

Supporting Information

S1 Text: Game of Stones Protocol

All versions of the trial protocol and the funding award are available here: [Effectiveness and cost effectiveness of text message and endowment incentives for weight management in men with obesity: the Game of Stones randomised controlled trial - NIHR Funding and Awards](#)

S2 Text: Game of Stones 12 Month Interview Topic Guide

12 Month Interview Topic Guide. (Text messages alone and text messages with incentives groups) v1.4
16.8.2022

12M Questions and Prompts

'I would like to have a chat with you about your experience of Game of Stones.'

General

■ How have you found taking part in Game of Stones?

(Probe further around their experience (usefulness) of the texts, the follow ups, the trial and incentives (incentive group)

Prompts:

- have there been any benefits for you from taking part in Game of Stones?
- Any benefits that you didn't expect?
- Have there been any downsides to it?
- how did you feel about the weight-loss targets?
- were the targets realistic for you? (Or probe around other words they use to describe targets)
- if a friend was thinking of joining Game of Stones, what would you say to them?

■ Have you any suggestions about how Game of Stones, or parts of it, could be improved?

*If not already covered:

- What about...the duration (texts stopping after 12M) ...the texts ...the website ...incentives ...getting to know other men?
- Has anything made it difficult for you to take part in Game of Stones?

*NB Examples of key words to probe further: stress, mental health, physical health, failure, shame, medicines, body image, self-esteem, relationships (e.g. you talked about Xxx can you tell me a bit more about that/ the impact that's had generally/ game of stones?)

Prompts: pandemic (What kind of impact has Covid or the pandemic had on the Game of Stones for you?); cost of living crisis (What about the rises in costs that have happened recently – how have they impacted Game of Stones for you?)

3 and 6 Month Follow-up Appointments

- Thinking back to your 3 and 6 months appointments – can you tell me about what they were like?

Prompts:

- How were you on the run-up to these appointments?
- Did your behaviour or motivation change, before or after these appointments?
- How was the length of the appointment?

▪ **What did you think about the appointments being face-to-face?**

Prompts:

- Some men have had contact with the same researcher throughout Game of Stones and for others it's been different researchers – what was your experience?
- Probe depending on experience (how did you feel about the fact it was the same person throughout?/ how did you feel about the fact it was different people?)
- Would you change anything about these e.g. when they happened/ timeframe or who carried them out?

▪ **Some men found it difficult to attend appointments, did anything get in the way of you coming to both of your 3M and 6M appointments?**

Prompts:

- If yes, what would have helped you to attend?
- What might encourage other men to attend appointments?

▪ **In future, it might be difficult to have appointments for everyone, or at all times. Do you have any suggestions about what we might do instead?**

Prompts:

- How do you think that would work?
- What would the benefits/downsides be?
- What would you think about replacing these face-to-face appointments with digital scales?

Potential impact on weight outcomes.

▪ **Has anything happened in the last 12 months, outside of Game of Stones, that might have impacted your food or drink choices or physical activity?**

Prompts: Helpful or unhelpful experiences. If not already discussed:

- Have any health problems had an impact on your weight? (e.g. stress, mental health, physical health problems, family illness)
- Have your circumstances changed in any way that has impacted your weight? (e.g. impact of pandemic, cost of living, employment, relationships)

▪ **Is there anything that will help you to continue or support your efforts to manage your weight?**

Prompts: any strategies that you have found particularly useful? local weight management services, online programmes, apps

Incentives (Text messages with incentives group only)

▪ **What did you think about being offered money for reaching weight loss targets?**

Prompts:

- How much money did you get? How did this affect your approach to losing weight? Did this change over time?

- During the study, the money for incentives was funded by the NIHR, the research arm of the NHS. Who else do you think might be able to provide it in future? Why do you say this?

Final Questions:

- **Game of Stones has been designed with men in mind how important was that for you?**

Prompt: Is there anyone else you think we should consider offering it to (e.g. some people have suggested it should continue as men only, be available to men with a health condition or on low incomes, be open to women)? Why do you say that?

- **Is there anything about your time on Game of Stones that you'd like to tell me about that I haven't asked?**

Thank you for taking part!!

S1 Table: Baseline characteristics according to multiple long-term condition (MLTC) and disability status

	MLTC (N=235)	Disability** (N=165)	No Long-Term Conditions or Disability (N=152)
Age* - mean (SD); n	58.4 (11.4); 235	52.9 (13.5); 164	43.5 (11.0); 152
≥18-<25	-	1 (0.6)	5 (3.3)
≥25-<45	28 (11.9)	43 (26.2)	80 (52.6)
≥45-<65	137 (58.3)	90 (54.9)	60 (39.5)
≥65-<75	52 (22.1)	23 (14.0)	7 (4.6)
≥75	18 (7.7)	7 (4.3)	-
Deprivation Category - n (%)	n=234	n=164	n=150
Most deprived	59 (25.2)	47 (28.7)	34 (22.7)
More deprived	34 (14.5)	24 (14.6)	23 (15.3)
Deprived	38 (16.2)	32 (19.5)	16 (10.7)
Less deprived	35 (15.0)	27 (16.5)	35 (23.3)
Least deprived	68 (29.1)	34 (20.7)	42 (28.0)
Ethnic Group* - n (%)	n=224	n=159	n=151
White	217 (96.9)	149 (93.7)	140 (92.7)
Mixed/ multiple ethnic groups	2 (0.9)	3 (1.9)	1 (0.7)
Asian/ Asian British	2 (0.9)	1 (0.6)	4 (2.6)
Black/ African/ Caribbean/ Black British	-	2 (1.3)	1 (0.7)
Other	2 (0.9)	3 (1.9)	4 (2.6)
Prefer not to say	1 (0.4)	1 (0.6)	1 (0.7)
Relationship status* - n (%)	n=231	n=163	n=152
Single (never married; never in a civil partnership)	25 (10.8)	32 (19.6)	22 (14.5)
Co-habiting	34 (14.7)	19 (11.7)	30 (19.7)
Married / civil partnership	147 (63.6)	93 (57.1)	93 (61.2)
Separated	8 (3.5)	6 (3.7)	2 (1.3)
Divorced	9 (3.9)	7 (4.3)	4 (2.6)
Widowed	4 (1.7)	4 (2.5)	-
Prefer not to say	4 (1.7)	2 (1.2)	1 (0.7)
Comorbidities* - n (%)	(n=235)	(n=165)	(n=152)
High Blood Pressure	200 (85.1)	97 (58.8)	-
Mental health condition	82 (34.9)	71 (43.0)	-
Arthritis	115 (48.9)	70 (42.4)	-
Possible Latent Mental Health Condition	46 (19.6)	34 (20.6)	50 (32.9)
Diabetes	90 (38.3)	40 (24.2)	-
Heart condition such as angina or atrial fibrillation	82 (34.9)	29 (17.6)	-
Stroke (including TIA)	19 (8.1)	8 (4.8)	-
Cancer	15 (6.4)	6 (3.6)	-
Perceived wealth* - mean (SD); n			
Perceives to live in relatively wealthy neighbourhood (0-100, Strongly disagree)	54.8 (28.1); 220	50.1 (28.7); 151	56.8 (29.6); 149
Feels relatively wealthy compared to others (0-100, Strongly disagree)	51.5 (24.3); 215	51.2 (24.1); 153	56.2 (25.5); 148
Feels like they have enough money (0-100, Strongly disagree)	52.5 (28.9); 215	52.3 (29.2); 151	57.9 (27.5); 147
Financial Strain* - n (%)	n=230	n=160	n=150
Living comfortably	67 (29.1)	36 (22.5)	54 (36.0)
Doing alright	94 (40.9)	62 (38.8)	59 (39.3)
Just about getting by	42 (18.3)	33 (20.6)	24 (16.0)
Finding it quite difficult	14 (6.1)	15 (9.4)	9 (6.0)

	MLTC (N=235)	Disability** (N=165)	No Long-Term Conditions or Disability (N=152)
Finding it very difficult	8 (3.5)	9 (5.6)	3 (2.0)
Prefer not to say	5 (2.2)	5 (3.1)	1 (0.7)
Household composition* - n (%)	(n=235)	(n=165)	(n=152)
Lives alone	32 (13.6)	30 (18.2)	13 (8.6)
Lives with partner	179 (76.2)	113 (68.5)	121 (79.6)
Lives with child/children	71 (30.2)	59 (35.8)	83 (54.6)
Lives with parents	11 (4.7)	13 (7.9)	11 (7.2)
Lives with friends	2 (0.9)	3 (1.8)	2 (1.3)
Other	9 (3.8)	2 (1.2)	4 (2.6)
Household size - mean (SD); n	2.4 (1.1); 229	2.5 (1.2); 163	3.1 (1.3); 151
Highest educational qualification* - n (%)	n=196	n=145	n=143
Degree level or above	79 (40.3)	59 (40.7)	82 (57.3)
Another kind of qualification	117 (59.7)	86 (59.3)	61 (42.7)
Employment Status* - n (%)	n=227	n=160	n=148
Paid job - Full time (30+ hours per week)	95 (41.9)	75 (46.9)	115 (76.2)
Paid job - Part time (8-29 hours per week)	15 (6.6)	9 (5.6)	7 (4.6)
Paid job - Part time (Under 8 hours per week)	2 (0.9)	1 (0.6)	1 (0.7)
Self-employed	16 (7.0)	8 (5.0)	13 (8.6)
Full time student	-	1 (0.6)	3 (2.0)
Unemployed and seeking work	5 (2.2)	4 (2.5)	2 (1.3)
Retired	73 (32.2)	32 (20.0)	7 (4.6)
Not in paid work due to illness or disability	18 (7.9)	27 (16.9)	-
Not in paid work for other reason	-	1 (0.6)	-
Other	3 (1.3)	2 (1.2)	2 (1.3)
Prefer not to say	-	-	1 (0.7)
Access to self-monitoring equipment* - n (%)	n=232	n=163	n=152
Owens scales for self-weighing	194 (83.3)	132 (81.0)	128 (84.2)
Scales link to internet/app	21 (10.5)	15 (10.7)	21 (16.2)
Owens an activity tracker/pedometer	110 (47.0)	83 (50.6)	87 (57.2)
Highest weight (kg) - mean (SD); n	127.0 (23.5); 227	127.5 (24.2); 159	122.3 (20.8); 148
Lowest weight (kg) - mean (SD); n	89.5 (18.8); 225	89.3 (18.6); 157	91.6 (15.9); 145
Intended weight loss in study (kg) - mean (SD); n	24.2 (16.9); 228	24.4 (17.2); 161	21.2 (13.9); 147
Weight loss attempts - median (P25, P75); n	7.0 (4.0- 12.0); 229	6.0 (4.0-15.0); 157	5.0 (3.0-10.0); 150
Measured weight and height - n (%)	n=235	n=165	n=152
Weight (kg) - mean (SD); n	119.4 (20.9); 235	120.6 (21.7); 165	117.5 (18.9); 152
Height (cm) - mean (SD); n	175.8 (6.9); 235	176.5 (7.4); 165	179.2 (7.1); 152
BMI (kg/m ²) - mean (SD); n	38.6 (6.2); 235	38.7 (6.5); 165	36.5 (4.9); 152
≥30-<35; n (%)	75 (31.9)	50 (30.3)	71 (46.7)
≥35-<40; n (%)	87 (37.0)	65 (39.4)	50 (32.9)
≥40; n (%)	73 (31.1)	50 (30.3)	31 (20.4)

* Self-report

**Meets Office for National Statistics definition of disability

<https://www.ons.gov.uk/methodology/classificationsandstandards>

S2 Table: Mental health and wellbeing at baseline and 12 months by participant long-term condition (LTC) status

Variable – mean (SD); n	Baseline									12 Months								
	Texts with incentives			Texts alone			Control			Texts with incentives			Texts alone			Control		
	MLT C (n=8 2)	Singl e LTC (n=5 4)	No LTC (n=5 9)	MLT C (n=8 2)	Singl e LTC (n=5 4)	No LTC (n=5 7)	MLT C (n=7 1)	Singl e LTC (n=7 3)	No LTC (n=5 1)	MLT C (n=8 2)	Singl e LTC (n=5 4)	No LTC (n=5 9)	MLT C (n=8 2)	Singl e LTC (n=5 4)	No LTC (n=5 7)	MLT C (n=7 1)	Singl e LTC (n=7 3)	No LTC (n=5 1)
WEM WBS ^a	47.7 (9.6); 80	44.4 (9.2); 54	48.3 (8.8); 58	45.6 (9.7); 81	46.1 (9.9); 54	49.0 (6.9); 56	47.4 (7.6); 69	45.9 (8.5); 73	47.5 (8.1); 50	49.6 (10.8); 61	45.3 (9.1); 35	51.3 (8.3); 46	45.0 (10.2); 53	48.1 (8.3); 36	49.5 (8.3); 36	46.1 (11.0); 51	46.8 (9.0); 57	49.1 (9.1); 43
PHQ-4 ^b	2.8 (3.2); 63	2.1 (1.6); 34	1.8 (1.9); 43	3.1 (2.9); 64	2.6 (2.9); 39	1.7 (1.9); 37	2.1 (2.5); 52	2.4 (2.6); 55	1.7 (1.4); 35	2.5 (3.3); 58	2.7 (2.4); 35	1.5 (2.2); 46	3.3 (3.3); 49	2.3 (2.6); 37	1.8 (1.9); 35	2.1 (2.6); 49	2.6 (2.7); 57	1.7 (2.0); 43
WSSQ ^c	35.7 (9.1); 81	36.6 (8.9); 53	34.9 (7.8); 59	35.2 (8.8); 81	34.3 (9.1); 54	34.9 (8.4); 57	33.9 (9.1); 69	36.8 (7.7); 72	35.8 (7.2); 50	33.2 (9.4); 60	35.2 (7.9); 35	34.1 (7.5); 46	33.5 (8.9); 54	32.7 (9.3); 37	32.9 (8.4); 36	33.2 (8.6); 52	36.3 (8.0); 58	34.8 (7.3); 42
EQ-5D-5L ^d	0.62 4 (0.26 6); 81	0.75 6 (0.18 9); 54	0.84 0 (0.12 5); 59	0.65 1 (0.23 5); 81	0.74 8 (0.24 5); 54	0.86 1 (0.13 6); 57	0.64 3 (0.24 6); 70	0.73 7 (0.16 9); 71	0.80 8 (0.15 4); 50	0.68 1 (0.28 7); 61	0.75 1 (0.21 7); 35	0.87 6 (0.12 1); 46	0.59 9 (0.28 4); 54	0.74 5 (0.23 8); 37	0.87 3 (0.11 3); 36	0.71 9 (0.20 6); 48	0.74 8 (0.20 5); 58	0.85 2 (0.12 4); 43
EQ-5D-5L 1-100 ^e	59.3 (19.9); 81	62.1 (16.7); 54	64.1 (18.6); 59	61.3 (18.3); 81	62.9 (18.8); 52	65.3 (20.2); 53	60.0 (21.4); 71	61.0 (16.4); 71	67.2 (18.3); 51	69.1 (18.0); 61	68.4 (16.7); 34	71.7 (17.8); 46	62.2 (20.9); 51	68.3 (18.5); 36	79.2 (14.2); 34	61.4 (17.8); 49	66.0 (16.3); 56	70.3 (14.6); 43
EQ-5D-5L-AD ^f	2.0 (1.1); 81	1.9 (0.8); 54	1.5 (0.7); 59	1.9 (0.9); 82	1.9 (1.1); 54	1.5 (0.7); 57	1.8 (1.0); 71	2.1 (0.9); 72	1.7 (0.9); 51	2.0 (1.1); 62	2.1 (1.1); 35	1.4 (0.7); 46	2.0 (1.0); 55	1.9 (1.1); 37	1.5 (0.7); 36	1.9 (0.9); 49	2.0 (1.0); 58	1.5 (0.7); 43

a) Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-Being Score (14:70); higher score indicates better wellbeing b) Patient Health Questionnaire (0:12); higher score indicates higher risk of a mental health condition c) Weight Self-Stigma Questionnaire (12:60); higher score indicates more weight stigma d) EuroQol-5 Dimension (-0.594:1); higher score indicates higher quality of life e) EuroQol-5 Dimension Visual Analog Score (0:100); higher score indicates better quality of life f) EuroQol-5 Anxiety/Depression Dimension (1:5); higher score indicates higher risk of a mental health condition.