**Frequent Daytime Napping is Detrimental to Human Health: A Pan-phenotype Mendelian Randomization Study**
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**Supplementary Methods**

All the GWAS summary data based upon UK Biobank in this research can be obtained from Neale Lab (<http://www.nealelab.is/uk-biobank>, GWAS round 2), while other GWAS summary statistics are available on MR base (<http://www.mrbase.org/>)[1] or GWAS catalog (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/>).

**Part 1: Genome-wide association study of all phenotypes extracted from UK Biobank**

GWAS of all phenotypes from UK Biobank including PHESANT (<https://github.com/MRCIEU/PHESANT>)[2] and FinnGen consortium (<https://www.finngen.fi/>), which can be regarded as an early guide post of “quick and dirty” results that represent the preliminary landscape of new findings in the UK Biobank resource, were performed by Benjamin Neale Lab. The whole procedure can be divided into four parts: Phenotype collection and curation, quality control of sample and variant, association in Hail and assessing quality control.

All the phenotypes have been converted into normally-distributed quantitative, binary (TRUE/FALSE) or ordinal categorical variables by PHESANT, a method for association analysis between a trait of interest (such as a SNP, a PRS polygenic risk score, or a phenotype) and multiple phenotypes in order to minimize the model specifications of phenotypes and maintain some consistency of the model performance.

The quality control (QC) of samples and variants was carried out after linking phenotype to genotype. To ensure proper QC efficiency, part of quality control parameters used were directly provided by the UK Biobank, like the kinship relatedness, predicted ancestry, principal components, and per-SNP INFO scores. They screened 361,194 QC positive individuals with filters including removing individuals with sex chromosome aneuploidies, closely related individuals etc, and filtered down to 13.7 million QCed SNPs from 90 million SNPs, mainly from HRC, UK10K, and 1KG imputation, with further restriction (only autosomes and X chromosome, MAF > 0.01% or 1E-6 for coding variants, Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) p-value > 1e-10 and an INFO score > 0.8).

A linear regression model on all phenotypes for association has been developed to conduct massive and parallel computing using Hail (https://hail.is/), an open-source genomic data analysis tool which is built to scale and has first-class support for multi-dimensional structured data. After having summarized statistics output and QQ plot making, Neale Lab only focused on signs of statistical inflation to get a quick picture of the results without digging too deeply into any single phenotype. In the UK Biobank, daytime napping is a categorical variable coded with 1, 2, 3, which mean rarely, sometimes and usually respectively. Daytime napping frequency is assigned to the categorical ordered data type and tested with ordered logistic regression. To facilitate computation, all the OR value have been converted to beta effect size with log(OR). UKBB summary statistics were extracted using “read\_exposure\_data()” function of “TwoSampleMR” package.

**Part 2: Detailed information of GWAS from MR base and GWAS catalog**

Publicly available GWAS summery statistics (European only) were all from MR base (<http://www.mrbase.org/>). The data extraction was done using the “extract\_instruments ()” or “extract\_outcome\_data()” of “TwoSampleMR” package. Independent IVs were kept after applying “clump\_data()” function, with 1000 genomes EUR as the reference panel [3]. All the OR values have been transformed to beta coefficients to facilitate computation as well.

**Part 3: Comprehensive pan-phenotype MR analysis mainly focused on daytime napping frequency**

Mendelian randomization (MR) is a powerful method inferring causal relationship between the risk factor and outcome where three assumptions mentioned in the main document should be well satisfied [4]. In our MR analysis, three parts should be paid special attention to as below:

1. Valid IVs and data harmonization

All IVs proxying for daytime napping frequency are genome-wide significantly associated with the exposure (p-value <), and all the IVs are not associated with the outcome (p-value > 0.05/number of IVs). In order to ensure that the IVs for the exposure are independent, we clumped the original IVs with > 0.01 using 1000 genomes EUR as the reference panel [3]. Due to the effect allele of IVs on both the exposure and outcome must each correspond to the same one, we tried to infer the forward strand alleles using allele frequency information to harmonize the data and discarded ambiguous IVs and palindromic ones that could not be inferred [5].

1. MR analysis

There are numerous statistical methods for MR analysis, and we mainly employed Wald ratio to estimate the effect of exposure on the outcome for single IV and inverse variance weighted (IVW) was applied to combine the effect sizes of Wald ratio estimations[6].

i) Wald ratio:

Here, is the IV’s effect on the outcome; is the IV’s effect on the exposure; se denotes the standard error.

ii）IVW

Here, n is the total number of IVs and i represents the No.i IV; is the combined effect size from Wald ratio estimations; is the No.i IV’s effect size from Wald ratio estimation; is the weight used for linear regression.

The standard error of can be estimated as:

1. Heterogeneity and pleiotropy analysis

Some of the MR methods can also perform tests for heterogeneity such as IVW. Horizontal pleiotropy occurs when the variant exerts its effect on the outcome not via that on the exposure in MR, and we use MR-PRESSO and MR-Egger to test the horizontal pleiotropy[7, 8]. MR-PRESSO (<https://github.com/rondolab/MR-PRESSO>) is a method that allows for the evaluation of horizontal pleiotropy in multi-instrument Mendelian Randomization utilizing genome-wide summary association statistics, assessing horizontal pleiotropy through detection of horizontal pleiotropy, correction of horizontal pleiotropy via outlier removal and testing of significant distortion in the causal estimates before and after outlier removal.

MR-Egger's regression method originated from the Egger regression method which was tested for publication bias in meta-analysis, whose regression method can be expressed as follows:



Γj means effect estimates between IVs and outcome; λj means effect estimates between IVs and exposure; The slope β means effect estimates between exposure and outcome; Intercept β0 means IVs average of pleiotropy, that is the effect of IVs substituted pleiotropic path on outcome except exposure. MR-Egger tested the difference between intercept and zero to appraise whether there existed horizontal pleiotropy.

1. Statistical power estimation of MR analysis.

Statistical power estimation was made according to the equations proposed by Brion et al [9]. Power calculation was performed in their web-based application (<http://cnsgenomics.com/shiny/mRnd/>). We calculated the statistical power of our MR taking MDD as an example. In this case, the outcome GWAS involved around 14,000 samples with 3500 samples. If the true causal effect were 0.55 (or odds ratio of 1.72) as indicated in Table1, our MR analysis have 100% statistical power.

**Part 4. Heritability estimate of daytime napping frequency using LDSC.**

SNP heritability (h2) of daytime napping frequency was estimated using partitioned LD Score regression [10, 11]. The analysis was done using MTAG (<https://github.com/omeed-maghzian/mtag>) with the baseline-LD 1.1 model [12]. The SNP heritability of UKBB phenotypes has been prepared by Neale Lab and could be found at <https://nealelab.github.io/UKBB_ldsc/h2_browser.html>.
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