
Publication bias


Funnel Plot of Standard Error by Logit event rate



Supplementary Figure 1. The funnel plot for publication bias

Sensitivity analysis for hydroxychloroquine regimen effectiveness

Risk Ratio



Risk Difference

Study name			Statistics	for each stu	idy			Events	/ Total		Risk difference and 95% CI			
	Risk difference	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value	Group-A	Group-B					
Zhaowei Chen	0.258	0.114	0.013	0.034	0.482	2.261	0.024	25 / 31	17 / 31	Ĩ	1	I 	-	ľ
Chen Jun	-0.067	0.109	0.012	-0.280	0.147	-0.612	0.540	13 / 15	14 / 15			_		
Joseph Magagnoli	-0.164	0.052	0.003	-0.266	-0.062	-3.159	0.002	70 / 97	140 / 158			■		
Wei Tang	0.045	0.060	0.004	-0.074	0.163	0.739	0.460	60 / 70	65 / 80		- 1			
	0.004	0.085	0.007	-0.164	0.171	0.041	0.967							- 1
										-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00
Random effe	ct model													
Heterogeneit	$y: I^2 = 79.3$	88% , $T^2 = 0$.	.02, z-vlau	e = 0.04	p-value =	= 0.00, df	= 3				Favours A		Favours B	

Group-A: Hydroxychlorouqine


Group-B: Control

Supplementary Figure 2. Sensitivity analysis No.1 for hydroxychloroquine regimen effectiveness

Risk Ratio

Study name		Stat	istics for ea	ch study		Events	s / Total	Risk ratio and 95% CI				
	Risk ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value	Group-A	Group-B					
Zhaowei Chen	1.471	1.023	2.114	2.082	0.037	25 / 31	17/31	T	1	-	1	- 1
Philippe Gautret (B)	4.571	1.158	18.053	2.169	0.030	8 / 14	2/16				-	
Chen Jun	0.929	0.730	1.181	-0.605	0.545	13 / 15	14 / 15					
Wei Tang	1.055	0.915	1.216	0.737	0.461	60 / 70	65 / 80					
	1.151	0.887	1.494	1.058	0.290							
Random effect mod	el							0.01	0.1	1	10	100
Heterogeneity : I² =		$T^2 = 0.03, z$	-vlaue = 1.	05, p-value	= 0.03, df =	= 4		0.01	V.1			10.
									Favours A		Favours B	

Risk Difference



Group-A: Hydroxychlorouqine

Group-B : Control

 $Supplementary\ Figure\ 3.\ Sensitivity\ analysis\ No. 2\ for\ hydroxychloroquine\ regimen\ effectiveness$

Risk Ratio

Study name		Stat	istics for ea	ch study		Events	/ Total		Risk ratio and 95% CI			
	Risk ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value	Group-A	Group-B					
Zhaowei Chen	1.471	1.023	2.114	2.082	0.037	25 / 31	17 / 31	ſ	1			1
Chen Jun	0.929	0.730	1.181	-0.605	0.545	13 / 15	14 / 15					
Wei Tang	1.055	0.915	1.216	0.737	0.461	60 / 70	65 / 80					
	1.082	0.888	1.319	0.781	0.435					•		
								0.01	0.1	1	10	100
Random effec	t model											
Heterogeneity	$ 1^2 = 53$	$.43\%, \tau^2 = 0$	0.01, z-valı	ie = 0.78, p	-value = 0.1	1, df = 2			Favours A		Favours B	


Risk Difference

Study name			Statistics	for each stu	dy		Events / Total				Risk difference and 95% CI			
	Risk difference	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value	Group-A	Group-B					
Zhaowei Chen	0.258	0.114	0.013	0.034	0.482	2.261	0.024	25 / 31	17 / 31	Ĩ	ĺ	1—1	■ —I	1
Chen Jun	-0.067	0.109	0.012	-0.280	0.147	-0.612	0.540	13 / 15	14 / 15		_	_		- 1
Wei Tang	0.045	0.060	0.004	-0.074	0.163	0.739	0.460	60 / 70	65 / 80			-		
	0.071	0.079	0.006	-0.085	0.226	0.888	0.375			I	l,	-	l l	_]
										-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00


Group-A: Hydroxychloroquine Group-B: Control

Supplementary Figure 4. Sensitivity analysis No.3 for hydroxychloroquine regimen effectiveness

Sensitivity analysis for hydroxychloroquine regimen mortality



Supplementary Figure 5. Sensitivity analysis No.1 for hydroxychloroquine regimen mortality



HCQ : Hydroxychloroquine AZM : Azithromycin