some cases, outdoors activities (in parks, by doing shopping, meetings etc) could lead to a reduction of social distancing and thus increase the transmission rate of the virus. Furthermore, at very high temperature levels, people will prefer to stay at home and use air-conditioning that is likely to be bad for the air quality and virus spread. As a consequence, the indirect effects are very difficult to forecast and thus the overall effect of temperatures and sunshine on the Covid-19 virus.

3.2 Stylised facts

Figures 1 and 2 report the scatter plot outlining the direct relationship between the mean temperatures and the infected cases and fatality ratios respectively. In the same vein, Figures 3 and 4 plot the relationship between solar radiation and Covid-19 outcomes. They reveal that the relationships contemplated in some previous studies are not self-evident. We consider 7 and 28 days respectively concerning the transmission delay between climatic factors and Covid-19 outcomes in our benchmark analysis. Indeed, the climatic effects on infected cases or fatality rates are not instantaneous. This assumption is especially based on the medical and epidemiological literature about delays of incubation (about 5-7 days) and consolidation (dynamics of the disease over the time, hospitalisation length). Note that we also check our results with more longer delays and qualitative results are not very sensitive to the lag choice in this context.

In contrast, when we report the scatter plot between mobility (driving) 1 and temperatures in figure 5, we find a negative -quite nonlinear- relationship between climate and the mobility index. Note (see more details in the data

 $^{^{1}}$ We both consider driving and walking mobility as percent of January 100 points basis; note that they are highly correlated with a 0.92 correlation coefficient.

section) that the mobility index (from Apple) takes low values when mobility is low and people prefer stay indoors and high values when the mobility (driving or walking) is stronger. A January basis (before the pandemic) has been considered as a statistical benchmark scale. Thus, when the temperature (solar radiation derives similar conclusions) increase, the mobility index tends to decrease for low levels of temperatures. For higher levels of temperatures, the mobility increases since people go outdoors for leisure activities for example.Using solar radiation instead lead to similar conclusions.

As a consequence, these preliminary figures tend to suggest that the direct effect of climate on Covid-19 spread is not completely clear: different forms of nonlinearity should be investigated. However, indirect channels through mobility - propensity of people to move outdoors, driving, walking for example - are probably correlated with climatic conditions. It is thus a potential channel to consider when we want to explain the links between climate and the Covid-19 virus.



Figure 1: Infected cases and lagged 7 days temperatures (mean)



Figure 2: Fatality rate and lagged 28 days temperatures (mean)



Figure 3: Infected cases and lagged 7 days solar radiation



Figure 4: Fatality rate and lagged 28 days solar radiation



Figure 5: Temperature and mobility (contemporaneous values)

4 Data and Methods

4.1 Data

We selected a set of 38 OECD countries (and add 4 European supplementary countries) over the January-May 2020 period. We obtain a panel with 42 countries and more than 4600 observations. We obtained (1) the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases and deaths for the countries in our sample from mulitples sources through the DELVE initiative between 1st January 2020 and May, 27th 2020, (2) estimated population in 2019 from the World Bank's World Development Indicators database, and (3) daily meteorological conditions in the selected countries for 6 months. Covid-19 data have been aggregated by Aviskar Bhoopchand, Andrei Paleyes, Kevin Donkers, Nenad Tomasev, Ulrich Paquet (2020), DELVE Global COVID-19 Dataset and are available at http://rs-delve.github.io/data_/global-dataset.html.

Previous papers used sometimes infected cases, fatality rate or both. Here, we use both indicators. One reason behind is that cases counts can be biased. Recently, Manski and Molinari (2020) consider missing data on tests for infection issues as well as imperfect accuracy of tests and show that the infection rate might be substantially higher or lower than reported: for instance, in Illinois, New York, and Italy they are substantially lower than reported. In some countries, during the pandemic waves, testing has been stopped due to lack of time concerns. Another reason to consider both infected cases and fatality rates is that these two variables, though related, do not capture the same Covid-19 outcomes. Infected people are generally officially considered as infected (by testing) only few days (between 7 and 14 days) after the contamination day although the median incubation is estimated to be 5 days (Lauer et al., 2020). Infected cases counts thus captures a short-run effect of potential climatic or social distancing variables on the Covid-19 pandemic. However, the delay is longer for deaths counts considering the time people can develop the disease and stay in hospitals. As a consequence, the fatality rate captures a long-run face of the transmission from climatic and other determinants to Covid-19 outcome.

Most selected OECD countries in our sample have encountered the first wave of the pandemic quite in the same time (see table 32 in appendix). We take into account the huge numbers of zero values for the infected cases and the fatality rate (especially for this latter) in the beginning of the sample range by choosing a restrictive sample as a benchmark: February 1st has been assumed as a starting time for the pandemic (infected cases) for all countries whereas February 15th has been chosen for fatality counts. We have considered epidemiological and also statistical (lags) arguments when we selected these particular dates. Considering these time windows enables us to start our estimates when the first significant number of Covid-19 cases and deaths is observed, and also to have a sufficient number of observations in the time dimension in order to account for relevant lags in the dynamic model. In the robustness tests part, we will relax this assumption and consider the totality of the available data. ² Concerning

²An alternative solution would be to build a normalized sample when time t = 1 starts

Table 2: Summary of climatic variables

	rasio = sammary or omnation and stop						
Precipitation	Average daily precipitation	Kg/m^2s (multiply by 3600 to get mm / hr)					
Humidity	Average daily humidity	Kilograms of water vapour per kilogram of air					
Solar_radiation	Average daily short-wave radiation	Watts per square meters					
Temperature	Average of daily mean temperatures	Celcius Degrees					
Wind_speed	Average daily wind speed	Meters per second					

the climatic factors, even though there exist significant differences in meteorological conditions between our set of countries, they are all located in a relative homogeneous North Hemisphere. We thus have all conditions to work with a suitable panel: unobserved heterogeneity across countries is included inside a relative homogeneity panel (see the "to pool or not pool debate" developed by Pesaran and co-authors, for instance Pesaran and Zhou (2018)).

Meteorological conditions are summarized in the Table 2: mean daily temperatures (in Celcius degrees), total precipitation (mm / hr), mean wind speed (mph), solar radiation (Watts per square meters) and mean relative humidity (Kilograms of water vapour per kilogram of air). For each country , all climatic observations have been weighted by the population. Note that the five meteorological factors have been standardized for comparisons purposes and for coefficients scale homogeneity.

Finally, we use Apple mobility reports data that are available at: https://www.apple.com/covid19/mobility. Two main variables are used to proxy the mobility: *mobility_worplaces* et *mobility_residential*. These data are indexes (100 basis) and are computed as the % change in routing requests since 13th January 2020.

4.2 Econometric model

Before estimating our model, we proceed to usual panel unit root tests to evaluate the properties of our series. Maddala Wu (1999) and Pesaran CIPS (2007) are thus performed and show that the dynamics of the series are driven by deterministic and stationary stochastic processes. Results in favor stationarity of our series ³ are more clear-cut in the case of Pesaran CIPS test, that is more relevant since it takes into account cross-section dependence under the null hypothesis.

Our baseline model can be written in a dynamic panel form as follows:

$$y_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + \underbrace{\alpha_1 y_{i,t-1} + \alpha_2 y_{i,t-k}}_{\text{pandemic dynamic effect}} + \underbrace{\alpha_3 C_{i,t-p}}_{\text{lagged climatic effect}} + \mu_i + \delta_t + \varepsilon_{i,t} \tag{1}$$

Where the subscripts i and t represent country index and periods (days) respectively. The dependent variable, $y_{i,t}$, can be the number of infected people

when a country reports 1000 infected people for instance. Robustness checks show that the heterogeneity of the starting dates is not a significant issue.

³Results are available upon request. See also the companion Stata code.

(casespop) or deaths (deathpop) per capita (considering the population size) at time t. $C_{i,t-p}$ is a vector of variables depicting the effects of meteorological conditions in day t - p. Country-specific fixed effect, μ_i , are included to control for time-invariant omitted-variable bias and $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ is the error term. δ_t is a deterministic time trend that controls the deterministic dynamics of the epidemics over the studied period and captures some unobserved information about the pandemic common to all countries. In addition, lagged terms $y_{i,t-k}$ capture the stochastic part of the pandemic dynamics. We assume k equal to 7 or 14 lags/days in our baselines specifications considering incubation and confirmation periods presented in the Covid-19 literature. Moreover, for logical reasons, since the climatic factors do not immediately impact the Covid-19 spread, the climatic variables are also included in our model with a lag of order p. Indeed, there are delays between the time of potential infection corresponding to certain climatic events and the time of official counting of a potential infected people (or fatality). Therefore, when dealing with p, 7 or 14 days are considered when casepop is used as endogeneous variable considering the short period between transmission and infection. A benchmark 28 lags (about one month) delay is considered when dealing with *deathpop* because of the more important lag lenght assumed between the infection and the deaths related to the Covid-19 virus. More lags have been also considered in robustness checks to take into account the dynamic persistence of the pandemic. Again, *casepop* and *deathpop* respectively give a short-run and medium/long-run time perspective of the dramatic outcomes of the pandemic. Note that when $y_{i,t}$ is the fatality rate, we also add the ratio of infected cases per capita in our benchmark specification in order to account for the fact that the level of the pandemic can impact the fatality rate. The reason behind is to control for a level effect and a kind of saturation effect of the health system (too many infected people to manage is likely to finally increase the fatality rate).

This baseline specification is extended to take into account different potential nonlinearities: quadratic terms for climatic variables (to capture thresholds effects), interacted terms between climatic variables are also added in the model due to high levels of multicollinearity. More importantly, our extended specification incorporates mobility indexes to investigate potential indirect effects of the meteorological factors via the impact of climate on human behaviors. Thus, equation (1) becomes equation (2) with M a mobility index:

$$y_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + \underbrace{\alpha_1 y_{i,t-1} + \alpha_2 y_{i,t-k}}_{\text{pandemic dynamic effect}} + \underbrace{\alpha_3 C_{i,t-p}}_{\text{direct lagged climatic effect}} + \alpha_4 * M_{i,t-p} + \underbrace{\alpha_5 C_{i,t-p} * M_{i,t-p}}_{\text{indirect lagged climatic effect via mobility}} + \mu_i + \delta_t + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$
(2)

Equations (1) and (2) can be estimated by several estimators and especially by the mean group (MG) model of Pesaran and Smith (1995) and the dynamic fixed effect (DFE) estimator that are relevant for macro panels such as the one used in this paper: T is equal to 4680 and is thus largely superior to N = 42. The Mean Group (MG) estimator has been introduced by Pesaran and Smith (1995). It consists in estimating each regression separately for each panel member i (country here) with a minimum of restrictions. All estimated coefficients are heterogeneous and are subsequently averaged across countries via a simple unweighted average (Eberhardt, 2012). An intercept is included to capture country fixed effects as well as a linear trend. Considering the minimal number of restrictions of this estimator, large time-series dimension is needed to respect consistency. Small N (inferior to 20) is also a problem by increasing the sensitivity to outliers (see for instance Samargandi et al., 2015) but we selected 42 countries here. The high dimension of our panel allows the use of this estimator (DFE), more similar to the PMG estimator developed by Pesaran et al. (1999), assumes the slope coefficients to be equal both in the long run and in the short run.

Identification issues Although we apply appropriate macro-panel estimators to our data, several issues can nonetheless emerge. First, using dynamic models, we are vulnerable to the Nickel (1981) bias. Here, this bias is relatively negligible, notably considering the important time length of our series. Second, panel regressions may be exposed to an omitted variables bias. It would be possible to include control variables such as control measures (e.g. testing, mask wearing, travel controls) or structural determinants (e.g. population density and demographics such as the population over 65, tourists flows, GDP per capita, and measures of health infrastructures). Considering the so-called problem of controls in the climate literature, our set of explanatory variables is assumed to be restricted to climatic variables in order to avoid an over-controlling problem (see Dell et al., 2014). In addition, considering data availability and the fact they are time-invariant variables, we capture these unobservables via the lagged term $y_{i,t-1}$ and above all with country fixed effects. Another identification issue is related to the potential reverse causality bias related to our Covid-19 variables: news about contemporaneous dynamics of the Covid-19 outbreaks and counts can change the human behavior in real time and the social distancing. This is why lags of dependent variables must be added in our model. Finally, persistence and multicollinearity are other usual issues in panel studies. We have controlled for both by computing autocorrelations LM tests and VIF/Tolerance ratios after each estimated regression⁴. In the robustness checks section, we also consider endogeneity issues about the mobility index variable and other tests related to the specification of our econometric model, the choice of an alternative estimator, and several changes in the sample composition.

5 Results

5.1 Baseline model and direct effects

Our baseline results are reported in Tables 3 to 7. The five meteorological factors have been standardized (centered-reduced variables) for comparisons purposes

 $^{{}^{4}\}mathrm{Results}$ are available upon request. See also the companion Stata code.

and for coefficients scale homogeneity. Specifications (1) to (5) denote the different parsimonious specifications considered by focusing on a single specific climatic factor (going from temperature to wind speed). For instance, (1. DFE) denotes the model with temperatures estimated by DFE with robust SE clustering and (1. MG) denotes the same specification but estimated by the Mean Group (with a robust estimator to outliers⁵) estimator. We first estimate separately each climatic factor considering the high collinearity between them: for example, it is likely that high temperatures occur at high solar radiation levels with very low precipitations levels and low wind speed. Then, we introduce simultaneously all climatic variables in the same model (Table 7). Although we computed lot of different models, we only present here the most reliable results on the basis of epidemiological concerns: indeed, we consider the 7-day lag of climate variables for cases counts (incubation period). When dealing with the fatality ratio, more delay in the effect of climatic variables is considered as we include the 28-day lag of these variables. Thus, our main objective is to give a short-run and a long-run point of view on the epidemic through these two different outcomes variables.

Results in Tables 3 and 4 show that temperature and humidity levels - the most studied factor in the previous literature - have a significant negative effect on Covid-19 infected cases ratio. As a consequence, these two factors are likely to reduce the spread of the virus through a direct effect as suggesting by a large part of the previous literature. The same results are derived, albeit to a lesser extent, for precipitations and wind speed. Results are robust to lags choice and to estimator choices. Therefore, these first estimates tend to corroborate the conclusions of a part of the previous statistical literature about a small but significant effect of the climatic factors - mainly temperature and humidity but not only - as a reducing driver of the Covid-19 outbreak, see for instance Xu et al. (2020).

However, when we turn from infected cases to fatalities (Tables 5 and 6), direct climatic effects tend to disappear. Climatic effects are thus not clearcut when fatalities, and thus long-run analyses, are taken into account. This result seems more in line with Wilson (2020) who recently find very weak or unsignificant climatic effects. We further produce some robustness checks by increasing the lags for both cases and fatalities (see section 5.5.1). Overall, these results tend to lower the conclusions about the significant effects of climatic variables and show that contrasting conclusions obtained so far in the literature could be explained by the lags chosen to study the effects of climate variables on Covid-19 outcomes. Furthermore, the choice of the different Covid-19 outcomes as endogeneous variables is not neutral.

When all climatic variables are simultaneously added in the model, the results are again mixed and the significant effects are not clear although temperatures and solar radiation seem to be more robustly correlated with the pandemic. Table 7 shows that we should consider interaction effects between climate variables in order to control for potential collinearity between them.

⁵This estimator is indeed quite sensitive to outliers.

	(1. DFE)	(2. DFE)	(3. DFE)	(4. DFE)	(5. DFE)
VARIABLES	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop
l1casepop	0.708^{***}	0.711^{***}	0.711^{***}	0.712^{***}	0.712^{***}
	(0.0320)	(0.0326)	(0.0320)	(0.0334)	(0.0334)
l7casepop	0.210^{***}	0.209^{***}	0.214^{***}	0.209^{***}	0.209^{***}
	(0.0231)	(0.0231)	(0.0240)	(0.0233)	(0.0235)
l7Ntemperature	-0.168^{**}				
	(0.0713)				
l7Nhumidity		-0.0944**			
		(0.0399)			
l7Nradiation			-0.111		
			(0.0825)		
l7Nprecipitation				-0.0252*	
				(0.0149)	
l7Nwindspeed					-0.0433**
					(0.0192)
Time	0.00190^{*}	0.000586	0.00171	-0.000115	-0.000303
	(0.00112)	(0.000692)	(0.00157)	(0.000556)	(0.000598)
Constant	0.0146	0.122	0.0235	0.179^{**}	0.196^{**}
	(0.109)	(0.0792)	(0.149)	(0.0717)	(0.0755)
Observations	$4,\!680$	$4,\!680$	$4,\!680$	$4,\!680$	$4,\!680$
R-squared	0.771	0.771	0.771	0.770	0.771
Number of countries	40	40	40	40	40

Table 3: Direct climatic variables effects on Covid-19 infected cases: DFE estimates

Robust standard errors in parentheses *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Although there are different patterns between the short and the long run effects of climate variables on infected cases and fatalities, these mixed results could be linked to multicollinearity between climatic factors.

nates	(1 MC)	(2 MC)	(2 MC)	$(A \mathbf{MC})$	(5 MC)
VADIADIEC	(1. MG)	(2. MG)	(5. MG)	(4. MG)	(5.MG)
VARIABLES	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop
l1casepop	0.634***	0.631***	0.639***	0.644***	0.645***
	(0.0317)	(0.0328)	(0.0330)	(0.0318)	(0.0315)
l7casepop	0.204***	0.197***	0.197***	0.198***	0.200***
	(0.0230)	(0.0210)	(0.0216)	(0.0219)	(0.0223)
l7Ntemperature	-0.0769***				
	(0.0291)				
l7Nhumidity		-0.0788**			
		(0.0355)			
l7Nradiation			0.0284		
			(0.0286)		
l7Nprecipitation				-0.0252^{**}	
				(0.0122)	
l7Nwindspeed					-0.0243*
					(0.0124)
Time	0.00204^{***}	0.000856	-0.000318	0.000251	-0.000151
	(0.000604)	(0.000593)	(0.000543)	(0.000476)	(0.000450)
Constant	0.0272	0.0845^{*}	0.204^{***}	0.103^{***}	0.119^{***}
	(0.0501)	(0.0505)	(0.0648)	(0.0353)	(0.0428)
Observations	4,680	4,680	4,680	4,680	4,680
Number of countries	40	40	40	40	40

Table 4: Direct climatic variables effects on Covid-19 infected cases: MG estimates

	$(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{D}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{E})$	(2. DFE)	$(3, \mathbf{D}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{E})$	(4. DFE)	(0. DFE)
VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop
l1deathpop	0.629^{***}	0.629^{***}	0.629^{***}	0.629^{***}	0.628^{***}
	(0.0757)	(0.0760)	(0.0755)	(0.0755)	(0.0760)
l14deathpop	0.0931**	0.0928**	0.0955**	0.0940**	0.0960**
	(0.0401)	(0.0397)	(0.0399)	(0.0397)	(0.0402)
l14casepop	0.0104**	0.0104**	0.0104**	0.0105**	0.0105**
	(0.00443)	(0.00442)	(0.00445)	(0.00444)	(0.00447)
128Ntemperature	-0.00463	· /	· /	· /	· /
I	(0.00473)				
l28Nhumidity	()	-0.00239			
		(0.00745)			
128Nradiation		()	-0.00674		
			(0.00467)		
128Nprecipitation			(0.00101)	0.00324	
· F F				(0.00311)	
128Nwindspeed				(0.00011)	0.00815**
1201 (Willaspood					(0.00010)
Time	0.000113	7 46e-05	0.000184*	7 19e-05	9.32e-05
1 mile	(9.33e-05)	(8.65e-05)	(0.000101)	(7.29e-05)	(7.91e-05)
Constant	0.0127*	0.0167***	0.00550	0.0169***	0.0144**
Constant	(0.0127)	(0.00517)	(0.00000)	(0.0105)	(0.0144)
	(0.00034)	(0.00317)	(0.0117)	(0.00018)	(0.00313)
Observations	4,120	4,120	4,120	4,120	4,120
R-squared	0.560	0.560	0.560	0.560	0.561
Number of countries	40	40	40	40	40
		1 1	1	-	-

 $\frac{\text{Table 5: Direct climatic variables effects on Covid-19 fatalities: DFE estimates}{(1. \text{ DFE}) (2. \text{ DFE}) (3. \text{ DFE}) (4. \text{ DFE}) (5. \text{ DFE})}$

Robust standard errors in parentheses *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

17

	(1. MG)	(2. MG)	(3. MG)	(4. MG)	(5. MG)
VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop
l1deathpop	0.299^{***}	0.294^{***}	0.308^{***}	0.313^{***}	0.304^{***}
	(0.0470)	(0.0471)	(0.0451)	(0.0455)	(0.0456)
l14deathpop	0.000273	0.000182	0.00690	0.00645	0.00638
	(0.0251)	(0.0237)	(0.0245)	(0.0265)	(0.0258)
l14casepop	0.0180^{***}	0.0180^{***}	0.0179^{***}	0.0177^{***}	0.0179^{***}
	(0.00293)	(0.00279)	(0.00293)	(0.00291)	(0.00288)
l28Ntemperature	-0.00329				
	(0.00257)				
l28Nhumidity		-0.00219			
		(0.00335)			
128Nradiation			4.68e-05		
			(0.00233)		
l28Nprecipitation				-4.77e-05	
				(0.00108)	
128Nwindspeed					0.00118
					(0.000964)
Time	0.000212^{***}	0.000169^{***}	0.000184^{**}	0.000117^{**}	0.000136^{***}
	(4.23e-05)	(3.91e-05)	(7.74e-05)	(4.89e-05)	(4.80e-05)
Constant	-0.00837**	0.000128	-0.00882	-0.00285	-0.00608**
	(0.00365)	(0.00364)	(0.00626)	(0.00332)	(0.00274)
Observations	4,120	$4,\!120$	$4,\!120$	4,120	4,120
Number of countries	40	40	40	40	40
	Stand	and annong in n	aronthogog		

Table 6: Direct climatic variables effects on Covid-19 fatalities: MG estimates

	(1. DID)	(2: 1:10)
VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop
l1deathpop	0.627^{***}	0.279^{***}
	(0.0762)	(0.0451)
l14deathpop	0.0971^{**}	-0.00453
	(0.0397)	(0.0244)
l14casepop	0.0104^{**}	0.0174^{***}
	(0.00450)	(0.00278)
l28Ntemperature	-0.00789	-0.0149^{**}
	(0.0124)	(0.00689)
l28Nprecipitation	-4.19e-05	-0.00261
	(0.00317)	(0.00175)
l28Nhumidity	0.00360	0.0137
	(0.0148)	(0.00856)
l28Nradiation	-0.00176	0.00651^{***}
	(0.00353)	(0.00214)
l28Nwindspeed	0.00815^{**}	0.00498^{***}
	(0.00338)	(0.00160)
Time	0.000191^*	0.000211***
	(0.000110)	(6.65e-05)
Constant	0.00429	-0.00747
	(0.0109)	(0.00599)
Observations	4,120	4,120
R-squared	0.561	
Number of countries	40	40

Table 7: Direct climatic variables effects on Covid-19: simultaneous effects (1. DFE) (2. MG)

Robust standard errors in parentheses *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1

5.2 Testing for interactions between climatic variables

Previous regressions show that the effects of climatic factors can disappear when all climatic variables are included at the same time in our model. Then, we next turn to an extended model that consists in adding interacted climatic variables to our benchmark model in order to explicitly test for potential interactions between them: temperature * radiation and temperature * humidity. Indeed, these variables are the most strongly correlated between them. Results in Tables 8 and 9 reveal significant interrelationships between the climatic factors. In particular, the relationship between temperature and solar radiation is significant and seems particularly robust in most specifications, whatever the Covid-19 outcome considered. Nevertheless, we notice that the single direct effects of temperatures and solar radiation partially disappear. More importantly, a simultaneous and combinated increase of temperatures and solar radiation is associated with a significant decrease of *casepop* and *deathpop*. The same result is derived for a combination of high temperatures and humidity but only for infected cases in the MG estimates. Thus, these results rather confirm the expected simultaneous direct effects of temperatures and humidity on Covid-19 cases, as assumed in some lab experiments studies.

	(1. DFE)	(1. MG)	(2. DFE)	(2. MG)
VARIABLES	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop
l1casepop	0.707^{***}	0.600^{***}	0.708^{***}	0.613^{***}
	(0.0313)	(0.0341)	(0.0318)	(0.0337)
l7casepop	0.212^{***}	0.187^{***}	0.211^{***}	0.198^{***}
	(0.0238)	(0.0224)	(0.0240)	(0.0219)
l7Ntemperature	-0.101*	-0.0726^{**}	-0.264*	-0.0314
	(0.0501)	(0.0349)	(0.132)	(0.0831)
l7Nradiation	-0.0667	0.0714^{*}		
	(0.0720)	(0.0381)		
l7Ntemperature_radiation	-0.0614**	-0.254***		
-	(0.0278)	(0.0550)		
l7Nhumidity			0.116	0.0766
Ũ			(0.0921)	(0.0590)
l7Ntemperature_humidity			-0.0437	-0.0644**
-			(0.0387)	(0.0315)
Time	0.00212	0.000341	0.00218^{*}	0.00255***
	(0.00171)	(0.000823)	(0.00124)	(0.000610)
Constant	0.0349	0.261***	0.0271	-0.0724
	(0.153)	(0.0937)	(0.101)	(0.0482)
Observations	4,680	4,680	4,680	4,680
R-squared	0.771	,	0.771	,
Number of countries	40	40	40	40

Table 8: Interacted direct climatic variables effects on Covid-19 cases

Table 5. Interacted direct	(1. DFE)	(1. MG)	(2. DFE)	(2.MG)
VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop
	1 1	1 1		1 1
l1deathpop	0.628***	0.270***	0.629***	0.286***
	(0.0755)	(0.0443)	(0.0757)	(0.0465)
l14deathpop	0.0956**	-0.00259	0.0953**	-0.00333
	(0.0395)	(0.0216)	(0.0397)	(0.0226)
l14casepop	0.0103**	0.0179^{***}	0.0104**	0.0186^{***}
	(0.00441)	(0.00294)	(0.00443)	(0.00301)
l28Ntemperature	-0.00128	-0.00550**	-0.00349	-0.00563
	(0.00514)	(0.00249)	(0.00585)	(0.00567)
128Nradiation	-0.00495	-0.00222	-0.00539	-0.00141
	(0.00537)	(0.00303)	(0.00527)	(0.00219)
l28Ntemperature_radiation	-0.00828**	-0.0159^{***}		
	(0.00387)	(0.00300)		
128Ntemperature_humidity			-0.00239	-0.00269
			(0.00353)	(0.00208)
Time	0.000100	0.000217^{***}	0.000183	0.000260***
	(0.000138)	(6.88e-05)	(0.000125)	(7.09e-05)
Constant	0.0190	-0.0129	0.00744	-0.0166*
	(0.0141)	(0.00892)	(0.0136)	(0.00879)
Observations	4.120	4.120	4.120	4.120
R-squared	0.561	, -	0.560	, -
Number of countries	40	40	40	40

5.3 Testing for thresholds effects in climate variables

Our baseline specifications assume that the statistical relationship between meteorological factors and Covid-19 outcomes is linear. In this section, we investigate the existence of thresholds effects by testing quadratic functions ie whether the effect of climate on the number of infected cases or the fatality rate is nonlinear. Indeed, as shown for instance by Xu et al. (2020) among others, temperatures (when surpassing 25C for example) or ultraviolet level are likely to exhibit nonlinear patterns.

Overall, our results reported in Table 10 (only DFE to save space) reveal no threshold evidence except for the solar radiation variable. Concerning the infected cases regressions, ie the short-run effects of weather, temperatures and humidity have a significant and negative effect on the number of infected cases, but their respective thresholds coefficients are insignificant. In addition, albeit the solar radiation variable is not significant, we notice a significant and negative threshold coefficient associated with this variable. This result thus outline the existence of an inverted U-shaped pattern between solar radiation and *casepop* meaning that the solar radiation would be able to reduce the number of infected people only for high levels of radiation. Regarding the fatality rate regressions (Table 11), solar radiation as well as temperatures exhibit significant threshold effects. These results reveal that the solar - nonlinear - radiation effect has been probably underestimated in previous literature. These nonlinearities might also be explained by some others factors like the mobility of households and workers. This is what we aim to investigate in the next section.

<u>1000101010101000000000000000000000000</u>	(1. DFE)	(2. DFE)	(3. DFE)	(4. DFE)	(5. DFE)
VARIABLES	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop
l1casepop	0.708^{***}	0.704^{***}	0.711^{***}	0.712^{***}	0.712^{***}
	(0.0319)	(0.0315)	(0.0327)	(0.0334)	(0.0333)
l7casepop	0.210^{***}	0.212^{***}	0.209^{***}	0.210^{***}	0.210^{***}
	(0.0232)	(0.0235)	(0.0231)	(0.0233)	(0.0233)
l7Ntemperature	-0.160^{**}				
	(0.0698)				
SQl7Ntemperature	-0.0316				
	(0.0251)				
l7Nradiation		-0.0545			
		(0.0769)			
SQl7Nradiation		-0.136***			
		(0.0283)			
l7Nhumidity			-0.0927^{**}		
			(0.0421)		
SQl7Nhumidity			-0.00295		
			(0.0201)		
17Nprecipitation				-0.00532	
				(0.0254)	
SQ17Nprecipitation				-0.00589	
				(0.00687)	
17Nwindspeed					-0.0260
1					(0.0413)
SQ17Nwindspeed					-0.0120
					(0.0244)
Time	0.00179	0.000798	0.000575	-0.000101	-0.000325
	(0.00110)	(0.00148)	(0.000691)	(0.000559)	(0.000605)
Constant	0.0536	0.230	0.126	0.183**	0.210**
	(0.101)	(0.151)	(0.0771)	(0.0707)	(0.0842)
	(01101)	(01101)	(0.0112)	(0.0101)	(0.0012)
Observations	4.680	4.680	4.680	4.680	4.680
R-squared	0.771	0.772	0.771	0.770	0.771
Number of countries	40	40	40	40	40
	Robust sta	ndard errors	$\frac{-\infty}{1}$ in parenthes		
	***	01 ** 0			

Table 10: Direct climatic variables effects on Covid-19 cases: threshold estimates

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1 Note: SQ: Squared l7:lag 7

timates	(1 DFE)	(2 DFE)	(3 DFE)	(4 DFE)	(5 DFE)
VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop
		11		11	1
l1deathpop	0.628^{***}	0.625^{***}	0.629^{***}	0.627^{***}	0.626^{***}
	(0.0756)	(0.0763)	(0.0760)	(0.0753)	(0.0748)
l14deathpop	0.0939^{**}	0.0946^{**}	0.0928^{**}	0.0934^{**}	0.0888^{**}
	(0.0400)	(0.0395)	(0.0398)	(0.0400)	(0.0410)
l14casepop	0.0104^{**}	0.00996^{**}	0.0104^{**}	0.0104^{**}	0.0103^{**}
	(0.00441)	(0.00430)	(0.00441)	(0.00442)	(0.00435)
l28Ntemperature	-0.00804				
	(0.00584)				
SQl28Ntemperature	-0.00647^{*}				
	(0.00322)				
l28Nradiation		-0.00276			
		(0.00590)			
SQl28Nradiation		-0.0133**			
		(0.00538)			
l28Nhumidity			-0.00239		
			(0.00763)		
SQl28Nhumidity			2.52e-05		
			(0.00218)		
l7Nprecipitation				-0.00736**	
				(0.00306)	
SQl28Nprecipitation				0.000222	
				(0.000543)	
17Nwindspeed					-0.0149^{**}
					(0.00702)
SQ128Nwindspeed					-0.000258
	0.00040-				(0.000655)
Time	0.000102	4.49e-05	7.47e-05	5.22e-05	-2.86e-05
a	(0.000101)	(0.000138)	(9.08e-05)	(7.36e-05)	(9.70e-05)
Constant	0.0193**	0.0321*	0.0166**	0.0192***	0.0283***
	(0.00766)	(0.0177)	(0.00691)	(0.00569)	(0.00671)
Observations	/ 190	4 190	4 190	4 190	/ 190
R-squared	-4,120 0.560	-4,120 0.561	0.560	-4,120 0.561	0.562
Number of countries	40	40	40	40	40
		1 1		ŦŪ	10

Table 11: Direct climatic variables effects on Covid-19 fatalities: threshold estimates

Robust standard errors in parentheses *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1 Note: SQ: Squared 128:lag 28

5.4 Indirect effects of climate through people's mobility

In line with Wilson (2020) who assess the dynamic links between social distancing, weather and the Covid-19 spread for US counties with the local projection method a la Jorda (2005), we think that mobility and climatic factors have to be investigated simultaneously. As stressed by Wilson (2020), a substantial omitted variable bias is likely to result. He found that mobility has a strong impact on Covid-19 cases and deaths growth around 2-weeks ahead and outlines an effect of weather (only temperatures, precipitations and snowfall are considered in his study) on mobility. Some other recent studies have been interested by the effect of mobility on the Covid-19 outbreak. Using a state panel and Google Mobility Reports data in the vein of Wilson (2020) to forecast the dynamics of the Covid-19 outbreak in the US, Unwin et al. (2020) estimate the impact of mobility on the transmission rate of the virus using a Bayesian framework that captures the impact that non-pharmaceutical interventions and other behaviour changes have on the rate of transmission of SARS-CoV-2. Assuming a 14 lags delay, Soucy et al. (2020) also estimated the impact of mobility on the growth of infected people and found that a 10% decrease in mobility is associated with a 14.6% decrease in the average daily growth rate and a -0.061 change in the instantaneous reproductive number two weeks later, demonstrating that decreases in urban mobility were predictive of declines in epidemic growth.

As shown in Tables 12-15, the mobility driving variable has a direct negative influence on Covid-19 outcomes in cases regressions but a positive or null influence in the fatality rate regressions. Indeed, DFE estimates in Table 14 show that the mobility variable has a significant and positive correlation with the Covid-19 fatality rate. As a consequence, an increasing mobility would increase the fatality rate of the virus, confirming that lockdown policies have been probably relevant to try to reduce the severity of the pandemic. Again, this result can also be explained by the fact that the fatality rate captures a different time horizon in comparison with the infected cases ratio. Additionally, most countries in our sample implemented a lockdown policy: in the short-run, the effect of this policy can be influenced by reverse causal endogeneity issues: people were asked to reduce their mobility (driving or walking, for instance) due to the strong diffusion of the virus. Thus, a reverse causality from the pandemic to the mobility should be controlled for in the short-run. We control further this potential endogeneity issue in the robustness check section (5.5.1 and 5.5.2). In particular, our tests reveal that when the number of lags is increased (see Tables 16 to 19), the sign of the mobility variable turns to be positive also in the infected cases regression. In other words, increasing individual mobility is a factor of virus spread: when more people are more mobile, the social distancing is likely to be reduced and the transmission rate to increase.

When we look at the infected cases with a short-run 7 days delayed effect, we find that the interaction term driving * radiation is significantly positive but other interaction terms are insignificant. In others words, during sunshine periods, the mobility effect on the number of infected cases is strengthened by this climatic factor within a 7 days action period. Nonetheless, these results are more significant when considering the fatality rate as a dependant variable and a 28 days lagged period for the effect of climate and mobility variables. This could be explained by the higher relevance of the fatality rate variable in comparison to the infected cases one to capture the true dynamics of the pandemics. We derive significant results mostly for solar radiation although temperatures should also be considered.

Climatic factors - solar radiation here - has always a negative direct coefficient since solar radiations are expected to physically reduce the resistance of the virus. The interaction term between solar radiation and mobility is positive and shows that both variables strengthen each other. Since climate is per se purely exogenous, climate tend to strengthen the effect of the mobility on the virus spread. The net effect from climate on Covid-19 outbreak will thus result from its direct negative effect and from its indirect positive effect resulting from the interaction between mobility and climate variables. Tables 12 and 13 show that there is a direct negative effect of the mobility on the infected cases ratio - we probably capture the lockdown period - but there is an indirect effect of solar radiation on *casepop* through the interaction between solar radiation and mobility: a highest sunshine duration is associated with a reduced number of infected cases. A combination of increasing mobility and solar radiation will lead to an increasing number of infected cases. Tables 14 and 15 reveal the existence of a direct positive effect of the mobility variable on the fatality rate (only DFE estimates) but a direct combined negative effect of temperatures and solar radiation on the fatality rate through the mobility. As a consequence, high levels of temperatures and sunshine are likely to reduce the fatality rate by physical channels. However, this potential reduction is however partially compensated by a significant positive effect stemming from the interaction between climatic variables - temperatures and solar radiation - and the mobility variable, on Covid-19 fatality rate.

	(1. DFE)	(2. DFE)	(3. DFE)	(4. DFE)	(5. DFE)
VARIABLES	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop
l1casepop	0.696***	0.691***	0.698***	0.699***	0.699***
	(0.0332)	(0.0336)	(0.0335)	(0.0342)	(0.0341)
l7casepop	0.199***	0.203***	0.198***	0.199***	0.198***
	(0.0234)	(0.0252)	(0.0236)	(0.0237)	(0.0245)
l7Ntemperature	-0.254**				
	(0.111)	0.00004***	0 00 40 4**	0 00515***	0.00500***
l7LDdriving	-0.00483^{**}	-0.00624^{***}	-0.00494^{**}	-0.00515^{***}	-0.00530^{***}
17Int driving 17Nt open enotype	(0.00194)	(0.00204)	(0.00184)	(0.00179)	(0.00180)
17 Interny interny entremperature	(0.00121)				
17Nradiation	(0.00150)	-0.466**			
		(0.191)			
17Intdriving 17Nradiation		0.00429**			
		(0.00202)			
17Nhumidity		()	-0.112**		
v			(0.0551)		
l7Intdriving_l7Nhumidity			0.000457		
			(0.000811)		
l7Nprecipitation				-0.0738	
				(0.0459)	
l7Intdriving_l7Nprecipitation				0.000520	
				(0.000543)	
l7Nwindspeed					-0.0282
					(0.113)
17Intdriving_17Nwindspeed					-0.000289
Time	0.000261	0.00120	0.00175	0.00246*	(0.00115) 0.00270**
1 mie	(0.000301)	(0.00130)	(0.00175)	(0.00240)	(0.00279)
Constant	0.657^*	0.907**	0 770**	0.843***	0.890***
Constant	(0.336)	(0.397)	(0.293)	(0.282)	(0.293)
	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.200)	(00_)	(000)
Observations	4,370	4,370	4,370	4,370	4,370
R-squared	0.771	0.772	0.771	0.771	0.771
Number of countries	38	38	38	38	38

Table 12: Indirect climatic effects on Covid-19 cases via social mobility: DFE

	(1. MG)	(2. MG)	(3. MG)	(4. MG)	(5. MG)
VARIABLES	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop
14	0 455***	0 150***	0 40 7 * * *	0 500***	0 500***
llcasepop	0.477^{***}	0.476^{***}	0.487^{***}	0.506^{***}	0.509^{***}
1-	(0.0414)	(0.0394)	(0.0416)	(0.0405)	(0.0409)
l7casepop	0.158^{+++}	0.163^{***}	0.156^{+++}	0.160^{+++}	0.166^{***}
1-1-1	(0.0233)	(0.0207)	(0.0218)	(0.0232)	(0.0227)
17Ntemperature	-0.282*				
	(0.150)				
l7LDdriving	-0.0136***	-0.0141***	-0.0113***	-0.0127***	-0.0122***
	(0.00294)	(0.00295)	(0.00262)	(0.00244)	(0.00229)
l7Intdriving_l7Ntemperature	0.00260				
	(0.00162)				
l7Nradiation		0.0802			
		(0.109)			
17 Intdriving_17Nradiation		0.000769			
		(0.00155)			
l7Nhumidity			-0.109		
			(0.120)		
l7Intdriving_l7Nhumidity			0.00191		
- · ·			(0.00126)		
17Nprecipitation			× /	-0.0903*	
1 1				(0.0533)	
l7Intdriving_l7Nprecipitation				0.000677	
0				(0.000504)	
17Nwindspeed				(0.000000)	0.00375
maspeed					(0.0477)
17Intdriving 17Nwindspeed					-0.000396
11 mourt ing_111 windspeed					(0.000561)
Time	-0.00171	-0.00354	-0.00237*	-0.00223*	-0.00270**
Time	(0.00171)	(0.00004)	(0.00128)	(0.00125)	(0.00210)
Constant	1 /83***	2 044***	1 202***	1 538***	1 560***
Constant	(0.327)	(0.440)	(0.267)	(0.300)	(0.207)
	(0.021)	(0.440)	(0.201)	(0.003)	(0.231)
Observations	4 370	4 370	4 370	4 370	4 370
Number of countries	38	38	38	38	38
	Standard e	rrors in parer	theses		

Table 13: Indirect climatic effects on Covid-19 cases via social mobility: MG

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 14: Indirect climatic effects on Covid-19 fatalities via social mobility: $\underline{\rm DFE}$

	(1. DFE)	(2. DFE)	(3. DFE)	(4. DFE)	(5. DFE)
VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop
l1deathpop	0.623^{***}	0.621^{***}	0.625^{***}	0.626^{***}	0.623^{***}
	(0.0774)	(0.0770)	(0.0775)	(0.0764)	(0.0773)
l14deathpop	0.106**	0.109**	0.105**	0.108**	0.109**
	(0.0420)	(0.0412)	(0.0416)	(0.0413)	(0.0421)
l14casepop	0.0109^{**}	0.0105^{**}	0.0111^{**}	0.0112^{**}	0.0111**
	(0.00470)	(0.00461)	(0.00476)	(0.00481)	(0.00477)
l28Ntemperature	-0.0326**	· · · · ·			
-	(0.0148)				
l28LDdriving	0.000659^{**}	0.000583^{**}	0.000648^{**}	0.000646^{**}	0.000642^{**}
0	(0.000270)	(0.000255)	(0.000276)	(0.000273)	(0.000274)
l28Intdriving_l28Ntemperature	0.000255^{*}	· · · · · ·	· · · ·	· /	· · · ·
Û Î	(0.000129)				
l28Nradiation	· · · · · ·	-0.0456***			
		(0.0148)			
l28Intdriving_l28Nradiation		0.000440**			
0		(0.000166)			
l28Nhumidity		· · · · · ·	-0.0155		
v			(0.0143)		
l28Intdriving_l28Nhumidity			0.000123		
			(0.000108)		
128Nprecipitation			,	0.00661	
				(0.00629)	
l28Intdriving_l28Nprecipitation				-3.29e-05	
				(6.90e-05)	
l28Nwindspeed					0.0239^{*}
					(0.0132)
l28Intdriving_l28Nwindspeed					-0.000163
					(0.000122)
Time	0.000716^{**}	0.000718^{***}	0.000603^{**}	0.000580^{**}	0.000630**
	(0.000272)	(0.000227)	(0.000256)	(0.000230)	(0.000243)
Constant	-0.0985**	-0.0866**	-0.0881*	-0.0865*	-0.0902*
	(0.0473)	(0.0413)	(0.0471)	(0.0447)	(0.0460)
Observations	3,914	$3,\!914$	$3,\!914$	$3,\!914$	$3,\!914$
R-squared	0.563	0.564	0.563	0.563	0.564
Number of countries	38	38	38	38	38

	(1 MC)		$\frac{(2 MC)}{(2 MC)}$	(4 MC)	(F M(C))
	(1. MG)	(2. MG)	(3. MG)	(4. MG)	(5. MG)
VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop
l1deathpop	0.244^{***}	0.263^{***}	0.253^{***}	0.283^{***}	0.274^{***}
	(0.0466)	(0.0434)	(0.0470)	(0.0451)	(0.0453)
l14deathpop	0.00701	0.0177	0.00369	0.0191	0.0170
	(0.0310)	(0.0288)	(0.0279)	(0.0289)	(0.0285)
l14casepop	0.0189***	0.0194^{***}	0.0192***	0.0202***	0.0195^{***}
	(0.00292)	(0.00304)	(0.00295)	(0.00311)	(0.00295)
128Ntemperature	-0.0224**	· · · ·	· · · ·	· · · ·	× /
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	(0.0113)				
128LDdriving	-6.00e-05	2.95e-05	-6.72e-05	-2.68e-06	-0.000102
	(0,000103)	(8.30e-05)	(7.09e-05)	(7.32e-05)	(8.55e-05)
128Intdriving 128Ntemperature	0.000197	(0.000 00)	(1.000.00)	(1.020 00)	(0.000 00)
	(0.000191)				
128Nradiation	(0.000124)	-0 0273***			
120101401401011		(0.00856)			
1981 nt driving 198 Nradiation		0.000352***			
1201110111111g_120101adiation		(0.000352)			
199Nhumiditu		(0.000110)	0.0194		
1281\IIIIIIIIIIII			-0.0124		
1991			(0.00870)		
1281ntdriving_1281nnumiaity			-2.15e-05		
10031			(0.000102)	0.000000	
128Nprecipitation				0.000268	
				(0.00522)	
128Intdriving_128Nprecipitation				1.10e-05	
				(6.25e-05)	
l28Nwindspeed					0.0138***
					(0.00524)
l28Intdriving_l28Nwindspeed					-6.66e-05
					(4.36e-05)
Time	0.000150^{***}	0.000145^{*}	0.000183^{***}	0.000157^{***}	0.000165^{***}
	(4.81e-05)	(7.70e-05)	(5.77e-05)	(4.46e-05)	(3.98e-05)
Constant	-0.00692	-0.00625	-0.00235	-0.00867	0.00602
	(0.0129)	(0.0108)	(0.0127)	(0.0105)	(0.00890)
Observations	9.014	9.014	2 01 4	9.014	9.014
Number of countries	3,914 20	3,914 20	3,914 20	3,914 20	3,914
NUMBER OF COUNTRES	00	00	00	00	00

Table 15: Indirect climatic effects on Covid-19 fatalities via social mobility: MG

6 Robustness Checks

In this section, we present the main results from our robustness checks. To save space, we only report the results associated with the key regressions in line with our previous estimates. However, robustness checks have been performed for all specifications (direct climatic effects, interacted climatic variables, quadratic terms, different lags choices, and indirect climatic effects through mobility) and can be reproduced with the associated STATA replication code attached to the paper. In a general manner, our tests confirm the strong robustness of the key results displayed in previous sections.

6.1 Increasing lags: incubation period and delayed effects

When we augment the number of lags, we implicitly increase the delayed effects from climate to Covid-19 outcomes. As a consequence, we control for the dynamics of the epidemics and take into account the fact, especially for the fatality rate, that the impact of climatic factors has a very delayed origin: a huge meeting, concert, social event can produce some dramatic effects only three, four weeks later or even more and might result in so-called Covid-19 clusters. In addition, more lags allow a better consideration of count biases as we yet previously discussed. For instance, count delay has been sometimes estimated to 10 days (between real infection and official recording in some countries). Finally, the delay between infection and potential disease is uncertain: most medical studies report a median incubation period for COVID-19 of approximately 5 days (see for instance Lauer et al., 2020) but considering consolidation period, 14 or 28 lags are alternatives. In Tables 16 to 19, we present increasing lags regressions. 28 lags have been chosen for *casepop* and 42 lags have been considered for *deathpop* corresponding to a one and half month period between real infection and deaths recording in this case. Longer periods, until two months, have also been considered. Although our sample has an important time dimension, it is however difficult to go beyond this lag in order to get robust estimations. Tables 16 to 19 outline that the mobility variable has a significantly positive effect on the Covid-19 outcomes when a period of 28 or 42 lags is considered. The interaction terms are still positive for both solar radiation and temperatures specifications but they are more significant for MG estimates concerning infected cases and only in MG estimates for the fatality rate estimates.

6.2 Endogeneity issues: System GMM estimates

In tables 20 to 22, we control for the potential endogeneity of lagged variables Covid-19 variables and mobility by performing System GMM estimates. The following Syst-GMM estimates have been implemented by assuming, for the infected cases regression, l1casepop (one lagged term of casepop) as predetermined, l7casepop, l7LDdriving and interaction terms as endogenous, lagged climatic variables and the deterministic trend as exogenous. The same method

	(1. DFE)	(2. DFE)	(3. DFE)	(4. DFE)	(5. DFE)
VARIABLES	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop
	a a cardododo				
l1casepop	0.640***	0.834***	0.834***	0.835***	0.835***
14.4	(0.0363)	(0.0230)	(0.0237)	(0.0240)	(0.0241)
114casepop	0.113^{***}	0.0511***	0.0521***	0.0501^{***}	0.0515***
100 NJ	(0.0236)	(0.0116)	(0.0128)	(0.0137)	(0.0136)
128Ntemperature	-0.0841				
199 driving	(0.0529) 0.00617***	0 00574***	0.00640***	0 00627***	0 00696***
izouriving	(0.00017)	(0.00574)	(0.00049)	(0.00037)	(0.00030^{-1})
128Intdriving 128Ntemperature	0.00108*	(0.00100)	(0.00130)	(0.00107)	(0.00140)
12011101110111g_120100emperature	(0.00100)				
128Nradiation	(0.000010)	0.0427			
		(0.113)			
l28Intdriving_l28Nradiation		-0.00128			
0		(0.00128)			
l28Nhumidity			0.185^{**}		
			(0.0844)		
l28Intdriving_l28Nhumidity			-0.00216^{**}		
			(0.00102)		
128Nprecipitation				-0.0585	
				(0.0475)	
128Intdriving_128Nprecipitation				0.00117^{**}	
199Nwindepeed				(0.000519)	0.0640
izon windspeed					(0.0784)
128Intdriving 128Nwindspeed					(0.0134)
12011101110111g_12010windspeed					(0.000811)
Time	0.00622***	0.00511***	0.00519***	0.00518^{***}	0.00512***
	(0.000835)	(0.00102)	(0.00107)	(0.00108)	(0.00107)
Constant	-0.760***	-0.713***	-0.774***	-0.752***	-0.754***
	(0.190)	(0.187)	(0.183)	(0.188)	(0.181)
Observations	4,622	4,622	4,622	4,622	4,622
R-squared	10	0.762	0.762	0.762	0.762
Number of countries	42	42	42	42	42

Table 16: Direct climatic effects on Covid-19 cases: 28 lags delay (1)

_

	(1. MG)	(2. MG)	(3. MG)	(4. MG)	(5. MG)
VARIABLES	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop
	a a cardododo				a second date
l1casepop	0.640***	0.637***	0.650***	0.665***	0.659***
	(0.0363)	(0.0367)	(0.0354)	(0.0341)	(0.0345)
l14casepop	0.113***	0.111***	0.110***	0.103^{***}	0.106^{***}
	(0.0236)	(0.0244)	(0.0237)	(0.0236)	(0.0232)
l28Ntemperature	-0.0841				
	(0.0529)				
l28driving	0.00617^{***}	0.00736^{***}	0.00508***	0.00600***	0.00900***
	(0.00188)	(0.00162)	(0.00135)	(0.00145)	(0.00183)
l28Intdriving_l28Ntemperature	0.00108*				
	(0.000640)				
l28Nradiation		-0.263***			
		(0.0848)			
l28Intdriving_l28Nradiation		0.00283^{***}			
		(0.000856)			
l28Nhumidity			-0.0112		
			(0.0565)		
l28Intdriving_l28Nhumidity			-0.000135		
			(0.000601)		
l28Nprecipitation				0.0562	
				(0.0349)	
l28Intdriving_l28Nprecipitation				-0.000370	
				(0.000339)	
l28Nwindspeed					0.0571
					(0.0504)
l28Intdriving_l28Nwindspeed					-0.000273
					(0.000622)
Time	0.00622***	0.00511***	0.00519***	0.00518^{***}	0.00512***
	(0.000835)	(0.00102)	(0.00107)	(0.00108)	(0.00107)
Constant	-0.760***	-0.893***	-0.770***	-0.718***	-1.022***
	(0.190)	(0.178)	(0.149)	(0.154)	(0.202)
Observations	1 600	1 600	1 600	4 600	4 699
Number of countries	4,022	4,022	4,022	4,022	4,022
number of countries	42 Standard a	42	42	42	42

Table 17: Direct climatic effects on Covid-19 cases: 28 lags delay (2)

_

	(1. DFE)	(2. DFE)	(3. DFE)	(4. DFE)	(5. DFE)
VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop
l1deathpop	0.589^{***}	0.587^{***}	0.590^{***}	0.588^{***}	0.586^{***}
	(0.0795)	(0.0794)	(0.0794)	(0.0789)	(0.0784)
l14deathpop	0.113***	0.116^{***}	0.115^{***}	0.115^{***}	0.118^{***}
	(0.0398)	(0.0397)	(0.0402)	(0.0403)	(0.0393)
l14casepop	0.00821^{*}	0.00788^{*}	0.00816^{*}	0.00821^{*}	0.00818^{*}
	(0.00441)	(0.00436)	(0.00446)	(0.00446)	(0.00451)
l42Ntemperature	-0.0113				
	(0.0131)				
l42LDdriving	0.00148^{***}	0.00146^{***}	0.00146^{***}	0.00147^{***}	0.00147^{***}
	(0.000383)	(0.000371)	(0.000377)	(0.000373)	(0.000366)
142 Intdriving_142Ntemperature	3.65e-05				
	(0.000154)				
l42Nradiation		-0.0327			
		(0.0206)			
142 Intdriving_142Nradiation		0.000148			
		(0.000229)			
l42Nhumidity			0.00885		
			(0.00808)		
142 Intdriving_142Nhumidity			-9.30e-05		
			(0.000105)		
l42Nprecipitation				0.0190^{**}	
				(0.00924)	
$l42Intdriving_l42N precipitation$				-0.000127	
				(0.000116)	
l42Nwindspeed					0.000528
					(0.0129)
$142 Intdriving_{142} Nwindspeed$					0.000117
					(0.000162)
Time	0.00145^{***}	0.00173^{***}	0.00138^{***}	0.00140^{***}	0.00139^{***}
	(0.000406)	(0.000465)	(0.000378)	(0.000385)	(0.000376)
Constant	-0.242^{***}	-0.269^{***}	-0.232***	-0.234^{***}	-0.235***
	(0.0741)	(0.0782)	(0.0700)	(0.0698)	(0.0684)
Observations	3,572	3,572	3,572	3,572	3,572
R-squared	0.541	0.542	0.541	0.541	0.542
Number of countries	38	38	38	38	38

Table 18: Direct climatic effects on Covid-19 fatalities: 42 lags delay (1)

	(1. MG)	(2. MG)	(3. MG)	(4. MG)	(5. MG)
VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop
l1deathpop	0.209***	0.206^{***}	0.212***	0.229***	0.215^{***}
	(0.0369)	(0.0383)	(0.0370)	(0.0371)	(0.0370)
l14deathpop	0.0580^{**}	0.0516^{*}	0.0603**	0.0596^{**}	0.0587**
1 1	(0.0269)	(0.0298)	(0.0262)	(0.0287)	(0.0276)
l14casepop	0.0168***	0.0174***	0.0174***	0.0176***	0.0193***
1 1	(0.00332)	(0.00358)	(0.00337)	(0.00333)	(0.00372)
142Ntemperature	-0.0225***	()	()	()	()
I	(0.00787)				
142LDdriving	0.000993***	0.000425***	0.000637***	0.000366***	0.000515***
	(0.000326)	(0.000134)	(0.000196)	(8.05e-05)	(0.000118)
142Intdriving 142Ntemperature	0.000276***	(0.00000000)	(0.000100)	(0.000 00)	(0.0001-0)
	(9.83e-05)				
142Nradiation	(0.000 00)	-0.0238***			
		(0.00769)			
142Intdriving 142Nradiation		0.000346***			
		(0.000101)			
142Nhumidity		(0.000101)	-0.00261		
			(0.00923)		
142Intdriving 142Nhumidity			3.80e-05		
			(0.000110)		
142Nprecipitation			(0.000110)	0.0128^{*}	
				(0.00120)	
142Intdriving 142Nprecipitation				-6 61e-05	
				(5.24e-05)	
142Nwindspeed				(0.240-00)	0.00342
1421 Windspeed					(0.00042)
142Intdriving 142Nwindspeed					-3 18e-05
142110011Ving_1421Viniuspeeu					(4.47e-05)
Time	0 000499***	0 000653***	0 000503***	0 000501***	0 000449***
Time	(0.000400)	(0.0000000)	(0.0000000)	(8.43-05)	(8.30-05)
Constant	-0 103***	-0.0888***	-0.0864***	-0.0661***	-0.0845***
Constant	(0.0304)	(0.0193)	(0.0219)	(0.0127)	(0.0013)
	(0.0004)	(0.0100)	(0.0210)	(0.0121)	(0.0110)
Observations	3572	3572	3572	3572	3572
Number of countries	38	38	38	38	38

Table 19: Direct and indirect climatic effects on Covid-19 fatalities: 42 lags delay (2)

Table 20: Direct	climatic effec	ts on Covid-1	19 cases: Syst	em-GMM	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
VARIABLES	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop
l1casepop	0.726^{***}	0.726^{***}	0.727^{***}	0.728^{***}	0.728^{***}
	(0.0334)	(0.0332)	(0.0331)	(0.0332)	(0.0331)
l7casepop	0.215^{***}	0.216^{***}	0.215^{***}	0.216^{***}	0.215^{***}
	(0.0246)	(0.0250)	(0.0247)	(0.0251)	(0.0251)
l7Ntemperature	-0.0441**				
	(0.0207)				
l7Nradiation		-0.0569*			
		(0.0332)			
l7Nhumidity			-0.0263*		
			(0.0141)		
l7Nprecipitation				-0.00783	
				(0.0118)	
l7Nwindspeed					-0.00503
					(0.0193)
Time	0.000172	0.000486	-0.000174	-0.000391	-0.000402
	(0.000548)	(0.000681)	(0.000525)	(0.000511)	(0.000521)
Constant	0.0916^{**}	0.0685	0.118**	0.130**	0.132**
	(0.0467)	(0.0464)	(0.0502)	(0.0531)	(0.0536)
Observations	$4,\!680$	4,680	4,680	$4,\!680$	4,680
Number of countries	40	40	40	40	40
	CL 1	1 •	.1		

Standard errors in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

has been applied for the fatality rate model with l28deathpop, l14casepop, *l28LDdriving* and interaction terms assumed as endogenous.

We only report here the most important regressions to show that our main results are robust to endogeneity of mobility and lagged Covid-19 outcomes variables, but all GMM estimates (with also quadratic terms and climatic interaction terms for instance) and related system GMM statistics are available upon request with the associated STATA replication code. In most cases, classical Syst-GMM tests (AR(1), AR(2) and Hansen tests) confirm the validity of our different sets of instruments.

It is interesting to note that System-GMM estimates outline the important role of solar radiation in addition to temperatures; they are both direct and indirect (through mobility) drivers of Covid-19 outcomes. Again, a simultaneous increase of temperatures and mobility, as well as solar radiation and mobility, lead to a significant increase in *casespop* and *deathpop*.

\mathbf{T}	Table 21: Direct	and indirect	climatic effects	on Covid-19	cases: System-	GMM
--------------	------------------	--------------	------------------	-------------	----------------	-----

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
VARIABLES	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop
llcasepop	0.660***	0.626***	0.665***	0.657***	0.670***
I I I	(0.0381)	(0.0509)	(0.0362)	(0.0365)	(0.0336)
17casepop	0.167***	0.204***	0.169***	0.173***	0.183***
1 1	(0.0352)	(0.0430)	(0.0373)	(0.0432)	(0.0391)
l7Ntemperature	-1.654***		× /	· · · ·	()
-	(0.512)				
l7LDdriving	-0.0221***	-0.0159^{**}	-0.0254^{***}	-0.0247^{**}	-0.0258***
	(0.00664)	(0.00693)	(0.00929)	(0.0122)	(0.00770)
l7Intdriving_l7Ntemperature	0.0185^{***}				
	(0.00555)				
17Nradiation		-3.414**			
		(1.608)			
l7Intdriving_l7Nradiation		0.0407^{**}			
		(0.0196)			
l7Nhumidity			-0.914*		
			(0.509)		
l7Intdriving_l7Nhumidity			0.0105		
			(0.00654)		
17Nprecipitation				-3.501**	
				(1.479)	
l'Intdriving_l'Nprecipitation				0.0415^{**}	
				(0.0171)	0.000
IIINwindspeed					(0.790)
17Int driving 17Narin day and					(0.389)
17Intuitving_17Nwindspeed					-0.00500
Time	-0.00861**	-0.00747	-0.0194**	-0.0109	-0.0138**
1 mic	(0.00001)	(0.00141)	(0.0124)	(0.00705)	(0.0100)
Constant	2997^{***}	2 852**	3 450***	3 114**	3 488***
Constant	(0.905)	(1.136)	$(1\ 249)$	(1.572)	(1.091)
	(0.500)	(1.100)	(1.210)	(1.012)	(1.001)
AR(1) p.value	0.008	0.006	0.008	0.004	0.008
AR(2) p.value	0.928	0.848	0.961	0.736	0.958
Hansen p.value	0.152	0.232	0.097	0.286	0.189
-					
Observations	$4,\!370$	$4,\!370$	$4,\!370$	$4,\!370$	$4,\!370$
Number of countries	38	38	38	38	38

Table 22: Direct and indirect climatic effects on Covid-19 fatalities: System-<u>GMM</u>

	((-)	(-)	(()
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop
	a sa adulutu	a a statututu	a statisticale		
l1deathpop	0.408***	0.384***	0.431***	0.419***	0.406***
	(0.0913)	(0.0918)	(0.0888)	(0.0875)	(0.0836)
l14deathpop	0.216^{***}	0.264^{***}	0.206^{***}	0.215^{***}	0.249^{***}
	(0.0492)	(0.0504)	(0.0496)	(0.0474)	(0.0373)
l14casepop	0.0156^{**}	0.0136^{**}	0.0165^{**}	0.0167^{**}	0.0144^{***}
	(0.00662)	(0.00689)	(0.00724)	(0.00693)	(0.00531)
l28Ntemperature	-0.168*				
	(0.0963)				
l28LDdriving	0.000458	0.000607	0.000259	0.000210	0.000502
	(0.000617)	(0.000873)	(0.000462)	(0.000444)	(0.000525)
l28Intdriving_l28Ntemperature	0.00195*	· · · · · ·	· · · · ·	· · · · · ·	· · · · ·
<u> </u>	(0.00109)				
128Nradiation	· · · ·	-0.248**			
		(0.103)			
128Intdriving 128Nradiation		0.00273**			
		(0.00120)			
128Nhumidity		(0.00120)	-0.0809		
1201 (Indifficiety			(0.0616)		
128Intdriving 128Nhumidity			0.00101		
120111011101119_120101101101010			(0.00101)		
128Nprocipitation			(0.000740)	0.0330	
1281 precipitation				(0.0330)	
190Int driving 190N proginitation				(0.0829)	
12811tdriving_1281vprecipitation				-0.000301	
100N . 1 1				(0.000992)	0.0000
1281windspeed					-0.0828
					(0.0724)
1281ntdriving_128Nwindspeed					0.00105
	0.000000	0 00000 - *	0.000004	0.0001.10	(0.000827)
Time	0.000323	0.000887*	0.000204	0.000148	0.000129
	(0.000344)	(0.000501)	(0.000230)	(0.000243)	(0.000233)
Constant	-0.0321	-0.0821	-0.0176	-0.0154	-0.0425
	(0.0635)	(0.0899)	(0.0561)	(0.0594)	(0.0656)
	0.015	0.015	0.010	0.014	0.014
AR(1) p.value	0.015	0.015	0.013	0.014	0.014
AK(2) p.value	0.416	0.382	0.482	0.450	0.378
Hansen p.value	0.156	0.612	0.202	0.379	0.553
Observations	2 01 4	2 014	2 01 4	2 014	2 014
Number of countries	0,914 20	3,914	3,914	3,914	3,914
Number of countries	etondard	00	JO hogog	90	90

6.3 Endogeneity issues: introducing testing per 100k inhabitants as a supplementary control

In tables 23 to 26, when we control for the potential endogeneity of the dynamics of Covid-19 outcomes by adding in our regressions the corresponding lag of the number of testing per 100k inhabitants (source: from the DELVE Global Covid-19 Dataset), we find very similar results to those displayed in previous sections. For example, the positive interaction between temperatures and solar radiations and the positive interactions between solar radiation and mobility are confirmed for DFE estimates (Tables 23 and 25). In addition, the testing coefficient is significantly negative: a policy control by increasing Covid-19 testing for most people is thus able to reduce the number of Covid-19 outcomes.

6.4 Time span changes

All countries did not start the epidemic wave in the same time. This is why we previously assumed that samples start on February 1st 2020 for infected cases and on February, 15th for the fatality rate. In this subsection, we reestimate all regressions by considering all sample range and not only periods since February 1st 2020 for infected cases and from February, 15th for the fatality rate. Thus, estimates are computed with data starting in the January 1st 2020 for all countries. The resulting new sample is then marginally augmented. Table 27 indicates, once again, no significant differences with previous key estimates.

6.5 Considering only OECD countries

In this subsection, we consider a subset of countries and only estimate our models with OECD countries. Tables 28 and 29 show that there is no sample bias in our estimations and confirm the role of solar radiation as negative driver. We only present results for preferred specifications with mobility for *deathpop*.

6.6 Week-end effects

In this last subsection, we assume that week-end periods could lead to different mobility behaviours than the others five days of the week. Thus, in Tables 30 and 31, we introduce a dummy variable $l28week_end$ to take into account potential changes in human behaviors during the week-ends. For instance, people have more time and do not work during the week-ends in most countries of our sample; as a result, they are likely to more spread the virus by increasing the number of meeting with both family and friends of their network during these periods. This can modify the robustness of our results. Results in Tables 30 and 31 seem to show no significant differences in our key results when this week-end effect is considered.

	(1 DFE)	(2 DFE)	(3 DFE)	(4 DFE)	(5 DFE)
VARIABLES	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop
llcasepop	0.629***	0.624***	0.632***	0.639***	0.637***
	(0.0616)	(0.0613)	(0.0620)	(0.0614)	(0.0619)
l7casepop	0.181***	0.186***	0.181***	0.183***	0.184***
	(0.0210)	(0.0204)	(0.0205)	(0.0209)	(0.0206)
17Ntemperature	-0.445***				· · · ·
	(0.120)				
7LDdriving	-0.00313	-0.00497	-0.00271	-0.00333	-0.00333
-	(0.00350)	(0.00336)	(0.00341)	(0.00341)	(0.00335)
l7Intdriving_l7Ntemperature	0.00499***				
	(0.00149)				
7Nradiation	· · · · ·	-0.601***			
		(0.130)			
l7Intdriving_l7Nradiation		0.00796^{***}			
5		(0.00201)			
17Nhumidity		()	-0.346***		
			(0.104)		
17Intdriving 17Nhumidity			0.00412***		
······································			(0.00133)		
7Nprecipitation			(0100100)	-0.0881	
in prooproation				(0.0709)	
17Intdriving 17Nprecipitation				0.000778	
				(0.00105)	
17Nwindspeed				(0.00100)	0.0843
i i i windspeed					(0.126)
17Intdriving 17Nwindspeed					-0.00228
i i intari ving 111 windspeed					(0.00220)
Time	0.00820*	0.00548	0.00727*	0.00574	(0.00109) 0.00512
1 mile	(0.00020)	(0.00010)	(0.00121)	(0.00363)	(0.00312)
	(0.00440)	0.00400)	0.0000000	-0.000258***	-0.000262***
17tests per100k	-0.000283***	-0.000285^{***}	_[[[[[[[[]]/(3''''		
l7tests_per100k	-0.000283^{***}	-0.000285^{***}	-0.000273^{++}	(6.48e-05)	$(6.97e_{-}05)$
l7tests_per100k Constant	-0.000283*** (7.31e-05) 0.306	-0.000285^{***} (7.94e-05) 0.746	(7.06e-05) 0 326	(6.48e-05) 0 451	(6.97e-05) 0 537
l7tests_per100k Constant	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000283^{***} \\ (7.31e-05) \\ 0.306 \\ (0.553) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000285^{****} \\ (7.94e-05) \\ 0.746 \\ (0.530) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000273^{+++} \\ (7.06e-05) \\ 0.326 \\ (0.472) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (6.48e\text{-}05) \\ 0.451 \\ (0.420) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (6.97\text{e-}05) \\ 0.537 \\ (0.444) \end{array}$
l7tests_per100k Constant Observations	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000283^{***} \\ (7.31e-05) \\ 0.306 \\ (0.553) \\ 2.828 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000285^{***} \\ (7.94e-05) \\ 0.746 \\ (0.530) \\ 2.828 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000273^{+++} \\ (7.06e-05) \\ 0.326 \\ (0.472) \\ 2.828 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (6.48e-05) \\ (0.451 \\ (0.420) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (6.97e-05) \\ (0.537 \\ (0.444) \\ 2.828 \end{array}$
l7tests_per100k Constant Observations B-squared	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000283^{***} \\ (7.31e-05) \\ 0.306 \\ (0.553) \\ 2,828 \\ 0.716 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000285^{***} \\ (7.94e-05) \\ 0.746 \\ (0.530) \\ \\ 2,828 \\ 0.717 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000273^{+++} \\ (7.06e-05) \\ 0.326 \\ (0.472) \\ 2,828 \\ 0.715 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (6.48e-05) \\ (6.48e-05) \\ 0.451 \\ (0.420) \\ \\ 2,828 \\ 0.714 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (6.97\text{e-}05) \\ 0.537 \\ (0.444) \\ 2,828 \\ 0.715 \end{array}$

	om 0001a 10 00	aboot merodator			
	(1. MG)	(2. MG)	(3. MG)	(4. MG)	(5. MG)
VARIABLES	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop	casepop
l1casepop	0.247^{***}	0.269^{***}	0.261^{***}	0.290^{***}	0.285^{***}
	(0.0426)	(0.0402)	(0.0413)	(0.0395)	(0.0405)
l7casepop	0.132^{***}	0.139^{***}	0.131^{***}	0.138^{***}	0.143^{***}
	(0.0217)	(0.0206)	(0.0199)	(0.0217)	(0.0213)
l7Ntemperature	-0.406**				
	(0.182)				
l7LDdriving	-0.0116**	-0.0136***	-0.0100**	-0.00823***	-0.00980***
	(0.00456)	(0.00408)	(0.00473)	(0.00305)	(0.00320)
l7Intdriving_l7Ntemperature	0.00843^{***}				
	(0.00311)				
l7Nradiation		-0.179			
		(0.128)			
l7Intdriving_l7Nradiation		0.00470^{**}			
		(0.00196)			
l7Nhumidity			-0.242		
			(0.165)		
l7Intdriving_l7Nhumidity			0.00718^{***}		
			(0.00248)		
17Nprecipitation				-0.0951	
				(0.0799)	
17Intdriving_17Nprecipitation				0.000884	
				(0.00129)	
17Nwindspeed					0.0963
					(0.103)
l7Intdriving_l7Nwindspeed					-0.00201*
— •	0.00450	0.00010	0.00501	0.00500	(0.00113)
Time	0.00458	0.00319	0.00581	0.00586	8.69e-05
1001	(0.0122)	(0.0120)	(0.0119)	(0.0126)	(0.0133)
l7tests_per100k	-0.000763**	-0.000623**	-0.000771**	-0.000701**	-0.000642**
C , , ,	(0.000368)	(0.000283)	(0.000351)	(0.000340)	(0.000299)
Constant	0.971	1.158	0.035	0.718	1.323
	(1.301)	(1.281)	(1.162)	(1.283)	(1.416)
Observations	2 835	2 835	2 835	2 835	2 835
Number of countries	2,000	2,000	2,000	38	38
runnon or counting	00	00	00	00	00

Table 24: Climatic effects on Covid-19 cases: introducing testing (2)

_

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
VARIABLES deathpop deathpop deathpop deathpop deathpop deathpop 11deathpop 0.460^{***} 0.462^{***} 0.463^{***} 0.468^{***} 0.462^{***} 11deathpop 0.0493 0.0529 0.0507 0.0556 0.0579 11deathpop 0.0493 0.0529 0.0507 0.0556 0.0579 11deathpop 0.0177^{**} 0.0174^{**} 0.0180^{**} 0.0186^{**} 0.0189^{**} 114casepop 0.0177^{**} 0.0174^{**} 0.0180^{**} 0.0186^{**} 0.0189^{**} 128Ntemperature -0.0566^{**} (0.00700) (0.00771) (0.00733) (0.00731) 128Intdriving_l28Ntemperature 0.000751 0.000603 0.000766 0.000598 0.000668 (0.000473) (0.000473) (0.000473) (0.000473) (0.00220) 128Intdriving l28Nradiation -0.0598^{***} (0.00220) 0.000733^{*} 0.000733^{*}
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
128Intdriving_128Ntemperature 0.000933* (0.000473) 128Nradiation -0.0598*** (0.0220) 128Intdriving 128Nradiation 0.000733*
$\begin{array}{c} (0.000473) \\ 128 \text{Nradiation} & -0.0598^{***} \\ & (0.0220) \\ 128 \text{Intdriving } 128 \text{Nradiation} & 0.000733^{*} \end{array}$
128Nradiation -0.0598*** (0.0220) 128Intdriving 128Nradiation 0.000733*
$(0.0220) (0.00733^*)$
128Intdriving 128Nradiation 0,000723*
120111011101112_120101au1au1011 0.000755
(0.000372)
128Nhumidity -0.0453
(0.0317)
128Intdriving_128Nhumidity 0.000838*
(0.000478)
l28Nprecipitation 0.00547
(0.00920)
l28Intdriving_l28Nprecipitation -3.22e-05
(0.000222)
128Nwindspeed 0.0274
(0.0202)
128Intdriving_128Nwindspeed -7.47e-05
(0.000299)
Time $-0.00181^{**} -0.00174^{*} -0.00186^{**} -0.00201^{**} -0.00182^{*}$
(0.000843) (0.000928) (0.000881) (0.000959) (0.000906)
$128 \text{tests per100k} \qquad 1.24 \text{e}{-05} \qquad 1.42 \text{e}{-05} \qquad 1.49 \text{e}{-05} \qquad 1.89 \text{e}{-05^*} \qquad 1.99 \text{e}{-05^{**}}$
(8.85e-06) (9.17e-06) (9.09e-06) (9.95e-06) (9.68e-06)
Constant 0.234^{**} 0.236^{**} 0.234^{**} 0.248^{**} 0.221^{*}
(0.104) (0.116) (0.108) (0.118) (0.111)
Observations 2.113 2.113 2.113 2.113 2.113
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Number of countries 38 38 38 38 38 38

Table 25: Climatic effects on Covid-19 fatalities: introducing testing

Table 20. Chinatic effects off	Covid-19 fatalit	ies: introducing	g testing (2)		
	(1. MG)	(2. MG)	(3. MG)	(4. MG)	(5. MG)
VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	lldeathpop	deathpop
l1deathpop	0.0604^{*}	0.0557^{**}	0.0453		0.0671^{**}
1 1	(0.0330)	(0.0273)	(0.0308)		(0.0279)
114deathpop	0.00308	0.0305	0.0298	-0.0319	0.0217
I I	(0.0226)	(0.0276)	(0.0279)	(0.0225)	(0.0267)
114casepop	0.00984***	0.00759***	0.00948***	0.0119***	0.00687***
11 Iousepop	(0.00001)	(0.00774)	(0.00290)	(0.00281)	(0.00244)
198Ntemperature	-0.00286	(0.00214)	(0.00250)	(0.00201)	(0.00244)
	(0.00250)				
199Nradiation	(0.00357)	0.00800			
Izontaulation	(0.000182)	(0.00606)			
199Nt	(0.00275)	(0.00000)			
1281 temperature_radiation	-0.00281				
	(0.00262)		0.000105	7.00 05	
128LDdriving		-5.88e-05	-0.000105	-7.28e-05	8.28e-05
		(0.000152)	(8.09e-05)	(8.59e-05)	(9.04e-05)
128Intdriving_128Nradiation		0.000189*			
		(9.87e-05)			
l28Nhumidity			-0.00489		
			(0.00510)		
l28Intdriving_l28Nhumidity			0.000166^{*}		
			(8.77e-05)		
l28Nprecipitation				-0.0107*	
				(0.00588)	
l28Intdriving_l28Nprecipitation				0.000157^{*}	
				(9.29e-05)	
l28Nwindspeed					0.0110^{**}
					(0.00506)
l28Intdriving_l28Nwindspeed					-0.000135
					(9.58e-05)
Time	-7.47e-05	-7.46e-05	5.70e-05	-0.000113	-7.70e-05
	(0.000121)	(0.000106)	(0.000111)	(0.000105)	(8.84e-05)
l28tests_per100k	-2.42e-05***	-2.26e-05***	-2.56e-05***	-2.39e-05***	-2.00e-05***
1	(7.49e-06)	(6.99e-06)	(8.50e-06)	(6.25e-06)	(6.47e-06)
Constant	0.0819***	0.0951***	0.0817***	0.0932***	0.0857***
	(0.0206)	(0.0274)	(0.0218)	(0.0225)	(0.0236)
	(0.0-00)	((0.0-10)	(0.00)	(0.0-00)
Observations	2,747	2,631	2,631	2,631	2,631
Number of countries	42	42	42	42	42

	(1. DFE)	(2. DFE)	(3. DFE)	(4. DFE)	(5. DFE)
VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop
11 1 11	0.040***	0.005***	0.050***	0.000***	0.070***
IIdeathpop	0.248^{***}	0.265^{***}	0.256^{***}	0.286^{***}	0.279^{***}
	(0.0465)	(0.0435)	(0.0471)	(0.0451)	(0.0452)
114deathpop	0.00657	0.0171	0.00228	0.0188	0.0130
	(0.0313)	(0.0293)	(0.0278)	(0.0297)	(0.0280)
l14casepop	0.0186***	0.0190***	0.0193***	0.0193***	0.0189***
	(0.00288)	(0.00298)	(0.00295)	(0.00297)	(0.00286)
l28Ntemperature	-0.0218*				
	(0.0111)				
l28LDdriving	-5.58e-05	3.90e-05	-5.99e-05	-5.61e-06	-8.18e-05
	(0.000107)	(8.41e-05)	(7.41e-05)	(7.35e-05)	(7.29e-05)
l28Intdriving_l28Ntemperature	0.000189				
	(0.000119)				
l28Nradiation	· /	-0.0262***			
		(0.00823)			
l28Intdriving_l28Nradiation		0.000325***			
0		(0.000109)			
l28Nhumidity		,	-0.0123		
U U			(0.00941)		
128Intdriving 128Nhumidity			-3.20e-05		
			(0.000105)		
128Nprecipitation			(0.000100)	0.000769	
				(0.00528)	
128Intdriving 128Nprecipitation				-1 26e-06	
1201110111011g_12010precipitation				(6.21e-05)	
128Nwindspeed				(0.210-00)	0.0151***
12014 willdspeed					(0.0101)
128Intdriving 128Nwindspood					(0.00528) 7.000.05*
1201110111011g_12010willdspeed					(4.100.05)
Time	0 000168***	0.000145**	0.000160***	0.000160***	(4.198-05)
1 mie	(4.240.05)	$(5.80 \circ 05)$	(4.00, 05)	(2,700,05)	(2.650.05)
Constant	(4.34e-05)	(0.000-00)	(4.09e-05)	(3.70e-05)	(3.05e-05)
Constant	-0.00008	-0.00200	-0.000891	-0.00049	(0.00000)
	(0.0129)	(0.00912)	(0.0120)	(0.00909)	(0.00614)
Observations	4 104	4 104	4 104	4 104	4 104
Number of countries	4,104	4,104	4,104	4,104	4,104
	00	00	00	J O	00

Table 27. Clin +: offocts rid 10etii notes from 1st Is α

	(1. DFE)	$\frac{(2. \text{ DFE})}{(2. \text{ DFE})}$	(3. DFE)	(4. DFE)	(5. DFE)
VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop
14.1 (1	0 000***	0 000***	0 005444	0 000***	0 000***
lldeathpop	0.623^{***}	0.620^{***}	0.625^{***}	0.626^{***}	0.622^{***}
11 4 1 4 1	(0.0776)	(0.0772)	(0.0777)	(0.0766)	(0.0776)
114deathpop	0.107^{**}	0.111^{**}	0.106^{**}	0.109^{**}	0.111^{**}
11.4	(0.0424)	(0.0416)	(0.0419)	(0.0417)	(0.0425)
114casepop	0.0109^{**}	0.0105^{**}	0.0111^{**}	0.0112^{**}	0.0111^{**}
100114	(0.00472)	(0.00463)	(0.00479)	(0.00485)	(0.00480)
128Ntemperature	-0.0340^{**}				
	(0.0162)	0.0000000**	0 000005**	0 000009**	0.000000**
128LDdriving	$(0.000695)^{(1)}$	$(0.000626^{-1.0})$	(0.000685^{m})	(0.000693)	(0.000680)
1991 at driving 199Nt open one tune	(0.000280)	(0.000271)	(0.000294)	(0.000292)	(0.000289)
1281ntdriving_1281vtemperature	(0.000233)				
198 Nradiation	(0.000138)	0.0517***			
120111401411011		-0.0517 (0.0167)			
128Intdriving 128Nradiation		0.000485**			
		(0.000483)			
128Nhumidity		(0.000102)	-0.0145		
1201 Humany			(0.0143)		
128Intdriving 128Nhumidity			0.000111		
			(0.000111)		
128Nprecipitation			(0.000111)	0.00779	
				(0.00682)	
128Intdriving 128Nprecipitation				-4.33e-05	
				(7.42e-05)	
128Nwindspeed				(0.0266^{*}
					(0.0142)
l28Intdriving_l28Nwindspeed					-0.000187
0 1					(0.000130)
Time	0.000745^{**}	0.000767***	0.000624^{**}	0.000605^{**}	0.000656**
	(0.000284)	(0.000241)	(0.000266)	(0.000240)	(0.000255)
Constant	-0.103**	-0.0936**	-0.0922*	-0.0922*	-0.0960*
	(0.0500)	(0.0443)	(0.0497)	(0.0476)	(0.0487)
Observations	2 605	2 605	2 605	2 605	2 605
R squared	0,000 0,564	0,000 0,565	0,000 0,569	0,000 0 562	0,000 0,564
Number of countries	0.004 25	0.000	0.000 3K	0.000	0.004
	- 		<u></u>	J J	ออ

Table 28: Climatic effects on Covid-19: estimates only on OECD countries

rasie 20. emmatie encous en es		tees emj en er			
	(1. MG)	(2. MG)	(3. MG)	(4. MG)	(5. MG)
VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop
l1deathpop	0.267^{***}	0.279^{***}	0.272^{***}	0.300^{***}	0.294^{***}
1 1	(0.0487)	(0.0452)	(0.0491)	(0.0468)	(0.0470)
l14deathpop	0.00466	0.0202	0.00828	0.0260	0.0267
ii iacaan pop	(0.0326)	(0.0307)	(0.0302)	(0.0313)	(0.0303)
114casepon	0.0196***	0.0202***	0.0204***	0.0210***	0.0204***
Пешерор	(0.0190)	(0.0202)	(0.0201)	(0.00210)	(0.0201)
128Ntomporaturo	0.0268*	(0.00555)	(0.00333)	(0.00344)	(0.00525)
izoivtemperature	(0.0141)				
1991 Delmissing	(0.0141)	7.06 . 05	7.02 .05	2.94-05	4.27 . 05
128LDdriving	-4.896-05	7.00e-05	-7.03e-03	5.84e-05	-4.37e-03
	(0.000124)	(9.46e-05)	(8.54e-05)	(8.19e-05)	(0.000115)
128Intdriving_128Ntemperature	0.000204				
	(0.000144)				
128Nradiation		-0.0313***			
		(0.00983)			
l28Intdriving_l28Nradiation		0.000400^{***}			
		(0.000132)			
l28Nhumidity			-0.00954		
			(0.0107)		
l28Intdriving_l28Nhumidity			-7.35e-05		
			(0.000109)		
128Nprecipitation			, ,	0.00159	
1 1				(0.00588)	
128Intdriving 128Nprecipitation				-5.14e-06	
				(7.12e-05)	
128Nwindspeed				(0 00)	0.0167^{***}
1201 will aspeed					(0.0107)
128Intdriving 128Nwindspood					0.000113**
1201110111011g_12010windspeed					(5, 420, 05)
Т :	0.000190***	0.000151*	0 000109***	0 000151***	(0.42e-00)
1 ime	(5.000138^{+++})	(0.000151)	0.000182^{+++}	0.000151	0.000160
a	(5.32e-05)	(8.82e-05)	(0.50e-05)	(5.54e-05)	(4.48e-05)
Constant	-0.00893	-0.0143	-0.00498	-0.0134	-0.000483
	(0.0152)	(0.0124)	(0.0143)	(0.0122)	(0.0115)
Observations	$3,\!605$	$3,\!605$	$3,\!605$	$3,\!605$	3,605
Number of countries	35	35	35	35	35

Table 29: Climatic effects on Covid-19: estimates only on OECD countries

	(1. DFE)	(2. DFE)	(3. DFE)	(4. DFE)	(5. DFE)
VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop
lldeathnon	0 623***	0 621***	0 625***	0 626***	0 623***
IIdeathpop	(0.025)	(0.021)	(0.025)	(0.020)	(0.023)
114deathpop	0.106**	0.109^{**}	0.105**	0.108**	0.109**
ii iasaanp op	(0.0420)	(0.0412)	(0.0416)	(0.0413)	(0.0421)
l14casepop	0.0109**	0.0106**	0.0111**	0.0112**	0.0111**
1 1	(0.00470)	(0.00462)	(0.00476)	(0.00482)	(0.00477)
128Ntemperature	-0.0320**		· · · · ·	· · · · ·	× /
-	(0.0149)				
l28LDdriving	0.000656**	0.000582^{**}	0.000644^{**}	0.000643^{**}	0.000639**
-	(0.000269)	(0.000254)	(0.000276)	(0.000273)	(0.000273)
l28Intdriving_l28Ntemperature	0.000251^{*}				
	(0.000129)				
128week_end	-0.00654	-0.00656	-0.00709	-0.00755	-0.00743
	(0.00648)	(0.00617)	(0.00657)	(0.00627)	(0.00619)
128Nradiation		-0.0452^{***}			
		(0.0148)			
l28Intdriving_l28Nradiation		0.000434^{**}			
		(0.000166)			
128Nhumidity			-0.0151		
			(0.0145)		
128Intdriving_128Nhumidity			0.000122		
199N			(0.000108)	0.00650	
128Nprecipitation				(0.00659)	
1991 nt driving 199N presinitation				(0.00024)	
12011turiving_1201vprecipitation				-3.20e-05	
128Nwindspeed				(0.846-03)	0 0230*
1201 windspeed					(0.0239)
128Intdriving 128Nwindspeed					-0.000162
1201110u11VIIIg_1201(Willdspeed					(0.000122)
Time	0.000706**	0.000713***	0.000594^{**}	0.000572**	0.000622**
	(0.000271)	(0.000225)	(0.000255)	(0.000228)	(0.000242)
Constant	-0.0954**	-0.0842**	-0.0849*	-0.0834*	-0.0871*
	(0.0466)	(0.0404)	(0.0463)	(0.0437)	(0.0450)
	. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,
Observations	$3,\!914$	3,914	$3,\!914$	$3,\!914$	$3,\!914$
R-squared	0.564	0.564	0.563	0.563	0.564
Number of countries	38	38	38	38	38

Table 20, Clin taliti .;+h - 1-1.1. DEE œ. -+ c.

VARIABLES deathpop deathpop deathpop deathpop deathpop deathpop 11deathpop 0.240^{***} 0.261^{***} 0.251^{***} 0.281^{***} 0.273^{***} 11deathpop 0.00465 (0.0433) (0.0470) (0.0452) (0.0453) 114deathpop -0.00170 0.0112 -0.00498 0.0127 0.0116 114casepop 0.0191^{***} 0.0199^{***} 0.00293^{**} 0.0200^{***} 0.0203^{***} 0.0200^{***} 128Ntemperature 0.00111 0.00318 (0.00308) (0.00320) $(0.00320)^{**}$ 128Intdriving_128Ntemperature 0.00189 (0.00120) -0.0028^{**} -0.00284^{*} -0.00275^{**} 128Nradiation -0.00163 -0.0028^{***} -0.00284^{*} -0.00275^{**} 128Nrdiation -0.00085 -0.00159 -0.00284^{*} -0.00275^{**} 128Intdriving_128Nradiation 0.000182^{****} (0.00112) $(0.00140)^{*}$ -0.00140^{***} 128Intdriving_128Nwindspeed $(4.82e-$	Table 31. Chinatic ellects o	$\frac{11 \text{ fatanties with}}{(1 \text{ MG})}$	$\frac{11 \text{ week-end dur}}{(2 \text{ MG})}$	(3 MG)	(4 MG)	(5 MG)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	VARIABLES	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop	deathpop
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } & 0.240^{***} & 0.262^{***} & 0.251^{***} & 0.281^{***} & 0.273^{***} \\ & (0.0465) & (0.0434) & (0.0470) & (0.0452) & (0.0453) \\ & (0.0453) & (0.0470) & (0.0452) & (0.0453) \\ & (0.0315) & (0.0297) & (0.0282) & (0.0295) & (0.0293) \\ & (14casepop) & 0.0191^{***} & 0.0199^{***} & 0.0199^{***} & 0.0203^{***} & 0.0203^{***} \\ & (0.00297) & (0.00318) & (0.00308) & (0.00300) & (0.00305) \\ & 128tmemperature & -0.0234^{**} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		1	1	1	1	1 1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	l1deathpop	0.240^{***}	0.262^{***}	0.251^{***}	0.281^{***}	0.273^{***}
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(0.0465)	(0.0434)	(0.0470)	(0.0452)	(0.0453)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	l14deathpop	-0.000170	0.0112	-0.00498	0.0127	0.0116
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(0.0315)	(0.0297)	(0.0282)	(0.0295)	(0.0293)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	l14casepop	0.0191^{***}	0.0199^{***}	0.0199^{***}	0.0203^{***}	0.0200^{***}
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		(0.00297)	(0.00318)	(0.00308)	(0.00320)	(0.00305)
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	l28Ntemperature	-0.0234^{**}				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(0.0111)				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	l28LDdriving	-4.40e-05	3.45e-05	-5.54e-05	-1.52e-05	-0.000112
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(9.21e-05)	(9.65e-05)	(6.44e-05)	(8.18e-05)	(9.12e-05)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$l28 Intdriving_l28 Ntemperature$	0.000189				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(0.000120)				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	l28week_end	-0.00163	-0.00287	-0.00159	-0.00284*	-0.00275^{**}
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(0.00192)	(0.00186)	(0.00171)	(0.00149)	(0.00140)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	l28Nradiation		-0.0209***			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			(0.00671)			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	l28Intdriving_l28Nradiation		0.000325^{***}			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			(0.000117)			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	l28Nhumidity			-0.0113		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				(0.00894)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	l28Intdriving_l28Nhumidity			2.64e-05		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				(0.000112)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	128Nprecipitation				0.000492	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					(0.00499)	
$\begin{array}{c} (6.29e-05) \\ \hline \\ (0.0134^{***} \\ (0.00512) \\ -8.27e-05^{*} \\ (4.86e-05) \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	128Intdriving_128Nprecipitation				9.30e-07	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					(6.29e-05)	0 01 0 1 * * *
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	128Nwindspeed					0.0134^{***}
128Intdriving_128Inwindspeed $-8.27e-05^{*}$ (4.86e-05)Time 0.000154^{***} 0.000142^{**} 0.000141^{***} 0.000159^{***} Constant $(4.82e-05)$ $(7.23e-05)$ $(4.65e-05)$ $(4.13e-05)$ Constant -0.0116 -0.00141 -0.00805 -0.00667 0.00733 (0.0150) (0.0109) (0.0141) (0.0105) (0.00940)	1001 / 1 · · · 100N · 1 1					(0.00512)
Time 0.000154^{***} 0.000142^{**} 0.000141^{***} 0.000159^{***} 0.000170^{***} Constant $(4.82e-05)$ $(7.23e-05)$ $(4.65e-05)$ $(4.13e-05)$ $(4.05e-05)$ Constant -0.0116 -0.00141 -0.00805 -0.00667 0.00733 (0.0150) (0.0109) (0.0141) (0.0105) (0.00940)	128Intdriving_128Nwindspeed					$-8.27e-05^{*}$
Time 0.000134^{+++} 0.000142^{++} 0.000141^{+++} 0.000139^{+++} 0.000170^{+++} Constant $(4.82e-05)$ $(7.23e-05)$ $(4.65e-05)$ $(4.13e-05)$ $(4.05e-05)$ Constant -0.0116 -0.00141 -0.00805 -0.00667 0.00733 (0.0150) (0.0109) (0.0141) (0.0105) (0.00940)	T:	0 00015 4***	0 0001 49**	0 0001 41***	0 000150***	(4.80e-05)
(4.82e-03) $(7.23e-03)$ $(4.03e-03)$ $(4.13e-03)$ $(4.03e-03)$ Constant -0.0116 -0.00141 -0.00805 -0.00667 0.00733 (0.0150) (0.0109) (0.0141) (0.0105) (0.00940)	1 mie	(4.82, 05)	$(7.22 \circ 05)$	$(4.65 \circ 05)$	(4.12, 05)	(4.052.05)
$\begin{array}{cccc} -0.0110 & -0.00141 & -0.00305 & -0.00007 & 0.00735 \\ (0.0150) & (0.0109) & (0.0141) & (0.0105) & (0.00940) \end{array}$	Constant	(4.62e-05)	(7.23e-05)	(4.05e-05)	(4.13e-05)	(4.03e-03)
(0.0150) (0.0109) (0.0141) (0.0105) (0.00940)	Constant	(0.0110)	-0.00141	(0.0141)	-0.00007	(0.00733)
		(0.0100)	(0.0109)	(0.0141)	(0.0105)	(0.00940)
Observations 3.914 3.914 3.914 3.914 3.914 3.914	Observations	3 914	3 914	3 914	3 914	3 914
Number of countries 38 38 38 38 38 38	Number of countries	38	38	38	38	38

Table 31: Climatic effects on fatalities with week-end dummy: MG

7 References

Araujo M.B., Naimi B. (2020). Spread of SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus likely to be constrained by climate, doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.12.20034728

Aviskar Bhoopchand, Andrei Paleyes, Kevin Donkers, Nenad Tomasev, Ulrich Paquet (2020). DELVE Global COVID-19 Dataset.

Bauer R., Diaz-Sanchez D., Jaspers D. (2012). Effects of air pollutants on innate immunity: The role of toll-like receptors and nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-like receptors. The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 129, 14-24.

Baker R.E., Yang W., Vecchi G.A., Metcalf C.J.E., Grenfell B. T. (2020). Susceptible supply limits tué role of climate in the early SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, Science, 10.1126/science.abc2535 (2020).

Baker RE, Mahmud AS, Wagner CE, Yang W, Pitzer VE, Viboud C, Vecchi GA, Metcalf CJE, Grenfell BT. "Epidemic dynamics of respiratory syncytial virus in current and future climates". Nature Commun. 2019 Dec 4;10(1):5512.

Briz-Redón A., Serrano-Aroca A. (2020). A spatio-temporal analysis for exploring the effect of temperature on COVID-19 early evolution in Spain, Science of Total Environment, 728, 1 728August 2020, 138811.

Bukhari Q., Jameel Y. (2020). Will coronavirus pandemic diminish by summer? SSRN working paper 3556998.

Casanova, L. M., Jeon, S., Rutala, W. A., Weber, D. J., Sobsey, M. D. (2010). Effects of air temperature and relative humidity on coronavirus survival on surfaces. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 76, 9, 2712-2717.

Chan, K., Peiris, J., Lam, S., Poon, L., Yuen, K., Seto, W. (2011). The effects of temperature and relative humidity on the viability of the SARS coronavirus. Advances in virology, 2011, Article ID 734690, 7 pages.

Chen, H., Guo, J., Wang, C., Luo, F., Yu, X., Zhang, W., . . . Gong, Q. (2020). Clinical characteristics and intrauterine vertical transmission potential of COVID-19 infection in nine pregnant women: a retrospective review of medical records. The Lancet, 395(10226), 809-815.

Chen B., Liang H., Yuan X., Hu Y., Xu M., Zhao Y., Zhang B., Tian F., Zhu X. (2020), Roles of meteorological conditions in COVID-19 transmission on a worldwide scale. medRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.16.20037168.

Dalziel, B. D., Kissler, S., Gog, J. R., Viboud, C., Bjornstad, O. N., Metcalf, C. J. E., Grenfell, B. T. (2018). Urbanization and humidity shape the intensity of influenza epidemics in U.S. cities. Science, 362(6410), 75-79.

Dell M., Jones, B.F. olken B.A. et al., (2014), The New Climate-Economy literature, Journal of Economic Literature, 52(3), 740–798.

Dbouka T., Drikakis D. (2020), On coughing and airborne droplet transmission to humans featured, Physics of Fluids 32, 053310.

Eberhardt M. (2012), Estimating panel time-series models with heterogeneous slopes, The Stata Journal, 12, Number 1, pp. 61–71.

Ficetola G.F., Rubolini D., Climate affects global patterns of COVID-19 early outbreak dynamics. medRxiv, 2020.03.23.20040501 (2020).

Guo, Z., Wang, Z., Zhang, S., Li, X., Li, L., Li, C....Chen, W. (2020). Aerosol and Surface Distribution of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 in Hospital Wards, Wuhan, China, 2020. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 26(7), 1583-1591.

Hougeven M. J. (2020). Pollen likely seasonal factor in inhibiting flu-like epidemics. A Dutch study into the inverse relation between pollen counts, hay fever and flu-like incidence 2016–2019. Science of the Total Environment, 727, 138543.

Ishmatov A. Influence of weather and seasonal variations in temperature and humidity on supersaturation and enhanced deposition of submicron aerosols in the human respiratory tract, Atmospheric Environment, V. 223, 2020, 117226

N. Islam, S. Shabnam, A. M. Erzurumluoglu, Temperature, humidity, and wind speed are associated with lower Covid-19 incidence. medRxiv, 2020.2003.2027.20045658 (2020).

Jamil, T., Alam, I., Gojobori, T., Duarte, C. M. (2020). No Evidence for Temperature-Dependence of the COVID-19 Epidemic. medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.29.20046706.

Jordà O. (2005), Estimation and Inference of Impulse Responses by Local Projections, American Economic Review, 95 (1): 161-182.

Kampf G., D. Todt, S. Pfaender, Steinmann E. (2020), Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents, Journal of Hospital Infection 104, 246-251

Lamarre, A., Talbot, P. J. (1989). Effect of pH and temperature on the infectivity of human coronavirus 229E. Canadian journal of microbiology, 35, 10, 972-974.

Lauer S., Grantz K.H, Bi Q., Jones F.K., Zheng Q., Meredith H.R., Azman A., Reich G., Lessler J. (2020), The Incubation Period of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) From Publicly Reported Confirmed Cases: Estimation and Application, Annals of Internal Medecine, online 5 may 2020.

Livadiotis G. (2020) Statistical analysis of the impact of environmental temperature on the exponential growth rate of cases infected by COVID-19. PLoS ONE 15(5): e0233875.

Luo W., Maimuna S. Majumder, Liu D., Poirier C., Mandl K.D., Lipsitch M., Santillana M. (2020). The role of absolute humidity on transmission rates of the COVID-19 outbreak

Ma, Y., Zhao, Y., Liu, J., He, X., Wang, B., Fu, S., . . . Luo, B. (2020). Effects of temperature variation and humidity on the death of COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. Science of The Total Environment, 724, 138226.

Manski C.F., Molinari F. (2020), Estimating the COVID-19 infection rate: Anatomy of an inference problem, Journal of Econometrics, online 6 may.

Oliveiros, B., Caramelo, L., Ferreira, N. C., Caramelo, F. (2020). Role of temperature and humidity in the modulation of the doubling time of COVID-19 cases. medRxiv.

Pesaran H., Smith R. (1995) Mean Group estimator title = Estimating long-run relationships from dynamic heterogeneous panels, Journal of Econometrics, 68, 1, 79-113.

Pesaran, M.H., Shin, Y. and Smith, R.P., (1999). Pooled Mean Group Estimation of Dynamic Heterogeneous Panels. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 94, 446, 621-634.

Pesaran H., Zhou Q. (2018), To Pool or Not to Pool: Revisited, Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 80, 2, 185-217.

Price R., Macgregor H., Ramalingam G. (2019). Association between viral seasonality and meteorological factors, Scientific Reports, 9(1):929 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-018-37481

Qi H., Xiao S., ... (2020). COVID-19 transmission in Mainland China is associated with temperature and humidity: A time-series analysis, Science of the Total Environment, 728, 138778.

Sajadi, M. M., Habibzadeh, P., Vintzileos, A., Shokouhi, S., Miralles-Wilhelm, F., Amoroso, A. (2020). Temperature and latitude analysis to predict potential spread and seasonality for COVID-19. Available at SSRN 3550308.

Sahin M. (2020), Impact of weather on COVID-19 pandemic in Turkey, Science of The Total Environment, 728:138810.

Samargandi N., Fidrmuc J. and Ghosh S. (2020), Is the Relationship Between Financial Development and Economic Growth Monotonic? Evidence from a Sample of Middle-Income Countries, World Development, 2015, vol. 68, issue C, 66-81

Shaman J, Kohn M. (2009). Absolute humidity modulates influenza survival, transmission, and seasonality, Proc Natl Acad SciUSA, 106(9):3243–3248.

Soucy J.P., Sturrock S.L., Berry I., Westwood D.J., Daneman N., MacFadden D.R., Brown K.A., Estimating effects of physical distancing on the COVID-19 pandemic using an urban mobility index, medRxiv.

Takagi H., Kuno T., Yokoyama Y., Ueyama H., Matsushiro T., Hari Y. (2020). The higher temperature and ultraviolet, the lower COVID-19 prevalencemetaregression of data from large US cities, American Journal of Infection Control, 2020, 1-4

Tosepu, R., Gunawan, J., Effendy, D. S., Lestari, H., Bahar, H., Asfian, P. (2020). Correlation between weather and Covid-19 pandemic in Jakarta, Indonesia, Science of The Total Environment, 725, 138436.

United Nations (2020), Preventing the Next Pandemic: Zoonotic diseases and how to break the chain of transmission, UN environment program report, online, https://www.unenvironment.org/resources/report/preventing-future-zoonotic-disease-outbreaks-protecting-environment-animals-and

Unwin, H Juliette T and Mishra, Swapnil and Bradley, Valerie C and Gandy, Axel and Mellan, Thomas A and Coupland, Helen and Ish-Horowicz, Jonathan and Vollmer, Michaela Andrea Christine and Whittaker, Charles and Filippi, Sarah L and Xi, Xiaoyue and Monod, Mélodie and Ratmann, Oliver and Hutchinson, Michael and Valka, Fabian and Zhu, Harrison and Hawryluk, Iwona and Milton, Philip and Ainslie, Kylie E C and Baguelin, Marc and Boonyasiri, Adhiratha and Brazeau, Nick F and Cattarino, Lorenzo and Cucunubá, Zulma M and Cuomo-Dannenburg, Gina and Dorigatti, Ilaria and Eales, Oliver D and Eaton, Jeffrey W and van Elsland, Sabinee L and FitzJohn, Richard G and Gaythorpe, Katy A M and Green, William and Hinsley, Wes and Jeffrey, Benjamin and Knock, Edward and Laydon, Daniel J and Lees, John and Nedjati-Gilani, Gemma and Nouvellet, Pierre and Okell, Lucy C and Parag, Kris V and Siveroni, Igor and Thompson, Hayley A and Walker, Patrick and Walters, Caroline E and Watson, Oliver J and Whittles, Lilith K and Ghani, Azra and Ferguson, Neil M and Riley, Steven and Donnelly, Christl A. and Bhatt, Samir and Flaxman, Seth (2020), State-level tracking of COVID-19 in the United States, medRxiv.

Van Doremalen et al. (2020). Aerosol and surface stability of HCoV-19 (SARS-CoV-2) compared to SARS-CoV-1, medRxiv.

Van Doremalen N., Bushmaker T., Munster V.J. (2013), Stability of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) under different environmental conditions, Eurosurveillance, 18 (38).

Wang, M., Jiang, A., Gong, L., Luo, L., Guo, W., Li, C., . . . Zeng, J. (2020). Temperature significant change COVID-19 Transmission in 429 cities, medRxiv.

Wang J., Tang K., Feng K., Lv W. (2020). High Temperature and High Humidity Reduce the Transmission of COVID-19, SSRN Working Paper.

Wilson D. J. (2020), Weather, Social Distancing and the Spread of the Covid-19, FED San Fransisco Working Paper, Working Paper 2020-23.

Xie J. Zhu Y (2020). Association between ambient temperature and COVID-19 infection in 122 cities from China, Science of the Total Environment, 724, 138201.

Xu R., Rahmandad H., Gupta M., DiGennaroC., Ghaffarzadegan N., Amini H., Jalali M.S. (2020), The Modest Impact of Weather and Air Pollution on COVID-19 Transmission, medRxiv.

Yu Wu, Wenzhan Jing, Jue Liu, Qiuyue Ma, Jie Yuan, Yaping Wang, Min Du, Min Liu, Effects of temperature and humidity on the daily new cases and new deaths of COVID-19 in 166 countries, Science of the Total Environment.

Zhu, N., Zhang, D., Wang, W., Li, X., Yang, B., Song, J., . . . Lu, R. (2020). A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, New England Journal of Medicine.

8 List of countries with dates of Covid-19 outbreak take-off

Table 32: List of count	tries with dates of Covid-19 outbreak take-	off
Country	Cases counts	Deaths counts
Australia	25/01/2020 true starting period : $21/02$	01-march
Austria	26-febr	13-march
Belgium	02-febr true starting period 02-march	12-march
Bulgaria	08-march	12-march
Canada	26/01/2020 true starting period $25/02$	10-march
Switzerland	26-febr	06-march
Chile	04-march	23-march
Colombia	07-march	22-march
Cyprus	10-march	25-march
Czechia	02-march	23-march
Germany	28-jan true starting period $26/02$	10-march
Denmark	27-febr	16-march
Spain	01-febr true starting period $25/02$	05-march
Estonia	28-febr	26-march
Finland	30/01/2020 true starting period $27/02$	22-march
France	25/01/2020 true starting period $26/02$	15/02/2020 true starting period $27/0$
United Kingdom	31/01/2020 true starting period $24/02$	07-march
Greece	27-febr	12-march
Croatia	26-febr	25-march
Hungary	05-march	16-march
Ireland	01-march	12-march
Iceland	29-febr	20-march
Israel	22-febr	21-march
Italy	31/01/2020 true starting period $22/02$	23-febr
Japan	15/01/2020 true starting period $24/01$	13/02/2020 debut veritable $27/02$
Republic of Korea	20-jany	21-febr
Lithuania	$\frac{28}{02}$ $\frac{2020}{2020}$ true starting period $\frac{11}{03}$	21-march
Luxembourg	01-march	14-march
Latvia	03-march	04-avr
Mexico	29-febr	21-march
Malta	07-march	09-avr
Netherlands	28-febr	07-march
Norway	27-febr	13-march
New Zealand	28-febr	29/03/2020 true starting period $10/0$
Poland	04-march	13-march
Portugal	03-march	18-march
Romania	27-febr	23-march
Slovakia	07-march	07/04/2020 true starting period 16/0
Slovenia	05-march	18/03/2020 true starting period $25/0$
Sweden	01/02/2020 true starting period $27/02$	12-march
Turkey	12-march	19-march
United States of America	21-jany	01-march
states of filleriou		