1 Characteristics and HIV-related Engagement of Male Sexual Partners of Female Sex

- 2 Workers in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA): a Scoping Review
- 3 Authors: Galven Maringwa^{1,2}, Primrose Matambanadzo^{1,2}, James R. Hargreaves³, Elizabeth
- 4 Fearon⁴, Frances M. $Cowan^{1,2}$

5 Institutions:

- ¹Centre for Sexual Health and HIV/AIDS Research Zimbabwe (CeSHHAR Zimbabwe)
- 7 ² Department of International Public Health, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool,
- 8 United Kingdom.
- 9 ³ Department of Social and Environmental Health Research, Public Health and Policy, London
- 10 School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom.
- ⁴ Institute for Global Health, University College London, United Kingdom.
- 12 [§] Corresponding author: Galven Maringwa
- 13 4 Bath Road
- 14 Belgravia. Harare, 263, Zimbabwe
- **15** +263 772 247 073 / +263 714 771 132
- 16 galven.maringwa@ceshhar.org or Galven.Maringwa@lstmed.ac.uk
- 17 E-mail addresses of authors:
- 18 GM: galven.maringwa@ceshhar.org / Galven.Maringwa@lstmed.ac.uk
- 19 PM: primrose.matambanadzo@ceshhar.org
- 20 JH: James.Hargreaves@lshtm.ac.uk
- 21 EF: <u>e.fearon@ucl.ac.uk</u>
- 22 FMC: <u>frances.cowan@lstmed.ac.uk</u>
- 23

24

25 Keywords: Male sexual partners, Female Sex Workers, HIV Testing Uptake, Condom

26 Use, Targeted interventions, Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA)

27 ABSTRACT

28 Introduction

Understanding the characteristics and behaviors of male sexual partners of female sex workers (FSWs) is crucial for comprehending the dynamics of HIV transmission. We aimed to explore and quantify the existing literature on male sexual partners of FSWs in SSA, where HIV prevalence is high and the dynamics of sex work are poorly understood. We focused on the proportions of men reporting sex with FSWs, along with their characteristics, HIV prevalence, and engagement with HIV services among the general population and specific subgroups.

35 Methods

We searched the literature in the EBSCOhost databases (Medline Complete, Global Health, and CINAHL). We included quantitative epidemiological peer-reviewed articles published in English between January 2010 and December 2023, following PRISMA guidelines for scoping reviews. The last search was performed on 09 October 2024. Eligible studies focused on men from the general population and subgroups of men who reported having sex with FSWs. The results were synthesized narratively to identify patterns and gaps in the literature.

42 Results

We identified 2,067 articles and reviewed 15, including one meta-analysis. The general population meta-analysis and the articles among subgroups revealed variations in reporting sex with FSWs, differences in HIV prevalence, suboptimal HIV testing uptake, and inconsistent condom use. The proportion of general population men in SSA who had ever paid for sex was 8.5%, with a pooled HIV prevalence of 3.6% and 67.5% reported condom use at last paid sex. High-risk subgroups of men reported different rates of sex with FSWs, ranging from 6.6% to 74%. HIV

49 prevalence also varied significantly, from 7.5% to 26%. Across these high-risk groups,
50 suboptimal HIV testing uptake and inconsistent condom use were common, with no
51 comparative data for men who did not have sex with FSWs.

52 Discussion

53 Men who have sex with FSWs cannot be identified by specific characteristics. High-risk

54 subgroups reported greater engagement with FSWs. Sex with an FSW was associated with higher

55 HIV prevalence among men in the general population, with no data on subgroups. These

56 findings highlight the need for tailored, occupation-specific interventions that address the unique

57 needs of mobile and high-risk men.

58 Introduction

59 Sub-Saharan Africa is disproportionately affected by HIV, accounting for nearly two-thirds 60 (65%) of the global burden of infection. Eastern and Southern Africa alone is home to 52% of 61 the global total(1). FSWs in southern Africa have a particularly high incidence and prevalence of 62 HIV infection(2, 3). However, research on male sexual partners of FSWs is limited. 63 Understanding the impact of male sexual partners of FSWs on sexual partnership dynamics, and 64 patterns of HIV transmission across different regions is important[4, 5]. Partnerships involving 65 male sexual partners of FSWs are diverse, ranging from intimate partners including spouses and 66 boyfriends to casual partners who pay for sex. These partnerships may be built on love, trust, 67 emotional intimacy, and financial need or dependency.

68 In general, men have poor uptake of HIV services or other healthcare services compared to 69 women(4, 5). This poses challenges for providing effective prevention and care(6). Additionally, 70 male sexual partners of FSWs do not form a visible and coherent social grouping which makes 71 them difficult to target with interventions(7). Men who have sex with FSWs across SSA are at 72 high risk of HIV. Certain subgroups of men have been associated with a higher prevalence of 73 having sex with FSWs, potentially due to the nature of their work which involves mobility, and 74 extended periods of separation from their spouses. These circumstances can enable and increase 75 sexual interactions with FSWs(8, 9). These include men working in transportation and logistics, 76 men in mining and extractive industries, uniformed service personnel, men in the informal 77 sector, and seasonal migrant labourers. They have the potential to both transmit and acquire 78 HIV from FSWs. Additionally, they can transmit HIV to their low-risk regular partners or 79 spouses in the general population, potentially increasing the incidence of HIV in broader 80 communities.

81 Studies on HIV epidemiology among men in these population subgroups conducted before 2010
82 found high rates of sex with FSWs and HIV prevalence among men in occupations closely

associated with sex work. In 1991, among 331 truck drivers in East/Central Africa, HIV
prevalence was 18%, with higher rates in Central Africa (31.75%) compared to East Africa
(16.65%)(10).

A study conducted in 2002 among 480 Nigerian naval personnel, of whom 94.4% were male, found that 32.5% of male respondents had ever paid for sex, 19.9% had done so in the past 6 months, and 41% did not use condoms during recent paid sex(11). Another study conducted in South Africa in 2002 revealed that HIV prevalence among truckers and FSWs was 56%(8). In 2003, HIV prevalence was 13.4% among 526 Ivorian FSWs clients(12). Among 2,825 men surveyed in Kenya in 2008, 15% of sexually active men reported sex with FSWs(13).

92 Research Objectives and Questions

This scoping review maps existing evidence on the prevalence of sexual interactions with FSWs, as well as the characteristics, behaviors, and HIV service uptake among men in the general population and other subgroups identified as having high HIV prevalence and high rates of interactions with FSWs since 2010. This information is important for informing future research directions and interventions specific to these populations in sub-Saharan Africa.

98 Methods

99 Overview

100 This review was guided by the Arksey and O'Malley (2005) methodological framework for 101 scoping reviews comprising of the following five steps, (i) identify the research question, (ii) 102 identify the relevant studies, (iii) study selection, (iv) charting the data, and (v) collating, 103 summarizing and reporting data(14). The review was performed per Preferred Reporting Items 104 for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) 105 guidelines.

106 Protocol registration: The protocol was registered on the Open Science Framework (OSF)

107 Stage One: Identifying the Research Questions

108	The overall research question is "What is known about the Characteristics and HIV-related								
109	Engagement of Male Sexual Partners of Female Sex Workers in Sub-Saharan Africa?"								
110	The specific review questions to be addressed are:								
111	1. What proportion of men in sub-Saharan Africa have sex with FSWs?								
112	2. What socio-demographic and behavioral characteristics define men who have sex with								
113	FSWs in sub-Saharan Africa?								
114	3. How does HIV prevalence differ among men who have sex with FSWs in sub-Saharan								
115	Africa?								
116	4. To what extent are men who have sex with FSWs in sub-Saharan Africa engaged in								
117	sexual health services?								
118	Stage Two: Identifying Relevant Studies								

119 Peer-reviewed articles were searched from 3 electronic databases: EBSCOhost (Medline 120 Complete, Global Health, and CINAHL). We developed search terms and their variations and 121 then combined search terms using Boolean operators "OR" and "AND" using parentheses to 122 group related terms and operators for clarity. For SSA, we searched for each of the countries in 123 SSA. We also used the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) for each search term - Table 1. To 124 refine our search, we applied filters including publication date restrictions, language restrictions, 125 study design, geography, source types, and age filters. The publication year was restricted to 2010 126 2023. to

127 TABLE 1: CONCEPTS AND SEARCH TERMS

Concept	Search terms
1 Male sevual partner	"male sexual partner*" OR "sexual partner*" OR "male partner*" OR "men" OR "male client*" OR "
1. Wale sexual partiler	male sexual partier OK sexual partier OK male partier OK men OK male cheft OK
	intimate partner*"
	"paid sex among men" OR "men who purchase sex" OR "non-commercial partner*" OR "commercial
	partner*" OR "high-risk men" OR "bridging population" OR "men who paid for sex" OR "non-paying
	partners*" OR "men at high risk" OR "sex with a woman in prostitution" OR "male*" OR "single
	men"
Subject Headings	Male (Medline)
	Men (Medline)
	Male (Cinahl)
	Men (Cinahl)
	Single Men (Cinahl)
	Males (Global Health)
	Men (Global Health)
	Sexual Partners (Medline)

	Sexual Partners (Cinahl)	
	Sexual Partners (Global Health)	
2. Female sex worker	"female sex worker*" OR "sex work*" OR "prostitut*" OR "sell*	sex" OR "sold sex" OR "trad* sex"
	OR "commercial sex" OR "thigh vendor*" OR "bar maid" OR "g	girls selling sex" OR "women selling
	sex" OR "high risk women" OR "high risk girls" OR "sex industry"	OR "FSW" OR "key population"
Subject Headings	Sex Workers (Medline)	
	Sex Work (Medline)	
	Sex Work (Cinahl)	
	Prostitutes (Global Health)	
	Prostitution (Global Health)	
3. Sub-Saharan Africa	"Sub-Saharan Africa"	OR
	Angola OR Burundi OR "Central African Republic" OR Chad OR	Congo OR "Democratic Republic of
	Congo" OR Rwanda OR Comoros OR Eritrea OR Ethiopia	OR Kenya OR Madagascar OR
	Mauritius OR Seychelles OR Somalia OR "South Sudan" OR Su	dan OR Tanzania OR Uganda OR
	Botswana OR Eswatini OR Lesotho OR Mala	awi OR Mozambique OR
	Namibia OR "South Africa" OR Zambia OR Zimbabwe OR Be	nin OR "Burkina Faso" OR "Cabo

	Verde" OR "Equatorial Guinea" OR Gabon OR Gambia OR Ghana OR Guinea OR						
	"Guinea Bissau" OR Liberia OR Mali OR Mauritania OR Niger OR Nigeria OR "Sao Tome and						
	Principe" OR Senegal OR "Sierra Leone" OR Togo OR "Côte d'Ivoire" OR "Africa, South of the						
	Sahara" OR "Africa South of the Sahara" OR SSA						
Subject Headings	Africa South of the Sahara (Medline) + a subject heading for each country						
	Africa South of the Sahara (Cinahl) + a subject heading for each country						
	Africa South of the Sahara (Global Health) + a subject heading for each country						
4. Final search	Concept 1 "AND" Concept 2 "AND" Concept 3						

129 Stage Three: Study Selection

130 Studies were selected in three stages – retrieval, screening, and data extraction.

131 Article retrieval

In the first stage, GM used the above-mentioned strategy to search literature. All duplicate articles were removed using Endnote version 20 reference management software with a 'find duplicates' function. All articles were then exported to the Covidence reference manager for review. A trail of the electronic searches was saved on users' EBSCOhost accounts: Medline Complete, CINAHL, and Global Health. Inappropriate articles were excluded at this stage.

137 Screening

138 In the second stage, a titles and abstracts review was conducted by GM, then a full-text review 139 against the inclusion criteria. GM and PM independently conducted article screening for 140 relevance and resolved all conflicts documenting reasons for article exclusions. The population in 141 the synthesis comprises men from the general population and specific high-risk subgroups in 142 SSA. These subgroups, such as truck drivers, miners, and military personnel, are characterized by 143 high mobility. While the subgroups were not pre-specified, all the articles analyzed included 144 questions about whether the men had had sex with an FSW or paid for sex. Full-text articles 145 were retrieved after the reviewers reached a consensus on which articles to include based on 146 predefined criteria. This ensured a comprehensive evaluation of the articles to determine their 147 relevance and suitability for the research or review project. During the conflict resolution 148 process, we encountered no significant discrepancies requiring formal reporting.

149 Data extraction

150 GM extracted data from the articles that met the inclusion criteria. The electronic search strategy
151 retrieved 2,067 studies, from which 410 duplicates (19.8%) were removed. We screened the titles

and abstracts of the 1657 (80.2%) that remained. Sixty-five (65) studies underwent full-text review, of which 15 (23.1%) met the inclusion criteria. Most of the relevant studies were retrieved from the Medline Complete database. The search results and the study inclusion process are reported in full and presented in a PRISMA-ScR flow diagram – Fig 1.

156 Stag

Stage Four: Charting the Data

157 A single Excel spreadsheet was used to compile the study characteristics that were extracted 158 from full articles for validation. Data collected from each study included: authors, country, 159 population type, concept, study design, sampling strategy, survey dates, participants' socio-160 demographics, sexual behaviour, and HIV prevalence. We also reported reasons for study 161 exclusion for all excluded articles (S2 Appendix).

162 Stage Five: Collating, Summarizing, and Reporting the Results

We conducted a narrative review aligned with the review objectives and questions, presenting thefindings in graphs, tables, and narrative summaries.

165 Methodological quality appraisal and data synthesis

- 166 We selected the scoping review method to outline the different types of evidence related to our
- 167 area of interest and identify gaps for further research. We used the Appraisal tool for Cross-
- 168 Sectional Studies (AXIS) to appraise studies that we included for the review(15) S1 Appendix.
- 169 Ethics and dissemination
- 170 We did not require ethical approvals as this was a literature review.

171 Results

172 Characteristics of the included studies

173	The surveys reported in the meta-analysis are distributed as follows: Central Africa ($n=7, 10.9\%$),
174	Western Africa (n=27, 42.2%), Eastern Africa (n=23, 35.9%), and Southern Africa (n=7, 10.9%).
175	Surveys included in the metanalysis were the AIDS Indicator Survey (AIS), Demographic and
176	Health Surveys (DHS), Population-based HIV Impact Assessment (PHIA), the Kenya AIDS
177	Indicator Survey (KAIS), and the South African National HIV Prevalence, Incidence, Behaviour
178	and Communication Survey (SABSSM). These studies were used to assess population sizes, HIV
179	prevalence, and HIV prevention among men who paid for sex in SSA (2010–2020).
180	There were 14 studies among population subgroups included in the synthesis, of which 6
181	(42.8%) were conducted in the transport and logistics industry(17-21, 30), 3 (21.4%) among male
182	patrons recruited in social venues (25-27), 2(14.3%) among personnel in the mining and
183	
	extractive industry(25, 51), 2 (14.5%) among migrants and rerugees(22, 25), and one among
184	military personnel(32). These studies assessed varying outcomes including HIV prevalence, HIV
184 185	extractive industry(25, 51), 2 (14.5%) among migrants and rerugees(22, 25), and one among military personnel(32). These studies assessed varying outcomes including HIV prevalence, HIV testing history, condom use, and paid sex prevalence. An overview of each of these studies is

187 TABLE 2: SUMMARY OF EACH REVIEWED STUDY

1.								
1.			Participants		characteristics			survey
	W. Gaolaolwe,	Botswana	Long-distance	Knowledge of HIV	Age, religion,	Cross-sectional	Simple random	February
	et al., 2023(16)		truck drivers	transmission ways,	education, age at start		sampling technique	August 2017.
			(LDTD).	uptake of HIV	of driving, duration in			certifi
				prevention strategies eg	the industry,			ied by p
				condoms	relationship status			lt is m
2.	Adeoti,	Nigeria	Long-distance	Perceived sexual risk	Age, duration as a	Cross-sectional	Systematic random	Sept – 💭 🖉
	Adekunle		truck drivers	factors and willingness	driver, BMI, smoking		sampling	2019 Vailable
(Olatayo, et al.,		(LDTD).	to undergo HIVST.	history, alcohol			thor/fur under
2	2021(17)				consumption, paid sex,			456; m a CC-E
					age at first sex, number			is versic 3Y-NC-N
					of partners, drug use			In poste VD 4.0
3. <u>s</u>	Sinead Delany-	South	Long-distance	Prevalence of HIV and	Age, marital status,	Cross-sectional	Two-stage stratified	
1	<u>Moretlwe</u> ,	Africa	truck drivers	correlates of HIV	province of residence,		sampling	2003 and dury
	2013		(LDTD).	infection	education, income, job		Stage 1: A 10%	2004. State to
					type, access to medical		random sample of	display
					treatment, language,		depots	the pr
					years as a trucker, time		was drawn from the	eprint in
<u> </u>				13				perpetuity.

									(whic
					on the road, frequency		list, stratified by		hwas
					away from home, have		small,		not cert
					another home,		medium, and large		ified by
					knowledge of STI,		depots		/ peer It
					awareness of truckers'		Stage 2: All eligible		review) is made
					clinics, knowledge of		drivers were recruited		availat
					HCT, perceived HIV		within each strata		author/f
					risk, testing history.		until sample size was		under, er a CC
							reached		who has BY-NO
4.	<u>Samanta</u>	South	Long-distance	Health status	Age, years driving,	Cross-sectional	Convenience	October	249 fant
	<u>Tresha Lalla-</u>	Africa	truck drivers		hours spent driving,		sampling	and	
	<u>Edward</u> ,		(LDTD).		days spent driving,			2017	Rxiv a
	2019(18)				country of origin, race,				license
					education, marital				to disp
					status,				lay the p
5.	Carlos Botão,	Mozambiq	Long-distance	HIV prevalence and	Age, language.	Cross-sectional	Convenience	February	preprint
	1	1	1	14	1		1	1	in perpetuity.

2016(19)	ue	truck drivers	associated risk factors	Education,		sampling	and August 2
		(LDTD).		circumcision, marital			2012
				status, nationality,			
				number of trips per			
				month			is made
. Yihunie	Ethiopia	Taxi drivers	HIV related risk	Age, education, marital	Cross-sectional	Multistage cluster	April 8 1
Lakew,		and assistants	behaviours	status, religion,		sampling	2006. de und
2014(20)				duration as taxi driver,		Stage 1: available	er a CC
				taxi ownership		clusters were	C-BY-N
						identified based on	C-ND 2
						the usual taxi density.	I.0 Inte
						Stage 2: Random	rnationa
						selection of	l licens
						participant from each	0
						cluster	
. Christina I	Uganda	Motorcycle	Prevalence of HIV/	Age	Respondent-	Respondent-driven	2008 to 2009
			1			<u> </u>	-
			15				-

	т. 1		1 .	11	· 1	X7 ' 1 1	1 ' 1'	1.		
	Lindan,		taxi drivers	sexually tr	ransmitted	Years in school	driven sampling	sampling		
	2015(21)			infections		Religion				
						Marital status				
						Circumcision				Ŧ
						Number of partners				is made
						Condom use				e availa
						HIV testing history				ble unc
						HIV status				ler a <mark>C</mark> C
8.	Cynthia Sema'	South	Miners	HIV Prevale	ence and	Age, language, religion,	Cross-sectional	Time-location	Feb to	BY-N
	Baltazar,	Africa		Risk Behavior	rs	circumcision status,		sampling	May 2012	C-ND 4
	2014(22)					areas of residence in				.0 Inter
						Mozambique and				nationa
						South Africa,				al licens
						education, occupation,				ŏ.
						years worked in mines,				
9.	Hordofa	Ethiopia	Gold miners	HIV f	preventive	Age, religion, ethnicity,	Cross-sectional	Simple random	Jan 15, t	o F
			<u> </u>							

				1 1 1 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1.	15 0010
	Gutema			behavior and	marital status,		sampling	15, 2012.
	Abdissa,			associated factors-	education, monthly			
	2014(23)			actions and practices	income			
				that individuals adopt				-
				to reduce their risk of				is made
				acquiring HIV				e availa
				infection				ble und
10	Bonnie Robin	Democrati	Military	Relationship between	Age, education, marital	Cross-sectional	Multi-stage sampling	October 20
•	Tran, 2019(24)	с	personnel	alcohol use and sexual	status, military rank,		Convenience	to April 201
		Republic		risk behaviors	military branch and		sampling	
		of the		including sex with	AUDIT			.0 Inter
		Congo		FSW				nationa
11	Eric Abella	Kenya	Male patrons	Investigating the	Age, marital status,	Cross-sectional	Venue-based	July-Decem
.	Roth, 2014(25)		in venues –	reasons, motivations,	education, weekly		sampling	2010
			PLACE	and justifications	income, number of			
			surveys	behind men's	children			

 			involvement in				
			commercial sex within				
			the specific cultural				
			and economic context				
			of the informal				
			settlement				
Olanrewaju	Nigeria	Male patrons	Level of desire or	Age, marital status,	Cross-sectional	Multi-stage sampling	Not specifi
Olaniyan,		in venues –	request for	education, age at first		Purposive sampling	
2017(26)		PLACE	heterosexual	sex, age at first paid sex		then random	
		surveys	commercial sex	debut, number of		sampling	
			services	dependents, place of			
				patronising, amount			
				paid			
Paul J.	Ghana	Male patrons	Role of relationship	Age, salary in the past	Time-space	Time-space sampling	June
Fleming,	Tanzania	in venues	types on condom use	month, and education),	sampling		November
2015(27)				attitudes towards	Cross-sectional		2008 in T

					gender equality, and			Ghana
					self-reported sexually			September
					transmitted infections			2008
					(STI)			February 20
								in Mbay
								Tanzania ar
14	Kassa Tiruneh,	Ethiopia	Seasonal	Sexual behavior and	Age	Cross-sectional	Multistage random	July 8–18, 201
	2015(28)		migrant	vulnerability to HIV	Religion		sampling	ler a C
			laborers	infection	Ethnicity		Stage 1:Random	C-BY-N
					Marital status		sampling of primary	
					Education		sampling units	.0 Inter
							Stage 2: systematic	nationa
							random sampling of	al licens
							participant per unit	
15	Caroline	SSA	Sexually	Population size, HIV	Age	Multi-stage	Comprehensive	2010 - 2020
	Hodgins(29)		active men	prevalence, and use	Residency	cluster	sampling	

					5
	recruited to	of HIV prevention and	Population size	sampling	¥as
	population	treatment.	Lifetime number of		not ceri
	representative		sexual partners		ifted b
	household		Condom use		y peer It
	surveys in 34		HIV prevalence		is mad
	countries		HIV testing,		e availa
			Antiretroviral		author, able und
			(ARV) use		der a C
			Viral load suppression		C-BY-7
			(VLS)		as grar VC-ND
					4.

189 Description of studies

A total of 15 studies were included in the synthesis, comprising 78 surveys. Of these, 64 were population-based (from 1 meta-analysis), 1 was a single study conducted in two countries (Ghana and Tanzania), and 13 were single-setting studies focused on sub-groups of men. These surveys were distributed as follows: 8 (10.3%) in 6 Central African countries, 30 (38.5%) in 13 Western African countries, 29 (37.2%) in 12 Eastern African countries, and 11 (14.1%) in 5 Southern African countries – Fig 2.

196 Type of methods and design

197 All surveys included in the meta-analysis employed multi-stage cluster sampling to ensure 198 national representativeness. Simple random sampling was used in two studies(23, 33). The 199 sampling frames were drawn from all the LDTDs registered for services at the weighbridge, as 200 well as from lists generated at the small mining sites where they worked. Systematic random 201 sampling was utilized among LDTD in one study where all available truck drivers were 202 numbered, and the truckers with odd numbers were selected(17). Multi-stage stratified sampling 203 was employed in studies involving various groups: taxi drivers (from taxi stations to drivers), 204 LDTDs (from depots to drivers), military personnel (from military bases to units, then soldiers), 205 male patrons (from areas to brothels, then clients), and seasonal migrant labourers (from study 206 sites to migrants)(20, 26, 28, 30, 32). Convenience sampling was employed among LDTD in two 207 studies(18, 19). Time allocation sampling was used among miners and male patrons from social 208 venues(25, 27, 31). Lastly, one study utilized respondent-driven sampling (RDS) among high-risk 209 motorcycle taxi drivers.

210 Sample sizes

211 The meta-analysis recruited a substantial proportion of men from 34 countries representing 95%

of men in the SSA(29)The total number of men recruited in subgroups was 10,286. Fig 3

213 provides key findings across articles about sample size, and HIV prevalence by subgroup.

214 Socio-demographic and behavioral characteristics of sexual partners of FSWs

The demographic characteristics of the research participants were not fully reported across all the studies. However, the meta-analysis included men aged 15-54 years, from both urban and rural areas(29). The socio-demographic characteristics presented for the subgroups apply to all men recruited in the studies. For the subpopulation studies, the age range spanned from 15 years among taxi drivers, assistants , and miners in Ethiopia, to over 50 years among LDTD in Nigeria, military men in DRC, male patrons in Kenya and Nigeria, and miners in South Africa(17, 20, 23-26, 31).

222 Marital and relationship status varied across studies. The proportion of married men ranged 223 from 16.1% among social venue patrons to 88.5% among LDTD. Conversely, the rates of being 224 single or unmarried ranged from 3.1% among LDTD in South Africa to 75.6% among taxi 225 drivers and their assistants in Ethiopia. Other relationship types reported included steady 226 girlfriends (22.3%) and casual girlfriends (9.5%) among LDTD in Botswana, 35.6% categorized 227 as 'partnered' among migrants and refugees, and 3.6% who were 'living together' with sexual 228 partners among LDTD in South Africa. Marital status was positively associated with HIV 229 prevalence. Married men who were not staying with their spouses, as well as unmarried men who 230 were staying with partners, were more likely to be HIV positive.

Depending on the country and context, different education categories were used with a lack of standardization across studies. Among military personnel in the DRC, 50.7% had completed primary education. In contrast, 36.0% of seasonal migrants in Ethiopia were unable to read or write. In Kenya, 42.0% of male patrons had only completed primary education, while 36.8% of high-risk motorcycle taxi drivers in Uganda had received 12 or more years of education. Men

- 236 with primary education or lower were found to have higher odds of living with HIV among
- 237 LDTD in Mozambique.
- Alcohol and drug use varied by type of population. Alcohol use ranged between 64.0% among
- seasonal migrant labourers to 78.1% among LDTD in the past 6 months. Alcohol consumption
- 240 was associated with higher HIV prevalence among seasonal migrant labourers.

241 The proportion of men who pay for sex

- 242 The prevalence of paid sex varied considerably among studies in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA)
- 243 mainly due to differences in study population and methodology.

244 Findings from the meta-analysis of the general population

- 245 The meta-analysis reported that 8.5% (95% CI: 6.4%-11.2%) of men in the general population
- self-reported ever paying for sex between 2010 and 2020[12]- Fig 3. The highest proportions
- 247 were reported in Central and Eastern Africa at 15.2% (95% CI: 5.8-34.3) and 12.4% (95% CI:
- 248 8.3-18.0) compared to Western and Southern Africa at 6.0% (95% CI: 4.4-8.0), and 5.0% (95%
- 249 CI: 2.7-9.1) respectively.

250 Findings from the high-risk subpopulation studies

The proportion of high-risk men who ever paid for sex ranged from 33.1% to 56.9%(16, 21). Among those who reported recent paid sex, the proportions ranged from 14% to 34-74% over the past 6 months(17, 18, 28). Paid sex in the past 12 months ranged from 6.6% to 27.1% highlighting high levels of heterogeneity in the prevalence of paid sex by subgroup and time variations(19, 31) – Fig 3.

256 Factors associated with having sex with an FSW

257 The meta-analysis did not report factors associated with paid sex. Only 6 articles among 258 subgroups investigated factors associated with paid sex. In Botswana, LDTDs who had at least 259 one girlfriend, were international rather than local drivers, had more years in the trucking 260 industry, and lived with a wife rather than a girlfriend or alone or with family were more likely to 261 have paid for sex in the past 12 months (33). Having multiple sexual partners in the past 6 262 months was associated with paid sex among LDTD in Nigeria(17). In Kenya, beliefs that men 263 should practice sex before marriage, friends thinking that it is always right to have sex with FSWs 264 in the bar, and that when they go to bars they always have enough money to afford an FSW were

positively associated with having had sex with an FSW they met in a bar among male patrons recruited at public venues(25). Among military personnel in the DRC, probable problematic alcohol use was associated with having sex with an FSW(32). A study among male patrons in social venues in Nigeria found that younger men (<30 years), single or married but not living with their spouse, unemployed, earning more than 35,000 Naira, and patronizing street-based sex workers rather than brothels or houses were more likely to have sex with an FSW weekly(26).

271 HIV prevalence

272 Findings from the meta-analysis of the general population

In the meta-analysis, men who reported having ever paid for sex were more likely to be HIV
positive with a prevalence ratio of 1.50 (95% CI 1.31–1.72) compared to men who did not. The
meta-analysis did not provide data on regional differences in the relationship between paying for
sex and HIV prevalence(29). Across the studies, the pooled HIV prevalence was 3.6%, (95% CI
1.6% – 8.1%) in studies conducted between 2010 and 2020 – Fig 3.

278 Findings from the high-risk subpopulation studies

Only 5 studies among subgroups of men reported on HIV prevalence, and the data are not
disaggregated by whether or not they paid for sex. HIV prevalence among LDTD in South
Africa ranged from 7.1% (95% CI: 5.3-9.5) in 2019 to 26% (95% CI: 24.0-28.0) in 2013(18, 30).
HIV prevalence was 22.3% (95% CI: 17.8-26.9) among miners in South Africa in 2014(31),
15.4% among LDTD in Mozambique in 2016, and 7.5% (95% CI: 5.2-10.0) among high-risk
motorcycle taxi drivers in Uganda in 2015(21) – Fig 3.

285 Factors associated with HIV prevalence

286 In the meta-analysis, men who reported sex with FSWs were more likely to be living with HIV

287 compared to those who did not. The meta-analysis did not examine any factors associated with

288 HIV prevalence among men who had sex with FSWs. Four studies in subpopulations examined

289 factors associated with HIV prevalence but did not compare men who had sex with FSWs and 290 those who did not(19, 21, 30, 31). Many high-risk men were unaware of their HIV-positive status 291 due to a lack of prior testing. Having a previous testing history was associated with 30% lower 292 odds of being HIV-positive among LDTD in South Africa, compared to those with no prior 293 HIV testing history(30). HIV among all men from high-risk groups was associated with factors 294 such as being married, older age, low education, alcohol use, higher income, lack of HIV testing, 295 living conditions allowing multiple partnerships, younger entry into truck driving, more time on 296 the road, genital cleaning before sex, receiving healthcare abroad, foreign residency, and primary 297 language. Limited HIV knowledge also correlated with higher prevalence in men frequently 298 having sex with FSWs.

299 Engagement in HIV prevention and care services

300 Condom use

301 Findings from the meta-analysis of the general population

The proportion of general population men who self-reported using a condom during their most recent paid sex was 67.5% (95% CI: 63.9%–70.9%)– Fig 4. Condom use data was not reported for men who did not have sex with FSWs. The meta-analysis did not disaggregate condom use proportions by region for the same period(29).

306 Findings from the high-risk subpopulation studies

307 Among men in high-risk sub-populations, reported condom use differed by sub-population and

- 308 partner type. Condom use at the last sex ranged from 18.5% in 2014 to 93% in 2014(20, 31).
- 309 Condom use in the past 6 months ranged from 22.6% in 2021 to 83.0 % 2019(17, 18). There
- 310 was inconsistent condom use reported across these subgroups of men Fig 4.

311 Factors associated with condom use

The meta-analysis did not report on factors associated with condom use among general population men. Limited condom use among LDTD was attributed to a lack of access to condoms. Although knowledge about HIV protection through condoms was reported to be high in some studies, this did not always translate to consistent use. Unsafe sex due to lack of access to condoms was also positively associated with higher HIV prevalence among these high-risk men.

318 HIV testing

319 Findings from the meta-analysis of the general population

320 The pooled proportion of men who ever tested for HIV among those who had ever had sex

321 with FSWs was 64.9% (95% CI: 52.0%–75.9%) for surveys conducted between 2010 and 2020 –

Fig 5. This increased from 31.9% (95% CI 25.5% - 39.1%) before 2010. No comparative data
were reported for men who had never had sex with FSWs.

324 Findings from the high-risk subpopulation studies

The proportion of subgroups of men who ever tested for HIV ranged from 36.9% in 2015 to 88.8% in 2014(19, 21, 31). Less than half, 43.5% of gold miners reported testing in the past 3 months in Ethiopia in 2014(23). A third of LDTD in Mozambique had never tested for HIV and thus lacked knowledge of their statuses in 2016(19) - Fig 5. These findings suggest that testing patterns were inconsistent across different countries and subgroups of high-risk men.

330 Factors associated with HIV testing

In the meta-analysis among general population men who reported ever sex with FSWs were more likely to have tested for HIV compared to men who did not. In the subgroups, limited use of health care services, access to health care services, and lack of educational interventions contributed to lower testing uptake rates. Fear and stigma also played a role in lower testing uptake. In the case of miners, higher uptake of testing resulted from a desire to know their status

336 and testing as a requirement by the mine owners. LDTD in Mozambique who had never tested

337 for HIV had significantly higher odds of being HIV positive compared to those who had ever

338	tested	for	HIV,	adjusted	odds	ratio	[aOR:	2.2,	95%	CI:	1.2	-	4.3)].
-----	--------	-----	------	----------	------	-------	-------	------	-----	-----	-----	---	--------

339

340 Discussion

341 We found varied levels of paid sex between men in the general population and subgroups known 342 to have higher HIV prevalence. Proportions of individuals reporting sex with an FSW varied 343 among different subgroups. Factors associated with paid sex were not analyzed in the meta-344 analysis, though several factors were identified among the studies conducted in subgroups, but 345 without comparative data for men who didn't have sex with FSWs. Men who report having sex 346 with FSWs have diverse socio-demographic and behavioral profiles. However, certain 347 occupations pose higher risks for HIV and paid sex. HIV prevalence differed by population type. 348 It was substantially higher among those who paid for sex compared to those who did not among 349 men in the general population. HIV testing uptake was moderate in the general population but 350 suboptimal in most subgroups.

351 Paying for sex per se was not identified as a risk factor for HIV among men from high-risk 352 populations, suggesting either that paying for sex is ubiquitous (but underreported) or that a 353 broader range of lifestyle factors contributes to a higher risk of HIV among these men.

One of the strengths of our study is the inclusion of a large meta-analysis conducted across 34 countries in SSA. The surveys were large, and representative, and the methods used were broadly similar making comparisons across regions meaningful. The geographical breadth provided insights into regional patterns in HIV epidemiology and sex with an FSW. Another strength of the study is the inclusion of studies conducted among different populations and geographies offering information on HIV prevalence among additional high-risk men.

Our review had limitations. One of the limitations of the review is that the meta-analysis did not
provide a detailed analysis of the socio-demographic and behavioural characteristics associated
with paid sex. In terms of the subpopulations we only identified a limited number of studies

363 reporting research among high-risk men who have sex with FSWs reflecting the relatively small 364 amount of research in this important group that has been conducted since 2010. We did not 365 include unpublished or grey literature or articles that were not published in English (although we 366 only found 1 article in other languages). Another drawback is that we were unable to capture the 367 various social and cultural elements impacting sexual partnerships between FSWs and their 368 sexual partners, which may have been recorded qualitatively, because the scoping review only 369 included quantitative studies. The absence of disaggregated data on men who had sex with FSWs 370 in comparison to those who did not is another drawback. Recall bias may have influenced the 371 results, possibly resulting in missing, erroneous, or untrustworthy data that underreported or 372 overreported sexual behaviour. Providing socially acceptable responses to sensitive information 373 could also have affected study findings(34, 35). Subgroup studies employed a variety of 374 methodological approaches for recruiting survey participants and used different measures of 375 paying for sex across various settings. The lack of standardization in measuring some variables 376 made it difficult to compare the included studies. One more challenge is the limited reporting of 377 HIV prevalence data. This suggests that further research is needed to better understand the 378 epidemiology of HIV among higher-risk men. This limited our ability to draw specific 379 conclusions about FSW partners' behaviors and needs and reduced the potential to tailor 380 interventions specifically for this subgroup.

Although our findings are not generalizable, they can still inform local program development.
There is no one-size-fits-all strategy that can effectively address the unique needs of these men.

Understanding HIV testing behaviors is crucial for men, as regular testing is key to early detection and treatment of HIV. Our findings indicate poor uptake of HIV testing among some men in the review. Comparably, 90.0% of migrant men in South Africa had ever tested for HIV in 2024 potentially due to increased awareness and access to testing services(36).

The lower uptake of HIV testing may be because of limited access to healthcare, fear of stigma and discrimination, limited knowledge about HIV risks, and economic challenges(37). Underreporting of engaging with sex workers by men may lead to a lower perceived risk of HIV, which is a barrier to testing(38). Embarrassment, fear of diagnosis, feeling healthy, fear of blood draws, distance from clinics, confidentiality concerns, and lack of testing knowledge could also contribute. Higher testing uptake could possibly be due to social desirability bias and desire to know their HIV statuses, which could have led to over-reporting of recent testing(31).

394 Future research should clarify definitions and variables of relevance, such as periods for having 395 sex with an FSW, condom use, and accessing healthcare services, and separate findings between 396 paying and non-paying sexual partnerships to better guide targeted HIV interventions. 397 Furthermore, extensive multi-site studies using uniform protocols would enable significant cross-398 setting comparisons. Additionally, protocol standardization can enhance the creation of focused 399 interventions by facilitating meta-analyses of HIV epidemiology among high-risk men, while 400 longitudinal studies may aid in evaluating changes over time. It might be possible to update that 401 review and include more recent surveys and more behavioural or other variables in the analysis 402 enabling resource allocation to reduce the spread of HIV.

While the studies included in this review provide valuable insights into the behaviors and needs
of men who have sex with FSWs and their engagement with HIV services, it is important to note
that none of the included studies assessed the effectiveness of specific interventions. Therefore,
the interventions discussed here are informed by observed risk behaviors and gaps in service use.
However, further research is needed to evaluate their potential impact.

408 Targeted strategies are needed to address the social, economic, and behavioral factors driving 409 these interactions. The International Labour Organization (ILO) emphasizes focusing on 410 vulnerable places, such as land borders, trading posts, truck stops, and urban informal 411 settlements, rather than vulnerable groups when tackling migration-related health concerns in the

transport sector(39). Peer educators and trained community volunteers from high-risk groups
can also promote healthier behaviors through behaviour change communication and condom
distribution(40, 41).

415 HIV self-testing (HIVST) is crucial for populations facing stigma and discrimination, improving 416 testing rates among hard-to-reach men(42). Innovative strategies, like digital technology and 417 mobile testing, can increase HIV testing uptake. The use of chatbots and mobile apps increases 418 information dissemination, reduces access barriers, and offers real-time support(43-47). Key 419 opinion leaders also play important roles(48). Community and home-based interventions, with 420 proven effectiveness, can increase testing among men who avoid healthcare facilities (49-53). 421 Additionally, secondary distribution of self-test kits to women attending antenatal care and their 422 partners can increase men's testing rates(54, 55).

Programs using mobile clinics, such as Médecins Sans Frontières' initiative in South Africa, increased ART initiation and testing among migrant farmworkers(56). Universal test and treat (UTT) and treatment as prevention (TasP) can further target high-risk men, including military personnel, with mandatory testing before deployments(57, 58). Multi-venue kit distribution in workplaces and social venues can offer convenience and privacy and successfully reach first-time testers(59, 60). Mobile testing at truck stops, military camps, and transport hubs further enhances HIV service access(61, 62).

Initiatives like North Star Alliance's roadside clinics along transport corridors improve service
access for truck drivers(63). The use of community ART distribution points (PODIs) and
Community ART Refill Groups (CARGs) are effective for people living with HIV (PLWHIV)
facing stigma by reducing waiting times and addressing accessibility issues for high-risk men(64,
Integrating PrEP and ART with sexual health services is crucial for these populations.
Installing condom dispensers at public venues could help overcome barriers like stigma and
privacy concerns, increasing uptake among men.

437 Conclusions

438 Our study found varied prevalences of sex with FSWs among men, differing by population type. 439 Furthermore, men who engage with FSWs cannot be easily identified by specific characteristics. 440 Our results add critical information to the body of knowledge regarding men who are sexual 441 partners of FSWs in SSA. In summary, the reviewed studies consistently showed that men who 442 have sex with FSWs exhibited high-risk behaviors. Paying for sex was prevalent in studies 443 involving men in occupations characterized by mobility and extended periods away from their 444 spouses. Given the gaps in the literature on intervention effectiveness for these groups, future 445 research should prioritize evaluating HIV prevention strategies tailored to men who have sex 446 with FSWs. Finally, these findings highlight the importance of targeting subpopulations of men 447 with interventions rather than solely relying on population-level data.

448 Supporting information

- 449 Fig 1: PRISMA_ScR Flow diagram of the study selection process
- 450 Fig 2: Number of studies by country and region
- 451 Fig 3: Sample size, proportion of men engaging with FSWs, and HIV prevalence
- 452 Fig 4: Condom use among men engaging with FSWs across studies in SSA
- 453 Fig 5: HIV testing uptake among men engaging with FSWs by study
- 454 Additional Table 1: PRISMA-ScR Checklist

455 Author Contributions

- 456 Conceptualization, G.M., J.H.; E.F. and F.C.; methodology, G.M.; P.M.; J.H.; E.F.; and F.C.;
- 457 results, G.M.; discussion, G.M.; J.H.; E.F.; and F.C.; conclusion, G.M.; writing-original draft
- 458 preparation, G.M.; writing—review and editing, G.M., J.H.; E.F. and F.C. All authors have read
- 459 and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

460 Institutional Review Board Statement

- 461 Not applicable.
- 462 Informed Consent Statement
- 463 Not applicable.

464 Data Availability Statement

465 Since this study is a scoping review, there is no statistical data set.

466 Public Involvement Statement

467 No public involvement in any aspect of this research.

468 Guidelines and Standards Statement

- 469 This manuscript was drafted against the Preferred Reporting Items of Systematic Reviews and
- 470 Meta-analyses guidelines for review research.

471 Conflicts of Interest

472 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

473 Funding Statement

474	This	review	received	no	external	funding.
-----	------	--------	----------	----	----------	----------

475

476 References

477 UNAIDS JUNPOHA. 2024 global AIDS report - The Urgency of Now: AIDS at a Crossroads. 1. 478 2024. 479 2. Jones HS, Anderson RL, Cust H, McClelland RS, Richardson BA, Thirumurthy H, et al. HIV 480 incidence among women engaging in sex work in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-481 analysis. medRxiv. 2023:2023.10.17.23297108. 482 3. Ali MS, Wit MDE, Chabata ST, Magutshwa S, Musemburi S, Dirawo J, et al. Estimation of HIV 483 incidence from analysis of HIV prevalence patterns among female sex workers in Zimbabwe. Aids. 484 2022:36(8):1141-50. 485 4. Lahuerta M, Wu Y, Hoffman S, Elul B, Kulkarni SG, Remien RH, et al. Advanced HIV disease at 486 entry into HIV care and initiation of antiretroviral therapy during 2006-2011: findings from four sub-487 saharan African countries. Clin Infect Dis. 2014;58(3):432-41. 488 5. Lopez-Varela E, Augusto O, Fuente-Soro L, Sacoor C, Nhacolo A, Casavant I, et al. Quantifying 489 the gender gap in the HIV care cascade in southern Mozambique: We are missing the men. PLoS 490 One. 2021;16(2):e0245461. 491 6. Hlongwa M, Mashamba-Thompson T, Makhunga S, Hlongwana K. Barriers to HIV testing 492 uptake among men in sub-Saharan Africa: a scoping review. Afr J AIDS Res. 2020;19(1):13-23. 493 7. Barnard MA, McKeganey NP, Leyland AH. Risk behaviours among male clients of female 494 prostitutes. Bmj. 1993;307(6900):361-2. 495 Ramjee G, Gouws E. Prevalence of HIV among truck drivers visiting sex workers in KwaZulu-8. 496 Natal, South Africa. Sex Transm Dis. 2002;29(1):44-9. 497 9. Bwayo J, Plummer F, Omari M, Mutere A, Moses S, Ndinya-Achola J, et al. Human 498 immunodeficiency virus infection in long-distance truck drivers in east Africa. Arch Intern Med. 499 1994;154(12):1391-6. 500 Bwayo JJ, Omari AM, Mutere AN, Jaoko W, Sekkade-Kigondu C, Kreiss J, et al. Long distance 10. 501 truck-drivers: 1. Prevalence of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs). East Afr Med J. 1991;68(6):425-502 9. 503 11. Nwokoji UA, Ajuwon AJ. Knowledge of AIDS and HIV risk-related sexual behavior among Nigerian naval personnel. BMC Public Health. 2004;4(1):24. 504 505 12. Vuylsteke BL, Ghys PD, Traoré M, Konan Y, Mah-Bi G, Maurice C, et al. HIV prevalence and 506 risk behavior among clients of female sex workers in Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. AIDS. 2003;17(11):1691-507 4. 508 13. Hong R. Behavior, knowledge, attitude, and other characteristics of men who had sex with 509 female commercial sex workers in Kenya. Am J Mens Health. 2008;2(1):17-24. 510 Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. International 14. 511 Journal of Social Research Methodology. 2005;8(1):19-32. 512 15. Downes MJ, Brennan ML, Williams HC, Dean RS. Development of a critical appraisal tool to 513 assess the quality of cross-sectional studies (AXIS). BMJ Open. 2016;6(12):e011458. 514 Gaolaolwe W, Mokgatle MM, Oguntibeju OO. Knowledge on HIV/AIDS and sexual behaviours 16. 515 among long-distance truck drivers at Kazungula weigh bridge terminal, Chobe District, Botswana. 516 African Journal of Biomedical Research. 2023;26(1):1-12. 517 Adeoti AO, Desalu OO, Oluwadiya KS. Sexual practices, risk perception and HIV self-testing 17. 518 acceptability among long-distance truck drivers in Ekiti State, Nigeria. The Nigerian postgraduate 519 medical journal. 2021;28(4):273-7. 520 Lalla-Edward ST, Fischer AE, Venter WDF, Scheuermaier K, Meel R, Hankins C, et al. Cross-18. 521 sectional study of the health of southern African truck drivers. BMJ Open. 2019;9(10):e032025.

Botão C, Horth RZ, Frank H, Cummings B, Inguane C, Sathane I, et al. Prevalence of HIV and

522

19.

Associated Risk Factors Among Long Distance Truck Drivers in Inchope, Mozambique, 2012. AIDS 523 524 Behav. 2016;20(4):811-20. 525 20. Lakew Y, Tamene H. HIV related risk behaviours among taxi drivers and their assistants in 526 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: descriptive cross-sectional survey. BMC Public Health. 2014;14(1):330. 527 21. Crane Survey G, Lindan CP, Anglemyer A, Hladik W, Barker J, Lubwama G, et al. High-risk 528 motorcycle taxi drivers in the HIV/AIDS era: a respondent-driven sampling survey in Kampala, 529 Uganda. International Journal of STD & AIDS. 2015;26(5):336-45. 530 22. Baltazar CS, Horth R, Inguane C, Sathane I, César F, Ricardo H, et al. HIV prevalence and risk 531 behaviors among Mozambicans working in South African mines. AIDS Behav. 2015;19 Suppl 1(Suppl 532 1):\$59-67. 533 Abdissa HG, Lemu YK, Nigussie DT. HIV preventive behavior and associated factors among 23. 534 mining workers in Sali traditional gold mining site Bench Maji zone, Southwest Ethiopia: a cross 535 sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2014;14(1):1003-. 536 24. Tran BR, Davis A, Sloan M, Macera C, Mbuyi AM, Kabanda GK. Alcohol use and sexual risk 537 behaviors in the Armed Forces of the Democratic Republic of the Congo. BMC Public Health. 538 2019;19(1):1-9. 539 25. Roth EA, Ngugi E, Benoit C, Jansson M, Hallgrimsdottir H. A Reasoned Action Model of Male 540 Client Involvement in Commercial Sex Work in Kibera, A Large Informal Settlement in Nairobi, Kenya. 541 Human organization. 2014;73(2):174-82. 542 Olaniyan O, Olasehinde N, Akintaro A, Afolabi O. Demand for heterosexual commercial sex 26. 543 services in Ibadan, Nigeria. African Population Studies. 2017;31(2):3737-51. 544 27. Fleming PJ, Mulawa M, Burke H, Shattuck D, Mndeme E, Attafuah J, et al. The role of 545 relationship types on condom use among urban men with concurrent partners in Ghana and 546 Tanzania. AIDS Care. 2015;27(4):466-72. 547 28. Tiruneh K, Wasie B, Gonzalez H. Sexual behavior and vulnerability to HIV infection among 548 seasonal migrant laborers in Metema district, northwest Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. BMC 549 Public Health. 2015;15:122. 550 29. Hodgins C, Stannah J, Kuchukhidze S, Zembe L, Eaton JW, Boily MC, et al. Population sizes, 551 HIV prevalence, and HIV prevention among men who paid for sex in sub-Saharan Africa (2000-2020): 552 A meta-analysis of 87 population-based surveys. PLoS Med. 2022;19(1):e1003861. 553 30. Delany-Moretlwe S, Bello B, Kinross P, Oliff M, Chersich M, Kleinschmidt I, et al. HIV 554 prevalence and risk in long-distance truck drivers in South Africa: a national cross-sectional survey. 555 International Journal of STD & AIDS. 2014;25(6):428-38. 556 31. Baltazar CS, Horth R, Inguane C, Sathane I, César F, Ricardo H, et al. HIV prevalence and risk 557 behaviors among Mozambicans working in South African mines. AIDS and Behavior. 2015;19 Suppl 558 1:S59-S67. 559 Tran BR, Glass N, Tripathi O, Kalombo O, Ibata P, Mpassi RB. Alcohol use and its association 32. 560 with sexual risk behaviors in the Armed Forces of the Republic of the Congo. PLoS One. 561 2019;14(10):e0223322. 562 33. Gaolaolwe W, Mokgatle M. Factors associated with buying sex and the knowledge that 563 condoms prevent HIV among long-distance truck drivers at Kazungula weighbridge terminal, Chobe 564 District, Botswana. African Journal of AIDS Research. 2023;22(1):35-45. 565 34. Muleia R, Banze AR, Damião SL, Baltazar CS. Patterns of inconsistent condom use and risky 566 sexual behaviors among female sex workers in Mozambique. BMC Public Health. 2024;24(1):2711. 567 35. Grosso AL, Lei EL, Ketende SC, Peitzmeier S, Mason K, Ceesay N, et al. Correlates of condom 568 use among female sex workers in The Gambia: results of a cross-sectional survey. PeerJ. 569 2015;3:e1076. 570 36. Nardell MF, Govathson C, Mngadi-Ncube S, Ngcobo N, Letswalo D, Lurie M, et al. Migrant 571 men and HIV care engagement in Johannesburg, South Africa. BMC Public Health. 2024;24(1):435. 36
572 37. Adugna DG, Worku MG. HIV testing and associated factors among men (15-64 Igears) in 573 Eastern Africa: a multilevel analysis using the recent demographic and health survey. BMC Public 574 Health. 2022;22(1):2170. 575 38. Sabo KG, Seifu BL, Kase BF, Asebe HA, Asmare ZA, Asgedom YS, et al. Factors influencing HIV 576 testing uptake in Sub-Saharan Africa: a comprehensive multi-level analysis using demographic and 577 health survey data (2015-2022). BMC Infectious Diseases. 2024;24(1):821. ILO I, ITF, HEARD & UNAIDS. Sub-regional Workshop on HIV and AIDS in the Transport Sector 578 39. 579 in Southern Africa, Johannesburg, 29–30 March. 2011. 580 40. Sandøy IF, Zyaambo C, Michelo C, Fylkesnes K. Targeting condom distribution at high risk 581 places increases condom utilization-evidence from an intervention study in Livingstone, Zambia. 582 BMC Public Health. 2012;12(1):10. 583 Norr KF, Banda CK, Chang C, Krishna S, Kumbani LC, Liu L, et al. Condom use increased after a 41. 584 peer group intervention implemented by community volunteers in Malawi. Res Sq. 2023. 585 42. Hlongwa M, Mashamba-Thompson T, Makhunga S, Hlongwana K. Mapping evidence of 586 intervention strategies to improving men's uptake to HIV testing services in sub-Saharan Africa: A 587 systematic scoping review. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2019;19(1):496. 588 Pai N, Esmail A, Saha Chaudhuri P, Oelofse S, Pretorius M, Marathe G, et al. Impact of a 43. 589 personalised, digital, HIV self-testing app-based program on linkages and new infections in the 590 township populations of South Africa. BMJ Glob Health. 2021;6(9). 591 Virkud AV, Arimi P, Ssengooba F, Mulholland GE, Herce ME, Markiewicz M, et al. Access to 44. 592 HIV prevention services in East African cross-border areas: a 2016-2017 cross-sectional bio-593 behavioural study. J Int AIDS Soc. 2020;23 Suppl 3(Suppl 3):e25523. 594 Herce ME, Miller WM, Bula A, Edwards JK, Sapalalo P, Lancaster KE, et al. Achieving the first 45. 595 90 for key populations in sub-Saharan Africa through venue-based outreach: challenges and 596 opportunities for HIV prevention based on PLACE study findings from Malawi and Angola. J Int AIDS 597 Soc. 2018;21 Suppl 5(Suppl Suppl 5):e25132. 598 46. Hensen B, Taoka S, Lewis JJ, Weiss HA, Hargreaves J. Systematic review of strategies to 599 increase men's HIV-testing in sub-Saharan Africa. Aids. 2014;28(14):2133-45. 600 47. Ntinga X, Musiello F, Keter AK, Barnabas R, van Heerden A. The Feasibility and Acceptability 601 of an mHealth Conversational Agent Designed to Support HIV Self-testing in South Africa: Cross-602 sectional Study. J Med Internet Res. 2022;24(12):e39816. 603 48. Tun W, Vu L, Dirisu O, Sekoni A, Shoyemi E, Njab J, et al. Uptake of HIV self-testing and 604 linkage to treatment among men who have sex with men (MSM) in Nigeria: A pilot programme using 605 key opinion leaders to reach MSM. J Int AIDS Soc. 2018;21 Suppl 5(Suppl Suppl 5):e25124. 606 49 Sabapathy K, Van den Bergh R, Fidler S, Hayes R, Ford N. Uptake of home-based voluntary 607 HIV testing in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Med. 608 2012;9(12):e1001351. 609 Hensen B, Lewis JJ, Schaap A, Tembo M, Mutale W, Weiss HA, et al. Factors associated with 50. 610 HIV-testing and acceptance of an offer of home-based testing by men in rural Zambia. AIDS Behav. 611 2015;19(3):492-504. 612 51. Tanser FC, Kim H-Y, Mathenjwa T, Shahmanesh M, Seeley J, Matthews P, et al. Home-Based 613 Intervention to Test and Start (HITS): a community-randomized controlled trial to increase HIV 614 testing uptake among men in rural South Africa. Journal of the International AIDS Society. 615 2021;24(2):e25665. 616 52. Sharma M, Barnabas RV, Celum C. Community-based strategies to strengthen men's 617 engagement in the HIV care cascade in sub-Saharan Africa. PLoS Med. 2017;14(4):e1002262. 618 53. Hatzold K, Gudukeya S, Mutseta MN, Chilongosi R, Nalubamba M, Nkhoma C, et al. HIV self-619 testing: breaking the barriers to uptake of testing among men and adolescents in sub-Saharan Africa, 620 experiences from STAR demonstration projects in Malawi, Zambia and Zimbabwe. J Int AIDS Soc. 621 2019;22 Suppl 1(Suppl Suppl 1):e25244.

622 54. Mweemba O, Maman S. The secondary distribution of HIV self-testing kits. The Lancet Global 623 Health. 2021;9(7):e891-e2. 624 55. Choko AT, Corbett EL, Stallard N, Maheswaran H, Lepine A, Johnson CC, et al. HIV self-testing 625 alone or with additional interventions, including financial incentives, and linkage to care or 626 prevention among male partners of antenatal care clinic attendees in Malawi: An adaptive multi-627 arm, multi-stage cluster randomised trial. PLoS Med. 2019;16(1):e1002719. 628 56. de Gruchy T, Kapilashrami A. After the handover: Exploring MSF's role in the provision of 629 health care to migrant farm workers in Musina, South Africa. Global Public Health. 630 2019;14(10):1401-13. 631 57. Gruchy Td, Vearey J. "Left behind": why implementing migration-aware responses to HIV for 632 migrant farm workers is a priority for South Africa. African Journal of AIDS Research. 2020;19(1):57-633 68. 634 58. Thomas AG, Grillo MP, Djibo DA, Hale B, Shaffer RA. Military HIV Policy Assessment in Sub-635 Saharan Africa. Military Medicine. 2014;179(7):773-7. Shapiro AE, van Heerden A, Krows M, Sausi K, Sithole N, Schaafsma TT, et al. An 636 59. 637 implementation study of oral and blood-based HIV self-testing and linkage to care among men in 638 rural and peri-urban KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. J Int AIDS Soc. 2020;23 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):e25514. 639 60. Muwanguzi PA, Bollinger RC, Ray SC, Nelson LE, Kiwanuka N, Bauermeister JA, et al. Drivers 640 and barriers to workplace-based HIV self-testing among high-risk men in Uganda: a qualitative study. 641 BMC Public Health. 2021;21(1):1002. 642 UNAIDS JUNPoHA. Male engagement in HIV testing, treatment and prevention in eastern 61. 643 and southern Africa — A framework for action. 2022. 644 van Rooyen H, McGrath N, Chirowodza A, Joseph P, Fiamma A, Gray G, et al. Mobile VCT: 62. 645 reaching men and young people in urban and rural South African pilot studies (NIMH Project Accept, 646 HPTN 043). AIDS Behav. 2013;17(9):2946-53. 647 Lalla-Edward ST, Ncube S, Matthew P, Hankins CA, Venter WDF, Gomez GB. Uptake of health 63. 648 services among truck drivers in South Africa: analysis of routine data from nine roadside wellness 649 centres. BMC Health Services Research. 2017;17(1):649. 650 64. Gill MM, Bakebua W, Ditekemena J, Gbomosa CN, Tshishi D, Loando A, et al. Virological and 651 care outcomes of community ART distribution: Experience with the PODI+ model in Kinshasa, 652 Democratic Republic of the Congo. PLOS Glob Public Health. 2024;4(1):e0002343. 653 Bochner AF, Meacham E, Mhungu N, Manyanga P, Petracca F, Muserere C, et al. The rollout 65. 654 of Community ART Refill Groups in Zimbabwe: a qualitative evaluation. J Int AIDS Soc. 655 2019;22(8):e25393. 656 66. Kibira SPS, Nansubuga E, Tumwesigye NM, Atuyambe LM, Makumbi F. Differences in risky 657 sexual behaviors and HIV prevalence of circumcised and uncircumcised men in Uganda: evidence 658 from a 2011 cross-sectional national survey. Reproductive Health. 2014;11(1):1-14. 659 Kiene SM, Sileo KM, Dove M, Kintu M. Hazardous alcohol consumption and alcohol-related 67. 660 problems are associated with unknown and HIV-positive status in fishing communities in Uganda. 661 AIDS Care. 2019;31(4):451-9. 662 68. Zungu NP, Simbayi LC, Mabaso M, Evans M, Zuma K, Ncitakalo N, et al. HIV risk perception 663 and behavior among medically and traditionally circumcised males in South Africa. BMC Public 664 Health. 2016;16(1):1-7. 665 69. Keetile M. An assessment of sexual risk behaviours among circumcised and uncircumcised 666 men before and after the implementation of the safe male circumcision programme in Botswana. 667 AIDS Care. 2020;32(12):1594-601. 668 70. Reynolds HW, Luseno WK, Speizer IS. Consistent condom use among men with non-marital 669 partners in four sub-Saharan African countries. AIDS Care. 2013;25(5):592-600. 670 71. Luchters S, Richter ML, Bosire W, Nelson G, Kingola N, Zhang X, et al. The contribution of 671 emotional partners to sexual risk taking and violence among female sex workers in Mombasa, 672 Kenya: a cohort study. PLoS One. 2013;8(8):e68855.

673 72. Macicame I, Bhatt N, Matavele Chissumba R, Eller LA, Viegas E, Araújo K, et al. HIV 674 prevalence and risk behavior among male and female adults screened for enrolment into a vaccine 675 preparedness study in Maputo, Mozambique. PLoS One. 2019;14(9):e0221682. 676 73. Gutin SA, Neilands TB, Charlebois ED, Getahun M, Okiring J, Akullian A, et al. Mobility is 677 Associated with Higher-risk Sexual Partnerships Among Both Men and Women in Co-resident 678 Couples in Rural Kenya and Uganda: A Longitudinal Cohort Study. AIDS and Behavior. 679 2023;27(5):1418-29. 680 74. Githuka G, Hladik W, Mwalili S, Cherutich P, Muthui M, Gitonga J, et al. Populations at 681 increased risk for HIV infection in Kenya: results from a national population-based household survey, 682 2012. JAIDS, Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes. 2014;66(Suppl. 1):S46-S56. 683 75. Kankaka EN, Ssekasanvu J, Prodger J, Nabukalu D, Nakawooya H, Ndyanabo A, et al. Sexual 684 risk behaviors following circumcision among HIV-positive men in Rakai, Uganda. AIDS Care. 685 2018;30(8):990-6. 686 76. Mooney A, Kidanu A, Bradley HM, Kumoji EK, Kennedy CE, Kerrigan D. Work-related violence 687 and inconsistent condom use with non-paying partners among female sex workers in Adama City, 688 Ethiopia. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:771. 689 77. Govender K, Beckett S, Masebo W, Braga C, Zambezi P, Manhique M, et al. Effects of a Short 690 Message Service (SMS) Intervention on Reduction of HIV Risk Behaviours and Improving HIV Testing 691 Rates Among Populations located near Roadside Wellness Clinics: A Cluster Randomised Controlled 692 Trial in South Africa, Zimbabwe and Mozambique. AIDS and Behavior. 2019;23(11):3119-28. 693 Kuteesa MO, Seeley J, Weiss HA, Cook S, Kamali A, Webb EL. Alcohol Misuse and Illicit Drug 78. 694 Use Among Occupational Groups at High Risk of HIV in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Systematic Review. 695 AIDS and Behavior. 2019;23(12):3199-225. 696 Kibira SPS, Sandøy IF, Daniel M, Atuyambe LM, Makumbi FE. A comparison of sexual risk 79. 697 behaviours and HIV seroprevalence among circumcised and uncircumcised men before and after 698 implementation of the safe male circumcision programme in Uganda. BMC Public Health. 699 2016;16(1):7. 700 80. Ahinkorah BO, Budu E, Seidu A-A, Hagan JE, Jr., Agbaglo E, Hormenu T, et al. Consistent 701 condom use among men who pay for sex in sub-Saharan Africa: Empirical evidence from 702 Demographic and Health Surveys. PLoS One. 2020;15(8):e0236552. 703 Hodgins C, Stannah J, Kuchukhidze S, Zembe L, Eaton JW, Boily M-C, et al. Population sizes, 81. 704 HIV prevalence, and HIV prevention among men who paid for sex in sub-Saharan Africa (2000-2020): 705 A meta-analysis of 87 population-based surveys. PLoS Medicine. 2022;19(1):e1003861. 706 82. Prudden HJ, Beattie TS, Bobrova N, Panovska-Griffiths J, Mukandavire Z, Gorgens M, et al. 707 Factors Associated with Variations in Population HIV Prevalence across West Africa: Findings from an 708 Ecological Analysis. PLoS One. 2015;10(12):e0142601. 709 83. Waruru A, Achia TNO, Tobias JL, Ng'ang'a J, Mwangi M, Wamicwe J, et al. Finding hidden HIV 710 clusters to support geographic-oriented HIV interventions in Kenya. JAIDS, Journal of Acquired 711 Immune Deficiency Syndromes. 2018;78(2):144-54. 712 84. Closson K, Hatcher A, Sikweyiya Y, Washington L, Mkhwanazi S, Jewkes R, et al. Gender role 713 conflict and sexual health and relationship practices amongst young men living in urban informal 714 settlements in South Africa. Culture, Health & Sexuality. 2020;22(1):31-47. 715 85. Chemaitelly H, Weiss HA, Calvert C, Harfouche M, Abu-Raddad LJ. HIV epidemiology among 716 female sex workers and their clients in the Middle East and North Africa: systematic review, meta-717 analyses, and meta-regressions. BMC medicine. 2019;17(1):119. 718 Gebremedhin AT, Gesesew HA, Demissie TD, Kerie MW, Sudhakar M. Khat chewing and risky 86. 719 sexual behavior in Sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review protocol. JBI Database of Systematic Reviews & Implementation Reports. 2013;11(12):59-67. 720 721 87. Babirye S, Ssengooba F, Weir SS, Michielsen K. Social venues and HIV vulnerability of young 722 venue workers: a cluster analysis of data from a national-level cross-sectional survey of social venues 723 in Uganda. BMJ Open. 2023;13(5):e065239.

724 88. Leung Soo C, Pant Pai N, Bartlett SJ, Esmail A, Dheda K, Bhatnagar S. Socioeconomic factors 725 impact the risk of HIV acquisition in the township population of South Africa: A Bayesian analysis. 726 PLOS global public health. 2023;3(1):e0001502. 727 Shi C, Li M, Dushoff J. Traditional Male Circumcision is Associated with Sexual Risk Behaviors 89. 728 in Sub-Saharan Countries Prioritized for Male Circumcision. AIDS & Behavior. 2020;24(3):951-9. 729 90. George G, Chetty T, Strauss M, Inoti S, Kinyanjui S, Mwai E, et al. Costing analysis of an SMS-730 based intervention to promote HIV self-testing amongst truckers and sex workers in Kenya. PLoS 731 One. 2018;13(7):e0197305. 732 91. Papworth E, Ceesay N, An L, Thiam-Niangoin M, Ky-Zerbo O, Holland C, et al. Epidemiology 733 of HIV among female sex workers, their clients, men who have sex with men and people who inject 734 drugs in West and Central Africa. Journal of the International AIDS Society. 2013;16 Suppl 3:18751. 735 Yedemie YY. Evaluating the prevalence and patterns of sexual risk behavior among 92. 736 undergraduate university students in Ethiopia: implication for psychosocial intervention. 737 International Quarterly of Community Health Education. 2020;41(1):63-7. 738 93. Grillo M, Tran BR, Tamoufe U, Djoko CF, Saylors K, Woodland K, et al. HIV and Syphilis 739 Prevalence and Associated Risks in the Cameroonian Armed Forces. Current HIV research. 740 2017;15(2):137-45. 741 94. Rucinski K, Masankha Banda L, Olawore O, Akolo C, Zakaliya A, Chilongozi D, et al. HIV 742 Testing Approaches to Optimize Prevention and Treatment for Key and Priority Populations in 743 Malawi. Open forum infectious diseases. 2022;9(4):ofac038. 744 Nabifo SC, Tsai AC, Bajunirwe F. HIV-related stigma and its association with HIV transmission 95. 745 risk behaviors among boda boda motorcyclists in Mbarara Municipality, southwestern Uganda. Int J 746 STD AIDS. 2021;32(9):791-8. 747 96. Mulugeta A, Manay K, Yifokir T, Ansha N, Solomon M, Sharma HR. HIV/AIDS risk perception and behavior of college students of the Metekel Zone, Benishangul Gumuz regional state, Ethiopia. 748 749 Vulnerable Children and Youth Studies. 2016;11(2):180-92. 750 97. Zhou W, Deng W, Luo J, Bai Y, He Z, Wang H. Predictors for HIV testing among Chinese 751 workers in infrastructure construction enterprises in Kenya. BMC Public Health. 2021;21(1):2213. 752 98. Mbita G, Komba AN, Casalini C, Bazant E, Curran K, Christensen A, et al. Predictors of HIV 753 Among 1 Million Clients in High-Risk Male Populations in Tanzania. AIDS & Behavior. 754 2022;26(10):3185-98. 755 99. Keïta M, Traore AK, Dicko I, Coulibaly YI, Maïga A, Bagayoko B, et al. [Role Of Emigration In 756 The Spread Of HIV Infection In The Region Of Kayes, Mali]. Le Mali medical. 2013;28(2):46-52. 757 100. Pelders J, Nelson G. Socio-demographic contributors to health and safety of mine workers in 758 South Africa. Work. 2019;64(1):67-76. 759 101. Choudhry V, Ambresin A-E, Nyakato VN, Agardh A. Transactional sex and HIV risks - evidence 760 from a cross-sectional national survey among young people in Uganda. Global Health Action. 761 2015;8:27249. 762 102. Kagaayi J, Batte J, Nakawooya H, Kigozi B, Nakigozi G, Strömdahl S, et al. Uptake and 763 retention on HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis among key and priority populations in South-Central 764 Uganda. Journal of the International AIDS Society. 2020;23(8). 765 103. Busza J, Hensen B, Birdthistle I, Chabata ST, Hargreaves JR, Floyd S, et al. What's in a name? 766 A mixed method study on how young women who sell sex characterize male partners and their use 767 of condoms. JAIDS, Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes. 2021;87(1):652-62. 768 104. Falcao J, Ahoua L, Zerbe A, di Mattei P, Baggaley R, Chivurre V, et al. Willingness to use 769 short-term oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) by migrant miners and female partners of migrant 770 miners in Mozambique. Culture, Health & Sexuality. 2017;19(12):1389-403. 771 Matovu JKB, Ssebadduka NB. Knowledge, attitudes & amp; barriers to condom use among 105. 772 female sex workers and truck drivers in Uganda: a mixed-methods study. African health sciences.

773 2013;13(4):1027-33.

106. Getahun K, Alemayehu K, Ararso T, Solomon A, Sharma HR, Amit A. HIV/AIDS prevention

practices among military personnel in Northwest Ethiopia. AIDS Care. 2019;31(11):1384-8.

107. Yaya I, Landoh DE, Saka B, Vignikin K, Aboubakari A-S, N'Dri KM, et al. Consistent Condom

Use during Casual Sex among Long-Truck Drivers in Togo. PLoS One. 2016;11(4):e0153264.

108. Idris SH, Sambo MN, Obi P. Comportment of heavy goods vehicle drivers in HIV spread along

settlements around Kaduna: Kano road transport corridor in Nigeria. International Journal of
Medicine and Public Health. 2013;3(1):26-32.

781 109. Tran BR, Thomas AG, Ditsela M, Vaida F, Phetogo R, Kelapile D, et al. Condom use behaviours

and correlates of use in the Botswana Defence Force. International Journal of STD & AIDS.

783 2013;24(11):883-92.

785

786

787

788

790 S1 APPENDIX: APPRAISAL TOOL FOR CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDIES (AXIS)

1.	. Alcohol use and sexual risk behaviours in the Armed Forces of the Democratic					
	Republic of Congo (DRC)					
	Question	Yes	No	Don't know/ Commen t		
Int	roduction					
1	Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?	Yes				
Ma	ethods					
2	Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?		No	Convenience sample is non-probabilistic		
3	Was the sample size justified?		No			
4	Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it clear who the research was about?)	Yes				
5	Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population base so that it closely represented the target/reference population under investigation?		No	Non-representative because of biased selection		
6	Was the selection process likely to select subjects/participants that were representative of the target/reference population under investigation?		No			
7	Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non- responders?		No			

-				
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured	Yes		
8	appropriate to the aims of the study?			
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured			I do not know since its
9	correctly using instruments/measurements that had been			not stated
	trialled, piloted or published previously?			
	Is it clear what was used to determined statistical	Yes		
10	significance and/or precision estimates? (e.g. p-values,			
	confidence intervals)			
	Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently	Yes		
11	described to enable them to be repeated?			
Res	sults			
12	Were the basic data adequately described?	Yes		
13	Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response		No	
	bias?			
14	If appropriate, was information about non-responders		No	
	described?			
15	Were the results internally consistent?	Yes		
16	Were the results presented for all the analyses described in	Yes		
	the methods?			
Dis	cussion			
17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by	Yes		
	the results?			
18	Were the limitations of the study discussed?	Yes		

Otl	ner			
	Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that		No	The authors declared no
19	may affect the authors' interpretation of the results?			conflicts of interest
20	Was ethical approval or consent of participants attained?	Yes		

	2. High-risk motorcycle taxi drivers in the HIV/AIDS era: a respondent-driven					
	sampling survey in Kampala, Uganda					
	Question			Don't		
		Yes	No	know/		
				Commen		
				t		
Int	roduction					
1	Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?	Yes				
Me	thods	1				
2	Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?	Yes	0	Network population		
3	Was the sample size justified?	Yes		Sample size calculation		
				conducted		
	Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it	Yes				
4	clear who the research was about?)					
	Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population	Yes				
5	base so that it closely represented the target/reference					
	population under investigation?					
	Was the selection process likely to select	Yes				

6	subjects/participants that were representative of the			
	target/reference population under investigation?			
7	Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non-		No	
	responders?			
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured	Yes		
8	appropriate to the aims of the study?			
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured	Yes		
9	correctly using instruments/measurements that had been			
	trialled, piloted, or published previously?			
	Is it clear what was used to determine statistical significance	Yes		
10	and/or precision estimates? (e.g. p-values, confidence			
	intervals)			
	Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently	Yes		
11	described to enable them to be repeated?			
Res	sults			
12	Were the basic data adequately described?	Yes		
13	Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response		No	
	bias?			
14	If appropriate, was information about non-responders		No	
	described?			
15	Were the results internally consistent?	Yes		
16	Were the results presented for all the analyses described in	Yes		
	the methods?			

Dis	cussion			
17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by	Yes		
	the results?			
18	Were the limitations of the study discussed?	Yes		
Otl	her	1		1
	Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that		No	The authors declared no
19	may affect the authors' interpretation of the results?			conflicts of interest
20	Was ethical approval or consent of participants attained?	Yes		

	3. The role of relationship types on condom use among urban men with					
	concurrent partners in Ghana and Tanzania					
	Question			Don't		
	Question	Yes	No	know/		
				Commen		
				t		
Inti	Introduction					
1	Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?	Yes				
Me	thods					
2	Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?	Yes		Time location sampling		
3	Was the sample size justified?	Yes		Sample size calculation		
				conducted		
	Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it	Yes				
4	clear who the research was about?)					

_	Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population	Yes		
5	base so that it closely represented the target/reference			
	population under investigation?			
	Was the selection process likely to select	Yes		
6	subjects/participants that were representative of the			
	target/reference population under investigation?			
7	Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non-			I do not know since it's
	responders?			not mentioned
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured	Yes		
8	appropriate to the aims of the study?			
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured			I do not know
9	correctly using instruments/measurements that had been			
	trialled, piloted, or published previously?			
	Is it clear what was used to determine statistical significance	Yes		
10	and/or precision estimates? (e.g. p-values, confidence			
	intervals)			
	Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently	Yes		
11	described to enable them to be repeated?			
Res	sults			
12	Were the basic data adequately described?	Yes		
13	Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response		No	
	bias?			
14	If appropriate, was information about non-responders		No	

	described?		
15	Were the results internally consistent?	Yes	
16	Were the results presented for all the analyses described in	Yes	
	the methods?		
Dis	cussion		
17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by	Yes	
	the results?		
18	Were the limitations of the study discussed?	Yes	
Otl	ner (Statistical Statistical Statis		
	Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that		I do not know since it's
19	may affect the authors' interpretation of the results?		not stated
20	Was ethical approval or consent of participants attained?	Yes	

	4. Sexual behaviour and vulnerability to HIV infection among seasonal						
	migrant in Metema district, northwest Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study						
	Question			Don't			
		Yes	No	know/			
				Commen			
				t			
Inti	roduction			1			
1	Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?	Yes					
Methods							
2	Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?	Yes		Multi-stage sampling			

3	Was the sample size justified?	Yes	Sample size calculation
			conducted
	Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it	Yes	
4	clear who the research was about?)		
	Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population	Yes	
5	base so that it closely represented the target/reference		
	population under investigation?		
	Was the selection process likely to select	Yes	
6	subjects/participants that were representative of the		
	target/reference population under investigation?		
7	Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non-		I do not know since it's
	responders?		not mentioned
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured	Yes	
8	appropriate to the aims of the study?		
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured	Yes	Pretested questionnaire
9	correctly using instruments/measurements that had been		
	trialled, piloted, or published previously?		
	Is it clear what was used to determine statistical significance	Yes	
10	and/or precision estimates? (e.g. p-values, confidence		
	intervals)		
	Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently	Yes	
11	described to enable them to be repeated?		
Res	sults		

12	Were the basic data adequately described?	Yes		
13	Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response		No	
	bias?			
14	If appropriate, was information about non-responders		No	
	described?			
15	Were the results internally consistent?	Yes		
16	Were the results presented for all the analyses described in	Yes		
	the methods?			
Dis	cussion			
Dis 17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by	Yes		
Dis 17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by the results?	Yes		
D is 17 18	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by the results? Were the limitations of the study discussed?	Yes		
Dis 17 18 Oth	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by the results? Were the limitations of the study discussed?	Yes		
Dis 17 18 Oth	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by the results? Were the limitations of the study discussed? her Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that	Yes	No	The authors declared no
Dis 17 18 Oth 19	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by the results? Were the limitations of the study discussed? her Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that may affect the authors' interpretation of the results?	Yes	No	The authors declared no conflict of interests

5. HIV related risk behaviours among taxi drivers and their assistants in Addis					
Ababa, Ethiopia: descriptive cross-sectional survey					
Question	Yes	No	Don't know/ Commen t		

Int	roduction		
1	Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?	Yes	
Me	thods		
2	Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?	Yes	Multi-stage sampling
3	Was the sample size justified?	Yes	Sample size calculation
			conducted
	Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it	Yes	
4	clear who the research was about?)		
	Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population	Yes	
5	base so that it closely represented the target/reference		
	population under investigation?		
	Was the selection process likely to select	Yes	
6	subjects/participants that were representative of the		
	target/reference population under investigation?		
7	Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non-		I do not know since it's
	responders?		not mentioned
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured	Yes	
8	appropriate to the aims of the study?		
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured	Yes	Pretested questionnaire
9	correctly using instruments/measurements that had been		
	trialled, piloted, or published previously?		
	Is it clear what was used to determine statistical significance	Yes	
10	and/or precision estimates? (e.g. p-values, confidence		

	intervals)			
11	Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently described to enable them to be repeated?	Yes		
Res	sults			
12	Were the basic data adequately described?	Yes		
13	Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response bias?		No	
14	If appropriate, was information about non-responders described?		No	
15	Were the results internally consistent?	Yes		
16	Were the results presented for all the analyses described in the methods?	Yes		
Dis	cussion			
17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by the results?	Yes		
18	Were the limitations of the study discussed?	Yes		
Otl	her			<u> </u>
19	Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that may affect the authors' interpretation of the results? Was ethical approval or consent of participants attained?	Yes	No	The authors declared no conflict of interests
	was concar approval of consent of participants attailed:			

795

6. Prevalence of HIV and associated risk factors among long-distance truck

	Question	Yes	No	Don't know/ Commen t
Int	roduction			
1	Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?	Yes		
Me	thods			
2	Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?	Yes		Time location samplin
3	Was the sample size justified?	Yes		Sample size calculation
4	Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it clear who the research was about?)	Yes		
5	Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population base so that it closely represented the target/reference population under investigation?	Yes		
6	Was the selection process likely to select subjects/participants that were representative of the target/reference population under investigation?	Yes		
7	Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non- responders?			I do not know since it not mentioned
8	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured appropriate to the aims of the study?	Yes		

	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured		No	
9	correctly using instruments/measurements that had been			
	trialled, piloted, or published previously?			
	Is it clear what was used to determine statistical significance	Yes		
10	and/or precision estimates? (e.g. p-values, confidence			
	intervals)			
	Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently	Yes		
11	described to enable them to be repeated?			
Res	sults			
12	Were the basic data adequately described?	Yes		
13	Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response		No	
	bias?			
14	If appropriate, was information about non-responders		No	
	described?			
15	Were the results internally consistent?	Yes		
16	Were the results presented for all the analyses described in	Yes		
	the methods?			
Dis	scussion			
17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by	Yes		
	the results?			
18	Were the limitations of the study discussed?	Yes		
Oti	her			
	Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that			I do not know since it's

19	may affect the authors' interpretation of the results?		not reported
20	Was ethical approval or consent of participants attained?	Yes	

	7. Demand for heterosexual commercial sex services in Ibadan, Nigeria			
	Question	Yes	No	Don't know/ Commen t
Int	roduction			
1	Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?	Yes		
Me	thods			
2	Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?	Yes		Time location sampling
3	Was the sample size justified?		No	
4	Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it clear who the research was about?)	Yes		
5	Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population base so that it closely represented the target/reference population under investigation?	Yes		
6	Was the selection process likely to select subjects/participants that were representative of the target/reference population under investigation?	Yes		
7	Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non-			I do not know since it's not reported

1				
	responders?			
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured	Yes		
8	appropriate to the aims of the study?			
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured			I do not know since it's
9	correctly using instruments/measurements that had been			not reported
	trialled, piloted, or published previously?			
	Is it clear what was used to determine statistical significance		No	
10	and/or precision estimates? (e.g. p-values, confidence			
	intervals)			
	Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently	Yes		
11	described to enable them to be repeated?			
Res	sults			
12	Were the basic data adequately described?	Yes		
13	Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response		No	
	bias?			
14	If appropriate, was information about non-responders		No	
	described?			
15	Were the results internally consistent?	Yes		
16	Were the results presented for all the analyses described in	Yes		
	the methods?			
Dis	scussion			
17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by	Yes		
	the results?			

18	Were the limitations of the study discussed?	No	
Otl	her		<u> </u>
-	Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that		I do not know since it's
19	may affect the authors' interpretation of the results?		not reported
20	Was ethical approval or consent of participants attained?		It is not specified

8. A reasoned action model of male client involvement in commercial sex work in Kibera, a large informal settlement in Nairobi, Kenya				
				Don't
	Question Yes	Yes	No	know/
			Commen	
				t
Int	roduction			
1	Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?	Yes		
Me	thods			
2	Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?	Yes		Time location sampling
3	Was the sample size justified?		No	
	Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it	Yes		
4	clear who the research was about?)			
	Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population	Yes		
5	base so that it closely represented the target/reference			
	population under investigation?			
	Was the selection process likely to select	Yes		

6	subjects/participants that were representative of the			
	target/reference population under investigation?			
7	Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non-			I do not know since it's
				not reported
	responders?			liot reported
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured	Yes		
8	appropriate to the aims of the study?			
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured			I do not know since it's
9	correctly using instruments/measurements that had been			not reported
	trialled, piloted, or published previously?			
		Ves		
	Is it clear what was used to determine statistical significance	100		
10	and/or precision estimates? (e.g. p-values, confidence			
	intervals)			
	Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently	Yes		
11				
	described to enable them to be repeated?			
Res	sults			
12	Were the basic data adequately described?	Yes		
13	Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response		No	
	bias:			
14	If appropriate, was information about non-responders		No	
	described?			
		Ves		
15	Were the results internally consistent?	100		
16	Were the results presented for all the analyses described in	Yes		
	the methods?			

Dis	cussion				
17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by	Yes			
	the results?				
18	Were the limitations of the study discussed?	Yes			
Otl	her			1	
	Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that		No		
19	may affect the authors' interpretation of the results?				
20	Was ethical approval or consent of participants attained?	Yes			

798

799

9. HIV preventive behaviour and associated factors among mining workers in Sali traditional gold mining site bench maji zone, Southwest Ethiopia: a cross sectional study Don't Question know/ Yes No Commen t Introduction Yes 1 Were the aims/objectives of the study clear? Methods Yes 2 Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)? Yes 3 Was the sample size justified? Yes Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it

4	clear who the research was about?)			
	Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population	Yes		
5	base so that it closely represented the target/reference			
	population under investigation?			
	Was the selection process likely to select	Yes		
6	subjects/participants that were representative of the			
	target/reference population under investigation?			
7	Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non-			I do not know since it's
	responders?			not reported
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured	Yes		
8	appropriate to the aims of the study?			
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured	Yes		Questionnaire pretested
9	correctly using instruments/measurements that had been			
	trialled, piloted, or published previously?			
	Is it clear what was used to determine statistical significance	Yes		
10	and/or precision estimates? (e.g. p-values, confidence			
	intervals)			
	Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently	Yes		
11	described to enable them to be repeated?			
Res	sults	1		1
12	Were the basic data adequately described?	Yes		
13	Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response		No	
	bias?			
1		1	1	1

14	If appropriate, was information about non-responders	No
	described?	
15	Were the results internally consistent?	Yes
16	Were the results presented for all the analyses described in	Yes
	the methods?	
Dis	cussion	
17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by	Yes
	the results?	
18	Were the limitations of the study discussed?	Yes
Otl	her	
	Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that	Yes
19	may affect the authors' interpretation of the results?	
20	Was ethical approval or consent of participants attained?	Yes

	10. Knowledge on HIV/AIDS and sexual behaviours amore	ng lon	g-dist	ance truck
	drivers at Kazungula weigh bridge terminal, Chobe distric	t, Bots	swana	
	Question	Yes	No	Don't know/ Commen t
Inti	roduction			
1	Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?	Yes		
Me	thods			

2	Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?	Yes	
3	Was the sample size justified?	Yes	
4	Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it clear who the research was about?)	Yes	
5	Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population base so that it closely represented the target/reference population under investigation?	Yes	
6	Was the selection process likely to select subjects/participants that were representative of the target/reference population under investigation?	Yes	
7	Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non- responders?		I do not know since it's not reported
8	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured appropriate to the aims of the study?	Yes	
9	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured correctly using instruments/measurements that had been trialled, piloted, or published previously?		I do not know since it's not reported
10	Is it clear what was used to determine statistical significance and/or precision estimates? (e.g. p-values, confidence intervals)	Yes	
11	Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently described to enable them to be repeated?	Yes	
Res	sults		1

12	Were the basic data adequately described?	Yes		
13	Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response		No	
	bias?			
14	If appropriate, was information about non-responders		No	
	described?			
15	Were the results internally consistent?	Yes		
16	Were the results presented for all the analyses described in	Yes		
	the methods?			
Dis	scussion			
17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by	Yes		
17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by the results?	Yes		
17 18	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by the results? Were the limitations of the study discussed?	Yes	No	
17 18 <i>Oth</i>	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by the results? Were the limitations of the study discussed? her	Yes	No	
17 18 <i>Oth</i>	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by the results? Were the limitations of the study discussed? her Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that	Yes	No	I do not know since it's
17 18 <i>Oth</i> 19	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by the results? Were the limitations of the study discussed? her Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that may affect the authors' interpretation of the results?	Yes	No	I do not know since it's not reported

 11. Sexual practices, risk perception, and HIV self-testing a	accept	ability	among
long-distance truck drivers in Ekiti State, Nigeria			
Question	Yes	No	Don't know/ Commen t

Int	roduction		
1	Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?	Yes	
Me	thods		
2	Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?	Yes	
3	Was the sample size justified?	Yes	
4	Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it clear who the research was about?)	Yes	
5	Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population base so that it closely represented the target/reference population under investigation?	Yes	
6	Was the selection process likely to select subjects/participants that were representative of the target/reference population under investigation?	Yes	
7	Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non- responders?		I do not know since it's not reported
8	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured appropriate to the aims of the study?	Yes	
9	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured correctly using instruments/measurements that had been trialled, piloted, or published previously?		I do not know since it's not reported
10	Is it clear what was used to determine statistical significance and/or precision estimates? (e.g. p-values, confidence intervals)	Yes	

			No	The englysic contion is
	Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently		INO	The analysis section is
11	described to enable them to be repeated?			shallow, it does not
				contain a lot of detail
Res	sults			
		Ves		
12	Were the basic data adequately described?	105		
13	Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response		No	
	bias?			
14	If appropriate, was information about non-responders		No	
	described?			
15	Were the results internally consistent?	Yes		
16	Were the results presented for all the analyses described in	Yes		
	the methods?			
Dis	cussion			
17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by	Yes		
	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	the results:			
18	Were the limitations of the study discussed?	Yes		
Otl	her			
			No	The authors declared no
	Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that			
19	may affect the authors' interpretation of the results?			conflicts of interest
20	Was ethical approval or consent of participants attained?	Yes		

802

12. HIV prevalence and risk in long-distance truck drivers in South Africa: A

national cross-sectional surveys

				Don't	
	Question	Yes	No	know/	
				Commen	
				t	
Int	roduction				
1	Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?	Yes			
Me	thods				
2	Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?	Yes			
3	Was the sample size justified?	Yes			
	Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it	Yes			
4	clear who the research was about?)				
	Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population	Yes			
5	base so that it closely represented the target/reference				
	population under investigation?				
	Was the selection process likely to select	Yes			
6	subjects/participants that were representative of the				
	target/reference population under investigation?				
7	Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non-			I do not know since it's	
	responders?			not reported	
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured	Yes			
8	appropriate to the aims of the study?				
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured			I do not know since it's	
9	correctly using instruments/measurements that had been			not reported	

		1	-	
	trialled, piloted, or published previously?			
10	Is it clear what was used to determine statistical significance and/or precision estimates? (e.g. p-values, confidence intervals)	Yes		
11	Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently described to enable them to be repeated?		No	The analysis section is shallow, it does not contain a lot of detail
Res	sults			
12	Were the basic data adequately described?	Yes		
13	Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response bias?		No	
14	If appropriate, was information about non-responders described?		No	
15	Were the results internally consistent?	Yes		
16	Were the results presented for all the analyses described in the methods?	Yes		
Dis	cussion			
17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by the results?	Yes		
18	Were the limitations of the study discussed?	Yes		
Otl	her			
19	Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that may affect the authors' interpretation of the results?		No	The authors declared no conflicts of interest

20 Was ethical approval or consent of participants attained?	Yes		
--	-----	--	--

803

13. Cross-sectional study of the health of southern African truck drivers				
	Question	Yes	No	Don't know/ Commen t
Int	roduction			
1	Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?	Yes		
Me	thods			
2	Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?	Yes		
3	Was the sample size justified?		No	The study did not mention how they calculated the sample size
4	Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it clear who the research was about?)	Yes		
5	Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population base so that it closely represented the target/reference population under investigation?	Yes		
6	Was the selection process likely to select subjects/participants that were representative of the	Yes		

	target/reference population under investigation?			
7	Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non- responders?			I do not know since it's not reported
8	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured appropriate to the aims of the study?	Yes		
9	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured correctly using instruments/measurements that had been trialled, piloted, or published previously?			I do not know since it's not reported
10	Is it clear what was used to determine statistical significance and/or precision estimates? (e.g. p-values, confidence intervals)		No	No statistical tests were conducted
11	Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently described to enable them to be repeated?	Yes		
Res	sults			
12	Were the basic data adequately described?	Yes		
13	Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response bias?	Yes		Difficulty recruiting truckers
14	If appropriate, was information about non-responders described?		No	
15	Were the results internally consistent?	Yes		
16	Were the results presented for all the analyses described in the methods?	Yes		
Dis	cussion	1	1	

17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by	Yes		
	the results?			
18	Were the limitations of the study discussed?	Yes		
Ot	her			
	Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that		No	The authors declared no
19	may affect the authors' interpretation of the results?			conflicts of interest
20	Was ethical approval or consent of participants attained?	Yes		

14. HIV prevalence and risk behaviours among Mozambicans working in South						
	African mines					
			Don't			
	Question	Yes	No	know/		
				Commen		
				t		
Int	Introduction					
1	Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?	Yes				
Me	Methods					
2	Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?	Yes		Time-location sampling		
3	Was the sample size justified?		No	The study did not		
				mention how they		
				calculated the sample		
				size		
	Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it	Yes				

			-	1
4	clear who the research was about?)			
	Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population	Yes		
5	base so that it closely represented the target/reference			
	population under investigation?			
	Was the selection process likely to select	Yes		
6	subjects/participants that were representative of the			
	target/reference population under investigation?			
7	Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non-			I do not know since it's
	responders?			not reported
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured	Yes		
8	appropriate to the aims of the study?			
	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured			I do not know since it's
9	correctly using instruments/measurements that had been			not reported
	trialled, piloted, or published previously?			
	Is it clear what was used to determine statistical significance		No	No statistical tests were
10	and/or precision estimates? (e.g. p-values, confidence			conducted
	intervals)			
	Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently	Yes		
11	described to enable them to be repeated?			
Res	sults			
12	Were the basic data adequately described?	Yes		
13	Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response		No	
	bias?			
1		1		1

14	If appropriate, was information about non-responders	No	
	described?		
15	Were the results internally consistent?	Yes	
16	Were the results presented for all the analyses described in	Yes	
	the methods?		
Dis	cussion		
17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by	Yes	
	the results?		
18	Were the limitations of the study discussed?	Yes	
Otl	ier		
	Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that	No	
19	may affect the authors' interpretation of the results?		
20	Was ethical approval or consent of participants attained?	Yes	

	15. population sizes, HIV prevalence, and HIV prevention among men who paid for sex in sub-Saharan Africa (2000-2020): A meta-analysis of 87 population- based surveys				
	Question	Yes	No	Don't know/ Commen t	
Introduction					
1	Were the aims/objectives of the study clear?	Yes			
medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.12.03.24318456; this version posted December 5, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Me	thods		
2	Was the study design appropriate for the stated aim(s)?	Yes	Meta-analysis
3	Was the sample size justified?	Yes	
4	Was the target/reference population clearly defined? (Is it clear who the research was about?)	Yes	
5	Was the sample frame taken from an appropriate population base so that it closely represented the target/reference population under investigation?	Yes	
6	Was the selection process likely to select subjects/participants that were representative of the target/reference population under investigation?	Yes	
7	Were measures undertaken to address and categorise non- responders?		I do not know since it's not reported
8	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured appropriate to the aims of the study?	Yes	
9	Were the risk factor and outcome variables measured correctly using instruments/measurements that had been trialled, piloted, or published previously?	Yes	
10	Is it clear what was used to determine statistical significance and/or precision estimates? (e.g. p-values, confidence intervals)	Yes	
11	Were the methods (including statistical methods) sufficiently described to enable them to be repeated?	Yes	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.12.03.24318456; this version posted December 5, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Res	sults				
12	Were the basic data adequately described?	Yes		 	
13	Does the response rate raise concerns about non-response		No		
	bias?				
14	If appropriate, was information about non-responders		No		
	described?				
15	Were the results internally consistent?	Yes			
16	Were the results presented for all the analyses described in	Yes			
	the methods?				
Dis	cussion				
17	Were the authors' discussions and conclusions justified by	Yes			
	the results?				
18	Were the limitations of the study discussed?	Yes			
Otl	her				
	Were there any funding sources or conflicts of interest that		No		
19	may affect the authors' interpretation of the results?				
20	Was ethical approval or consent of participants attained?	Yes			

	Author	Reasons	Summary or description of the content
1.	Assessing the Potential Impact of Disruptions Due	No data on having	• The study did not investigate whether the
	to COVID-19 on HIV Among Key and Lower-	sex with a FSW	men had sex with FSW or not.
	Risk Populations in the Largest Cities of		
	Cameroon and Benin		
2.	Differences in risky sexual behaviors and HIV	No data on having	• The study investigated sexual risk
	prevalence of circumcised and uncircumcised men	sex with a FSW	behaviours including transactional sex
	in Uganda: evidence from a 2011 cross-sectional		
	national survey(66)		
3.	Hazardous alcohol consumption and alcohol-	No data on having	• The study was assessed among different
	related problems are associated with unknown and	sex with a FSW	populations but did not investigate having
	HIV-positive status in fishing communities in		sex with FSW among men recruited in the
	Uganda(67)		study

808 S2 APPENDIX: EXCLUDED STUDIES AND REASONS FOR EXCLUSION

4.	HIV risk perception and behavior among	No data on having	• The study did not investigate whether the
	medically and traditionally circumcised males in	sex with a FSW	men had sex with FSW or not so it did not
	South Africa(68)		meet the inclusion criteria
5.	Voluntary medical male circumcision and sexual	No data on having	• The study investigated transactional sex
	practices among sexually active circumcised men	sex with a FSW	which is different from paying FSW for sex
	in Mzuzu, Malawi: a cross-sectional study		
6.	An assessment of sexual risk behaviours among	No data on having	• The study did not investigate having sex
	circumcised and uncircumcised men before and	sex with a FSW	with FSW or not among the men recruited
	after the implementation of the safe male		
	circumcision programme in Botswana(69)		
7.	Consistent condom use among men with non-	No data on having	• The study assessed the influence of marital
	marital partners in four sub-Saharan African	sex with a FSW	status on condom use among men with
	countries(70)		casual partners. The article did not report
			about the men engaging female sex
			workers.
8.	The contribution of emotional partners to sexual	Ineligible population	• The study was conducted among FSW

	risk taking and violence among female sex workers		
	in Mombasa, Kenya: a cohort study(71)		
9.	HIV prevalence and risk behavior among male and	Ineligible population	• The study was conducted among men and
	female adults screened for enrolment into a		women in the general community and did
	vaccine preparedness study in Maputo,		not report about men having sex with FSW.
	Mozambique(72)		
10.	Mobility is Associated with Higher-risk Sexual	No data on having	• The study did not investigate having sex
	Partnerships Among Both Men and Women in	sex with a FSW	with female sex workers
	Co-resident Couples in Rural Kenya and Uganda:		
	A Longitudinal Cohort Study(73)		
11.	Populations at increased risk for HIV infection in	No data on having	• The article reported about transactional sex
	Kenya: results from a national population-based	sex with a FSW	with FSW which is different from sex work
	household survey, 2012(74)		
12.	Sexual risk behaviors following circumcision	No data on having	• The study assessed sexual risk behaviours
	among HIV-positive men in Rakai, Uganda(75)	sex with a FSW	among HIV-positive men and did not
			include sex work engagement in this

			population
13.	Work-related violence and inconsistent condom	Ineligible population	• The study was conducted among female sex
	use with non-paying partners among female sex		workers
	workers in Adama City, Ethiopia(76)		
14.	Effects of a Short Message Service (SMS)	No data on having	• The study was conducted among truck
	Intervention on Reduction of HIV Risk	sex with a FSW	drivers, sex workers, and community
	Behaviours and Improving HIV Testing		residents but did not report on any
	Rates Among Populations located near Roadside		engagements between female sex workers
	Wellness Clinics: A Cluster Randomised		and other populations.
	Controlled Trial in South Africa, Zimbabwe and		
	Mozambique(77)		
15.	Alcohol Misuse and Illicit Drug Use Among	Ineligible study	• The article was a systematic review
	Occupational Groups at High Risk of HIV in Sub-	design	
	Saharan Africa: A Systematic Review(78)		
16.	A comparison of sexual risk behaviours and HIV	No data on having	• The study did not report on whether the
	seroprevalence among circumcised and	sex with a FSW	men had sex with female sex workers or

	-		
	uncircumcised men before and after		not.
	implementation of the safe male circumcision		
	programme in Uganda(79)		
17.	Consistent condom use among men who pay for	Ineligible study	• The study used Demographic and Health
	sex in sub-Saharan Africa: Empirical evidence	design	Surveys that were also used in a meta-
	from Demographic and Health Surveys(80)		analysis conducted by Hodgins(81).
18.	Factors Associated with Variations in Population	Ineligible study	• The study used data from Demographic
	HIV Prevalence across West Africa: Findings from	design	and Health Surveys that were also used in
	an Ecological Analysis(82)		the meta-analysis conducted by
			Hodgins(81) among 87 population based
			surveys.
19.	Finding hidden HIV clusters to support	Ineligible study	• This was a population-based study (KAIS)
	geographic-oriented HIV interventions in	design	which was also reported in the meta-
	Kenya(83)		analysis by Hodgins(29)
20.	Gender role conflict and sexual health and	Ineligible population	• The study was conducted among men and
	relationship practices amongst young men living in		women in the general population

	urban informal settlements in South Africa(84)		
21.	HIV epidemiology among female sex workers and	Ineligible study	• The study was a systematic review and thus
	their clients in the Middle East and North Africa:	design	not primary research.
	systematic review, meta-analyses, and meta-		
	regressions(85)		
22.	Khat chewing and risky sexual behavior in Sub-	Ineligible study	• The study was a systematic review protocol
	Saharan Africa: a systematic review protocol(86)	design	and thus not primary research.
23.	Social venues and HIV vulnerability of young	Ineligible study	• This was a secondary analysis of data from
	venue workers: a cluster analysis of data from a	design	a larger cross-sectional survey of social
	national-level cross-sectional survey of social		venues in 25 districts of Uganda.
	venues in Uganda(87)		
24.	Socioeconomic factors impact the risk of HIV	Ineligible study	• In this study, the authors conducted a
	acquisition in the township population of South	design	secondary data analysis
	Africa: A Bayesian analysis(88)		
25.	Traditional Male Circumcision is Associated with	No data on having	• The study assessed the association between
	Sexual Risk Behaviors in Sub-Saharan Countries	sex with a FSW	three sexual risk behaviors condom use

	Prioritized for Male Circumcision(89)		with non-cohabiting partners, number of
			non-cohabiting partners, and partner
			type—and circumcision status but did not
			report about engaging FSW services among
			these men
26.	Costing analysis of an SMS-based intervention to	No data on having	• The study estimated the costs of an
	promote HIV self-testing amongst truckers and	sex with a FSW	intervention to promote HIV testing among
	sex workers in Kenya(90)		truck drivers and did not report on their
			engagement with female sex workers
27.	Epidemiology of HIV among female sex workers,	Ineligible study	• The article is a systematic review of HIV
	their clients, men who have sex with men, and	design	literature among key populations in WCA
	people who inject drugs in West and Central		conducted since the onset of the HIV
	Africa(91)		epidemic.
28.	Evaluating the prevalence and patterns of sexual	Results different	• There is a difference between what the
	risk behavior among undergraduate university	from what the study	study seeks to achieve and the findings of
	students in Ethiopia: implication for psychosocial	design talks about	the study

	intervention(92)		
29.	HIV and Syphilis Prevalence and Associated Risks	No access to full-text	• There is no access to full-text for this study
	in the Cameroonian Armed Forces(93)		
30.	HIV Testing Approaches to Optimize Prevention	Ineligible study	• The data used for analysis was extracted
	and Treatment for Key and Priority Populations in	design	from program data routinely collected for
	Malawi(94)		the LINKAGES project
31.	HIV-related stigma and its association with HIV	No access to full text	• There was no access to full-text for the
	transmission risk behaviors among boda boda		article.
	motorcyclists in Mbarara municipality,		
	southwestern Uganda(95)		
32.	HIV/AIDS risk perception and behavior of	No access to full-text	• There was no access to full-text for the
	college students of the Metekel Zone, Benishangul		article.
	Gumuz regional state, Ethiopia(96)		
33.	Predictors for HIV testing among Chinese	No data on having	• The study did not investigate whether the
	workers in infrastructure construction enterprises	sex with a FSW	men recruited in the study had sex with
	in Kenya(97)		FSW or not which was an important

			inclusion criteria
34.	Predictors of HIV Among 1 Million Clients in	Ineligible study	• This article used secondary data from a
	High-Risk Male Populations in Tanzania(98)	design	cross-sectional study nested within a large-
			scale community-based HIV prevention
			program
35.	Role Of Emigration In The Spread Of HIV	Inapplicable	• The article was written in French and I
	Infection In The Region Of Kayes, Mali(99)	language- French	could not translate it.
36.	Socio-demographic contributors to health and	No data on having	• The study assessed the relationship between
	safety of mine workers in South Africa(100)	sex with a FSW	socio-demographic factors and health,
			safety, and wellness among mine workers.
			There was no investigation of having sex
			with female sex workers or not.
37.	Transactional sex and HIV risks - evidence from a	No data on having	• The study assessed transactional sex which
	cross-sectional national survey among young	sex with a FSW	is different from paying FSW for sex
	people in Uganda(101)		
38.	Uptake and retention on HIV pre-exposure	Ineligible study	• The study used secondary data from

	prophylaxis among key and priority populations in	design	registers of the PrEP programme.
	South-Central Uganda(102)		
39.	What's in a name? A mixed method study on how	Inapplicable	• The study was conducted among female sex
	young women who sell sex characterize male	population	workers to characterize male partners and
	partners and their use of condoms(103)		their use of condoms. The study did not
			investigate sex work among men.
40.	Willingness to use short-term oral pre-exposure	No access to full-text	• There is no access to the full text of the
	prophylaxis (PrEP) by migrant miners and female		article
	partners of migrant miners in Mozambique(104)		• The article does not report on paying sex
			worker for sex
41.	Alcohol use and its association with sexual risk	Ineligible study	• A secondary analysis of data collected from
	behaviors in the Armed Forces of the Republic of	design	a 2014 seroprevalence and behavioral
	the Congo(32)		epidemiology risk survey was performed
42.	Knowledge, attitudes & barriers to condom use	No data on having	• The study did not investigate whether there
	among female sex workers and truck drivers in	sex with a FSW	was FSW engagement
	Uganda: a mixed-methods study(105)		• The study also employed mixed methods

			approach
43.	HIV/AIDS prevention practices among military	No data on having	• The study did not investigate whether the
	personnel in Northwest Ethiopia(106)	sex with a FSW	military personnel had sex with FSW or not
44.	The association between client type and condom	No data on having	• The study did not investigate FSW
	use with steady and unsteady partners among	sex with a FSW	engagement among the population surveyed
	persons seeking HIV testing and counseling		• Assessments were made on the general
	services in Kenya		population, truck drivers, and FSW
45.	Consistent Condom Use during Casual Sex among	No data on having	• The study assessed factors associated with
	Long-Truck Drivers in Togo(107)	sex with a FSW	casual sex among LDTD which is different
			from sex with FSW
46.	Comportment of heavy goods vehicle drivers in	No data on having	• The study did not investigate about having
	HIV spread along settlements around Kaduna:	sex with a FSW	sex with FSW which is an important
	Kano road transport corridor in Nigeria(108)		inclusion criteria
47.	Left behind?: male clients of female sex workers in	Ineligible study	• This was a Demographic and Health Survey
	Zambia	design	(DHS) which was also part of the meta-
			analysis.

r				
48.	Factors associated with buying sex and the	Duplicate article	•	The paper reports the same results as
	knowledge that condoms prevent HIV among			reported in another article but with a
	longdistance truck drivers at Kazungula			different title.
	weighbridge terminal, Chobe District,			
	Botswana(33)			
49.	Condom Use Behaviors and Correlates of Use in	No data on having	•	The inclusion criteria for this scoping
	the Botswana Defence Force(109)	sex with an FSW		review specifically require the presence of
				having sex with FSW among the subgroups
				of men.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.12.03.24318456; this version posted December 5, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

809









