

Supplementary Material for “Comparative Analysis of Early Dynamic Trends in Novel Coronavirus Outbreak: a Modeling Framework”







Figure 1: (a) Daily average total traveling hours through airplane from Wuhan; (b) daily total traveling hours through railway from Wuhan; (c) highway mileage from Wuhan to the cities in Hubei; (d) and (e): migration rate from Wuhan during January 1 to 28, 2020.




(a) 28 provinces




(b) Hubei




(c) Wuhan




(d) Australia




(e) Austria




(f) Belgium




(g) Brazil




(h) Canada




(i) Chile




(j) Colombia




(k) Czechia




(l) Denmark



(m) Dominican Republic




(n) Finland




(o) France


Figure 2: Prediction error vs m by countries.




(a) Germany




(b) India




(c) Indonesia




(d) Iran




(e) Ireland




(f) Israel




(g) Italy




(h) Japan




(i) Korea South




(j) Luxembourg




(k) Malaysia




(l) Mexico



(m) Netherlands




(n) Norway




(o) Pakistan


Figure 3: Prediction error vs m by countries.




(a) Panama




(b) Philippines




(c) Poland




(d) Portugal




(e) Romania




(f) Russia




(g) Saudi Arabia




(h) Singapore




(i) Spain




(j) Sweden




(k) Switzerland




(l) Thailand



(m) Turkey



(n) US



(o) United Kingdom

Figure 4: Prediction error vs m by countries.




Figure 5: The estimation of η_t by countries.




Figure 6: The estimation of η_t by countries.




Figure 7: The estimation of η_t by countries.




Figure 8: The estimated (red-solid) and observed (black-dotted) number of infectious over time t , as well as 95% CI (red-dashed) of the estimators for 28 Provinces in China. The green dots refer to the number of reported cases which were not included for the model fitting.




Figure 9: The estimated (red-solid) and observed (black-dotted) number of infectious over time t , as well as 95% CI (red-dashed) of the estimators for 28 Provinces in China. The green dots refer to the number of reported cases which were not included for the model fitting.




Figure 10: The estimated (red-solid) and observed (black-dotted) cumulative number of infectious over time t , as well as 95% CI (red-dashed) of the estimators for the cities in Hubei.




Figure 11: The estimated (red-solid) and observed (black-dotted) cumulative number of infectious over time t , as well as 95% CI (red-dashed) of the estimators by countries.




Figure 12: The estimated (red-solid) and observed (black-dotted) cumulative number of infectious over time t , as well as 95% CI (red-dashed) of the estimators by countries.




Figure 13: The estimated (red-solid) and observed (black-dotted) cumulative number of infectious over time t , as well as 95% CI (red-dashed) of the estimators by countries.




Figure 14: The estimated (red-solid) and observed (black-dotted) cumulative number of infectious over time t , as well as 95% CI (red-dashed) of the estimators by countries.






Figure 15: The estimated (red-solid) and observed (black-dotted) cumulative number of infectious over time t , as well as 95% CI (red-dashed) of the estimators by countries.



(a) Japan



(b) Singapore

Figure 16: The curve of effective reproduction number for Japan and Singapore.

Table 1: Estimated sizes (95% CI) and observed size among 28 provinces in China

Level	Province	baseline size	Size	
			Observation	Prediction(CI 95%)
Low risk	Ningxia	1.63(0.34, 2.93)	42	52(38, 66)
	Jilin	1.64(0.39, 2.90)	62	65(50, 80)
	Tianjin	1.91(0.49, 3.34)	74	86(63, 109)
	Hainan	3.18(0.38, 5.99)	106	114(90, 138)
	Heilongjiang	3.48(0.88, 6.28)	252	288(239, 336)
	Liaoning	3.69(0.78, 6.59)	92	87(67, 107)
	InnerMongolia	4.14(0.99, 7.29)	44	55(41, 69)
	Gansu	4.27(1.12, 7.42)	64	75(59, 92)
Moderate risk	Guizhou	4.94(1.15, 8.73)	73	121(92, 150)
	Xinjiang	5.094(1.20, 8.99)	38	63(47, 79)
	Hebei	5.24(1.03, 9.45)	164	213(174, 251)
	Shanxi	6.25(1.68, 10.81)	93	107(92, 122)
	Yunnan	7.42(1.94, 12.90)	132	129(102, 156)
	Shaanxi	9.10(2.47, 15.73)	169	188(151, 227)
	Guangxi	11.35(3.03, 19.67)	170	185(153, 217)
	Anhui	11.86(1.89, 21.82)	628	646(584, 708)
	Jiangsu	11.97(2.95, 20.99)	390	444(408, 480)
	Shandong	12.94(3.49, 22.38)	361	392(346, 438)
High risk	Zhejiang	13.42(3.66, 23.38)	980	724(554, 894)
	Jiangxi	15.14(3.69, 26.59)	630	657(587, 727)
	Shanghai	16.01(4.14, 27.88)	262	257(226, 289)
	Fujian	16.35(4.20, 28.50)	220	238(205, 271)
	Chongqing	17.20(4.64, 29.76)	400	400(354, 446)
	Hunan	22.54(4.96, 40.11)	742	728(654, 802)
	Sichuan	23.41(6.13, 40.89)	332	389(349, 429)
	Beijing	28.46(7.09, 49.82)	286	332(284, 380)
	Henan	35.05(7.72, 62.38)	882	924(839, 1009)
	Guangdong	54.58(13.81, 95.35)	980	1030(917, 1143)

Baseline size is the estimated number of cases exported from Wuhan using transportation and immigration data between December 1 2019 to January 23, 2020.

Low risk: number of cases < 5 ; Moderate risk: $5 - 15$ and high risk: > 15 .