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**Supplementary MethodS & RESULTS:**

***Room layout and plate distances***

All experiments took place in a sealed laboratory suite at the Monash University BASE Facility. In order to assess virus dispersion, 13 sampling locations were chosen and marked with masking tape (see Figure S1). At each location a soft agar plate containing *E. coli C* bacterial host were left uncovered a during each experimental condition/time-point to assess the amount of viable virus setting on that surface.
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***Figure S1: Room layout and plate locations.***

Plates 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 12 and 13 were positioned on the Floor. Plate 4 was mounted on a table behind the bedhead at approximately bed height. Plate 5 was mounted on the bed just below were a pillow would rest. Plates 6, 7 and 11 were hanging from the ceiling, oriented perpendicular to the ground at head height. The distance (x, y, z) of each of the plate locations from the bed head is shown in table S1. Aerosolised bacteriophages were emitted from nebuliser which was taped to the bed head (Figure 1, shown in orange). In experiments assessing PAP leak, the leak circuit was mounted on the bedhead with the mask interface/leak point positioned where the bed pillow would be placed (no pillow was actually present).

***Table S1: Distances of plates from nebuliser/leak source***

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Plate** | **X distance (cm)** | **Y distance (cm)** | | **Z distance (cm)** |
| *relative to centre of bed head* | *relative to bed head* | *relative to floor* | *Z = √(x2+y2)* |
| **1** | 127 | -98 | 0 | 160.42 |
| **2** | 127 | -98 | 0 | 160.42 |
| **3** | 249 | -98 | 0 | 267.59 |
| **4** | 30 | -12 | 86 | 32.31 |
| **5** | 61 | -23 | 75 | 65.19 |
| **6** | 98 | 97 | 195 | 137.89 |
| **7** | 214 | 97 | 195 | 234.96 |
| **8** | 83 | -98 | 0 | 128.43 |
| **9** | 191 | -98 | 0 | 214.67 |
| **10** | 212 | -98 | 0 | 233.56 |
| **11** | 212 | 97 | 195 | 233.14 |
| **12** | 272 | -98 | 0 | 289.12 |
| **13** | 374 | -98 | 0 | 386.63 |

***Leak circuit:***

A diagram of the leak circuit is shown in Figure S2 and photographs of the circuit are shown in Figure S3. The circuit comprised a sealed end piece connected to 3x pressure port connectors (Fisher & Paykel, 900HC452) placed in series. These closable pressure ports (6 total) served as ‘leak ports’ in the circuit. Oxygen tubing was connected to each leak port and threaded through the elbow of a PAP mask (Resmed, Quattro) and taped to the edges of the mask. Each tube/port was fixed in place to direct leak towards typical areas of mask leak (corners of the mouth, bridge of the nose, etc). Connected in order from the mask to machine, two T-piece connectors were placed in series, the first connected to the Pari-Pep Nebuliser which served as the aerosol input point. The second T-piece connector (Fisher & Paykel, RT017) was attached to a viral filter (SureGard, RJVKB6, Viral Filtration Efficiency=99.99%, tested against PhiX174) which served as the filtered expiratory vent. The circuit was attached via PAP hosing (Fisher & Paykel, 900HC221) to a pressure source (Phillips-Respironics, Pcrit 3000). The PAP hose and medical air tubing (used to operate the nebuliser) were both fed through sealed port in the wall. The PAP machine and medical air flow meter were both housed outside the room and controlled by an experimenter stationed outside the room.
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***Figure S2: Leak circuit diagram.***
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***Figure S3: Photographs. A.*** *Photographs of leak circuit****. B.*** *Mask with leak tubes.*

***Leak circuit calibration***

In order to test the leak properties of the circuit, a pneumotachograph (Hans Rudolph, model 3700A) was connected in series to the leak circuit (proximal to the leak ports and Pari-pep nebuliser, but distal to the expiratory port), see Figure S4. CPAP flow and the constant flow of medical air (9 L/min) through the nebuliser, was delivered to the circuit. Sequentially opening each of the leak ports generated a cumulative flow increase across the pneumotachograph proportional to the amount of air escaping (leaking) through each port.
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**Figure S4. Leak settings validated using Pneumotachograph.**

A CPAP level of 15.5 cmH2O paired with 9 L/min nebuliser air input produced approximately 7 L/min of leak for each port opening. While pressurised, leak ports in the circuit were sequentially opened and the leak level associated with each was assessed on two separate occasions. The pneumotach and pressure signals were connected to a Power1401-3A amplifier and visualised in Spike2 software (Cambridge Electronic Design, CED). Data are shown in Table S2.

***Table S2. Leak circuit test.***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Leak (L/min)** | | |
| **Number of ports open** | **1st Test** | **2nd Test** | **Mean ± SD** |
| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 |
| 1 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.0 ± 0.04 |
| 2 | 14.3 | 14.5 | 14.4 ± 0.1 |
| 3 | 20.9 | 21.3 | 21.1 ± 0.3 |
| 4 | 27.4 | 28.4 | 27.9 ± 0.7 |
| 5 | 33.5 | 35.6 | 34.6 ± 1.5 |
| 6 | 40.3 | 43.2 | * 1. ± 2.0 |

\*Leak settings used in the experiment 1 are shown in blue

***Patient hood structure:***

The hood structure was constructed out of a tarpaulin sheet with a square shaped hole (shaped to the size of the HEPA filter) cut in the middle (see Figure S5A). The hole was then placed over the HEPA filter as a skirt and taped in place. The tail end of the tarp was tucked under the bed mattress and the front end of the tarp was draped over top part of the bed. A square frame created from PVC piping was placed midway down the bed. The draping tarp was clipped into place over the frame with bulldog clips. Photographs of the front side and rear of the hood are shown in Figure S5B, C & D). Our intent was to use materials that were cheap and easily acquirable, as such the total cost of this structure was < $40 AUD.
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**Figure S5. Hood structure schematic and photographs.**

***Pilot experiment 1. Titrating the bacteriophage concentration:***

It as was assumed that a patient could emit aerosols with 1.0×105 virus copies within a 30-minute period (1). This assumes that the administration of NIV itself does not cause further virus aerosol generation above and beyond what the patient is emitting and noting that patients with seasonal coronavirus have nasal swabs containing, on average, 107 virus copies (1). Given that our method of measurement samples only a portion of rooms surface area/viral spread (Total area settling plates = 0.0064m2 vs total area room = 13.0m2, 0.49%) we performed an experiment designed to assess the concentration of phages in a 10ml sample required to be nebulised in order to be detectable on the plates within the room. To test this 105, 106, 107, 108 and 109/mL (total 10 mL) concentrations were nebulised for 30 minutes (see Figure S6).
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**Figure S6. Pilot experiment 1.** Plaque count shown according the phage concentration. Orange lines represent median and interquartile range. TMTC = too many to count.

Virus settling was assessed across 5 plates (sites: 1, 3, 5, 9, 13). Plaque counts were mostly zero at concentrations of 105, 106 and 107, excepting the closest plate (5) which reliably demonstrated higher plaque counts across all concentrations. Concentrations of 108 and 109 showed much high plaque counts on all plates. 108/mL (10mls for total dose 109) was chosen as the optimal concentration due to having the highest degree of variability in plaque counts across plates.

***Pilot experiment 2. Efficacy of HEPA filter to clear room:***

After determining the optimal phage concentration, a 10ml mixture was nebulised into the room for 30 minutes. Plates were exchanged in two 15-minute intervals and a final 30-minute interval (see Figure S7A). Plaque count were highest during the nebulisation period (0-30) and remained high for up to 60 mins post nebulisation. This experiment was repeated with the HEPA filter placed on the floor in the middle of the room, set to its highest exchange rate (470 m3/hr). The filter was turned on immediately after the nebulisation had completed and the initial plates were exchanged (see Figure S7B). Plaque counts rapidly reduced, reaching near zero plaque count on most plates within 15-30 mins. For all subsequent experiments 30 minutes of HEPA filter was used to clear the room between conditions. In all experiments control plates were then positioned for 10 minutes to ensure adequate clearing had occurred.



**Figure S7. Pilot experiment 2. A.** Phages continue to settle for up to 60 mins post-nebulised**.** Note that data shown in the first 3 time points are plotted in the main document in figure 3. **B.** Air purifier set to 470 m2/hrclears rooms within 30 minutes. Orange lines represent median and interquartile range. TMTC = too many to count.

***Relating observed bacteriophage counts to estimated number of SARS-CoV-2 aerosolised virus particles:***

Recognising that we are nebulizing 108 phages in our experiment but that patients with seasonal coronavirus only generate up to 105 aerosolized virus copies we wanted to adjust the observed plaque counts measured in the leak experiments (see main text, Figure 2) to a real-world clinical scenario. In order to do so, we performed the following calculations. The average total number of phage plaques detected for each leak scenario was calculated (sum of the number of plaques per settling plate, divided by three – as each condition was run three times).

Given that only 0.49% of the total surface area of the room was assess with the settling plates, the average number of plaques was multiplied by 204.08 (100 / 0.49**)** to give the total number of plaques we would expect to have detected had the entire surface area of the room been sampled.

Assuming that a hospitalised patient with COVID-19 aerosolises the same number of virus copies as an ambulant/non-hospitalised patient with seasonal coronavirus, and that NIV does not cause additional aerosolised virus to be created (beyond aerosol that the patient generates themselves) then we can multiply the calculated plaque count by a factor that takes into account the total number of phages nebulised in our experiments vs the total number of virus copies a COVID-19 patient can be expected to emit in 30 min. As such, this gives us an estimate of the pure aerosol spread of SARS-CoV-2 from NIV circuit leak. We nebulised 109 phages, and Leung et al demonstrated up to 105 seasonal coronavirus copies emitted as aerosol (1), indicating that we should adjust our calculated plaque count by 0.0001 (**105 / 108)**. These calculations are summarised below in Table S3.

**Table S3: Adjusted total virus counts**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **0 L/min** | **7 L/min** | **21 L/min** | **28 L/min** | **42 L/min** |
| *Calculate average total plaques measured on plates:* | | | | | |
| **∑ (Plates 1-13)/ 3** | 83 | 155 | 184 | 281 | 330 |
| *Adjust for reduced fraction of surface air sampling:* | | | | | |
| **× 204.08 (100/0.49)** | 17,001 | 31,681 | 37,493 | 57,262 | 67,353 |
| *Adjustment for higher concentration of phages:* | | | | | |
| **× 0.0001 (105 / 108)** | 1.7 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 6.7 |
| *Adjustment for 24 hrs of CPAP usage:* | | | | | |
| **× 48** | 82 | 154 | 182 | 278 | 322 |
| *Adjustment for 72 hrs of CPAP usage:* | | | | | |
| **× 3** | 245 | 461 | 547 | 835 | 965 |
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