

Differential occupational risks to healthcare workers from SARS-CoV-

2: A prospective observational study

Supplementary materials

Supplementary methods

Setting and data collection

OUP has 13,800 employed staff. Testing for symptomatic staff and their household contacts was offered by the hospital's Occupational Health department between days two and four of symptoms.

A voluntary asymptomatic screening programme for staff working anywhere on site commenced on 23rd April 2020. Nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal swabs and blood samples were obtained by specially-trained nurses, medical students and other healthcare professionals.

Appointments were available up to six days a week across all hospitals, with staff required to register details on a bespoke website within the NHS network prior to booking. Data were collected on age, self-reported gender and ethnicity, role, working location and history of symptoms, whether they were patient facing, and whether they had at any time been exposed to a patient with Covid-19 without any PPE. Staff were asked whether they believed they had had Covid-19 already, and whether they had had household or community-based contact with a suspected or confirmed Covid-19 case.

Automated reporting of results was followed-up with a phone call for positive PCR results to distinguish contemporaneous from previous infection (>7 days ago). The former were asked to self-isolate for seven days, and their household contacts for 14 days.

Laboratory assays

RT-PCR was performed at OUH using the PHE SARS-CoV-2 assay (targeting the RdRp gene), or one of two commercial assays: Abbott RealTime (targeting RdRp and N genes; Abbott, Maidenhead, UK) or Altona RealStar (targeting E and S genes; Altona Diagnostics, Liverpool, UK). Samples from 2 days of testing were processed by the UK Lighthouse Labs network (Milton Keynes) using the Thermo Fisher TaqPath assay (targeting S and N genes, and ORF1ab; Thermo Fisher, Abingdon, UK).

Serological investigations were performed by chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay (CMIA) for IgG to nucleocapsid protein on Abbott Architect (Abbott, Maidenhead, UK) with a manufacturer's signal-to-cut-off index of 1.4, and an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) platform developed at the Target Discovery Institute (University of Oxford) detecting IgG to trimeric spike antigen, using net-normalised signal cut-off of 8 million.(1)

Statistical analysis

Univariable and multivariable logistic regression was performed to assess risk factors for infection using a composite end point of 'Covid-19 at any time', based on a positive RT-PCR test or the detection of IgG by ELISA and/or CMIA. Natural cubic splines were used to account for non-linear relationships with continuous variables. Given the number of potential predictors fitted, backwards model selection was undertaken using AIC values. We screened for first-order interactions between main effects using a Wald p-value threshold of <0.01.

Similarly, univariable and multivariable logistic regression, was used to assess associations between 'Covid-19 at any time' and 11 self-reported symptoms prior to testing. As only 11 potential predictors were included in the model variable selection was not undertaken.

Univariable and multivariable linear regression was used to assess the relationship between ward-based Covid-19 patient infectious pressure and the proportion of staff working on a ward with Covid-19. Covid-19 infectious pressure was calculated by considering each patient infectious from -2 to +7

days around the date of their first positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR test. Only staff working in a single ward were included in the analysis.

Analyses were performed using R, version 3.6.3.

References

1. Adams ER, Ainsworth M, Anand R, Andersson MI, Auckland K, Baillie JK, et al. Antibody testing for COVID-19: A report from the National COVID Scientific Advisory Panel. Wellcome Open Res. 2020 Jun 11;5:139.

Supplementary figures




Figure S1. Proportion of staff testing positive by specialty. The number of staff within each speciality tested is shown within each bar. The “Other” group includes staff members without a speciality.




Figure S2. Proportion of staff testing positive by role. The number of staff within each role tested is shown within each bar. PT, physiotherapist; OT, occupational therapist; SALT, speech and language therapist. Consultant represents senior medical staff.




Figure S3. Proportion of staff testing positive by self-described ethnicity.




Figure S4. Relationship between age and Covid-19 infection in hospital staff. Panel A shows a histogram of staff ages for those attending asymptomatic screening. Panel B shows the univariable modelled proportion of staff positive by age.

Supplementary tables

	PPE	Testing
Until 25th February 2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Full length gown, gloves, correctly fitted FFP3 mask and full face visor (level-2 PPE) ▪ Side room isolation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Clinical syndrome with relevant travel history
25th February	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ As above for suspected cases with travel history ▪ For severe community acquired pneumonia without travel history: gown/apron, gloves, and fluid repellent mask (FFP3 for aerosol generating procedures); no need to isolate pending test 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Clinical syndrome with relevant travel history ▪ Severe community acquired pneumonia
8th March	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Fluid resistant surgical masks, gloves, apron and eye protection for symptomatic but unconfirmed inpatients (level-1 PPE) ▪ Full level-2 PPE for confirmed cases and Aerosol Generating Procedures (AGPs). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Clinical syndrome with relevant travel history ▪ Severe community acquired pneumonia
13th March	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Fluid resistant surgical masks, gloves, apron and risk assessment for eye protection for suspected and confirmed Covid-19 inpatients (level-1 PPE) ▪ Surgical masks on entry to Covid-19 cohort wards ▪ Apron, gloves and FFP3 mask on intensive care ▪ FFP3 mask, disposable gown, eye protection and gloves for AGPs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Any respiratory illness requiring admission to hospital and either radiological evidence of pneumonia or ARDS or influenza-like illness with fever >37.8C
14-16th March	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ As above ▪ All suspected Covid-19 patients admitted directly via acute medicine 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Any influenza like illness

	(bypassing the emergency department)	
1st April	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Universal minimum level-1 PPE across all wards ▪ Level-2 PPE for AGPs as above 	
6th April	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ AGPs: gloves, disposable gown, FFP3 mask, eye protection ▪ Working in higher risk area (ICU/High Dependency Unit) with confirmed cases: gloves, apron, gown, FFP3 mask and eye protection ▪ Level-1 PPE elsewhere 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Diagnosis based on either positive swab or 'Covid-19 syndrome' (influenza like illness and compatible radiology and no alternative explanation)
24th April		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Universal admission testing for all patients irrespective of clinical syndrome

Table S1. Local recommendations for PPE and testing, based on contemporaneous national Public Health England guidance.

		Abbott Architect CMIA		
		Detected	Not detected	Not tested
Target Discovery Institute ELISA	Detected	768 (7.8%)	101 (1.0%)	19 (0.2%)
	Not detected	112 (1.1%)	8075 (82.3%)	140 (1.4%)
	Not tested	16 (0.2%)	225 (2.3%)	353 (3.6%)

Supplementary table S2. Comparison of serology results by two methods, the Abbott Architect i2000 and Target Discovery Institute ELISA. Results shown for 9809 staff who were tested by serology or PCR with table percentages.

Variable group	Variables				Descriptive			Univariable				Multivariable			
	Variable	Number of staff with this exposure	Number of positive staff with this exposure	Percentage of exposed staff positive	Number of staff without this exposure	Number of positive staff without this exposure	Percentage of unexposed staff positive	Odds ratio	Lower 95%CI	Upper 95% CI	p-value	Odds ratio	Lower 95%CI	Upper 95% CI	p-value
Work in Covid-19 patient area	No	7193	601	8.40%				1				1			
	Yes	1553	346	22.30%				3.14	2.72	3.64	<0.001	2.49	2	3.12	<0.001
	Work everywhere	1063	136	12.80%				1.61	1.32	1.96	<0.001	1.43	1.07	1.91	0.015
Speciality	Other or none	4260	367	8.60%				1				1			
	Anaesthetics	240	16	6.70%				0.76	0.45	1.27	0.294	0.73	0.41	1.3	0.28
	Emergency Medicine	340	41	12.10%				1.45	1.03	2.05	0.033	1.08	0.7	1.66	0.72
	General Surgery, Urology, Plastics, Vascular, Cardiothoracic Surgery	511	60	11.70%				1.41	1.06	1.89	0.02	0.92	0.63	1.35	0.674
	Haematology, Oncology	330	52	15.80%				1.98	1.45	2.72	<0.001	1.97	1.32	2.93	0.001
	Infectious Diseases, Respiratory	210	27	12.90%				1.57	1.03	2.38	0.036	0.79	0.47	1.32	0.368
	Intensive Care Medicine	434	43	9.90%				1.17	0.84	1.63	0.364	0.46	0.29	0.72	0.001
	Medicine	775	212	27.40%				3.99	3.3	4.83	<0.001	1.5	1.05	2.15	0.027
	Obstetrics and Gynaecology	239	15	6.30%				0.71	0.42	1.21	0.209	0.69	0.38	1.24	0.214

Variable group	Variables			Descriptive			Univariable				Multivariable				
	Variable	Number of staff with this exposure	Number of positive staff with this exposure	Percentage of exposed staff positive	Number of staff without this exposure	Number of positive staff without this exposure	Percentage of unexposed staff positive	Odds ratio	Lower 95%CI	Upper 95% CI	p-value	Odds ratio	Lower 95%CI	Upper 95% CI	p-value
	Ophthalmology, Ear, nose and throat surgery, Maxillofacial surgery	164	11	6.70%				0.76	0.41	1.42	0.393	0.79	0.41	1.55	0.5
	Paediatrics	569	40	7.00%				0.8	0.57	1.13	0.202	0.78	0.51	1.18	0.237
	Radiology	367	36	9.80%				1.15	0.8	1.65	0.437	1.01	0.61	1.67	0.972
	Specialist Medicine	1056	111	10.50%				1.25	1	1.56	0.054	0.87	0.62	1.2	0.39
	Trauma and Orthopaedics, Rheumatology	314	52	16.60%				2.11	1.53	2.89	<0.001	1.81	1.21	2.69	0.004
Role	Administrative Staff	1196	86	7.20%				1				1			
	Biomedical scientist and laboratory staff	344	27	7.80%				1.1	0.7	1.72	0.68	1.06	0.67	1.66	0.812
	Consultant	692	54	7.80%				1.09	0.77	1.56	0.624	0.81	0.52	1.26	0.347
	Junior Doctor	820	104	12.70%				1.87	1.39	2.53	<0.001	1.01	0.67	1.51	0.974
	Nurse	3886	543	14.00%				2.1	1.65	2.66	<0.001	1.31	0.93	1.84	0.123
	Other	1415	100	7.10%				0.98	0.73	1.32	0.903	0.82	0.6	1.11	0.19
	Other allied health professional	605	41	6.80%				0.94	0.64	1.38	0.746	0.76	0.48	1.22	0.261
	Porter, Domestic cleaner	323	58	18.00%				2.82	1.97	4.04	<0.001	1.93	1.25	2.97	0.003

Variable group	Variables				Descriptive			Univariable				Multivariable			
	Variable	Number of staff with this exposure	Number of positive staff with this exposure	Percentage of exposed staff positive	Number of staff without this exposure	Number of positive staff without this exposure	Percentage of unexposed staff positive	Odds ratio	Lower 95%CI	Upper 95% CI	p-value	Odds ratio	Lower 95%CI	Upper 95% CI	p-value
	Physiotherapist, Occupational therapist, Speech and Language therapist	307	45	14.70%				2.22	1.51	3.26	<0.001	1.52	0.95	2.42	0.08
	Security, Estates, Catering	221	25	11.30%				1.65	1.03	2.64	0.038	1.35	0.83	2.2	0.219
Ethnicity	White	7064	654	9.30%				1				1			
	Asian	1649	279	16.90%				2	1.71	2.32	<0.001	1.58	1.34	1.86	<0.001
	Black	381	65	17.10%				2.02	1.53	2.66	<0.001	1.61	1.2	2.16	0.001
	Chinese	91	7	7.70%				0.82	0.38	1.77	0.609	0.81	0.36	1.8	0.596
	Mixed	235	25	10.60%				1.17	0.76	1.78	0.474	1.15	0.75	1.78	0.517
	Not stated	144	16	11.10%				1.23	0.72	2.07	0.449	1.11	0.65	1.92	0.697
	Other	245	37	15.10%				1.74	1.22	2.5	0.002	1.28	0.88	1.87	0.194
Gender	Female	7284	779	10.70%											
	Male	2506	301	12.00%				1.14	0.99	1.31	0.07				
	Prefer not to say	14	2	14.30%				1.39	0.31	6.23	0.666				
	Trans	5	1	20.00%				2.09	0.23	18.7	0.511				
Community exposures	Contact with a known Covid-19 case outside work	329	79	24.00%	9478	1002	10.60%	2.67	2.06	3.47	<0.001				
	Household contact with a known Covid-19 case	170	64	37.60%	9637	1017	10.60%	5.12	3.73	7.03	<0.001	4.63	3.3	6.5	<0.001

Variable group	Variables			Descriptive			Univariable				Multivariable				
	Variable	Number of staff with this exposure	Number of positive staff with this exposure	Percentage of exposed staff positive	Number of staff without this exposure	Number of positive staff without this exposure	Percentage of unexposed staff positive	Odds ratio	Lower 95%CI	Upper 95% CI	p-value	Odds ratio	Lower 95%CI	Upper 95% CI	p-value
	Contact with a possible Covid-19 case outside work	820	117	14.30%	8987	964	10.70%	1.39	1.13	1.7	0.002				
	Household contact with a possible Covid-19 case	551	89	16.20%	9256	992	10.70%	1.6	1.27	2.03	<0.001	1.79	1.4	2.3	<0.001
	Live with another healthcare worker	2449	325	13.30%	7358	756	10.30%	1.34	1.16	1.54	<0.001				
Healthcare exposure	Healthcare exposure to known or suspected Covid-19 without PPE	2119	354	16.70%	7688	727	9.50%	1.92	1.67	2.2	<0.001	1.44	1.24	1.68	<0.001
		Median - positive staff	IQR - positive staff		Median - positive staff	IQR - positive staff									
Age, per 10 years		39	29-49		40	30-50		0.95	0.9	1	0.045				

Supplementary Table S3. Univariable (panel A) and multivariable (panel B) relationships between risk factors and staff infection with SARS-CoV-2.

Pairwise interactions were sought between all variables the multivariable model, a single interaction exceeded the p<0.01 screening threshold, representing

decreased risk of Covid-19 in Emergency Department staff reporting exposure to a Covid-19 without PPE ($p=0.002$). However, given the large number of interactions sought and biological implausibility the interaction was omitted from the model presented. Consultants are senior doctors.

		Coefficient, % (95% CI)	p value
Intercept		32.9 (22.6, 43.2)	<0.001
Covid-19 pressure, per 1% increase		0.4 (-0.9, 1.7)	0.52
Clinical area	Covid-19 general ward	0.0	
	Non Covid-19 area	-22.2 (-33, -11.4)	<0.001
	Covid-19 cohort ward	-14.9 (-37.4, 7.7)	0.19
	Covid-19 HDU/ICU	-28.0 (-45.1, -10.8)	0.002

Supplementary Table S4. Multivariable linear regression, relationship between the percentage of staff with Covid-19 and ward-based Covid-19 pressure and ward type. See Figure 4B for data fitted. Covid-19 cohort wards admitted only patients with suspected or known Covid-19, whereas Covid-19 general wards were acute medical wards receiving new admissions and acute medical patients initially believed not to have Covid-19. Non Covid-19 areas did not admit suspected Covid-19 patients and any suspected or confirmed Covid-19 patients were transferred off these wards as soon as possible.

Speciality	Total	Number reporting exposure to a patient with known or suspected Covid-19 without PPE	%
Medicine	775	325	42%
Intensive Care Medicine	434	165	38%
General Surgery, Urology, Plastic, Vascular, Cardiothoracic Surgery	511	170	33%
Trauma and Orthopaedics, Rheumatology	314	102	32%
Specialist Medicine	1056	316	30%
Emergency Medicine	340	92	27%
Anaesthetics	240	60	25%
Infectious Diseases/Respiratory	210	52	25%
Radiology	367	83	23%
Haematology/Oncology	330	74	22%
Paediatrics	569	88	15%
Obstetrics and Gynaecology	239	33	14%
Other	4260	539	13%
Ophthalmology, Ear nose and throat, Maxillofacial surgery	164	20	12%

Supplementary Table S5. Rates of self-reported exposure without PPE by staff speciality.