

Quantitative studies

<i>Study</i>	<i>Disease</i>	<i>Country</i>	<i>Design</i>	<i>Participants</i>	<i>Measures</i>	<i>Key Findings</i>
Chung and Yeung, 2020	COVID-19	Hong Kong	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Healthcare staff (N=69)	Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9)	The mean PHQ-9 score was 7.6, with 24 (34.8%) respondents having a score of ≥ 10 (the cut-off for mild depression) and 10 (14.5%) respondents having a score of ≥ 15 (the cut-off for moderate depression).
Dai et al, 2020	COVID-19	China	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Healthcare workers (N=4357)	Bespoke questions about COVID-19 General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12) using 0-0-1-1 scoring method	32.2% of sample involved in frontline treatment of COVID-19 patients. The main concerns of workers were: infection of colleagues (72.5%), infection of family members (63.9%), protective measures (52.3%) and medical violence (48.5%). Only 34.7% of HCWs expressed very worried about the risk of self-infection. Of the 4,357 HCWs, 1,704 (39.1%) had psychological distress (GHQ score above 3). Being female, being in frontline treatment, being in isolation, not volunteering, and having colleagues or family infected predicted higher psychological distress. Job role did not predict distress.
Huang, J. et al., 2020	COVID-19	China	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Medical staff (N=230) in a tertiary infectious disease hospital	Self-rating Anxiety Scale Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder Self-rating Scale	Rates of severe anxiety, moderate anxiety and mild anxiety were 2.17% (5/230), 4.78% (11/230) and 16.09% (37/230), respectively. The incidence of stress disorder in medical staff was 27.39% (63/230), and the score of PTSD-SS was (42.92 \pm 17.88). Nurses and female staff tended to show higher rates. Cut-offs for caseness unclear
Huang, L. et al, 2020	COVID-19	China	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Nurses and nursing college students (N=802)	Bespoke questions about fear, sadness and anger in response to outbreak Brief COPE	Anxiety, sadness and anger was higher in nurses than nursing college students. Females were higher in anxiety and fear than males. Fear predicted problem-focused coping, anger emotion-focused coping. Closeness to COVID-19 predicted increased anxiety and anger.
Lai et al, 2020	COVID-19	China	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Health care workers (N=1257) in hospitals	Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) Generalized Anxiety	"Nurses, women, frontline health care workers, and those working in Wuhan, China, reported more severe degrees of all measurements of mental health symptoms than other health care workers (eg, median [IQR] Patient Health Questionnaire

				managing COVID-19 patients	Disorder scale (GAD-7) 7-item Insomnia Severity Index (ISI) Impact of Events Scale–Revised (IES-R)	<p>scores among physicians vs nurses: 4.0 [1.0-7.0] vs 5.0 [2.0-8.0]; P = .007; median [interquartile range {IQR}] Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale scores among men vs women: 2.0 [0-6.0] vs 4.0 [1.0-7.0]; P < .001; median [IQR] Insomnia Severity Index scores among frontline vs second-line workers: 6.0 [2.0-11.0] vs 4.0 [1.0-8.0]; P < .001; median [IQR] Impact of Event Scale–Revised scores among those in Wuhan vs those in Hubei outside Wuhan and those outside Hubei: 21.0 [8.5-34.5] vs 18.0 [6.0-28.0] in Hubei outside Wuhan and 15.0 [4.0-26.0] outside Hubei; P < .001.”</p> <p>“Frontline health care workers engaged in direct diagnosis, treatment, and care of patients with COVID-19 were associated with a higher risk of symptoms of depression (OR, 1.52; 95% CI, 1.11-2.09; P = .01), anxiety (OR, 1.57; 95% CI, 1.22-2.02; P < .001), insomnia (OR, 2.97; 95% CI, 1.92-4.60; P < .001), and distress (OR, 1.60; 95% CI, 1.25-2.04; P < .001).”</p> <p>Note: prevalence for staff with symptoms quoted in abstract is for any symptoms (mild range and above). Numbers of above cut-off (typically moderate range >26 and above) are quoted in Table 2.</p>
Li, Z. et al, 2020	COVID-19	China	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Front-line nurses (N=234), non-front line nurses (N=292), members of the general public (N=214)	Vicarious Trauma Scale completed on an app	Front-line nurses who came in close contact with patients with COVID-19 reported less vicarious trauma than both non-front line nurses and the general public – who were not significantly different from each other.
Liang et al, 2020	COVID-19	China	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Doctors and nurses from COVID-19-associated departments	Zung Self-rating Depression Scale (SDS) Zung Self-rating Anxiety Scale (SAS)	No significant difference between clinical staff in COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 departments. No difference between older and younger staff.

				(N=38) Doctors and nurses (N=21) from non-COVID-19 departments (Pulmonary and Critical Care, Cardiology, General ICU)		
Liu, C-H. et al, 2020	COVID-19	China	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Healthcare workers (N=512)	Bespoke questions about COVID-19 Zung Self-rating Anxiety Scale	32.03% of workers had had direct contact by treating infected patients. Prevalence of anxiety was 12.5%, with 53 workers suffering from mild (10.35%), seven workers from moderate (1.36%) and four workers from severe anxiety (0.78%). Medical staff who had had direct contact treating infected patients saw higher anxiety scores than those who had not had direct contact.
Liu, Z. et al, 2020	COVID-19	China	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Hospital doctors and nurses (N=4679)	WHO 20-item Self-Reporting Questionnaire Zung Self-rating Anxiety Scale Zung Self-rating Depression Scale	44.2% sample worked at departments with high-risk exposure to COVID-19 “The prevalence of psychological distress, anxious symptoms, and depressive symptoms were 15.9% (95% CI 14.8-16.9), 16.0% (95% CI 15.0-17.1), and 34.6% (95% CI 33.2-35.9). “ “Medical staff in middle age group, with divorced or widowed marital status, seldom or not living with family members, working at higher risk department, having experience of treating COVID-19 or infectious diseases had higher risk of psychological distress, while those from infectious hospitals had lower risk of psychological distress. Medical staff from higher-risk departments, general hospitals, or higher-level hospitals had higher risk of anxious symptoms, while younger age group staff, or doctor had lower risk. For depressive symptom, middle age, seldom or not living with family members, or working at a designated hospital for COVID-19 treatment were risk factors, while being a doctor was a

						protective factor” “about one-third medical staff have received help from mental health professionals. However, medical staff with mental health problems received less help compared with those without any problems.”
Qi et al., 2020	COVID-19	China	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Healthcare workers (N=1306) split into frontline workers (N=801) and non-front-line workers (N=505)	Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index Athens Insomnia Scale Bespoke visual analogue scale of factors influencing sleep quality, work pressure, attention, anxiety, energy, confidence, irritability, stress, impatience, fear, and depression	Front-line medical workers had significantly poorer sleep, and worse anxiety and depression. Severity of COVID-19, work stress, insufficient sleep time and frequent work shifts were linked to poor sleep in all workers. The VAS scales of anxiety and depression were not standardised although mean scores were below the mid-point for all workers.
Sun et al, 2020 (NB: First name on MedRxiv page is Sun, on pdf it's Xing)	COVID-19	China	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Hospital doctors and nurses (N=548)	Bespoke questions about COVID-19 Symptom Checklist-90 (SCL-90)	Factors affecting the mental health and status of medical personnel were degree of suspicion that they were infected when novel coronavirus-related symptoms occurred, level of concern about whether they and their family members were infected, age, whether they had worked in affected areas or hospitals, and whether family members support them working on the front line. Occupation, level of expert is, family and demographic factors did not predict mental health status. Comparison to national norms showed no difference in depression and relatively small differences in other measures.
Xiao et al, 2020	COVID-19	China	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Medical staff (N=180) who treated COVID-19 patients	Social Support Rating Scale Self-Rating Anxiety Scale	“Levels of social support for medical staff were significantly associated with self-efficacy and sleep quality and negatively associated with the degree of anxiety and stress. Levels of anxiety were significantly associated with the levels of stress,

					General Self-Efficacy Scale Stanford Acute Stress Reaction scale Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index	which negatively impacted self-efficacy and sleep quality. Anxiety, stress, and self-efficacy were mediating variables associated with social support and sleep quality.”
Zhu et al, 2020	COVID-19	China	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Healthcare workers (N=5062)	Bespoke questions about COVID-19 Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) General Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7) Impact of Events Scale Revised	<p>“1509 (29.8%), 681 (13.5%) and 1218 (24.1%) HWs reported stress, depression and anxiety symptoms. Women (hazard ratio[HR], 1.31; P=0.032), years of working > 10 years (HR, 2.02; P<0.001), concomitant chronic diseases (HR, 1.51; P<0.001), history of mental disorders (HR, 3.27; P<0.001), and family members or relatives confirmed or suspected (HR, 1.23; P=0.030) were risk factors for stress, whereas care provided by hospital and department administrators(odds ratio [OR], 0.76; P=0.024) and full coverage of all departments with protective measures (OR, 0.69; P=0.004) were protective factors.”</p> <p>Nurses reported higher levels of stress, anxiety and depression than doctors. Frontline working was associated with stress but not anxiety or depression.</p> <p>Used >33 cut-off for positive screen on IES-R</p>
Ji et al, 2017	Ebola	Sierra Leone	Cross-sectional, during outbreak Chinese medical staff had before and after measures	Sierra Leone medical staff (N=59), SL logistic staff (N=21), SL medical students (N=22), Chinese medical staff (N=41), Ebola survivors	Symptoms Checklist 90-items, Revised (SCL-90-R)	Sierra Leone staff scored considerably higher than Chinese staff on measures of poor mental health. On arrival, Chinese medical staff typically scored Chinese population means for measure of poor mental health except for anxiety. On departure, Chinese medical staff scored below the population mean for the measure of poor mental health.

				(N=18)		
Lehmann et al, 2016	Ebola	Germany	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Healthcare workers from 1. isolation unit treating Ebola patient; 2. Ebola research laboratory; 3. Control sample of staff from standard internal medicine wards	Short Form Health Survey (SFHS-12) Bespoke questionnaire	Comparisons between groups (n1 = 42, n2 = 32, n3 = 12) yielded no significant differences in HrQoL, subjective risk of infection, and most other psychosocial variables. However, the Ebola patient treatment group experienced significantly higher levels of social isolation than both other groups. The best predictors of poor physical and mental HrQoL were perceived lack of knowledge about the Ebola virus disease and fatigue
Li, L. et al, 2015	Ebola	Liberia	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Nurses and hygienists (N=52) working at the China Ebola Treatment Unit	Symptoms Checklist 90-items, Revised (SCL-90-R)	“Among the work duty subgroups, local medical staff responsible for cleaning and disinfection showed significantly higher levels of obsessive-compulsive (1.68 ± 1.03 versus 1.24 ± 0.78 , $p < 0.05$), anxiety (1.65 ± 0.99 versus 1.16 ± 0.52 , $p < 0.05$), phobic anxiety (1.94 ± 1.19 versus 1.47 ± 1.05 , $p < 0.05$) and PST categories (38.64 ± 16.61 versus 18.73 ± 17.89 , $p < 0.05$) than treatment ward staff, and of anxiety level (1.68 ± 1.03 versus 1.19 ± 0.61 , $p < 0.05$) and PST (38.64 ± 16.61 versus 22.05 ± 15.83 , $p < 0.05$) than observation ward staff”
von Strauss et al, 2017	Ebola	Various in West Africa	Cross-sectional, retrospective	Nurses (N=44) involved in care for Ebola patients	Bespoke questionnaire	“The respondents identified the following needs for improvement: increased mental health and psychosocial support and hands-on coping strategies with focus on pre- and post-deployment; more pre-deployment task-oriented clinical training; and workload reduction, as exhaustion is a risk for safety.”
Waterman et al, 2018	Ebola	Sierra Leone	Single arm intervention study of three-phase peer-delivered CBT-based anxiety and depression treatment	Sierra Leonean staff (N=3273) at Ebola treatment centre	Custom wellbeing scale Post-Traumatic Stress Checklist Perceived Stress Scale Insomnia Severity Index	No means or prevalences reported Improvements in the bespoke wellbeing measure, PTSD, stress, sleep disruption, anxiety, depression and anger by phase 3. Note, Sierra Leone had been declared Ebola free by the end of phase 2.

					Generalised Anxiety Disorder 7 Patient Health Questionnaire 9 (PHQ9) Relationship Questionnaire Custom behaviour questionnaire	
Austria-Corrales et al, 2011	H1N1	Mexico	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Medical residents (N=99)	Maslach Burnout Inventory	36.4% (36/99) were above cut-off for burnout on the Maslach scale with those in respiratory medicine reporting highest levels of burnout.
Goulia et al, 2010	H1N1	Greece	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Healthcare workers (N=469) of tertiary teaching hospital dealing with H1N1 cases	Bespoke questions on concerns and worries about the ongoing H1N1 pandemic Cassileth's Information Styles Questionnaire General Health Questionnaire-28	Level of worry about H1N1 was high and typically focused on risk of infecting family and friends on impact on functional ability. Nursing and auxillary staff reported higher levels of psychological distress than medical staff. The majority of staff reported no GHQ-28 psychological distress, 20.7% reported mild-moderate distress, 6.8% severe distress.
Matsuishi et al, 2012 Same sample used in Imai et al, 2010	H1N1	Japan	Cross-sectional, conducted after H1N1 pandemic	Staff (N=1995) at three tertiary teaching hospitals involved in pandemic response	Bespoke questions Impact of Events Scale Revised	Workers in high-risk work environments had higher anxiety, exhaustion and IES score than workers in low-risk work environments. Nurses had higher anxiety, exhaustion and IES score than doctors. NB: Calculates 'total' IES score as item average. Gives no prevalence of above cut-off scoring
Alsubaie et al, 2019	MERS	Saudi Arabia	Cross-sectional, after outbreak	Healthcare workers (N=526) in tertiary teaching hospital	Bespoke SARS questions	"The mean anxiety score was similar for physicians and other HCWs (3/5). However, non physicians expressed higher levels of anxiety toward the risk of transmitting MERS CoV to their families, with an anxiety score of 4/5 compared to 3/5 for the physicians group"

Bukhari et al, 2016	MERS	Saudi Arabia	Cross-sectional, unclear timing, probably during	Healthcare workers (N=386)	Bespoke SARS questions Impact of events scale (IES)	NB: IES results not reported "The majority of the participants were females (332; 86.0%), and there were 54 (14.0%) males. Of the 386 respondents, nurses constituted the majority of the respondents (293; 75.9%), and there were 34 doctors (8.8%). The percentage of exposure was found to be greater in those who were working in the intensive care unit (ICU) (89; 23%). There was a significant difference in the worry and fear scale of contracting the MERS-CoV infection between participants who worked in isolation areas, ICUs, and emergency rooms (mean: 3.01 ± 1.1) compared to participants who worked in areas that are less likely to admit and have MERS-CoV suspected or positive cases (mean: 2.77 ± 1.1; p = 0.031. Females were significantly more worried and fearful of contracting the virus compared to males (mean: 2.92 ± 1.1 versus 2.61 ± 1.0, respectively; p = 0.045)."
Khalid et al, 2016	MERS	Saudi Arabia	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Staff (N=117) at hospital managing MERS outbreak	Bespoke MERS stress questionnaire	Staff reported moderate levels of fear and nervousness but reported their job was a professional and ethical duty. Seeing colleagues in hospital for MERS, fear of making mistakes and fear of infecting family were reported as the most stressful. Positive attitude from colleagues, lack of colleague infection and colleagues' recovery from MERS were reported as the most stress reducing experiences. Strict use of protective measures was reported the most effect personal coping strategy.
Lee, S.M. et al, 2018	MERS	South Korea	Cross-sectional with high risk group follow-up	Hospital practitioners (N=359)	Impact of Events Scale-Revised	Of all respondents, 230(64.1%) received a score of 18 or higher, indicating the presence of PTSD-like symptoms, while 183 respondents (51.5%) exceeded the cut-off score of 25 for a diagnosis of PTSD. Healthcare workers who performed MERS-related tasks scored significantly higher on the total IES-R and its subscales Used IES cut-off of >25
Oh et al, 2017	MERS	South Korea	Cross-sectional, retrospective	Nurses (N=313) at general	Modified trauma appraisal	No significant difference in stress scores between nurses with first-hand or second-hand experience of MERS patients.

				hospitals during the MERS outbreak	questionnaire Modified questionnaire for professionalism in Korean nurses Modified questionnaire for professionalism in Korean nurses Modified Instrument for Predictive Nursing Intention for SARS Patient Care	Intention to provide nursing care to patients with MERS related to lower stress.
Park et al, 2018	MERS	South Korea	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Nurses (N=187)	Mental component summary of the Short Form-36 (SF-36) Perceived Stress Scale-10 (PSS-10) Dispositional Resilience Scale-15 (DRS-15)	"The influences of stigma and hardiness on mental health were partially mediated through stress in nurses working at a hospital during a MERS-CoV epidemic."
Bai et al, 2004	SARS	Taiwan	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Healthcare workers (N=228)	Bespoke SARS questions	"Seventeen staff members (5 percent) suffered from an acute stress disorder; stepwise multiple logistic regression analysis determined that quarantine was the most related factor. Sixty-six staff members (20 percent) felt stigmatized and rejected in their neighborhood because of their hospital work, and 20 of 218 health care workers (9 percent) reported reluctance to work or had considered resignation."
Chan and Huak, 2004	SARS	Singapore	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Doctors and nurses (N=661) in hospital managing SARS patients	General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-28) Impact of Events Scale Bespoke	"In total 177 out of 661 (27%) participants [40 out of 113 (35%) doctors and 137 out of 544 (25%) nurses] had a GHQ 28 score ≥ 5 . Doctors [P = 0.026, odds ratio (OR) = 1.6 and 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.1–2.5] and single health care workers were at higher risk (P = 0.048, OR = 1.4 and 95% CI = 1.02–2.0) compared to nurses and those who were married."

					questionnaire on changes in life priorities and coping	Approximately 20% of the participants had IES scores ≥ 30 , indicating the presence of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)"
Chan et al, 2005	SARS	Hong Kong	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Nurses (N=1470)	Bespoke SARS questions	"Most nurses (68.3-80.1%) always/often perceived stress from the SARS epidemic. The proportion was the highest in the moderate-risk group ($P < 0.001$). Most nurses perceived their stress came from work (85.9-95.6%), with 43.8-58.5% perceiving stress from home, and 35-46% perceiving stress from the community. About half (50.7%) of the nurses in the moderate-risk group perceived that they could sometimes/never cope with stress, compared with 45.6% in the high-risk group and 38.9% in the low-risk group ($P < 0.001$)"
Chang et al, 2006	SARS	Taiwan	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Medical professionals (N=244) of centres involving in treating SARS patients	Custom questionnaire asking about emotional exhaustion, job tension, social interaction and trust.	Trust in colleagues was associated with lower emotional exhaustion and job tension.
Chen, C-S et al, 2005a NB: Same sample as scale validation study in Chen et al, 2005b	SARS	Taiwan	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Nurses (N=131) who worked during SARS outbreak. Compared nurses in units with high risk of exposure (N=65), involuntarily conscripted into high risk units (N=21), those in low risk units(N=45)	Impact of Events Scale (IES) Symptom Checklist Revised (SCL-90-R)	The highest rate of scoring above IES cut-off was observed in the group that worked in a high-risk unit, and the conscripted group experienced the most severe SCL-90-R measured distress on average. 14 nurses (11%) screened positive on the IES. Positive cases were highest in the high-risk group (11 nurses, or 17%), followed by the conscripted group (two nurses, or 10 %) and the control group(one nurse, or 2%). Used >35 cut-off for IES-R

Chen, R. et al, 2006	SARS	Taiwan	Longitudinal. Four time points. 1. Before SARS patients; 2. Two weeks after programme start; 3. One month after programme start; 4. One month after decommissioning SARS response	Nursing staff (N=116) in designated SARS response hospital after implementation of prevention plan	Zung anxiety scale Zung depression scale Pittsburgh sleep quality index	All reported very high levels of pre-SARS job stress. Anxiety and depression reduced from moderate to minimal over time. Sleep quality remained poor throughout. Staff who did not volunteer for SARS patient care reported worse anxiety and depression.
Chong et al, 2004	SARS	Taiwan	Cross-sectional, during outbreak Split into two time points depending on when the scales were completed	Healthcare workers (N=1310)	Bespoke questions on exposure to SARS Impact of Events Scale (IES) Chinese Health Questionnaire (CHQ)	“The study period was arbitrarily divided into two phases for analysis: the initial shock and reaction phase (12 May to 6 June), when the situation was chaotic and the number of patients infected with SARS was escalating; and the repair or reorientation phase (7 June to 27 June), when no new infections occurred and the situation was brought under control.”
Chua et al, 2004	SARS	Hong Kong	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Healthcare workers (N=271) Comparison group of non-healthcare workers (N=342)	Bespoke questions about SARS Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10)	“Strikingly, HCWs were not more stressed than healthy control subjects (HCWs mean 18.6, control subjects mean 18.3; Mann–Whitney U, P> 0.9). We observed that PSS score and negative psychological effects from SARS were highly significantly correlated (Spearman’s rho = 0.4, P< 0.001).” “HCWs who were confident about infection control (74%, n=179) had lower stress levels (independent samples ttest, 2-tailed, P= 0.001) and fewer negative effects (independent samples ttest, 2-tailed, P=0.004).”
Fiksenbaum et al, 2006	SARS	Canada	Cross-section, during outbreak	Nurses (N=333) across all specialities	Maslach Burnout Inventory State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory	No scale means / prevalences reported “Results of path analysis revealed that working conditions contributed significantly to an increase in perceived SARS threat, which led to increased emotional exhaustion and state

					Survey of Perceived Organisational Support Modified vigor scale Bespoke question about avoidance, trust in equipment/infection control, contact with SARS patients, experience of quarantine	anger. Positive feedback was directly and positively related to organizational support. Higher levels of organizational support predicted lower perceived SARS threat, emotional exhaustion, and state anger”
Grace et al, 2005	SARS	Canada	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Physicians (N=193) at hospitals in which SARS patients were treated	Bespoke questionnaire asking about health, attitudes and perceptions toward SARS; coping, symptoms, effects on personal relationships, changes to work resulting from SARS outbreak	Physicians providing direct care to SARS patients more commonly reported psychological distress (45.7%, N=16) than among not providing direct care (17.7%, N=28). Thirty-five respondents (18.1%) reported experiencing new distressing psychological symptoms that they attributed to working during the SARS outbreaks. Physicians reported both positive and negative aspects of working in the outbreak.
Ho et al, 2005	SARS	Hong Kong	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Staff at hospitals managing SARS patients (N=82) and hospital staff who recovered from SARS (N=97)	Bespoke questions asking about SARS fear, SARS self-efficacy For SARS recovered workers, the Chinese Impact of Event Scale— Revised only related to SARS events	“participants in both samples had equal, if not more, concern about infecting others (especially family members) than being self-infected”. “Participants with lower self-efficacy tended to have higher fear related to SARS. Fear related to SARS was also correlated positively with posttraumatic stress symptoms among respondents of Sample 2 (recovered staff).”
Iancu et al, 2005	SARS	Israel	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Inpatients (N=30) and	Modified Spielberger Anxiety	Staff had lower anxiety than psychiatric patients but the groups did not differ in SARS related anxiety.

				staff (N=30) at a psychiatric hospital	Scale Bespoke questionnaire items measuring fear of SARS	
Koh et al, 2005	SARS	Singapore	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Healthcare workers (N=10,511) in hospitals with and without SARS patients during outbreak	Bespoke questions asking about perception of exposure to SARS, perceived risk of infection, and impact of the SARS outbreak on personal and work life Impact of Events Scale	<p>Two thirds (66%) reported feeling “at great risk of exposure to SARS”. Predictors of increased stress at work included SARS-affected hospitals, daily exposure to SARS patients, occupation (nurses), married with children, and high IES score.</p> <p>“Clinical staff (doctors and nurses), staff in daily contact with SARS patients, and staff from SARS-affected institutions expressed significantly higher levels of anxiety”</p> <p>A total of 56% reported feeling “more stressed at work,” whereas 53% experienced “increase in workload.”</p> <p>On the positive side, the majority of respondents felt appreciated by their hospital/clinic/employer (82%) and by society (77%).69.5% of respondents accepted the risk of contracting SARS as part and parcel of their job</p> <p>IES means / prevalence not reported</p>
Lancee et al, 2008	SARS	Canada	Follow-up, incidence study	Healthcare workers (completed CAPS N=139; completed SCID N=133) who took part in Maunder et al (2006) study	Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS). Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID)	"This study found that one to two years after the resolution of the SARS outbreak in Canada, the incidence of new episodes of major depression among health care workers who were still working was 4% (five of 133 participants) and the incidence of new-onset PTSD was 2%. The incidence of any new onset of a psychiatric disorder was 5%. These incidence rates appear to be lower than those found in the general population. For example, the estimated annual incidence of major depression in Canada for women aged 25 to 44 has been reported to be 4.5%, and for women aged 45 to 64 it is

						4.1%. The incidence of depression also appears to be lower than the recently reported one-year rate of 9% for Canadian nurses.”
Lee, S-H. et al, 2005	SARS	Taiwan	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Female nurses (N=26) in SARS nursing team	Bespoke ‘SARS Team Questionnaire’	Worries largely centred on being a risk to others, rather than experiencing risk themselves. Good team cohesion, psychiatric support and effective equipment and working environment were considered effective in reducing stress. Nurses reported using both practical (infection control related) and psychological coping.
Lin et al, 2007	SARS	Taiwan	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Emergency department doctors and nurses (N=66) Control group of doctors and nurses from medium risk psychiatric ward (N=26)	Davidson Trauma Scale-Chinese version (DTS-C) Chinese Health Questionnaire-12 (CHQ-12)	“86 of 92 (93.5%) medical staff considered the SARS outbreak to be a traumatic experience. The DTSC scores of staff in the emergency department and in the psychiatric ward were significantly different (p = 0.04). No significant difference in CHQ score was observed between the two groups. Emergency department staff had more severe PTSD symptoms than staff in the psychiatric ward.”
Liu, X. et al, 2012 Same sample as Wu et al, 2008	SARS	China	Cross-sectional, retrospective 3 years after outbreak	Hospital staff (N=549) of hospital affected by SARS outbreak	As above	“The results of multinomial regression analyses showed that, with other relevant factors controlled for, being single, having been quarantined during the outbreak, having been exposed to other traumatic events before SARS, and perceived SARS-related risk level during the outbreak were found to increase the odds of having a high level of depressive symptoms 3 years later. Altruistic acceptance of risk during the outbreak was found to decrease the odds of high post-outbreak depressive symptom levels.”
Lung et al, 2009	SARS	Taiwan	Cross-sectional, during outbreak with one year follow-up	Healthcare workers (N=127)	Chinese Health Questionnaire (CHQ-12) Eysenck Personality Questionnaire Parental Bonding Instrument	“Healthcare workers that had mental symptoms at follow-up reported the symptoms were associated with daily-life stress and not the SARS crisis. The physicians had more somatic symptoms than nurses, suggesting different professions have different impact on mental health. Additionally, individual’s early maternal attachment and neuroticism were found to have greater effect on mental health of life-threatening

						stress”
Marjanovic et al, 2007 (same data set as above)	SARS	Canada	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Nurses (N=333) across all specialities	Maslach Burnout Inventory State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory Survey of Perceived Organisational Support Modified vigor scale Bespoke question about avoidance, trust in equipment/infection control, contact with SARS patients, experience of quarantine	No scale means / prevalences reported Organizational support and trust in equipment/infection control predicted lower rates of emotional exhaustion. State anger was positively correlated to avoidance behavior, contact with SARS patients, and greater time in quarantine; and negatively related to vigor, organizational support, and trust in equipment/infection control initiatives.
Maunder et al, 2006	SARS	Canada	Follow-up, 13 to 26 months after the SARS outbreak	Healthcare workers (N=769) from Toronto hospitals involved and Hamilton hospitals not involved in managing SARS patients	Impact of Events Scale (IES) Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10) Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI-EE) A subset of participants answered bespoke questions on SARS-related perception of stigma and interpersonal avoidance; adequacy of training, protection, and support; and	“During the study period (13–25 months after the SARS outbreak), Toronto HCWs reported significantly higher levels of burnout (Toronto median score 19, interquartile range 10–29; Hamilton 16, 9– 23, p = 0.019), psychological distress (Toronto 15, 12–19; Hamilton 13, 11–17, p<0.001), and posttraumatic stress (Toronto 11, 4–21; Hamilton 7, 0–19, p<0.001). To make these differences more clinically meaningful, the prevalence of high scores was calculated (Table 4). The prevalence of the following functional indicators of distress since the SARS outbreak was higher in Toronto HCWs: decrease in patient contact and work hours, increase in substance use and other traits that interfere with function, and more days off work (Table 4). Of the 7 adverse outcomes reported in Table 4, Toronto HCWs were more likely to be experiencing >1 problem (Toronto 68.1% vs. Hamilton 50.1%, p<0.001) and were almost twice as likely to be experiencing multiple (>2) problems (Toronto 44.0% vs. Hamilton 22.5%, p<0.001).”

					job stress	Used >26 cut-off for IES
McAlonan et al, 2008	SARS	Hong Kong	Cross-sectional study of healthcare workers at two time points (not follow-up)	Healthcare workers in 2003 (N = 176) and 2004 (N=184)	Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10) Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21) Impact of Events Scale-Revised (IES-R)	"In 2003, high-risk health care workers had elevated stress levels (PSS-10 score = 17.0) that were not significantly different from levels in low-risk health care worker control subjects (PSS-10 score = 15.9). More high-risk health care workers reported fatigue, poor sleep, worry about health, and fear of social contact, despite their confidence in infection-control measures. By 2004, however, stress levels in the high-risk group were not only higher (PSS-10 score = 18.6) but also significantly higher than scores among low-risk health care worker control subjects (PSS-10 score = 14.8, P < 0.05). In 2004, the perceived stress levels in the high-risk group were associated with higher depression, anxiety, and posttraumatic stress scores (P < 0.001). Posttraumatic stress scores were a partial mediator of the relation between the high risk of exposure to SARS and higher perceived stress."
Nickell et al, 2004	SARS	Canada	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Healthcare and non-healthcare workers (N=2001) at hospital managing SARS patients	Bespoke questions on occupation and work history; closed and open-ended questions about the respondent's concerns about SARS; closed and open-ended questions about the use and effects of SARS precautionary measures General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12)	Nurses most likely to score about GHQ cut-off (45.1%), allied health care professionals (33.3%), doctors (17.4%) and staff not working in patient care (18.9%). Reported negative effects of the SARS outbreak included financial losses, being treated differently by people because of working in a hospital and changes to personal and familial lifestyle. Over half of the respondents (1161 [58.0%]) also reported at least 1 positive effect (Table 4). A total of 493 (41.1%) felt there was an increased awareness of disease control, 317 (26.4%) found the SARS outbreak to be a learning experience, and 285 (23.8%) felt an increased sense of togetherness and cooperation. Other positive aspects included being less busy than usual and feeling a greater appreciation of life and work. 4 factors as being significantly associated with the presence of emotional distress, as identified with the GHQ-12: being a

						nurse (adjusted OR 2.8, 95% CI 1.5–5.5), part-time employment status (adjusted OR 2.6, 95% CI 1.2–5.4), lifestyle affected by the SARS outbreak (adjusted OR 2.2, 95% CI 1.4–3.5) and ability to do one’s job affected by the precautionary measures (adjusted OR 2.9, 95% CI 1.9–4.6).
Phua et al, 2008 NB: Same data as Tham et al.	SARS	Singapore	Cross-sectional, 6 months after outbreak	Emergency department doctors and nurses (N=96)	Coping Orientation to Problems Experienced (COPE) Impact of Event Scale (IES) General Health Questionnaire 28 (GHQ-28)	“The respondents reported a preference for problem-focused and emotion-focused coping measures. The physicians chose humor as a coping response significantly more frequently (p , 0.001) than nurses, scoring 9.61/16 (95% CI = 8.52 to 10.69), compared with the nurses’ score of 7.05/16 (95% CI = 6.28 to 7.83). The Filipino HCWs turned to religion as a coping response significantly more frequently (p , 0.001) than the non-Filipino HCWs, scoring 14.38/16 (95% CI = 13.33 to 15.42), compared with 9.93/16 (95% CI = 9.00 to 10.87) for the non-Filipinos. Psychiatric morbidity was 17.7% on the IES and 18.8% on the GHQ 28, with the trend for physicians to report lower psychiatric morbidity.”
Poon et al, 2004	SARS	Hong Kong	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Healthcare workers (N= 1926)	State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) Modified Maslach Burnout Inventory	“Anxiety scores ranged from a minimum of 20 to a maximum of 80 and mean scores were highest among workmen (55.9 [SD, 9.7]), followed by health care assistants (52.9[8.6]), nurses (52.0 [9.8]), doctors (47.8 [11.1]), allied health workers (47.8 [10.9]), technicians (47.8 [9.8]), administrative staff (47.1 [10.6]), and transport workers (46.4 [9.4]). Scores among workmen, health care assistants, and nurses were significantly higher than scores among doctors(P<0.001 for each pairwise ttest) and administrative staff controls (P<0.001).”
Sim et al, 2004	SARS	Singapore	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Doctors and nurses (N=277)	General Health Questionnaire 28 (GHQ-28) Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R) Brief Coping Orientation to Problems Experienced (COPE)	See Table 2 for high- versus low-exposure results. “HCWs who were confident about infection control (74%,n= 179) had lower stress levels (independent samples t test, 2-tailed,P= 0.001) and fewer negative effects (independent samples ttest, 2-tailed,P=0.004).” NB: idiosyncratic IES-R scoring: “Two scores were calculated from the IES-R, namely a continuous score (total and

						subscales) and a dichotomous categorization of high versus low level of posttraumatic symptoms. When calculating the dichotomous scores, we considered symptoms present if the respondents reported that they had been at least moderately distressed by the symptoms in the previous week (score of at least 2 on a scale of 0–4)”
Styra et al, 2008	SARS	Canada	Cross-sectional, during outbreak with control groups (oncology, general medicine, cardiology unit, general surgery, multiorgan transplant surgery within the same hospital system) sampled from non-SARS services	Healthcare workers (N=248)	Bespoke SARS questions Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R)	“Seventeen staff members (5 percent) suffered from an acute stress disorder; stepwise multiple logistic regression analysis determined that quarantine was the most related factor. Sixty-six staff members (20 percent) felt stigmatized and rejected in their neighborhood because of their hospital work, and 20 of 218 health care workers (9 percent) reported reluctance to work or had considered resignation.”
Su et al, 2007	SARS	Taiwan	Longitudinal, during outbreak	Nurse participants were from two SARS units (regular SARS N=44] and SARS ICU N=26) and two non-SARS units (Neurology N=15] and CCU N=17).	Bespoke SARS attitude scale Beck depression inventory (BDI) Pittsburgh sleep quality index (PSQI) Sheehan’s disability scale Family APGAR index (family functioning measure)	"Results showed that depression (38.5% vs. 3.1%) and insomnia (37% vs. 9.7%) were, respectively, greater in the SARS unit nurses than the non-SARS unit nurses. No difference between these two groups was found in the prevalence of post-traumatic stress symptoms (33% vs. 18.7%), yet, three unit subjects (SARS ICU, SARS regular and Neurology) had significantly higher rate than those in CCU (29.7% vs. 11.8%, respectively) (p < 0.05). For the SARS unit nurses, significant reduction in mood ratings, insomnia rate and perceived negative feelings as well as increasing knowledge and understanding of SARS at the end of the study (all p < 0.001) indicated that a gradual psychological adaptation had occurred. The adjustment of nurses in the

						more structured SARS ICU environment, where nurses care for even more severely ill patients, may have been as good or better than that of nurses in the regular SARS unit."
Tam et al, 2004	SARS	Hong Kong	Cross-sectional, during outbreak	Healthcare workers (N=652)	Bespoke SARS and coping questions Chinese version of the 12-item General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) using 0-0-1-1 scoring method	<p>"Four hundred and forty four participants (68%) reported 'significant' or 'severe' levels of job-related stress during the outbreak and 205 (32%) reported stress levels as 'mild' or 'moderate'."</p> <p>"A total of 56.7% of the participants scored above the threshold on GHQ (3 or more). Cases were more likely to have higher levels of job-related stress and poor self-rated physical health, and be less willing to work in SARS units. Female workers and nursing professionals were more likely to be cases. Perceived inadequacy of all support items except 'appreciation from the community' were significantly associated with psychological morbidity"</p>
Tham et al, 2004	SARS	Singapore	Cross-sectional, 6 months after outbreak	Emergency department doctors and nurses (N=96)	Impact of Event Scale (IES) General Health Questionnaire (GHQ 28)	"Fewer doctors reported post-event and psychiatric morbidity compared to nurses, with 5 (13.2%) doctors and 12 (20.7%) nurses scoring ≥ 26 on IES, 6 (15.8%) doctors and 12 (20.7%) nurses scoring ≥ 5 on GHQ 28. The doctors reported a median of 9.5 (range 0-47) on IES and 0 (range 0-11) on GHQ 28. The nurses reported a median of 15 (range 0-61) on IES and 1 (range 0-25) on GHQ 28."
Wong, TW. et al, 2004	SARS	Hong Kong	Cross-sectional, shortly after outbreak	Healthcare workers (N=466)	Bespoke 18-item question to measure SARS related distress Chinese version of the Brief Cope questionnaire	"A total of 1260 questionnaires were sent out and the response rate was approximately 37%. The mean overall distress level was 6.19 out of a 10-point scale. The mean overall distress levels for doctors, nurses and HCA were 5.91, 6.52 and 5.44, respectively ($F(2,420) = 6.47, P < 0.005$). The overall distress level for nurses was significantly higher than for HCA ($P < 0.005$) but not doctors. The overall distress level was highly and significantly correlated with the six sources of distress: vulnerability/loss of control ($r^2 = 0.68$); health of self ($r^2 = 0.62$); spread of virus ($r^2 = 0.60$); health of family and others ($r^2 = 0.59$); changes in work ($r^2 = 0.46$); being isolated ($r^2 = 0.45$)."
Wong,	SARS	Hong Kong	Cross-sectional,	GPs (N=183)	Bespoke questions	"On a scale of 10, the anxiety scores were less than 5

W.C.W. et al, 2004			retrospective	working during the SARS outbreak	asking about medical practice, protective measures and SARS-related anxieties.	(midpoint) in all parameters with worry about the family (3.44) achieved the lowest score. Female doctors were more worried about infecting their families (2.16 versus 3.67; p,0.05) and perceived high anxiety as a source of infection by their families (3.16 versus 4.69; p,0.05) whereas young and middle aged doctors found their quality of life more affected than their older colleagues (3.67 and 3.35 versus 5.55; p,0.05). However, exposures to SARS and working districts had no impact on their anxiety levels."
Wu et al, 2008	SARS	China	Cross-sectional, 3 years after outbreak	Healthcare workers (N = 549)	Bespoke SARS questions Seven questions regarding alcohol abuse/dependence symptoms, adapted from the National Household Survey on Drug Abuse (NHSDA) Impact of Events Scale Revised (IES-R) Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) Bespoke questions about exposure to other traumatic events and coping	"Current alcohol abuse/dependence symptom counts 3 years after the outbreak were positively associated with having been quarantined, or worked in high-risk locations such as SARS wards, during the outbreak. However, having had family members or friends contract, SARS was not related to alcohol abuse/dependence symptom count. Symptoms of PTS and of depression, and having used drinking as a coping method, were also significantly associated with increased alcohol abuse/dependence symptoms. The relationship between outbreak exposure and alcohol abuse/dependence symptom count remained significant even when sociodemographic and other factors were controlled for. When the intrusion, avoidance and hyperarousal PTS symptom clusters were entered into the model, hyperarousal was found to be significantly associated with alcohol abuse/dependence symptoms"
Wu et al, 2009 Same sample as Wu et al, 2008	SARS	China	Cross-sectional, retrospective 3 years after outbreak	Hospital staff (N=549) of hospital affected by SARS outbreak	Custom questions on SARS exposure, non-SARS trauma exposure, During-outbreak perceptions of SARS-related risks.	About 10% of employees were above cut-off for post-traumatic stress symptoms since outbreak. SARS exposure at work, quarantining, and a relative or friend getting SARS were all strongly associated with high symptom levels. Retrospectively remembered perceived levels of SARS risk were positively related with their current levels of fear.

					Impact of Events Scale Revised	
--	--	--	--	--	--------------------------------	--

Qualitative studies

<i>Study</i>	<i>Disease</i>	<i>Country</i>	<i>Design</i>	<i>Participants</i>	<i>Measures</i>	<i>Findings</i>
Cunningham et al, 2017	Ebola	Various in West Africa	Qualitative, retrospective	Expatriate clinical staff who cared for Ebola patients (N=19)	Interview	Themes: memorialising, advocacy, self-reflection, and camaraderie
Hewlett and Hewlett, 2005	Ebola	Uganda, Republic of Congo	Qualitative, retrospective	Nurses and healthcare workers who worked in the Ebola outbreak (example sample size unclear)	Interview	“Three key themes emerged from the interviews: (a)lack of protective gear, basic equipment, and other resources necessary to provide care, especially during the early phases of the outbreaks; (b) stigmatization by family, coworkers, and community; and (c) exceptional commitment to the nursing profession in a context where the lives of the health careworkers were in jeopardy”
Meyer et al, 2018	Ebola	United States	Qualitative, retrospective	Healthcare workers (N=77) involved in Ebola response	Interview	Themes: Stress of caring for Ebola patients, isolation and stigma from other hospital staff, friends and family. Support from hospital leadership and mental health programmes help mitigate stress and improve morale, rigorous training and involvement in infection control measures helped alleviate stress.
Raven et al, 2018	Ebola	Sierra Leone	Qualitative	Healthcare workers caring for Ebola patients (N=25), other staff involved in Ebola response (N=19)	Interview	Relevant Themes: Impact of the outbreak on health workers (breakdown of trust was reported between neighbours /communities and health workers; isolation from families; fear of being infected; trauma from watching colleagues die; economic hardship; increased stress and workload) Coping strategies (Sense of duty to serve their country and

						their communities; Peer and family support; Social media platform ; Religion)
McMahon et al, 2016	Ebola	Sierra Leone	Qualitative, during outbreak	Healthcare workers (N=35)	Interview	“A theme articulated across interviews was Ebola’s destruction of social connectedness and sense of trust within and across health facilities, communities and families. Providers described feeling lonely, ostracized, unloved, afraid, saddened and no longer respected. They also discussed restrictions on behaviors that enhance coping including attending burials and engaging in physical touch (hugging, handshaking, sitting near, or eating with colleagues, patients and family members). Providers described infection prevention measures as necessary but divisive because screening booths and protective equipment inhibited bonding or ‘suffering with’ patients.”
Smith et al, 2017	Ebola	United States	Qualitative, retrospective	Staff (N=21) who participated in the care of the Ebola patients in	Interview	“(1) positive experiences were emotional while challenges were technical; (2) a significant percentage of workers encountered interpersonal stressors, with 29% of respondents having feelings of isolation, 33% having alterations in home life, and 25% experiencing at least 1 episode of discrimination; (3) physicians and nurses had stressors primarily related to patient care; (4) mental health was an important supportive service, with 45% of respondents using behavioral health counseling; and (5) working in the biocontainment unit during activation was more stressful than everyday work for 60% of respondents.”
Wong E.L.Y. et al, 2012	H1N1	Hong Kong	Qualitative, during outbreak	Healthcare workers (N=10) working in H1N1 isolation wards	Interview	Themes: Willingness to stay in post and work as needed (professionalism, duty); Concerns (support from management seen as positive; risk of infection and vaccination; frequent policy changes and unclear criteria for case management; high patient turnover rate; poor facility layout; role stress).
Chiang et al, 2007	SARS	Taiwan	Qualitative, retrospective	Nurses (N=21) who cared for patients during the SARS outbreak	Interview	Themes of self-preservation and fear, viewing themselves in their work with patients and colleagues, and self-improvement.

Chung et al, 2005	SARS	Hong Kong	Qualitative, retrospective	Nurses (N=8) who cared for patients during the SARS outbreak	Interview	Themes: A myriad of emotions in caring for SARS patients, uncertainty, revisiting the 'taken for granted' features of nursing. "Initially, emotional turmoil was experienced by the participants, who unanimously described this as the low point in their new experience"
Shih et al, 2009	SARS	Taiwan	Qualitative, retrospective	Nurse leaders (N=70) from hospitals involved in managing SARS patients	Interview	"Five stages arose in the participants' involvement against severe acute respiratory syndrome over 12 weeks: facing shock and chaos; searching for reliable sources to clarify myths; developing and adjusting nursing care; supporting nurses and their clients; and rewarding nurses"

Anecdotal accounts of group response

<i>Study</i>	<i>Disease</i>	<i>Country</i>	<i>Design</i>	<i>Participants</i>	<i>Measures</i>	<i>Findings</i>
Chen, Q. et al, 2020	COVID-19	China	Anecdotal	Healthcare workers	None	"Nurses showed excitability, irritability, unwillingness to rest, and signs of psychological distress, but refused any psychological help." "Many staff mentioned that they did not need a psychologist, but needed more rest without interruption and enough protective supplies."
Chan-Yeung, 2004	SARS	Hong Kong	Anecdotal, retrospective	Healthcare workers	None	Hospital workers felt lonely and isolated. Anxiety and resentment regarding high risk procedures.
Maunder et al, 2003	SARS	Canada	Anecdotal, retrospective	SARS clinical staff and mental health providers	Anecdotal	"Prominent among the varied responses of individual staff members were themes of fear, anxiety, anger and frustration. Many expressed conflict between their roles as health care provider and parent, feeling on one hand altruism and professional responsibility and, on the other hand, fear and guilt about potentially exposing their families to infection." "...spikes of anxiety occurred in association with several events: when isolation precaution procedures changed, when infectious disease staff entered quarantine or treatment, when health care workers were admitted with an unclear source of infection, when one of the SARS-unit nurses

						developed a fever (not due to SARS) and when a discharged patient with SARS was readmitted with fever. Staff reported fatigue, insomnia, irritability and decreased appetite.”
Kwek et al, 2004 Occasionally indexed as Khee et al, 2004	SARS	Singapore	Anecdotal, cross-sectional	Healthcare workers who attended support groups	Anecdotal	“Two main trends were observed in this study. The first observation made was a dynamic development of specific behaviors. That is fear, anger, and blame being the main emotions experienced at the beginning of the outbreak. The groups that were assessed after the death toll had risen experienced a great sense of grief and loss. The second trend observed was the development of serious issues after specific events had occurred, for example, a significant sense of grief and frustration after the death of a colleague.”