**STable 1a.** Overview of clinical studies included in this review

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| AuthorYearCountry | SampleMean Age% female | Design | Measures of childhood adversity | Mediator(s) | Analysis | Boostrap (yes / no) / confounders (yes / no) | Psychosis | Main findingsPathwayTotal / partial mediationDirect Effect (DE)Indirect effect (IE)% total effect mediated | Quality Score |
| Appiah-Kusi(1) 2017 UK | 30 UHR; 38 HC23.956.7% | Cross sectionalCase control study | EACTQ | (1) Schematic beliefs (BCSS)1.a - Negative Self-schemas (NSS) | Regression based approach | YesYes (Cannabis use, depression, anxiety) | (1) UHR caseness (2) Paranoia(PSQ) | **EN 🡪 BCSS 🡪 UHR**Partial mediation (DE = 0.261\*; IE = 0.045\*)% = 14.7**EN 🡪 BCSS 🡪 PSQ**Partial mediation (DE = 1.353\*; IE = 0.988\*)% = 42.2Other adversities were not related to outcomes so were not included for mediation analyses | 6 |
| Chatziioannidis(2)2019Switzerland | 63 SSP; 61 HC44.4 SSP30.16% SSP39.33 HC29.5% HC | Cross sectionalCase control study | CompositeCECA.Q | (1) Attachment (ECR-R)1.a - Avoidance1.b - Anxiety | Parallel Multiple mediation model | YesYes (education) | (A) Caseness (SSP)(MINI) | **CT 🡪 1.b 🡪 SSP**Partial mediation (DE = 1.70\*; IE = 1.24\*)% = 41.9No significant mediation of attachment avoidance between CT and SSP | 6 |
| Choi Ji(3) 2015Republic of Korea | 126 psychosis36.155.6% | Cross sectional | Composite abuse (CA) Korean CTQ | (1) Posttraumatic stress symptoms (IESR) | SEM | NoNo | (1) Psychotic symptoms (PS)PSYCH subscale of the PSY-5 factor scale of MMPI-2 | **CA 🡪 IESR 🡪 PS**Partial mediation (DE = 0.30\*; IE = 0.171\*) % = 36.3  | 4 |
| Evans(4)2015UK | 29 EP; 31 HC18-38 EP34.5% EPNA HC38.7% HC | Cross sectionalCase control study | Composite and subscales (SA, PA, EA, PN, EN) CTQ | (1) The Self-Concept Clarity Scale (SCCS)(2) Dissociation (DES-II) | Mediation analysis  | YesNo | (1) Caseness (PANSS) | **EN 🡪 SCCS 🡪 1**Total mediation (DE = 0.033; IE = 0.157\*)% = 82.8None of the other total effects were significant so no mediation was possibleThe remaining pathways between EN and caseness through SCCS and DES were not significant  | 5 |
| Hardy(5) 2016 UK | 228 psychosis38.2 27.6% | Cross sectional | Childhood sexual abuse (SA), childhood physical abuse (PA) Childhood emotional abuse (EA)THQ | (1) PTSD symptoms(SRS-PTSD)1.a - Avoidace & Numbing1.b - Hyperarousal1.c - Intrusive trauma memory(2) Cognitive bias / schemas (BCSS)2.a - Negative others beliefs | Mediation analysis | No Yes (age, gender and ethnicity | (A) Positive symptoms A.1 - Auditory hallucinationsA.2 - Persecutory hallucinationsA.3 - Ideas of reference | **SA 🡪 1.a 🡪 A.1**Total mediation (DE = 2.052; IE = 1.475\*)%=48.74**SA 🡪 1.b 🡪 A.1**Total mediation (DE = 2.104; IE = 1.439\*)%=47.5**EA 🡪 2.a 🡪 A.2**Total mediation (DE = 1.889; IE = 1.359\*)%=52.9Non-significant mediating effects of CSA with A1 through 2.a; CEA with A.3 through 2.a and CSA with A.1 through 1.c | 5 |
| Isvoranu(6) 2016 Netherlands | 552 psychosis30.76 25% | Cross sectional | SA, PA, EA, PN, EN CTQ | (1) 18 items from general psychopathology (PANSS) | Network based analysis | NoYes (all PANSS items) | (1) 6 items from positive dimension (PANSS) | Suggested mediation in pathways:1) EA 🡪Anxiety 🡪 paranoia / suspicioness2) PA 🡪 impulse control 🡪 grandiosity / excitement / hostility 3) PN 🡪 motor retardation 🡪 Negative symptoms % =N/A | 4 |
| McDonnell(7)2018UK | 64 UHR22.540.6% | Cross sectional | Bullying severity in childhood / adolescence (BS)RBQ | (1) Interpersonal sensitivity (IS) (IPSM)  | Path analysis  | YesNo | (1) Paranoid ideation (PI) (SSPS) | **BS (Childhood) 🡪 IS 🡪 PI**Total mediation (DE = 0.131; IE = 0.129\*)% = 49.6Bullying in adolescence was not significantly associated with paranoid ideation | 5 |
| Morgan(8) 2014UK | 390 FEP; 391 HC30.5 44.1% | Cross sectionalCase control study  | Parental separation / deathMRC | (1) Self-Esteem (RSES) | Multiple mediation analyses | YesYes (age, gender, ethnicity, study centre, parental history of psychosis and IQ) | (1) FEP caseness based on ICD-10 | Null mediation  | 6 |
| Peach(9)2019Australia | 66 FEP20.1854.5% | Cross sectional | CompositeCTQ | (1) Post traumatic intrusions (CAPS)(2) Trauma related beliefs (PTCI) | Simple mediation analyses | YesNo | (A) Hallucinations (PANSS)(B) Delusions(PANSS) | **CT 🡪 CAPS 🡪 A**Total mediation (DE = 0.01; IE = 0.01\*)% = 50**CT 🡪 CAPS 🡪 B**Total mediation (DE = 0.01; IE = 0.02\*)% = 66.6**CT 🡪 PTCI 🡪 A**Total mediation (DE = 0.01; IE = 0.01\*)% = 50**CT 🡪 PTCI 🡪 B**Total mediation (DE = 0.01; IE = 0.02\*)% = 66.6 | 5 |
| Perona‐Garcelán(10)2012 Spain | 71 psychosis39.1 31.5%  | Cross sectional | CompositeTQ | (1) Dissociation (DES-II) 1.a - DissociativeAmnesia (DAM)1.b - Absorption and Imaginative Involvement(ABI)1.c – Depersonalization / Derealization (DP) | Simple and multiple mediation analysis | YesNo | (1) Hallucinations (PANSS)(2) Delusion(PANSS) | **TQ 🡪 DES 🡪 hallucinations** Total mediation (DE = 0.20; IE = 0.21\*)% = 51.2**TQ 🡪 DP 🡪 hallucinations**Total mediation (DE = 0.20; IE = 0.19\*)% = 46.3No mediating effects on delusions or mediating effects of ABI, DAM on hallucinations |  5 |
| Schalinski(11) 2017 Germany | 180 psychosis28.631.7% | Cross sectional Case control study  | Composite (CT) and specific (abuse, neglect, neglect age 10)MACE | (1) Dissociation (SDS) | Mediation Analysis | YesYes (age, gender) | (1) Psychotic symptoms (PANSS) | **CT 🡪 SDS 🡪 positive symptoms**Total mediation (DE = 0.20; IE = 0.07\*)% = 25.9No mediating effects with specific trauma subscales   | 6  |
| Steenkamp(12)2019Netherlands | 50 NAP31.842.4% | Cross sectional | Composite abuse (CA)CECA.Q | (1) Loneliness (ESM)(2) Depressive symptoms (ESM)(3) Anxious Symptoms (ESM) | SEM | NoNo | (A) Positive symptoms(ESM) | **CA 🡪 1 🡪 A**Total mediation (DE = -0.01; IE = 0.08\*)% = 114No significant indirect effect of CT onpositive symptoms through depressive or anxious feelings | 4 |
| Styla(13)2019Poland | 45 SCZ45 HC42.4 SCZ48.9% SCZ41.78 HC46.7% HC | Cross sectionalCase control study | CompositeChildhood adversities (CA)CEQ | (1) Time Perspective (TP) (ZTPI) | Mediation analyses | YesYes (education) | Caseness (SCZ) based onICD-10 | **CA 🡪 TP 🡪 SCZ**Total mediation (DE = 0.352; IE = 0.249\*)% = 37 | 6 |
| Sun(14)2018Australia | 66 FEP20.1854.5% | Cross sectional | Composite and Binary CTQ | (1) Dissociation (SCID-D-R) | Simple mediation analyses | YesNo | (1) Positive symptoms (PANSS)1.a -Hallucinations1.b - Delusions | **CTQ 🡪 SCID-D-R 🡪 1.b**Total mediation (DE = 0.01; IE = 0.02\*)% = 66.6Non-significant mediation of dissociation between Ct and hallucinations | 5 |
| Thompson(15) 2016 Australia | 233 UHR 18.5 at baseline48.8% | Prospective. Mean of 7.0 years (SD 3.2) follow-up | Sexual trauma (ST) score CTQ | (1) Anxiety(2) Depression(3) Dissociation(4) Mood swings(5) ManiaHAM-A, CAARMS | Mediation analysis | NoNo | (1) Transition to psychotic disorder Based on CAARMS BPRS | Null mediation  | 6 |
| Van Dam(16) 2014 USA | 131 psychosis31.216% | Cross sectional | Composite CTQ | (1) Attachment (PAM)1.a - Avoidant 1.b - Anxiety   | Regressions analyses following Baron and Kenny criteria | NoYes (age and gender) | (1) Positive symptoms (SAPS)  | Null mediation | 4 |
| Varese(17) 2012 UK | 45 psychosis;20 HC44.6 46.7% | Cross sectional Case control study | Composite (CATS) and specific (SA, PA, EA, N) CATS | (1) Dissociation (DES) | Mediation analysis | YesNo  | (1) Hallucinations (LSHR-R) | **CATS 🡪 dissociation 🡪 hallucinations**Partial mediation (DE = 0.15\*; IE = 0.11\*)% = 42.3**SA 🡪 dissociation 🡪 hallucinations**Partial mediation (DE = 0.77\*; IE = 0.57\*)% = 42.8No mediating effects with specific trauma subscales  | 5  |
| Weijers(18) 2018 Netherlands | 87 NAP31.7 35.6% | Cross sectional | Composite abuse (Abuse)CECA | (1) Mentalising capabilities (MC) (HT) | Mediation analysis | YesNo | (1) Positive PANSS | Null mediating effect with positive symptoms (only with negative partial mediation) | 5 |
| Wickham(19) 2016 UK | 72 psychosis; 72 HC43.5 36.1% | Cross sectionalCase control study | Specific (childhood sexual abuse (SA) ; Childhood emotional neglect (EN) (bullying, PA, EA also initially explored)CTQ and RBC | (1) Perception of injustice (BJW)1.a - Personal 1.b - General  | Mediation analysis | YesYes (age, gender, SA, hallucinationsand paranoia)  | (1) Paranoia(2) Hallucinations (PANSS) | **EN 🡪 Personal 🡪 Paranoia**Partial mediation (DE = 0.11\*; IE = 0.032\*)% = N/A No mediating effect of general injustice on paranoia, or personal and general perception of injustice on hallucinations | 6  |

**STable 1b** Overview of General population studies included in this review

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| AuthorYearCountry | SampleMean Age% female | Design | Measures of childhood adversity | Mediator(s) | Analysis | Boostrap (yes / no) / confounders (yes / no) | Psychosis | Main findingsPathwayTotal / partial mediationDirect Effect (DE)Indirect effect (IE)% total effect mediated | Quality Score |
| Ashford(20)2012UK | 135 19.891.1% | Cross sectional | Bullying subscales: direct physical aggression (DPA); direct verbal aggression (DVA); Indirect aggression (IA) DIAS | (1) Interpersonal sensitivity (IPSM)(2) Anxiety (HADS)(3) Depression (HADS)(4) Negative core beliefs (BCSS)4.a - Negative self beliefs4.b - Negative beliefs others | Multiple mediation | YesYes (ethnicity, gender and other bullying categories) | (a) Paranoia (ideas of social reference) (GPTS)(b) Paranoia(persecution)(GPTS) | **IA 🡪 Depression 🡪 a**Suggested mediation (IE = 0.11; DE = N/A% = N/A**IA 🡪 Negative self beliefs 🡪 a**Suggested mediation(IE = 0.16; DE = N/A)% = N/A**IA 🡪 Depression 🡪 b**Suggested mediation(IE = 0.10; DE = N/A)% = N/A**IA 🡪 Negative self Beliefs 🡪 b**Suggested mediation(IE = 0.18; DE = N/A)% = N/A**DVA 🡪 Negative beliefs others 🡪 a**Suggested mediation(IE = 0.24; DE = N/A)% = N/A**DVA 🡪 Negative beliefs others 🡪 b**Suggested mediation (IE = 0.11; DE = N/A)% = N/A | 5 |
| Bortolon(21) 2017 France | 42536.2379.1% | Cross sectional | Specific (PA, EA)CTQ | (1) Maladaptative schemas (MS)(SQ-SF):1.a - Abandonment(2) Dissociation(DES):2.a - Defensive dissociation | PLS-SEM | YesYes (age, gender, psychopathology) | (1) Auditory hallucination (AH) (LSHS-R) | **PA 🡪 2.a 🡪 AH**Suggested mediation (DE: N/A; IE: 0.1081\*)% = N/A**EA 🡪 1.a 🡪 AH**Suggested mediation(DE: N/A; IE: 0.055\*)% = N/A | 5  |
| Bortolon(22)France2018 | 40333.2482.1% | Cross sectional | Composite (CT)CTQ | (1) Defensive dissociation(DES) | SEM | YesYes (age, gender, anxiety, depression) | (A) Seeing visions (LSHS)(B) Hearing voices(LSHS) | **CT 🡪 1 🡪 A**Total mediation (DE = 0.008; IE = 0.122\*)% = 93.7**CT 🡪 2 🡪 B**Partial mediation(DE = 0.090\*; IE = 0.124\*)% = 57.94 | 6 |
| Boyda(23)2015 Northern Ireland | 74034651.4% | Cross sectional | Specific (SA, EN)Questionnaire | (1) Social functioning1.a - Loneliness(NA) | Logistic mediation analyses | YesNo | Psychotic-like experiences (PSQ) | **SA 🡪 Loneliness 🡪 PSQ** Total mediation (DE = 1.38; IE = 0.22\*)% = N/ATotal mediation**EN 🡪 Loneliness 🡪 PSQ**Total mediation (DE = 0.94; IE = 0.46\*)% = N/A | 5 |
| Boyda(24)2018UK | 3023669.9% | Cross sectional | Composite, subscales (EA, SA)ACE-IQ | (1) Early Maladaptative Schemas (EMS)(YSQ-SF)1.a – Defectiveness / Shame1.b – Dependency / Incompetence1.c – Enmeshment / Undeveloped self1.d - Emotional inhibition | Multiple mediation analyses | NoYes (age, gender, urbanicity, ethnicity, socio-economic status, drug use) | (1) Psychotic experiences (PE)(CAPE)  | **EA 🡪 1.b 🡪 PE**Suggested mediation (DE = N/A; IE = 0.083\*)% = N/A**SA 🡪 1.b 🡪 PE**Suggested mediation (DE = N/A; IE = 0.073\*)% = N/A**EA 🡪 1.c 🡪 PE**Suggested mediation(DE = N/A; IE = 0.063\*)% = N/A**SA 🡪 1.c 🡪 PE**Suggested mediation (DE =NA; IE = 0.043\*)% = N/A | 5 |
| Cole(25)2016UK | 20019.9682.5% | Cross sectional | Composite Childhood maltreatment (CM) CATS | (1) Dissociation:1.a - Dissociative amnesia (DA) (DES-II)1.b1 -Depersonalization- (CDS)1.b2 - Depersonalization (DES-II)1.c - Absorption (Abs)(DES-II) | (A) Simple and (B) multiple mediation analysis  | YesNo | (1) Hallucination-proneness (HP) (LSHS-R) (2) Delusional ideation (DI) (PDI) | (A) Simple **CM 🡪 Dissociation 🡪 HP**Partial mediation(DE = 2.92\*; IE = 3.94\*)% = 57.4**CM 🡪 Dissociation 🡪 DI**Partial mediation (DE = 10.90\*; IE = 10.75\*)% = 49.6(B) Multiple**CM 🡪 Abs 🡪 HP**Total mediation (DE = 1.64; IE = 3.45\*)%=50.29 **CM 🡪 DA 🡪 DI**Partial mediation (DE = 7.45\*; IE = -3.68\*)% = -16.99**CM 🡪 Abs 🡪 DI**Partial mediation(DE = 7.45\*; IE = 7.18\*)% = 33.1Remaining items within dissociation were not significant | 5   |
| Fisher(26)2012UK | 2122765.4% | Cross sectional | Specific (EA, PA)CTQ | (1) Depression (BDI)(2)Anxiety (BAI)(3) Negative schematic beliefs (BCSS)3.a - Negative self-schemas3.b - Negative others schemas | Mediation analysis | YesYes (gender, age, ethnicity, family history) | (1) Paranoia (PSQ) | **EA 🡪 Anxiety 🡪 Paranoia**Total mediation (DE = 1.16; IE = 1.05\*) % = 17.57No mediation effects of depression, negative self-schemas and other schemas | 6  |
| Fisher(27) 2013UK | 669212.950.9% | ProspectiveFrom childhood (8, 21, 33, 47, 61, 73 months) to mean age of 12.9 yoa | Specific (Harsh parenting (HP), domestic violence (DV) and bullying victimization (BV))Questionnaire to mothers ; Bullying and Friendship Interview Schedule (BI) | (1) External locus of control (LoC) (12 item version of NSIE)(2) Self Steem (shortened form of Harter`s Self Perception Profile for Children)(3) Affective symptoms (DAWBA and SMFQ)3.a - Anxiety3.b - Depression | Multiple mediation analysis | YesYes (gender, ethnicity, birth weight, family history of schizophrenia, depression or suicide, child’s IQ, and general family adversity) | (1) Psychotic Symptoms (PS)(PLIKSi) | **HP 🡪 Anxiety 🡪 PS** Total mediation (DE = 1.02; IE = 1.01\*)% = 21**DV 🡪 Anxiety 🡪 PS**Total mediation (DE = 1.06; IE = 1.00\*)% = 8**BV 🡪 Anxiety 🡪 PS**Partial mediation (DE = 1.14\*; IE = 1.00\*)% = 2**HP 🡪 Depression🡪 PS**Total mediation (DE = 1.00; IE = 1.03\*)% = 94**DV 🡪 Depression 🡪 PS**Total mediation (DE = 1.04; IE = 1.01\*)% = 18**BV 🡪 Depression 🡪 PS**Partial mediation (DE=1.14\*; IE = 1.01\*)% = 8**HP 🡪 LoC 🡪 PS** Total mediation (DE = 1.01; IE = 1.01\*)% = 47**DV 🡪 LoC 🡪 PS**Total mediation (DE = 1.03; IE = 1.00\*)% = 1**BV 🡪 LoC 🡪 PS**Partial mediation (DE = 1.13\*; IE = 1.02\*)% = 13**HP 🡪 Self-steem 🡪 PS**Total mediation (DE = 1.00; IE = 1.01\*)% = 97**DV 🡪 self-steem 🡪 PS**Total mediation (DE = 1.04; IE = 1.00\*)% = 7**BV 🡪 Self steem 🡪 PS**Partial mediation (DE = 1.13\*; IE = 1.01\*)% = 10**HP 🡪 all mediators 🡪 PS**Total mediation (DE = 0.97; IE = 1.04\*)% = 100**BV 🡪 all mediators 🡪 PS**Partial mediation (DE = 1.10\*; IE = 1.04\*)% = 29**DV 🡪 all mediators 🡪 PS**Total mediation (DE = 1.03; IE = 1.02\*)% = 42 | 8 |
| Gaweda(28)2019Germany | 64951.155.2% | Cross sectional | Composite (CT), Abuse, neglectCTQ | (1) Aberrant salience Inventory (ASI)(2) Anomalous self-experiences (IPASE) | Parallel multiple mediation models | YesYes (gender) | Psychotic-like experiences(PLE) (PQ) | **CT 🡪 1 + 2 🡪 PLE**Total mediation (DE = 0.05; IE 1 = 0.076\*; IE 2 = 0.1443\*)% 1 = 28.1% 2 = 53.4**Neglect 🡪 1 + 2 🡪 PLE**Total mediation (DE = 0.01; IE 1 = 0.0451\*; IE 2 = 0.1404\*)% 1 =26.5% 2 =82.58**Abuse 🡪 1 + 2 🡪 PLE**Partial mediation (DE = 0.10\*; IE 1 = 0.092\*; IE 2 = 0.1188\*)% 1 = 29.67% 2 = 38.32 | 6 |
| Gibson(29)2019USA | 94520.1375.6% | Cross sectional | - CTQ- Binary- Before 18- No- Composite (CT) | (1) Perceived Stress(PSS)(2) Dissociation (DES)(3) Cognitive bias3.1 Negative self-schemas (BCSS)3.2 Negative others- schemas (BCSS)3.3 External locus of control(RI-E) | Multiple mediation analyses | YesYes (gender, race, age) | (A) Psychotic-Like Experiences(PLE) (PQ) | **Multiple mediation****CT 🡪 1 🡪 PLE**Total mediation (DE = 0.04; IE = 0.0405\*)% = 25.31**CT 🡪 2 🡪 PLE**Total mediation (DE = 0.04; IE = 0.0549\*)% = 34.31**CT 🡪 3.1 🡪 PLE**Total mediation (DE = 0.04; IE = 0.007\*)% = 14.43**CT 🡪 3.2 🡪 PLE**Total mediation (DE = 0.04; IE = 0.0141\*)% = 8.81**CT 🡪 3.3 🡪 PLE**Total mediation (DE = 0.04; IE = 0.0071\*)% = 4.43Only multiple mediation analyses presented and considered as the total effect of simple analyses are missing | 6 |
| Goodall(30)2015UK | 28326.872% | Cross sectional | EA analysed in mediationCTQ | (1) Adult Attachment (ECR-R)1.a - Attachment avoidance (AAv)1.b - Attachment anxiety (AAn) | Parallel multiple mediation analysis | YesNo | (1) Schizotypy(SPQ-B) | **EA 🡪 AAv 🡪 Schizotypy**Partial mediation (DE = 0.35\*; IE = 0.04\*) % = 13**EA 🡪 Aan 🡪 Schizotypy**Partial mediation (DE = 0.35\*; IE =0.06\*)% = 8 | 5 |
| Jaya(31)2016 Germany | 2350 32.537% | Cross sectional | Composite of Social Adversity (SocA) including bullying and abuseBVQ /NEMESIS | (1) Social rank with the Social Comparison Scale (SCS)(2) Negative schemasBrief Core Schema Scales (BCSS)(3) Loneliness (UCLA) | SEM | YesNo | (1) Positive (PS) and negative symptoms (CAPE)(only positive considered) | **SocA 🡪 Loneliness 🡪 PS**Suggested mediation (DE = N/A; IE = 0.02\*)% = N/A**SocA 🡪 BCSS 🡪 PS**Suggested mediation (DE = N/A; IE = 0.12\*)% = N/ANo mediation for SCS on positive symptoms | 4 |
| Lincoln(32) 2017Germany | 56224.31 49.3% | Prospective (follow up at 4, 8, 12 months)  | NEMESISScore Before 16NoComposite (CT)  | (1) Emotion regulation (ER) (ERSQ) | SEM | Yes No | (1) Subthreshold psychotic experience (CAPE)1.a - Distress1.b - Frequency | **CT 🡪 ER 🡪 Distress**Partial mediation (DE = 0.069\*; IE = 0.005\*)% = 7.1No mediating effect for symptom frequency | 6 |
| Marwaha(33)2013UK | 8580 + 7403 Baseline2406 FU NANA | Cross sectional | Sexual abuse (SA)Questionnaire | (1) Mood instability (MI) (BPD section of the SCID-II) | Mediation analysis  | No Yes (age, gender, marital status, employment status and ethnicity, PTSD, current affective state and hypomanic symptoms) | (1) PsychoticPhenomena (PSQ)1.a - Probably psychosis (PP)1.b - Paranoid ideation (PI)1.c - Auditory Hallucinations (AH) | **SA 🡪 MI 🡪 PP**Suggested mediation% = 34.6**SA 🡪 MI 🡪 PI**Suggested mediation% = 34.5**SA 🡪 MI 🡪 AH**Suggested mediation% = 25.3 | 4 |
| Marwaha(34) 2015 UK | 5689N/AN/A | Cross-sectional | Sexual abuse (Non consensual intercourse (NCI), contact abuse (CA))Questionnaire | (1) Anxiety (CIS-R)(2) Depressive symptoms (CIS-R)(CIS-R) (analyzed together)  | Mediation analysis | NoYes (gender, age, ethnicity, education, being brough by both partens until 16) | (1) Psychotic symptoms (PSQ) | **NCI 🡪 1, 2 🡪 PSQ**Partial mediation (DE = 4.08\*; IE (together) = 2.41\*)% anxiety = 20.4% depression = 37.4**CA 🡪 1, 2 🡪 PSQ**Total mediation (DE = 2.14; IE (together) = 1.60\*)% anxiety = 24.1% depression = 37.1IE shows combined effects of 1, 2Percentages shows mediators examined separately | 5 |
| McCarthy-Jones(35)2018Ireland | 578851.7155.4% | Cross sectional | Childhood Sexual abuse (CSA)Questionnaire from APMS | (1) Anxiety (CIS-R)(2) Obsessional thought (CIS-R)(3) Compulsions (CIS-R)(4) PTSD (APMS questionnaire)(5) Depression (CIS-R) | Regression-based approach | YesYes (age, gender, ethnicity, education, IQ, depression) | Auditory verbal hallucinations (AVH) (PSQ) | **CSA 🡪 3 🡪 AVH**Partial mediation (DE = 5.15\*; IE = 1.10\*)% = 5.41**CSA 🡪 4 🡪 AVH**Partial mediation (DE = 5.15\*; IE = 1.11\*)% = 5.93Not significamt effect of anxiety, obsessions and depression between CSA and AVH | 6 |
| Murphy(36) 2015UK | 785 16.256.1%  | Cross sectional | CompositeELES  | (1) Negative social comparisons (SCS)(2) Trauma-related thoughts and beliefs (PTCI) | Moderated mediation analysis  | Yes Yes (recent victimization) | (1) Psychotic experiences (APSS) | **ELES 🡪 PTCI 🡪 APSS**Partial mediation (non lonely patients) (DE = 0.049\*; IE = 0.039\*)% = 44.3**ELES 🡪 PTCI 🡪 APSS** Total mediation (lonely patients) (DE = 0.032;IE = 0.042\*)% = 56.7No mediation by negative social comparisons either in lonely or non-lonely patients | 6  |
| Perona-Garcelán(37) 2014 Spain | 318 21.41 78.9%  | Cross sectional | CompositeTrauma Questionnaire (TQ)  | (1) Dissociation1.a - Tellegen Absorption Scale (TAS)1.b - Depersonalization (CDS) (2) Southampton Mindfulness Questionnaire (SMQ) | Multiple mediation analysis | Yes No  | (1) Hallucination proneness (HP) (LSHS-R) | **TQ 🡪 Absorption Scale 🡪 HP** Total mediation (DE = 0.12; IE = 0.38\*)% = 82.6**TQ 🡪 Depersonalisation 🡪 HP** Total mediation (DE = 0.12; IE = 0.16\*)% = 34.78 (together)No mediation by mindfulness  | 5  |
| Pinto-Gouveia(38)2014 Portugal | 255 36.36 68.2%  | Cross sectional  | Composite (including threat, submissiveness and feeling unvalued)ELES | (1) External shame (OAS) | Path analysis  | Yes Yes (confounders not specified) | (1) Paranoia (GPS) | **ELES 🡪 OAS 🡪 GPS**Partial mediation (DE = 0.12\*; IE = 0.0608\*)% = 33.6 | 6   |
| Rössler(39)2016Switzerland  | 82031.3655.8% | Cross sectional | CTQScoreBefore 18 N/AComposite (CTQ) and specific (EA, PN, EN)  | (1) Stress sensitivity (SS) (PSS, PANAS-N, SSCS)  | Bivariate and mediated multinomial logistic regression path models | Yes Yes (trauma types, education) | (1) Subclinical psychotic experience (SPE) (SIAPA, SPQ-B German version, PARA, and STS and SNS subscales from SCL-90-R) | **CTQ 🡪 SS 🡪 SPE**Partial mediation (DE = 0.44\*; IE = 0.50\*)% = 43.05 **EA 🡪 SS 🡪 SPE**Total mediation (DE = 0.47; IE = 0.578\*)% = 46.6**EN 🡪 SS 🡪 SPE**Total mediation (DE = -0.31; IE = 0.44\*)% = 53.38**PN 🡪 SS 🡪 SPE**Total mediation (DE = 0.27; IE = 0.28\*)% = 28.71  | NOQAS =1.\*2.\*3.\*4.1.\*\*1.\*2.3.Total = 6 Agreed  |
| Sheinbaum(40) 2014Spain | 54620.682.3%  | Cross sectional | Composite factor of physical and emotional trauma (P/E)CTQ  | (1) Attachment style (RQ) 1.a - Dismissing1.b - Preoccupied1.c - Fearful | Parallel multiple mediation analyses | Yes No | (1) PLEs (CAPE)(2) Paranoid beliefs (SPQ)(3) Schizotypy (WSS) | **P/E 🡪 Fearful 🡪 Schizotypy** Partial mediation (DE = 0.140\*; IE total = 0.028\*; IE fearful = 0.010\*)% total = 16.6% fearful = 5.9**P/E 🡪 Fearful 🡪 Suspiciousness** Partial mediation (DE = 0.420\*; IE total = 0.093\*; IE fearful = 0.056\*)% total = 18% fearful = 10.8**P/E 🡪 Fearful 🡪 PLE** Partial mediation (DE = 0.822\*; IE total = 0.142\*; IE fearful = 0.063\*)% total = 14.7% fearful = 6.5None of the other mediators were significant | FairNOQAS =1.\*2.\*3.\*4.1.\*1.\*2.3.Total = 5 Agreed  |
| Shevlin(41) 2015 UK | 7403 51.1256.8%  | Cross sectional | Specific CSA and/or CPA and CSA + CPA composite scoresQuestionnaire | (1) Loneliness (SFQ)  | Mediation analysis | NoYes (age, gender, education, ethnicity, cannabis use and adult CSA and CPA) |  (1) Psychosis diagnosis (SCAN)  | **CSA + CPA 🡪 Loneliness 🡪 Psychosis**Partial mediation (DE = N/A; IE = 0.722\*)% = N/A No mediation of the CPA, CSA separately | 5   |
| Sitko(42)2014 UK | 5877 34.550.5%  | Cross sectional | History moduleSpecific (Rape, sexual molestatin, PA, Physical assault/attack)UM-CIDI - Life Event | (1) Attachment style (AAQ)1.a - Secure (reversed)1.b - Avoidance1.c - Anxious | Regression based approach | Yes Yes (age and gender) | (1) Lifetime psychotic symptoms (LPS) (Beliefs and Experiences module of theUM-CIDI)1.A - Paranoia1.B - Hallucinations | **Neglect 🡪 1.c + 1.b 🡪 1.A** Total mediation (DE = 0.047; IE= N/A)% both = 100**Rape 🡪 1.c 🡪 1.B**Partial mediation (DE = 0.088\*; IE = N/A)% = 3.0 | 6 |
| Van Nierop(43) 2014Netherland | 66464455% | Cross sectional | Composite (CT)NEMESIS-1 | (1) Social defeat (SD)(NEMESIS questionnaire)(2) Affective dysregulation (AD)(NEMESIS questionnaire) | Multiple mediationanalyses | YesYes (CT, age, gender, cannabis use and affective dysregulation) | (1) Psychosis diagnosis (PD) DSM-IV (SCID-I)(2) Extended psychosis phenotype (EPP)(NEMESIS interview) | **CT 🡪 AD 🡪 EPP**Partial mediation (DE = N/A; IE = 0.04\*)% = 49.7**CT 🡪 SD 🡪 PD**Partial mediation (DE = N/A; IE = 0.04\*)% = 86.6No significant mediation of SD on the link between CT and EPP and of AD on the link between CT and PD | 6 |
| Wolke(44)2014 UK | 4720 17.156.5% | Prospective, assessed for adversity at 8 and 11 yoa, and for symptoms at 12.9 and 18 yoa | Two composite scores peer victimization (PV) (child-reported and mother-reported bullying)BFISSDQ | (1) Depression symptoms at age 12, 13, 14 yoa (SQFM)  | Path analysis  | NoYes (gender, any DSM-IV Axis I diagnosis, IQ and internalizing / externalizing behavior) | (1) Psychotic experiences (PE) at age18 yoa (PLIKSi) | **PV 🡪 Depression (12.9) 🡪 PE (18)**Partial mediation (DE = 0.13\*; IE child reported =0.03\*; IE mother reported =0.02\*)% child reported = 18.75% mother reported = 13.3 | 7  |
| Yamasaki(45) 2016Japan | 4277 9.846.9%  | Cross sectional | Composite score (peer victimization (PV))OVBQ  | (1) Dissociation (CBCL) (2) Depressive symptoms (SMFQ)(3) External locus of control (shortenedversion of the CNSIE) | SEM | No No  | (1) Hallucinations (CBCL) | **PV 🡪 Dissociation 🡪 CBCL** Total Mediation (DE = 0.02; IE = 0.038\*% = 95No mediation by depression or external locus control | 4  |

**STable 1c.** Overview of clinical studies examining biological mediators between childhood adversity and psychosis

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| AuthorYearCountry | SampleMean Age% female | Design | Measures of childhood trauma | Mediator(s) | Analysis | Boostrap (yes / no) / confounders (yes / no) | Psychosis | Main findingsPathwayTotal / partial mediationDirect Effect (DE)Indirect effect (IE)% total effect mediated | Quality Score |
| Cancel(46)2015 France | 21 NAP; 33 HC32.1 NAP29% NAP32.9 HC33% HC | Cross sectional | - CTQ- Score- Before 18- NA - Subscales (EN) | (1) Grey matter volume in DLPFC | Regression based approach and SEM | NoYes(duration of illness and parents’ education levels) | (1) Disorganization (SANS) | **EN 🡪 DLPFC 🡪 Disorganization**Suggested mediation %=NA | 4 |
| Quide(47)2018Australia | 112 psychosis;53 HC 38 psychosis47% psychosis38.7 HC39.6% HC  | Cross sectionalCase control study | - CTQ- Score- Before 18- NA- Composite (CTQ) | (1) Inferior frontal girus (IFG) activation  | Mediation analysis  | YesNo | (1) Positive symptoms (PANSS) | **CTQ 🡪 IFG activation 🡪 PANSS positive**Null mediation | 4 |

AAQ: Acceptance and Action Questionnaire; ACE-IQ: Adverse Childhood Experiences International Questionnaire; APMS: Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey; APSS: Adolescent Psychotic-like Symptom Screener; BAI: Beck Anxiety Inventory; BCSS: Brief Core Schema Scale; BDI-II: Beck Depression Inventory; BFIS: Bullying and Friendship Interview Schedule; BJW: The General / personal Beliefs in a Just World Scale; BPD: Borderline Personality Disorder; BPRS: Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale; BVQ: Bullying Victimization Questionnaire; CAARMS: Comprehensive Assessment of At Risk Mental States; CAPE: Community Assessment of Psychic Experiences; CAPS: Clinician-Administered PTDS Scale; CATS: the Child Abuse and Trauma Scale; CBCL: Child Behavior Checklist; CDS: Cambridge Depersonalization Scale; CECA: Childhood Experiences of Care and Abuse; CECA.Q: Childhood Experiences of Care and Abuse Questionnaire; CHR: Clinical High Risk for psychosis; CIS-R: Clinical Interview Schedule-Revised; CNSIE: Childhood Nowicki–Strickland Internal–External; CTQ: Childhood Trauma Questionnaire; DAWBA: Development and Well-Being Assessment; DES-II: Dissociative Experience Scale; DIAS: Modified Direct and Indirect Aggression Scales; DLPFC: Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex; EA: Emotional abuse; ECR-R: Experiences in Close Relationships-Revised Questionnaire; ELES: Early Life Experiences Scale; EP: Early Psychosis; ERSQ: Emotion Regulation Skills Questionnaire; ESM: Experience Sampling Method; FEP: First Episode of Psychosis; FKK: German Competence and Control Beliefs Questionnaire; GAF: Global Assessment of Functioning; GPS: General Paranoia Scale; GPTS: Green et al. Paranoid Thought Scales; HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; HAM-A: Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale; HC: Healthy Control; HT: Hinting Task; ICD-10: International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision; IESR: Impact Event Scale-Revised; IPASE: Inventory of Psychotic-Like Anomalous Self-Experiences; IPSM: Interpersonal sensitivity scale; ISMIS: Internalised Stigma of Mental Illness Scale; IVM: Dutch equivalent of the International Crime Victimization Survey; LSHR-R: Launay-Slade Hallucinations Scale-revised; MACE: Maltreatment and Abuse Chronology of Exposure scale; MADRS: Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale; MCVI: MacArthur Community Violence Instrument; MMPI-2: Minnesota Multhiphasic personality Inventory; MRC: MRC Sociodemographic Schedule ; N: Neglect; NAP: non-affective psychosis; NEMESIS: Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study; NEO-FFI: assess the Five-factors Model (FFM) personality traits; NSIE: Nowicki–Strickland Internal–External; OAS: Other As Shamer Scale; OVBQ: Olweus Bully/Victims Questionnaire; PACE: Personal Assessment and Crisis Evaluation; PA: Physical abuse; PAM: Psychosis Attachment Scale; PANAS-N: Negative Affect Subscale of the Positive and Negative Affect Scale; PANSS: Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; PARA: Paranoia Checklist; PDI: Peters et al. Delusion Inventory; PG: prodromal questionnaire; PHQ-9: Japanese version of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9; PLIKSi: Semi-structured Psychosis Interview; PLS-SEM: Partial least squares – Structural equation models; PQ: Prodromal Questionnaire; PSPS: Personal and Social Performance Scale; PSQ: Psychosis Screening Questionnaire; PSS: Perceived Stress Scale; PTCI: Posttraumatic Cognitions Inventory; PTSD: Post-traumatic stress disorder; QoL: World Health Organization Quality of Life-Bref (WHOQOL-BREF); RBC: ; RBQ: Retrospective Bullying Questionnaire; RSES: Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale; RQ: Relationship Questionnaire; SA: Sexual abuse ; SAPS: Scales for Assessment of Positive symptoms; SCAN: Schedules for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry; SCID-II: Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Personality Disorders; SCID-D-R: Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Dissociative Disorders; SCL-90-R: Symptom Checklist-90-R; SCS: Social Comparison Scale; SCZ: Schizophrenia; SDQ: Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire; SDS: Shutdown Dissociation Scale; SEM: Structural Equation Modeling; SFQ: Social Functioning Questionnaire; SFS: Social Functioning Scale; SIAPA: Structured Interview for Assessing Perceptual Anomalies; SNS: Schizophrenia Nuclear Symptom Scale; SOFAS: Social and Occupational Functioning Assessmnet Scale; SPI-A and SPI-CY (for adolescents): Schizophrenia Proneness Instrument; SPQ-B: Schizotypal Personality Questionnaire-Brief; SSP: Schizophrenia-Spectrum Psychosis; SQFM: Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire; SQ-SF: Young Schema Questionnaire Short Form; SMFQ: Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire; SRS-PTSD: Self-Rating Scale for Post-traumatic Stress Disorder; SSCS: Screening Scale for Chronic Stress; SSPS: State Social Paranoia Scale; STS: Schizotypal Signs Scale; SVF-KJ: German Stress-Coping-Questionnaires using the version for adults and children / adolescents; TDS : Traumatic Dissociation Scale; THQ: Trauma History Questionnaire; TLEC: the life-events checklist; TQ: Trauma Questionnaire; UCLA: ; UHR: Ultra High Risk; UM-CIDI - Life Event: modified version of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview; WSS: Wisconsin Schizotypy Scales; Y-BOCS: Dutch version of the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale; ZTPI: Zimbardo Time Perspective Inventory.

\* *p*-value ≤ 0.05

Note : when « Psychosis » is used it means that patienst were no first episode of psychosis patients or early psychosis patients.

Note : see data extraction procedure for details on definitions of total, partial, null mediation and « suggested mediation ».
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