**Supplementary Table 1. Model comparison: conditional logistic regression vs. unconditional logistic regression**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Overall Samples**a | | |  | **Paired Samples**a | | | | |
|  | Quartile Comparisonb | Case / Control | OR1c (95% CI) | p1c |  | Case / Control | OR2d (95% CI) | p2d | OR3e (95% CI) | p3e |
| **AA\_Hannum** | Q2 vs. Q1 | 376 / 420 | 1.28(0.85, 1.94) | 0.24 |  | 364/384 | 1.35(0.89, 2.06) | 0.16 | 1.39(0.91, 2.13) | 0.13 |
|  | Q3 vs. Q1 |  | 1.50(1.00, 2.25) | 0.05 |  |  | 1.62(1.06, 2.47) | 0.025 | 1.75(1.12, 2.74) | 0.014 |
|  | Q4 vs. Q1 |  | 1.53(1.01, 2.30) | 0.043 |  |  | 1.64(1.07, 2.51) | 0.022 | 1.73(1.11, 2.71) | 0.015 |
| **AA\_Horvath** | Q2 vs. Q1 | 379 / 419 | 1.16(0.77, 1.74) | 0.48 |  | 367 / 386 | 1.13(0.75, 1.71) | 0.56 | 1.18(0.77, 1.80) | 0.44 |
|  | Q3 vs. Q1 |  | 1.32(0.89, 1.97) | 0.17 |  |  | 1.38(0.91, 2.08) | 0.13 | 1.46(0.95, 2.24) | 0.08 |
|  | Q4 vs. Q1 |  | 1.15(0.77, 1.74) | 0.49 |  |  | 1.20(0.78, 1.82) | 0.4 | 1.28(0.83, 1.97) | 0.27 |
| **AA\_Pheno** | Q2 vs. Q1 | 379 / 420 | 1.38(0.91, 2.10) | 0.13 |  | 367 / 387 | 1.40(0.92, 2.14) | 0.12 | 1.39(0.92, 2.11) | 0.12 |
|  | Q3 vs. Q1 |  | 1.72(1.14, 2.59) | 0.009 |  |  | 1.73(1.14, 2.63) | 0.011 | 1.66(1.10, 2.51) | 0.016 |
|  | Q4 vs. Q1 |  | 1.56(1.04, 2.36) | 0.034 |  |  | 1.66(1.09, 2.53) | 0.019 | 1.67(1.07, 2.62) | 0.024 |
| **IEAA\_Hannum** | Q2 vs. Q1 | 379 / 420 | 1.72(1.14, 2.60) | 0.01 |  | 365 / 385 | 1.79(1.17, 2.73) | 0.007 | 1.90(1.23, 2.94) | 0.004 |
|  | Q3 vs. Q1 |  | 1.70(1.12, 2.57) | 0.013 |  |  | 1.78(1.15, 2.74) | 0.009 | 1.95(1.24, 3.08) | 0.004 |
|  | Q4 vs. Q1 |  | 1.58(1.04, 2.40) | 0.032 |  |  | 1.68(1.09, 2.59) | 0.02 | 1.83(1.15, 2.89) | 0.01 |
| **IEAA\_Horvath** | Q2 vs. Q1 | 379 / 419 | 1.23(0.82, 1.86) | 0.32 |  | 367 / 386 | 1.27(0.84, 1.94) | 0.26 | 1.32(0.87, 2.01) | 0.2 |
|  | Q3 vs. Q1 |  | 1.70(1.14, 2.53) | 0.009 |  |  | 1.77(1.17, 2.67) | 0.007 | 1.89(1.23, 2.92) | 0.004 |
|  | Q4 vs. Q1 |  | 1.16(0.77, 1.76) | 0.47 |  |  | 1.20(0.78, 1.84) | 0.4 | 1.28(0.83, 1.98) | 0.27 |
| **IEAA\_Pheno** | Q2 vs. Q1 | 379 / 420 | 1.16(0.76, 1.78) | 0.49 |  | 367 / 387 | 1.14(0.74, 1.76) | 0.54 | 1.11(0.73, 1.70) | 0.61 |
|  | Q3 vs. Q1 |  | 1.94(1.30, 2.91) | 0.001 |  |  | 1.87(1.24, 2.83) | 0.003 | 1.95(1.26, 3.01) | 0.003 |
|  | Q4 vs. Q1 |  | 1.55(1.02, 2.35) | 0.038 |  |  | 1.66(1.08, 2.55) | 0.021 | 1.75(1.11, 2.76) | 0.016 |

a The case/control number account for the subjects without any covariates missing or age acceleration measure outliers.

b Quartile cut-offs: **Q1**: <-3.2 for AA\_Hannum, < -3.7 for AA\_Horvath, < -4.8 for AA\_Pheno, < -3.2 for IEAA\_Hannum, for <-3.8 IEAA\_Horvath and < -4.2 for IEAA\_Pheno; **Q2**: -3.2 to -0.31 for AA\_Hannum, -3.7 to -0.21 for AA\_Horvath, -4.8 to -0.41for AA\_Pheno, -3.2 to -0.11 for IEAA\_Hannum, -3.8 to -0.11 for IEAA\_Horvath and -4.2 to -0.61 for IEAA\_Pheno; **Q3**: -0.3 to 3.1 for AA\_Hannum, -0.2 to 3.9 for AA\_Horvath, -0.4 to 4.2 for AA\_Pheno, -0.1 to 3.3 for IEAA\_Hannum, -0.1 to 3.9 for IEAA\_Horvath and -0.6 to 3.9 for IEAA\_Pheno; **Q4**: ≥ 3.1for AA\_Hannum, ≥ 3.9 for AA\_Horvath, ≥4.2 for AA\_Pheno, ≥3.3 for IEAA\_Hannum, ≥3.9 for IEAA\_Horvath and ≥3.9 for IEAA\_Pheno.

c Model 1: Unconditional logistic regression among overall samples with adjusting age at blood draw, cohort, race, date of blood draw, smoking status and BMI.

d Model 2: Unconditional logistic regression among paired samples with adjusting age at blood draw, cohort, race, date of blood draw, smoking status and BMI.

e Model 3: Conditional logistic regression with adjusting for BMI.