The RECORD statement – checklist of items, extended from the STROBE statement, that should be reported in observational studies using routinely collected health data.

	Item No.	STROBE items	Location in manuscript where items are reported	RECORD items	Location in manuscript where items are reported	
Title and abstrac	_					
	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Title and abstract	RECORD 1.1: The type of data used should be specified in the title or abstract. When possible, the name of the databases used should be included. RECORD 1.2: If applicable, the geographic region and timeframe within which the study took place should be reported in the title or abstract. RECORD 1.3: If linkage between databases was conducted for the study, this should be clearly stated in the title or abstract.	"ALSPAC" in title – this has a defined area and timeframe (as reported in methods) Title	
Introduction						
Background rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Abstract and p.3 (introduction)			
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	p.4			
Methods						
Study Design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	p.4-5			
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	p.4-5			

Participants	6	(a) Cohort study - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study - Give the eligibility criteria, and the	p.4	RECORD 6.1: The methods of study population selection (such as codes or algorithms used to identify subjects) should be listed in detail. If this is not possible, an explanation should be provided.	p.6-7 and supplementary material
		sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants		RECORD 6.2: Any validation studies of the codes or algorithms used to select the population should be referenced. If validation was conducted for this study and not published elsewhere, detailed methods and results should be provided.	p.7 and supplementary material
		(b) Cohort study - For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study - For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	N/A	RECORD 6.3: If the study involved linkage of databases, consider use of a flow diagram or other graphical display to demonstrate the data linkage process, including the number of individuals with linked data at each stage.	Described in text (p.7)
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable.	p.5-7 and supplementary material	RECORD 7.1: A complete list of codes and algorithms used to classify exposures, outcomes, confounders, and effect modifiers should be provided. If these cannot be reported, an explanation should be provided.	Supplementary material and references
Data sources/ measurement	8	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	p.5-7		

Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	p.8		
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	p.7		
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen, and why	Supplementary material		
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) Cohort study - If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study - If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed Cross-sectional study - If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity	p.7-9 N/A p.8 & supplementary material Loss to follow-up was the outcome in this study		
		analyses	r··		
Data access and cleaning methods				RECORD 12.1: Authors should describe the extent to which the investigators had access to the database population used to create the study population.	Supplementary material

			1	DECORD 10.0 A .1 1 11	
				RECORD 12.2: Authors should	
				provide information on the data	
				cleaning methods used in the study.	
Linkage				RECORD 12.3: State whether the	p.6 and
				study included person-level,	supplementary
				institutional-level, or other data linkage	material
				across two or more databases. The	
				methods of linkage and methods of	
				linkage quality evaluation should be	
				provided.	
Results				provided.	
Participants	13	(a) Report the numbers of	p.7	RECORD 13.1: Describe in detail the	p.7
- artiorpanto		individuals at each stage of the	r.,	selection of the persons included in the	r.,
		study (e.g., numbers potentially		study (<i>i.e.</i> , study population selection)	
		eligible, examined for eligibility,		including filtering based on data	
		confirmed eligible, included in		quality, data availability and linkage.	
		<u> </u>		1	
		the study, completing follow-up,		The selection of included persons can	
		and analysed)		be described in the text and/or by	
		(b) Give reasons for non-		means of the study flow diagram.	
		participation at each stage.			
		(c) Consider use of a flow			
		diagram			
Descriptive data	14	(a) Give characteristics of study	Supplementary		
		participants (e.g., demographic,	material.		
		clinical, social) and information			
		on exposures and potential			
		confounders			
		(b) Indicate the number of			
		participants with missing data			
		for each variable of interest			
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> - summarise			
		follow-up time (e.g., average and			
		total amount)			
Outcome data	15	Cohort study - Report numbers	p.7, Figure 1		
Carconio data		of outcome events or summary	F.,, 1.2010 1		
		measures over time			
		Case-control study - Report			
		numbers in each exposure			

		category, or summary measures of exposure Cross-sectional study - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures			
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounderadjusted estimates and their precision (e.g., 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	Tables		
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done— e.g., analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Supplementary material		
Discussion					
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	p.11-12		
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	p.12-13	RECORD 19.1: Discuss the implications of using data that were not created or collected to answer the specific research question(s). Include discussion of misclassification bias, unmeasured confounding, missing data, and changing eligibility over time, as they pertain to the study being reported.	p.13
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives,	p.12-13		

		limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence			
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	p.14		
Other Informatio	n				
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Funding section		
Accessibility of protocol, raw data, and programming code				RECORD 22.1: Authors should provide information on how to access any supplemental information such as the study protocol, raw data, or programming code.	Availability of data and material section

^{*}Reference: Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttmann A, Harron K, Moher D, Petersen I, Sørensen HT, von Elm E, Langan SM, the RECORD Working Committee. The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement. *PLoS Medicine* 2015; in press.

^{*}Checklist is protected under Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.