


Supporting information

S1 Fig. Districts with unusual incidence patterns resulting in inflated dispersion estimates.



S2 Fig. Probability integral transform (PIT) histograms for models with increasing orders of geometric lags from 1 to 12 months (left to right, top to bottom) in the autoregressive component. The final model selection process considered up to four lags.

S1 Table. Fit and prediction metrics for selected model at each stage. The reported AIC is for the fit to training data only, and RPS is of predictions made without updating this fit (i.e. fixed instead of rolling). C2575 and C1090 refer to the coverage of 50% and 80% quantile intervals, respectively, alongside the average interval width in cases. Model no. 42 is the final model.

Model No.	END	AR	NE	No. parameters	AIC	RPS	Calibration (p-value)	C2575	Avg. width	C1090	Avg. width
1	offset + 1 + t			3	65412	0.657	<0.001	0.808	0.907	0.905	2.243
6	offset + 1 + t	AR(1) + seas(~1, S=1)		6	57058	0.493	0.115	0.842	1.124	0.942	2.388
23	offset + 1 + t	AR(2) + seas(~1, S=1)		6	53833	0.455	0.189	0.845	1.021	0.947	2.230
33	offset + 1 + t	AR(2) + seas(~1, S=1)	NE(1) + seas(~1)	9	51675	0.437	0.122	0.855	1.016	0.945	1.966
42	offset + 1	AR(4) + seas(~1, S=1)	NE(1) + seas(~1)	8	50323	0.420	0.346	0.857	0.982	0.946	1.872
52	offset + t	AR(4) + seas(~1, S=2)	NE(1) + seas(~1)	10	50164	0.419	0.194	0.856	0.981	0.945	1.868

S3 Fig. Blocks with average RPS greater than 2.5 over the test period (Jan 2017 - Dec 2018)

