
**Appendix 4. Figure 3.** Risk of bias summary: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item for each included study.



## **Appendix 4. Figure 4.** Analysis with studies rated at high risk of bias in more than 2 domains excluded

	Face m	ask	Contr	ol		<b>Risk Difference</b>	Risk Dif	ference	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Rando	om, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
1.1.1 Face mask vs (	control								
Barasheed 2014	56	75	11	89	16.4%	0.62 [0.50, 0.74]			??+?++?
Cowling 2008	24	87	24	287	0.0%	0.19 [0.09, 0.29]			
Jacobs 2009	14	17	0	15	0.0%	0.82 [0.62, 1.03]			?? <b></b> ??
MacIntyre 2015	328	580	170	458	16.8%	0.19 [0.13, 0.25]		+	
Buess 2012 Subtotal (95% CI)	48	96 <b>751</b>	0	61 608	16.5% <b>49.7%</b>	0.50 [0.40, 0.60] 0.44 [0.15, 0.72]		-	
Fotal events	432		181					-	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.06; Chi	<sup>2</sup> = 57.1	0. df = 2	(P < 0.)	00001); P	= 96%			
Test for overall effect	•		•						
1.1.2 Face mask plu	s handwa	shing v	s control	I					
Cowling 2009	108	341	34	370	16.8%	0.22 [0.17, 0.28]		+	••••?•?•
Simmerman 2011	289	302	65	370	16.9%	0.78 [0.74, 0.83]		+	$\bullet ? \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet ?$
Suess 2012 Subtotal (95% CI)	39	94 737	0	61 <b>801</b>	16.5% <mark>50.3%</mark>	0.41 [0.31, 0.52] 0.47 [0.07, 0.88]		$\overset{+}{\checkmark}$	$\bullet \bullet ? \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$
Fotal events	436		99						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.13; Chi	<b>2</b> = 246	.24, df = 3	2 (P < C	.00001);	I²= 99%			
Fest for overall effect	: Z = 2.31 (	P = 0.0	2)						
Fotal (95% CI)		1488		1409	100.0%	0.46 [0.20, 0.71]		-	
Total events	868		280						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.10; Chi	<b>²</b> = 381	.81, df = 6	5 (P < C	.00001);	l² = 99%	-1 -0.5 0	0.5 1	
Fest for overall effect	Z = 3.55 (	P = 0.0	004)				1 0.0 0	Favours face mas	k
Fest for subgroup dif	ferences: •	Chi²=0	).02, df=	1 (P = I	0.88), I <b>²</b> =	0%	1 avours conditi	Tavours lace mass	N.
Risk of bias legend									
A) Random sequen	ce generat	tion (se	lection bi	as)					
B) Allocation concea	ilment (sel	ection	bias)						
(C) Blinding of partici					ce bias)				
D) Blinding of outcor	me assess	sment (	detection	i bias)					
E) In complete outco	ma data /a	Heiti o m	hine)						

**Appendix 4. Figure 5.** Analysis with studies sub-grouped by setting (community setting, hospital setting)

(E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) (F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

(G) Other bias

	Face m		Contr			Risk Difference	Risk Difference	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup		Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
3.1.1 Community set								
Barasheed 2014	56	75	11	89	14.2%	0.62 [0.50, 0.74]		<b>? ? + ? + + ?</b>
Cowling 2008	24	87	24	287	14.3%	0.19 [0.09, 0.29]		
Cowling 2009	108	341	34	370	14.6%	0.22 [0.17, 0.28]	-	
Simmerman 2011	289	302	65	370	14.6%	0.78 [0.74, 0.83]	+	
Suess 2012 Subtotal (95% CI)	87	190 <b>995</b>	0	120 1236	14.5% <b>72.2%</b>	0.46 [0.39, 0.53] 0.46 [0.19, 0.72]	-	
Total events	564		134					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.09; Chi <sup>a</sup>	<sup>2</sup> = 294.	65, df = 4	4 (P < 0	.00001);1	²= 99%		
Test for overall effect:	: Z = 3.34 (	P = 0.00	008)					
3.1.2 Hospital setting	g							
Jacobs 2009	14	17	0	15	13.2%	0.82 [0.62, 1.03]		→ ??●●•●?
MacIntyre 2015	328	580	170	458	14.6%	0.19 [0.13, 0.25]	-	
Subtotal (95% CI)		597		473	27.8%	0.50 [-0.13, 1.13]		
Total events	342		170					
	- 0.20° Chë	<sup>2</sup> = 35 5	3. df = 1	(P < 0.0	00001); P	= 97%		
Heterogeneity: Tau² =	- 0.20, 010							
	•		•					
Heterogeneity: Tau² = Test for overall effect: Total (95% CI)	•		•	1709	100.0%	0.47 [0.23, 0.70]	•	
Test for overall effect:	•	P = 0.12	•	1709	100.0%	0.47 [0.23, 0.70]	•	
Test for overall effect: Total (95% CI)	: Z = 1.57 () 906	P = 0.12 1592	2) 304				+	-1
Test for overall effect: Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	: Z = 1.57 () 906 = 0.10; Chi <sup>a</sup>	P = 0.12 <b>1592</b> <sup>2</sup> = 424.	2) 304 77, df = 6				-1 -0.5 0 0.5	
Test for overall effect: Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect:	: Z = 1.57 () 906 = 0.10; Chi <sup>a</sup> : Z = 3.95 ()	P = 0.12 <b>1592</b> <sup>2</sup> = 424. P < 0.00	2) 304 77, df = 6 301)	6 (P ≺ 0	.00001);	²= 99%	-1 -0.5 0 0.5 Favours control Favours face m	
Test for overall effect: Total (95% CI) Total events	: Z = 1.57 () 906 = 0.10; Chi <sup>a</sup> : Z = 3.95 ()	P = 0.12 <b>1592</b> <sup>2</sup> = 424. P < 0.00	2) 304 77, df = 6 301)	6 (P ≺ 0	.00001);	²= 99%	1 0.0 0 0.0	
Test for overall effect: Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect Test for subgroup dif <u>Risk of bias legend</u>	: Z = 1.57 () 906 = 0.10; Chi <sup>a</sup> : Z = 3.95 () fferences: (	P = 0.12 <b>1592</b> <sup>2</sup> = 424. P < 0.00 Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0	2) 304 77, df = 8 001) .02, df =	δ (P < 0 1 (P = (	.00001);	²= 99%	1 0.0 0 0.0	
Test for overall effect: Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect; Test for subgroup dif <u>Risk of bias legend</u> (A) Random seguend	: Z = 1.57 () 906 = 0.10; Chi <sup>a</sup> : Z = 3.95 () fferences: ( ce generat	P = 0.12 <b>1592</b> <sup>2</sup> = 424. P < 0.00 Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0 tion (sel	304 77, df = ( 001) .02, df =	δ (P < 0 1 (P = (	.00001);	²= 99%	1 0.0 0 0.0	
Test for overall effect: Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect Test for subgroup dif <u>Risk of bias legend</u>	: Z = 1.57 () 906 = 0.10; Chi <sup>a</sup> : Z = 3.95 () fferences: ( ce generat alment (sel	P = 0.12 <b>1592</b> <sup>2</sup> = 424. P < 0.00 Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0 tion (sel lection t	304 77, df = ( 001) .02, df = ection bi bias)	მ (P ≺ 0 1 (P = ( as)	.00001); ).90), I² =	²= 99%	1 0.0 0 0.0	
Test for overall effect: Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup dif <u>Risk of bias legend</u> (A) Random sequen (B) Allocation concea	: Z = 1.57 () 906 = 0.10; Chi <sup>2</sup> : Z = 3.95 () fferences: ( ce generat alment (sel ipants and	P = 0.12 <b>1592</b> <sup>2</sup> = 424. P < 0.00 Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0 ion (sel lection t person	304 77, df = 6 001) .02, df = ection bi bias) nel (perf	6 (P < 0 1 (P = ( ias) ormane	.00001); ).90), I² =	²= 99%	1 0.0 0 0.0	
Test for overall effect: Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>#</sup> = Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup dif <u>Risk of bias legend</u> (A) Random sequend (B) Allocation concea (C) Blinding of partici	: Z = 1.57 () 906 = 0.10; Chi <sup>2</sup> : Z = 3.95 () fferences: ( ce generat alment (sel ipants and me assess	P = 0.12 <b>1592</b> <sup>2</sup> = 424. P < 0.00 Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0 chi <sup>2</sup> = 0 lion (sel lection t person sment (i	304 77, df = 6 001) .02, df = ection bi oias) nel (perf detectior	6 (P < 0 1 (P = ( ias) ormane	.00001); ).90), I² =	²= 99%	1 0.0 0 0.0	
Test for overall effect: Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup dif <u>Risk of bias legend</u> (A) Random sequend (B) Allocation concea (C) Blinding of partici (D) Blinding of outcor	: Z = 1.57 () 906 = 0.10; Chi <sup>2</sup> : Z = 3.95 () fferences: ( ce generat alment (sel ipants and me assess me data (a	P = 0.12 <b>1592</b> <sup>2</sup> = 424. P < 0.00 Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0 tion (sel fection t person sment ( ttrition t	304 77, df = 6 001) .02, df = ection bi oias) nel (perf detectior	6 (P < 0 1 (P = ( ias) ormane	.00001); ).90), I² =	²= 99%	1 0.0 0 0.0	