# Multimedia Appendix S1

## The method to estimate the time-dependent reproduction number (Rt)

The value of Rt represented the expected number of secondary cases arising from a primary case infected at time t. We used R.N. Thompsona’s method to estimate disease incidence time series and serial interval data for integrating the estimation of the serial interval distribution within the estimation of Rt. This allows Rt to be estimated directly not only from the most up-to-date incidence data, but also from up-to-date serial interval data.

The total number of incident cases increasing at *t* time, *It* is the sum of the number of incident localt (*It*local) and imported (*It*imported) cases.

*It* = *It*local + *It*imported

We assumed that in the early stage of epidemic, the most of cases were the imported cases, and in the later stage, the most of cases were came from local transmission. Rt as the ratio of the number of new locally infected cases at t time *It*local, and the total infection potential across all infected individuals at t time, ∆t. And the serial interval distribution *ws*, representing the probability of a secondary case arising a time period *s* infecting by the primary cases. Each incident cases appearing at a previous timestep t-s due to the current infectiousness given by *ws.* ∆t could be calculated as:

Then we assumed the local cases at *t* time was fitted from a Poisson distribution, the probability of observing *It*local cases at t time was

We made the assumption that the reproduction number was constant over a time period [*t*-*ℷ*, *t*], with *ℷ* representing the length of the time window over which Rt was estimated. The probability of observing the local incidence during *ℷ* time period, given the Rt and previous incidence data was

We used a gamma distributed prior, conjugate to the Poisson likelihood, to obtain an analytical formulation of the posterior distribution of Rt.

## The result of Comparing all provinces’ changing of Rt in China

As of February 16, the Rt of almost provinces in China (excluded Tibet) had gradually decreased, all of which were less than one, indicating that the existing non-pharmaceutical intervention had controlled the spread of the COVID-19 (Figure 6). In addition, we could see that several provinces (popular provinces which earlier outbreak in China, like Guangdong, Zhejiang, Beijing and Shanghai, the date of first case reported in China showed in Table S1, where outbreaks were under better control, with the Rt were gradually below one from February 5. However, in some provinces with relatively poor economic development (like Guizhou, Xinjiang), although the outbreak was relatively late, the epidemic was not well under control, and the Rt did not fall below on until February 14.

**Figure 6 The distribution of Rt in each province in China**

The different color showed the change of Rt, red meant the Rt was bigger than 1, and the darker the red color, the bigger Rt was; blue meant the Rt was below one, and the darker the red color, the smaller Rt was.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Table S1 The Date of first case reported in China** | |
| **Date** | **Province** |
| 20 Jan | Beijing, Guangdong, Shanghai |
| 21 Jan | Zhejiang, Jiangxi, Shandong, Henan, Hunan, Chongqing, Sichuan, Yunnan |
| 22 Jan | Hebei, Shanxi, Liaoning, Jilin, Jiangsu, Anhui, Fujian, Guangxi, Hainan, Guizhou, Gansu, Ningxia, |
| 23/24 Jan | Neimengu, Heilongjiang, Shaanxi, Qinghai, Xinjiang |