**Material and Methods**

**Food consumption:** We tried to verify the relationship between 20 food supply and Total Cases of covid19. The methods include pair-wise correlation with Proc Corr, Stepwise Regression with Proc Reg, LASSO regression with GLMSELECT Proc, Principal Component Analysis with Proc Princomp, and Bayes analysis with Proc Genmod (SAS). Food supply was quantity (kg/capita/yr.). Fourteen foods of animal origin and six foods of plant origin were selected. The primary purpose of the study was to find animal origin foods relationship with TC, but some plant origin foods were chosen to compare.

We started our analyses first time on March 2020. Then we repeated it several times with updated COVID\_19 data on April, May, and June. Finally we presented the results of June’ data. Data were taken from:

COVID\_19 (36)

<https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/> 1 June 2020

Live Animal production data (37):

 <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QA>

Food Supply - Crops Primary Equivalent (38):

<http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/CC>

Food Supply - Livestock and Fish Primary Equivalent (39): <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/CL>

We provide the SAS code for an application of the used statistical methods (40). We used base-e logs, also known as natural logs (LOG in SAS) for the transformation of TC and independent variables. In all analyses, the accuracy of the model with non-transformed data was very low. So, all variables has been transformed to normalize the distributions. With transformation of data, the accuracy of the model increased to an acceptable level. In PCA, as a nonparametric method, we utilized non-transformed data, in which the variables are treated equally, i.e., that is, there are no dependent variables.

In comparison of models, Lasso's results are more reliable than stepwise regression and Bayes, because it’s AIC was smaller and had higher accuracy as R-square (Table S1).

Bayesian Analysis: Visual examination of the trace plot displays proper mixing, for all independent variables (fig S8). The P-value in the Geweke Diagnostics table showed that the mean estimate of the Markov chain is stable over time. The positive probability that B1 greater than 0 is estimated.

Although the probability of transmitting the virus through wine is zero, we deliberately kept it in the initial model of our analysis. Results showed wine is eliminated among significant variables of the suited model (Table 2). So we concluded that just the energy content of food should not keep a variable in the fitted model. So, in addition to energy content, there must be something else in food-related COVID-19. The high-fat tissue in the body affects on the pathogenesis of COVID-19 (41). We concentrate FB transmission of COVID-19 rather than the immunity response.

**Animal and crop production:** We utilized the GLMSLECT procedure of SAS 9.2 with the method of least absolute shrinkage and selector operator (LASSO). The dependent variable was TC, and independent variables were beehives, cattle, chicken, pigs, goats, turkeys, horse, rabbit, maize, barley, and apples. Maize, Barley, apples were deliberately kept in a multi-variable model, to test whether plant material production remains in the model compared to livestock production. We used the GENMOD procedure of the SAS using the BAYES statement to obtain Bayesian analysis. Spearman and Pearson correlation between stocks and TC calculated. Analyzes were performed with log-transformed data. Due to the higher accuracy of the transformed data, their results were used.

References that guide us to construct our hypothesis about the essential relationship between COVID-19 and AOF include (42-45)

Table S1 : Adjusted R-square and AIC of fitted models for food consumption.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Stepwise** | **LASSO** | **BAYES** |
| **R-Square** | 0.48 | 0.52 | - |
| **Adj-R\_Square** | 0.47 | 0.48 | - |
| **AIC** | - | 301 | 551 |
| **N#** | 131 | 131 | 131 |

Table S2 Geweke diagnostics from Genmode analysis. Pr > z shows convergence for all variables.

-----------------------------------

Parameter z Pr > |z|

Intercept 0.2734 0.7845

logpig 0.6475 0.5173

logbov -0.2165 0.8286

logche -0.7437 0.4571

logcre -0.9484 0.3429

logegg -1.5173 0.1292

logfreshf 0.4354 0.6633

loghon 0.7984 0.4246

logmilk -0.0434 0.9654

logpoul 0.9524 0.3409

logdemer -0.9509 0.3416

logceph 0.7935 0.4275

logbutg 1.8980 0.0577

logmarf -0.4608 0.6449

logaqp 0.5800 0.5619

logbev -1.1802 0.2379

logrice -0.9809 0.3266

logpotato -1.0137 0.3107

logmaiz -0.3024 0.7624

logwheat 0.5066 0.6124

logwine -0.0076 0.9940

Scale 0.0274 0.9781

--------------------------------------

 

Supplementary Figure. S1 Histogram of variables of Total cases before and after logarithmic transformation

   

   

Supplementary Figure S2 Histogram of some independent variables before and after logarithmic transformation. First row, From Left to right: cream, log cream, pigs, logpigs. Second row: Bovine meat, log bovin meat, eggs, log of eggs.

  

Supplementary Figure. S3 Diagnostics panel : Fit diagnostics and residual by regressors, Multivariate regression with stepwise selection of variables.

 

 Supplementary Figure S4 Other Criteria Suggest Stopping the Selection (GLMSELECT proc of SAS). Progression of squared errors (left) and Fit criteria of LASSO analysis.



Supplementary Figure S5 shows the standardized coefficients of all the effects that are selected at some step of the elastic net method, plotted as a function of the step number of LASSO analysis. The GLMSELECT Proc of SAS.



Supplementary Figure. S6 scree plot and percentage of variance explained by principal componentsofPCA analysis.

  

Supplementary Figure. S7 Results of PROC PRINCOMP, includes 1 by 3 and 2 by 3 component pattern plots. Principal component analysis results showed that AOF are generally closer to TC in the comparison with other studied foods.

  

 

   

   

   

 

Supplementary Figure. S8 Bayesian Analysis: Visual examination of the trace plot displays proper mixing, for all independent variables.
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