

Supplementary Materials

Diminishing Marginal Benefit of Social Distancing in Balancing COVID-19 Medical Demand-to-Supply

Authors: Pai Liu, Payton Beeler, and Rajan K. Chakrabarty*

Author Affiliation: Complex Aerosol Systems Research Laboratory, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO-63130, USA

*Address correspondence to R.K.C.: chakrabarty@wustl.edu

Interstate Mobility Matrix

The matrix of passenger fluxes (\mathbf{P}) was derived in the same manner as Brockmann and Helbing (1). Aviation data was first gathered from the United States Bureau of Transportation Statistics (2). This data included the number of passengers, origin, destination, and flight month. This information was sorted by month and arranged into an $n \times m$ matrix containing the total number of passengers traveling from state n to state m (where in this case $n=m=52$). The values stored within \mathbf{P} were then normalized by the total passenger flux to m (F_{nm}), such that the value of \mathbf{P} gives the probability that a passenger arriving to state m originated in state n . The daily total passenger flux ϕ of the air traffic network for each month is then calculated by taking the sum of F_{nm} and dividing by the number of days in the month.

Ground Based Traffic Volume

The 1995 American Travel Survey (ATS) is the most recent available data regarding the movement of passengers from state to state via ground transportation. The ATS was conducted to gather information on household trips of over 100 miles. This data was used to estimate interstate passenger flux via ground transportation. When this data is compared with air traffic, it was found that the daily passenger flux of the ground traffic was less than 1% of the total passenger flux of air traffic.

Time of Exposure Matrix

The time-of-exposure T_{ik} quantifies the mean time (per day, unit in minutes) that members in age group i is exposed to members in k . We obtained T_{ik} matrix data for American people from Ref (3) in which the T_{ik} statistics was derived from American Time Use Survey. Table S1 shows the T_{ik} matrix published in Ref (3)

Table S1. Average daily time of exposure between people in age-group k (column) and people in age-group i (row). (units: minutes per day).

	0-4 years	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	65-69	70-74	75-79
0-4 years	154	111	110	50	134	239	254	169	77	38	20	11	12	9	14	1
5-9	112	201	102	75	45	124	175	196	139	64	31	21	20	9	10	3
10-14	103	95	213	129	41	46	103	155	157	102	52	26	19	12	11	2
15-19	50	74	132	223	62	28	33	52	78	80	48	21	9	6	5	3
20-24	135	47	45	73	109	72	50	42	60	71	64	37	17	9	6	4
25-29	242	127	51	35	79	160	93	57	55	50	51	40	19	9	6	3
30-34	254	175	104	36	47	88	160	92	66	50	43	36	19	9	6	5
35-39	170	197	157	60	38	49	90	156	90	58	47	33	21	12	8	4
40-44	76	137	156	95	48	45	55	90	146	94	51	36	19	11	7	5
45-49	38	64	102	92	64	47	49	58	92	153	81	42	20	11	8	7
50-54	20	33	55	55	59	47	49	50	64	94	163	73	29	16	9	8
55-59	12	23	30	37	43	46	50	46	52	73	90	150	64	22	15	8
60-64	15	26	28	22	30	34	38	37	40	42	48	99	157	85	18	9
65-69	12	13	17	21	25	20	25	30	33	32	34	39	103	180	103	35
70-74	18	17	18	19	18	16	23	20	30	44	24	28	41	103	222	102
75-79	3	4	4	14	10	10	13	18	22	26	18	21	15	39	141	173

In Table S1, age groups are stratified with five years increment. We next calculated a T_{ik} matrix with age-groups stratified with ten years by taking the average values for the neighboring 2-by-2 elements in Table S1. This calculation can be generically expressed as:

$$T_{i:i+9,k:k+9} = \frac{1}{4} (T_{i:i+4,k:k+4} + T_{i+5:i+9,k:k+4} + T_{i:i+4,k+5:k+9} + T_{i+5:i+9,k+5:k+9}). \quad (S1)$$

Here, the $i:i+9$ in subscript denotes “between i and $i+9$ years”. The calculation results are outlined in Table S2.

Table S2. Average daily time of exposure between people in age-group k (column) and people in age-group i (row). Age groups are stratified with ten years increments (units: minutes per day).

	0-9 years	10-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70-79
0-9 years	144.5	84.25	135.5	198.5	79.5	20.75	12.5	7
10-19	80.5	174.25	44.25	85.75	104.25	36.75	11.5	5.25
20-29	137.75	51	105	60.5	59	48	13.5	4.75
30-39	199	89.25	55.5	124.5	66	39.75	15.25	5.75
40-49	78.75	111.25	51	63	121.25	52.5	15.25	6.75
50-59	22	44.25	48.75	48.75	70.75	119	32.75	10
60-69	16.5	22	27.25	32.5	36.75	55	131.25	41.25
70-79	10.5	13.75	13.5	18.5	30.5	22.75	49.5	159.5

Subsequently, the age-specific $R_{0,n,i}$ was calculated for age-groups 1-8 (comprising all people aged between 0 to 79 years), using the T_{ik} outlined in Table S2. We further assumed a $R_{0,n,9} = R_{0,n,8}$ based on the presumption that people in age-group 9 (those aged 80 years and above) have the same social contact pattern as those in age-group 8 (those aged between 70 and 79 years).

Model Calibration Period

Figure S1 shows the confirmed active cases of COVID-19 in the United States during the period between March 10 and April 7. A distinct inflection point in the epidemic trend can be observed around March 28. Such an inflection is characterized with a transition from a steep increasing trend (between March 19 and 28, shaded regime in Fig. S1) of confirmed active cases to a less steep one (after March 28). The slowing down of the increasing trend could be due to the country wide social distancing practices implemented in March have taken effect. We therefore assumed that the growth dynamics observed between March 19 and 28 actually represent a baseline scenario without social distancing intervention in effect.




Figure S1. Daily confirmed active COVID-19 cases in the United States between March 10 and April 7.





Inference Results





Table S3 outlines the inference results for the state-wise basic reproduction ratio $R_{0,n}$, the local documentation-to-infected ratio η_n , documentation-to-exposed ratio ζ_n , and the root-mean-squared-error (RMSE) between the best-fit model prediction and the ground truth.





Figure S2 shows the comparison between the state-wise daily observation of documented COVID-19 cases (circle) and the best-fit model prediction (line), along with the corresponding combination of ζ_n and η_n that gives rise to the minimum RMSE.





Table S3. State-wise epidemiological parameters inferred from the observational epidemic trends between March 19 and March 28





State	R_0	η	ζ	RMSE
AL	5.08	0.7	0.3	6/24/20
AK	3.99	0.8	0.3	6/3/20
AZ	5.99	0.5	0.1	6/15/20
AR	3.08	1	0.3	6/24/20
CA	4.05	0.4	0.2	6/8/20
CO	4.02	0.8	0.3	6/18/20
CT	4.08	1	0.2	6/25/20
DE	3.99	1	0.4	6/20/20
FL	5.08	0.7	0.3	6/18/20
GA	4.08	0.8	0.3	6/18/20
HI	3.08	0.9	0.2	6/12/20
ID	5.05	0.7	0.1	6/11/20
IL	4.99	0.3	0.1	6/16/20
IN	6.99	0.7	0.2	6/21/20
IA	4.04	0.8	0.3	6/24/20
KS	4.01	1	0.7	6/21/20
KY	4.08	0.7	0.2	6/24/20
LA	4.08	0.9	0.3	6/21/20
ME	2.99	0.9	0.5	6/23/20
MD	5.03	0.5	0.2	6/21/20
MA	6.99	0.7	0.6	6/17/20
MI	3.99	1	0.2	6/20/20
MN	2.99	0.8	0.3	6/19/20
MS	4.06	1	0.2	6/25/20
MO	7.03	0.6	0.1	6/21/20
MT	6.02	0.5	0.2	6/14/20
NE	2.08	0.9	0.4	6/21/20
NV	4.05	0.5	0.2	6/13/20
NH	3.03	0.9	0.4	6/8/20
NJ	6.02	0.8	0.3	6/20/20
NM	3.99	0.6	0.4	6/19/20
NY	4.05	0.5	0.1	6/8/20
NC	4.08	0.4	0.1	6/19/20
ND	3.99	0.4	0.2	6/25/20
OH	3.99	0.9	0.2	6/22/20
OK	6.05	0.6	0.8	6/23/20
OR	4.08	0.7	0.5	6/8/20
PA	6.99	0.3	0.1	6/21/20
RI	3.00	0.8	0.2	6/6/20
SC	3.06	1	0.2	6/23/20
SD	3.99	0.7	0.3	6/24/20
TN	4.04	0.8	0.2	6/18/20
TX	4.99	0.3	0.1	6/18/20
UT	4.07	0.4	0.1	6/16/20
VT	3.99	1	0.3	6/20/20
VA	3.99	0.9	0.4	6/23/20
WA	2.99	0.2	0.1	5/27/20
WV	7.99	0.8	0.1	6/25/20
WI	3.02	1	0.3	6/22/20
WY	5.08	0.1	0.1	6/25/20
DC	4.00	0.6	0.2	6/20/20
PR	4.99	0.7	0.1	6/22/20




























































Figure S2. Daily observation of documented active COVID-19 cases (circle) is compared with the best-fit model (line). The color maps show the corresponding combination of ζ and η that gives rise to the minimum RMSE

Age-Specific Hospitalization and Critical Condition Rate

The age-specific hospitalization rate ($P_{H,i}$) and critical condition rate ($P_{ICU,i}$) of COVID-19 patients are obtained from the work by Ferguson et al. 2020 (4). Values for $P_{H,i}$ and $P_{ICU,i}$ are outlined in table S4.

Table S4. Age-specific hospitalization rate ($P_{H,i}$) and critical condition rate $P_{ICU,i}$ of COVID-19 patients

age group (years)	$P_{H,i}$	$P_{ICU,i}$
0-9	0.001	0.05
10-19	0.003	0.05
20-29	0.012	0.05
30-39	0.032	0.05
40-49	0.049	0.063
50-59	0.102	0.122
60-69	0.166	0.274
70-79	0.243	0.432
80 and above	0.273	0.709

State-Wise Statistics:

Table S5 shows the state-wise population (N_n) and demographic composition ($f_{n,i}$). These datasets are obtained from the United States Census Bureau (5).

Table S6 outlines the state-wise number of hospital beds ($X_{H,n}$) and ICU beds ($X_{ICU,n}$). These numbers are obtained from the database of the Harvard Global Health Institute (6).

Table S5. State-wise population and demographic composition

State	Population	Fraction of aged 0-9 years	10-19 years	20-29 years	30-39 years	40-49 years	50-59 years	60-69 years	70-79 years	80 years and above
AL	4903185	0.1209	0.1281	0.1361	0.1243	0.1230	0.1332	0.1205	0.0752	0.0385
AK	731545	0.1426	0.1302	0.1555	0.1476	0.1173	0.1272	0.1091	0.0507	0.0197
AZ	7278717	0.1238	0.1325	0.1409	0.1292	0.1190	0.1200	0.1135	0.0803	0.0408
AR	3017804	0.1273	0.1322	0.1354	0.1267	0.1198	0.1270	0.1158	0.0759	0.0400
CA	39512223	0.1246	0.1288	0.1476	0.1434	0.1281	0.1270	0.1040	0.0609	0.0356
CO	5758736	0.1202	0.1279	0.1462	0.1502	0.1286	0.1246	0.1109	0.0609	0.0304
CT	3565287	0.1061	0.1287	0.1304	0.1233	0.1233	0.1482	0.1211	0.0730	0.0460
DE	973764	0.1148	0.1220	0.1296	0.1250	0.1148	0.1374	0.1306	0.0843	0.0414
FL	21477737	0.1080	0.1133	0.1271	0.1254	0.1222	0.1345	0.1247	0.0920	0.0529
GA	10617423	0.1277	0.1384	0.1411	0.1341	0.1317	0.1307	0.1050	0.0622	0.0290
HI	1415872	0.1229	0.1120	0.1372	0.1379	0.1191	0.1238	0.1214	0.0766	0.0490
ID	1787065	0.1364	0.1457	0.1334	0.1297	0.1176	0.1179	0.1145	0.0706	0.0341
IL	12671821	0.1208	0.1293	0.1375	0.1350	0.1258	0.1326	0.1136	0.0660	0.0394
IN	6732219	0.1268	0.1351	0.1390	0.1262	0.1218	0.1303	0.1155	0.0674	0.0379
IA	3155070	0.1265	0.1339	0.1369	0.1253	0.1141	0.1277	0.1192	0.0700	0.0463
KS	2913314	0.1329	0.1376	0.1402	0.1298	0.1145	0.1239	0.1140	0.0656	0.0415
KY	4467673	0.1236	0.1282	0.1369	0.1248	0.1241	0.1341	0.1196	0.0718	0.0368
LA	4648794	0.1306	0.1295	0.1402	0.1367	0.1170	0.1288	0.1152	0.0669	0.0352
ME	1344212	0.0985	0.1125	0.1163	0.1179	0.1195	0.1506	0.1469	0.0891	0.0486
MD	6045680	0.1216	0.1258	0.1316	0.1363	0.1264	0.1413	0.1138	0.0664	0.0369
MA	6892503	0.1058	0.1224	0.1452	0.1340	0.1223	0.1406	0.1179	0.0695	0.0423
MI	9986857	0.1159	0.1274	0.1390	0.1202	0.1194	0.1377	0.1259	0.0735	0.0410
MN	5639632	0.1276	0.1298	0.1313	0.1369	0.1182	0.1336	0.1166	0.0657	0.0404
MS	2976149	0.1267	0.1382	0.1393	0.1255	0.1204	0.1283	0.1154	0.0698	0.0363
MO	6137428	0.1225	0.1279	0.1364	0.1292	0.1175	0.1325	0.1194	0.0728	0.0417
MT	1068778	0.1187	0.1217	0.1352	0.1259	0.1110	0.1279	0.1370	0.0805	0.0420
NE	1934408	0.1375	0.1374	0.1377	0.1325	0.1144	0.1222	0.1124	0.0645	0.0414
NV	3080156	0.1244	0.1246	0.1350	0.1413	0.1298	0.1279	0.1129	0.0728	0.0312
NH	1359711	0.0983	0.1189	0.1294	0.1202	0.1214	0.1558	0.1360	0.0778	0.0422
NJ	8882190	0.1181	0.1253	0.1273	0.1296	0.1302	0.1437	0.1150	0.0687	0.0421
NM	2096829	0.1236	0.1331	0.1371	0.1297	0.1129	0.1245	0.1224	0.0769	0.0398
NY	19453561	0.1156	0.1180	0.1427	0.1364	0.1228	0.1368	0.1153	0.0692	0.0432
NC	10488084	0.1192	0.1299	0.1374	0.1274	0.1285	0.1326	0.1162	0.0723	0.0364
ND	762062	0.1380	0.1249	0.1641	0.1362	0.1049	0.1174	0.1100	0.0604	0.0440
OH	11689100	0.1200	0.1283	0.1339	0.1251	0.1197	0.1346	0.1236	0.0723	0.0423
OK	3956971	0.1340	0.1355	0.1406	0.1328	0.1170	0.1225	0.1110	0.0690	0.0375
OR	4217737	0.1138	0.1184	0.1357	0.1403	0.1264	0.1237	0.1259	0.0770	0.0388
PA	12801989	0.1115	0.1222	0.1316	0.1260	0.1182	0.1388	0.1270	0.0765	0.0482
RI	1059361	0.1033	0.1232	0.1447	0.1279	0.1182	0.1421	0.1229	0.0715	0.0463
SC	5148714	0.1177	0.1266	0.1350	0.1250	0.1211	0.1320	0.1251	0.0808	0.0367
SD	884659	0.1393	0.1344	0.1341	0.1285	0.1078	0.1237	0.1225	0.0664	0.0432
TN	6829174	0.1210	0.1264	0.1386	0.1287	0.1256	0.1324	0.1177	0.0733	0.0364
TX	28995881	0.1419	0.1437	0.1449	0.1431	0.1289	0.1191	0.0957	0.0546	0.0282
UT	3205958	0.1620	0.1632	0.1607	0.1421	0.1189	0.0964	0.0836	0.0482	0.0249
VT	623989	0.0973	0.1214	0.1337	0.1168	0.1158	0.1451	0.1423	0.0835	0.0441
VA	8535519	0.1204	0.1257	0.1389	0.1367	0.1273	0.1351	0.1123	0.0683	0.0354
WA	7614893	0.1234	0.1207	0.1421	0.1460	0.1249	0.1262	0.1156	0.0670	0.0341
WV	1792147	0.1087	0.1172	0.1255	0.1168	0.1241	0.1361	0.1386	0.0872	0.0458
WI	5822434	0.1177	0.1286	0.1325	0.1264	0.1179	0.1395	0.1245	0.0708	0.0421
WY	578759	0.1277	0.1305	0.1330	0.1351	0.1144	0.1242	0.1282	0.0706	0.0364
DC	705749	0.1185	0.0932	0.1936	0.2039	0.1171	0.1044	0.0877	0.0506	0.0310
PR	3193694	0.0907	0.1221	0.1358	0.1166	0.1267	0.1360	0.1242	0.0937	0.0541

Table S6. State-wise medical resources

State	Number of hospital beds	Number of ICU beds
AL	14793	1903
AK	1583	130
AZ	12590	1702
AR	8560	908
CA	68074	8105
CO	10335	1295
CT	7034	741
DE	1845	237
FL	53744	6433
GA	21861	2582
HI	2623	219
ID	2265	230
IL	25846	3106
IN	17546	2351
IA	9152	634
KS	5894	554
KY	11915	1331
LA	14484	1572
ME	3618	309
MD	8710	1053
MA	13352	1521
MI	24112	2724
MN	12610	1297
MS	10166	761
MO	22788	2604
MT	4371	277
NE	6064	565
NV	6051	1167
NH	2542	242
NJ	19999	1806
NM	2908	380
NY	50102	4308
NC	22158	3294
ND	4710	310
OH	27969	3635
OK	10459	1144
OR	7649	973
PA	34689	3846
RI	2249	293
SC	10313	1358
SD	4943	149
TN	21489	2601
TX	55629	7128
UT	5690	758
VT	1743	117
VA	16782	1902
WA	11618	1428
WV	5736	601
WI	11350	1533
WY	633	32
DC	5055	601
PR	NA	NA

References and Notes:

1. D. Brockmann, D. Helbing, The hidden geometry of complex, network-driven contagion phenomena. *Science* **342**, 1337-1342 (2013).
2. Air Carrier Statistics. Bureau of Transportation Statistics.
https://www.transtats.bts.gov/Tables.asp?DB_ID=111
3. E. Zagheni, F. C. Billari, P. Manfredi, A. Melegaro, J. Mossong, W. J. Edmunds, Using time-use data to parameterize models for the spread of close-contact infectious diseases. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **168**, 1082-1090 (2008).
4. N. Ferguson, D. Laydon, G. Nedjati Gilani, N. Imai, K. Ainslie, K., et al., Report 9: Impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce COVID19 mortality and healthcare demand. (2020). <https://spiral.imperial.ac.uk/handle/10044/1/77482>
5. United State Census Bureau. <https://www.census.gov/data/datasets/time-series/demo/popest/2010s-state-total.html>
6. Harvard Global Health Institute. <https://globalepidemics.org/our-data/hospital-capacity/>