## Supplementary Information file 1 Extraction form

Demo-	1	Unique study number	
graphics	2	year	
	3	Week of medical	
	4	Age in completed months	
	5	School status	Less than mandatory school
			age/reception – year 6/ year7-
			11/sixth form - college
	6	Special school	Yes/No
	7	Sex	Male/female
	8	Where does child normally live?	Birth parents/Special Guardian or
			kinship care/Foster care/Residential
			home or school/other
Referral	9	Index case or referred as	Index case/sibling or household group
details		sibling/household group for CP	
		medicals (including sibling inpatient	
		with NAI)	
	10	Who is the allegation against?	Family or household
			contacts/professionals
	11	Source of initial disclosure of potential	School or Early Years staff/social care
		safeguarding incident (who did child	staff /police/family member/ foster
		first tell OR who first saw injury)	carer/medical professional /sibling
			NAI inpatient/other please state
Social	12	Was child referred to social care prior	Yes/No
care		to needing this child protection	
history		medical?	
	13	If yes:	Investigation in progress/support
		Current social care status at time that	from Family support worker /Child in
		concerns were raised which led to this	Need/Child Protection Plan/ Child
		medical.	disability team/referred but
	4.4	Here is the second of the seco	NFA/other – please state
	14	Has child ever been on Child	Yes/No
Outcomes	15	Protection Plan?	Voc/No
Outcomes	15	Are there any physical findings to support NAI or neglect?	Yes/No
	16	If yes:	Bruise/Burn /Abrasion/ Scar
	10	Physical Findings (can choose more	/implement mark/ human bite
		than one, exclude accidental injuries)	mark/laceration/neglect/Other/
	17	Likelihood of non-accidental injury	Injury consistent with NAI/ injury not
	1 /	(NAI)	consistent with NAI or no injury seen
	18	If consistent with NAI: Extent of non-	Yes/No
		accidental injuries	103/110
		Are there NAI on more than one part	
		of the body?	
	19	If consistent with NAI:	Yes/No
		Are there injuries consistent with	
		previous NAI?	
	20	Based on all the evidence in the report	Yes/No
		does the report indicate significant	·
		safeguarding concerns?	If No STOP HERE
	•		•

21	Are these concerns related to other	Yes/No
	factors than NAI?	
22	What are the significant safeguarding	Physical abuse/emotional abuse/
	concerns?	domestic violence/neglect/sexual
	(can choose more than one)	abuse/child exploitation

.

Supplementary Table 2 Full details of demographics, referrals, social care history and outcomes.

	All	2018	2019	2020	р
Sample <i>N</i>	200	78	75	47	
Age in months Median (IQR)	69 (85)	72.5 (76)	70 (109)	55 (77)	.598
Gender N Female (%)	73 (36.5)	32 (41.0)	31 (41.3)	10 (21.3)	.046
School status N (%)					
Pre-school	85 (42.5)	34 (43.6)	30 (40.0)	21 (44.7)	
Primary (Reception – Year 6)	78 (39.0)	32 (41.0)	26 (34.7)	20 (42.6)	
Secondary (Year 7-11)	32 (16.0)	11 (14.1)	16 (21.3)	5 (10.6)	
College / 6 <sup>th</sup> form (Year 12-13)	5 (2.5)	1 (1.3)	3 (4.0)	1 (2.1)	.637
Special school N Yes (%)	9 (4.5)	5 (6.4)	3 (4.0)	1 (2.1)	.516
Where child lives N (%)					
Birth parents	176 (88)	69 (88.5)	65 (86.7)	42 (89.4)	
Special Guardianship or kinship care	12 (6.0)	3 (3.8)	6 (8.0)	3 (6.4)	
Foster care	7 (3.5)	5 (6.4)	2 (2.7)	0 (0.0)	
Residential home or school	3 (1.5)	1 (1.3)	2 (2.7)	0 (0.0)	
Other	2 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.0)	.121
Is child an index case (vs sibling or household contact) N Yes (%)	134 (67)	46 (59.0)	56 (74.7)	32 (68.1)	.117
Allegation against N (%)					
Family or household	189 (94.5)	73 (93.6)	70 (93.3)	46 (97.9)	
Professional	11 (5.5)	5 (6.4)	5 (6.7)	1 (2.1)	.509
Source of referral (who did child disclose abuse to, or who initiated CPME referral) N (%)					
School or Early Years staff	86 (43.9)	36 (47.4)	38 (52.1)	12 (25.5)	
Social care staff	22 (11.2)	10 (13.2)	3 (4.1)	9 (19.1)	
Police	22 (11.2)	11 (14.5)	7 (9.6)	4 (8.5)	
Family member	36 (18.4)	9 (11.8)	17 (23.3)	10 (21.3)	
Medical professional	4 (2.0)	2 (2.6)	2 (2.7)	0 (0.0)	
Foster carer	7 (3.6)	1 (1.3)	2 (2.7)	4 (8.5)	
Sibling current inpatient due to NAI	12 (6.1)	6 (7.9)	1 (1.4)	5 (10.6)	
Other	7 (3.6)	1 (1.3)	3 (4.1)	3 (6.4)	.015
Was child known to social care prior to CPME referral? N Yes (%)	151 (75.9)	58 (74.4)	56 (75.7)	37 (78.7)	.857
Is child an open case to social care now <i>N Yes (%)</i>	106 (53.3)	39 (50.0)	38 (51.4)	29 (61.7)	.409
Has child ever been on CP plan N  Yes (%)	77 (38.7)	26 (33.3)	29 (39.2)	22 (46.8)	.323

Are there physical findings to support NAI or neglect N Yes (%)	98 (51.0)	32 (41.0)	46 (59.0)	27 (57.4)	.071
Physical findings					
Bruise	128	21	25	21	
Burn	36	2	2	2	
Abrasion	29	7	10	7	
Scar	11	3	3	3	
Implement mark	9	6	1	2	
Human bite mark	6	2	1	2	
Laceration	5	1	2	2	
Neglect	19	7	6	6	
Other	4	1	0	3	
Number of types of NAI <i>Median</i> (IQR)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)	1.5 (1)	.277
Likelihood of NAI <i>N Consistent</i> with NAI (%)	89 (46.4)	28 (35.9)	35 (52.2)	26 (55.3)	.053
NAI on more than one part of body N Yes (%)	48 (54.5)	16 (57.1)	18 (52.9)	14 (53.8)	.943
Are there injuries consistent with previous NAI? N Yes (%)	15 (17.2)	4 (14.8)	4 (11.8)	7 (26.9)	.282
Does the report indicate significant safeguarding concerns? N Yes (%)	180 (93.8)	69 (88.5)	64 (95.5)	47 (100)	.014
Are there concerns related to non-NAI safeguarding factors? N Yes (%)	132 (73.3)	52 (75.4)	47 (73.4)	33 (70.2)	.898
Other safeguarding concerns					
Physical abuse	154	59	54	41	
Emotional abuse	34	13	15	6	
Domestic violenc	60	24	25	11	
Neglect	62	25	1	19	
Sexual abuse	4	1	2	1	
Child exploitation	7	3	2	2	.845

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	3
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	3

## Introduction

Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4-5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	5
		Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls	
		Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	n/a
		Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	5-6
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	5 Figures 1 & 2, Table 1
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	5-6
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	6
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	6
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	n/a

(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow- up was addressed	n/a
Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	
Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
( <u>e</u> ) Describe any sensitivity analyses	n/a

## Results

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	7
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	8
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	8
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	n/a
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	n/a
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	n/a
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	7-8 Table 1
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	8-9
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	n/a
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	8
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	8-9
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	9-10
	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	9-10

Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study	12
		and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is	
		based	