

Supplemental Material

Cardiorespiratory Fitness in Adolescence and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes in Late Adulthood: A Nationwide Sibling-Controlled Cohort Study

Authors:


Marcel Ballin, PhD^{1,2} (ORCID 0000-0002-9638-7208), Viktor H. Ahlqvist, PhD^{1,3,4} (ORCID 0000-0003-1383-3194), Daniel Berglind, PhD^{2,5,6} (ORCID 0000-0003-0616-7779), Mattias Brunström, MD, PhD⁷ (ORCID 0000-0002-7054-0905), Angel Herraiz-Adillo, PhD⁸ (ORCID 0000-0002-2691-0315), Pontus Henriksson, PhD⁸ (ORCID 0000-0003-2482-7048), Martin Neovius, PhD⁹ (ORCID 0000-0003-2300-3055), Francisco B. Ortega, PhD^{10,11} (ORCID 0000-0003-2001-1121), Anna Nordström, MD, PhD^{12,13} (ORCID 0000-0003-3534-456X), Peter Nordström, MD, PhD¹ (ORCID 0000-0003-2924-508X)

Affiliations:


¹Department of Public Health and Caring Sciences, Clinical Geriatrics, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. ²Centre for Epidemiology and Community Medicine, Region Stockholm, Stockholm, Sweden. ³Department of Biomedicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark. ⁴Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁵Department of Global Public Health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁶Center for Wellbeing, Welfare and Happiness, Stockholm School of Economics, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁷Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden. ⁸Department of Health, Medicine and Caring Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden. ⁹Department of Medicine, Clinical Epidemiology Division, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ¹⁰Department of Physical Education and Sports, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Sport and Health University Research Institute (iMUDS), University of Granada; CIBEROBN, ISCIII, Granada, Andalucía, Spain. ¹¹Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland. ¹²Department of Medical Sciences, Rehabilitation Medicine, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. ¹³School of Sport Sciences, UiT, The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway

Correspondence:

Marcel Ballin (marcel.ballin@uu.se). Department of Public Health and Caring Sciences, Clinical Geriatrics, Uppsala University, Husargatan 3 BMC, SE 75122, Uppsala, Sweden.



Supplemental Figure 1. Participant flow chart. Created using BioRender.com



Supplemental Figure 2. Hazard ratios for type 2 diabetes diagnosis only (thus not considering dispensation of antidiabetic medication as in the main analysis) across restricted cubic splines of cardiorespiratory fitness in cohort and full-sibling analysis. Estimates were obtained using flexible parametric survival models, extended to a marginalized between-within model in the sibling analysis, with knots placed at the 5th, 35th, 65th, and 95th percentile, and using age as the underlying time scale. The referent was set to the median value of the bottom decile (202 W_{max}). The models were adjusted for age at conscription, year of conscription, body mass index, parental education, and parental income. For graphical purposes, the x-axis was limited to span from the first to the 99th percentile of the exposure distribution.

Supplemental Table 1. Baseline characteristics by deciles of cardiorespiratory fitness in the total cohort and in the full-sibling cohort.

Total cohort										
Variables	Decile 1 (N=120 001)	Decile 2 (N=105 379)	Decile 3 (N=125 892)	Decile 4 (N=101 028)	Decile 5 (N=116 091)	Decile 6 N=106 283)	Decile 7 (N=113 794)	Decile 8 (N=111 840)	Decile 9 (N=111 889)	Decile 10 (N=111 852)
Birth year, median (IQR)	1959 (1950-1977)	1960 (1950-1977)	1962 (1950-1977)	1964 (1950-1977)	1966 (1950-1977)	1966 (1950-1977)	1969 (1951-1977)	1969 (1951-1977)	1971 (1951-1977)	1971 (1952-1977)
Age at conscription, mean (SD)	18.4 (0.9)	18.4 (0.8)	18.3 (0.8)	18.3 (0.7)	18.3 (0.7)	18.3 (0.6)	18.3 (0.6)	18.3 (0.6)	18.3 (0.5)	18.3 (0.5)
Body mass index, kg/m², mean (SD)	20.2 (2.8)	21.0 (2.7)	21.4 (2.8)	21.6 (2.9)	21.8 (2.8)	21.9 (2.7)	22.1 (2.8)	22.4 (2.7)	22.3 (2.5)	23.1 (2.6)
Body mass index categories, n (%)										
Underweight (<18.5 kg/m ²)	30 428 (25.4)	14 233 (13.5)	12 332 (9.8)	8486 (8.4)	7540 (6.5)	5690 (5.4)	4560 (4.0)	2782 (2.5)	2300 (2.1)	615 (0.6)
Normal weight (18.5-24.9 kg/m ²)	82 973 (69.1)	83 468 (79.2)	101 670 (80.8)	82 565 (81.7)	96 076 (82.8)	88 964 (83.7)	95 128 (83.6)	94 443 (84.4)	96 551 (86.3)	91 748 (82.0)
Overweight (25.0-29.9 kg/m ²)	5149 (4.3)	6319 (6.0)	9832 (7.8)	8182 (8.1)	10 399 (9.0)	9848 (9.3)	11 708 (10.3)	12 629 (11.3)	11 312 (10.1)	16 889 (15.1)
Obesity (\geq 30.0 kg/m ²)	1451 (1.2)	1359 (1.3)	2058 (1.6)	1795 (1.8)	2076 (1.8)	1781 (1.7)	2398 (2.1)	1986 (1.8)	1728 (1.5)	2600 (2.3)
Parental level of education, n (%)										
Compulsory school \leq 9 years	51 207 (42.7)	42 796 (40.6)	46 625 (37.0)	32 667 (32.3)	34 298 (29.5)	28 527 (26.8)	25 999 (22.9)	22 642 (20.2)	18 587 (16.6)	15 894 (14.2)
Secondary education	50 620 (42.2)	44 854 (42.6)	54 941 (43.6)	45 737 (45.3)	53 143 (45.8)	49 235 (46.3)	53 597 (47.1)	51 869 (46.4)	51 750 (46.3)	49 764 (44.5)
Post-secondary education <3 years	8336 (7.0)	7932 (7.5)	10 647 (8.5)	9831 (9.7)	12 291 (10.6)	11 836 (11.1)	14 190 (12.5)	14 934 (13.4)	16 394 (14.7)	17 366 (15.5)
Post-secondary education \geq 3 years	9838 (8.2)	9797 (9.3)	13 679 (10.9)	12 793 (12.7)	16 359 (14.1)	16 685 (15.7)	20 008 (17.6)	22 395 (20.0)	25 158 (22.5)	28 828 (25.8)
Parental highest income, n (%)										
Category 1 (low income)	8341 (7.0)	6828 (6.5)	7434 (5.9)	5615 (5.6)	5911 (5.1)	5058 (4.8)	5009 (4.4)	4127 (3.7)	3635 (3.3)	3097 (2.8)
Category 2	14 583 (12.2)	11 914 (11.3)	13 719 (10.9)	10 537 (10.4)	11 770 (10.1)	10 081 (9.5)	10 425 (9.2)	9344 (8.4)	8928 (8.0)	7534 (6.7)
Category 3	30 064 (25.1)	25 264 (24.0)	28 880 (22.9)	22 528 (22.3)	25 028 (21.6)	22 293 (21.0)	23 274 (20.5)	21 200 (19.0)	20 635 (18.4)	18 787 (16.8)
Category 4	36 612 (30.5)	31 776 (30.1)	38 634 (30.7)	30 654 (30.3)	35 124 (30.3)	32 279 (30.4)	34 044 (29.9)	33 159 (29.7)	33 252 (29.7)	32 921 (29.4)
Category 5 (high income)	30 401 (25.3)	29 597 (28.1)	37 225 (29.6)	31 694 (31.4)	38 258 (33.0)	36 572 (34.4)	41 053 (36.1)	44 010 (39.4)	45 438 (40.6)	49 513 (44.3)
Full-sibling cohort										
	Decile 1 (N=49 673)	Decile 2 (N=44 708)	Decile 3 (N=53 610)	Decile 4 (N=43 260)	Decile 5 (N=49 313)	Decile 6 (N=45 881)	Decile 7 (N=48 197)	Decile 8 (N=48 071)	Decile 9 (N=46 983)	Decile 10 (N=47 757)
Birth year, median (IQR)	1961 (1950-1977)	1961 (1950-1977)	1962 (1950-1977)	1964 (1950-1977)	1965 (1951-1977)	1966 (1950-1977)	1968 (1952-1977)	1968 (1952-1977)	1970 (1952-1977)	1970 (1953-1977)
Age at conscription, mean (SD)	18.4 (0.9)	18.3 (0.8)	18.3 (0.8)	18.3 (0.7)	18.3 (0.7)	18.3 (0.6)	18.3 (0.6)	18.2 (0.5)	18.3 (0.5)	18.3 (0.5)
Body mass index, kg/m², mean (SD)	20.2 (2.7)	20.9 (2.7)	21.4 (2.8)	21.5 (2.8)	21.7 (2.7)	21.8 (2.7)	22.1 (2.8)	22.3 (2.6)	22.2 (2.5)	23.0 (2.6)
Body mass index categories, n (%)										
Underweight (<18.5 kg/m ²)	12 743 (25.7)	6189 (13.8)	5242 (9.8)	3627 (8.4)	3179 (6.5)	2463 (5.4)	1929 (4.0)	1213 (2.5)	1015 (2.2)	284 (0.6)
Normal weight (18.5-24.9 kg/m ²)	34 350 (69.2)	35 427 (79.2)	43 480 (81.1)	35 554 (82.2)	41 107 (83.4)	38 664 (84.3)	40 617 (84.3)	41 035 (85.4)	40 811 (86.9)	39 766 (83.3)
Overweight (25.0-29.9 kg/m ²)	2051 (4.1)	2550 (5.7)	4062 (7.6)	3333 (7.7)	4211 (8.5)	4043 (8.8)	4736 (9.8)	5044 (10.5)	4496 (10.0)	6722 (14.1)
Obesity (\geq 30.0 kg/m ²)	529 (1.1)	542 (1.2)	826 (1.5)	746 (1.7)	816 (1.7)	711 (1.6)	915 (1.9)	779 (1.5)	661 (1.4)	985 (2.1)
Parental level of education, n (%)										
Compulsory school \leq 9 years	21 446 (43.2)	18 112 (40.5)	19 901 (37.1)	14 344 (33.2)	15 096 (30.6)	12 831 (28.0)	11 617 (24.1)	10 350 (21.5)	8370 (17.8)	7179 (15.0)
Secondary education	20 714 (41.7)	18 879 (42.2)	23 197 (43.3)	19 207 (44.4)	22 077 (44.8)	20 697 (45.1)	22 160 (46.0)	21 548 (44.8)	21 195 (45.1)	20 572 (43.1)
Post-secondary education <3 years	3327 (6.7)	3290 (7.4)	4331 (8.1)	4010 (9.3)	4993 (10.1)	4902 (10.7)	5694 (11.8)	6076 (12.6)	6545 (13.9)	7042 (14.8)
Post-secondary education \geq 3 years	4186 (8.4)	4427 (9.9)	6181 (11.5)	5699 (13.2)	7147 (14.5)	7451 (16.2)	8726 (18.1)	10 097 (21.0)	10 873 (23.1)	12 964 (27.2)
Parental highest income, n (%)										
Category 1 (low income)	2759 (5.6)	2333 (5.2)	2469 (4.6)	1940 (4.5)	2008 (4.1)	1790 (3.9)	1767 (3.7)	1481 (3.1)	1264 (2.7)	1107 (2.3)
Category 2	5579 (11.2)	4619 (10.3)	5358 (10.0)	4103 (9.5)	4494 (9.1)	3936 (8.6)	3995 (8.3)	3552 (7.4)	3303 (7.0)	2881 (6.0)
Category 3	12 839 (25.9)	10 890 (24.4)	12 379 (23.1)	9761 (22.6)	10 757 (21.8)	9522 (20.8)	9838 (20.4)	8852 (18.4)	8444 (18.0)	7868 (16.5)
Category 4	15 791 (31.8)	13 803 (30.9)	16 802 (31.3)	13 356 (30.9)	15 229 (30.9)	14 200 (31.0)	14 458 (30.0)	14 321 (29.8)	13 986 (29.8)	13 789 (28.9)
Category 5 (high income)	12 705 (25.6)	13 063 (29.2)	16 602 (31.0)	14 100 (32.6)	16 825 (34.1)	16 433 (35.8)	18 139 (37.6)	19 865 (41.3)	19 986 (42.5)	22 112 (46.3)

IQR = interquartile range. SD = standard deviation.

Supplemental Table 2. Numbers censored due to death, emigration, and end of follow-up.

	Cohort analysis (N=1 124 049)	Full-sibling analysis (N=477 453)
During the total follow-up		
Death	56 009 (5.0)	22 304 (4.7)
Emigration	71 656 (6.4)	29 530 (6.2)
End of follow-up, 31 Dec 2023	880 426 (78.3)	377 530 (79.1)
Before 1997		
Death	10 772 (1.0)	4126 (0.9)
Emigration	20 775 (1.9)	9077 (1.9)

Number of events and numbers censored are shown as n (%).

Supplemental Table 3. Population-attributable fraction of type 2 diabetes at 65 years of age by cardiorespiratory fitness in cohort and full-sibling analysis, when considering a minor, (shifting those in decile 1 to decile 2), moderate (shifting those below deciles 5 to decile 5), or an extreme intervention (shifting everyone to decile 10).

	Cohort analysis (N=1 124 049)	Full-sibling analysis (N=477 453)
Hypothetical intervention	PAF, % (95% CI)	PAF, % (95% CI)
Minor	7.2 (6.4 to 8.0)	4.6 (2.6 to 6.5)
Moderate	15.4 (14.2 to 16.5)	10.8 (8.1 to 13.4)
Extreme	35.6 (34.1 to 37.0)	24.3 (20.5 to 28.0)

CI = confidence interval. PAF = population-attributable fraction.

The models were adjusted for age at conscription, year of conscription, body mass index, parental education, and parental income.

Supplemental Table 4. Hazard ratios for type 2 diabetes by deciles of cardiorespiratory fitness in cohort and full-sibling analysis stratified by overweight status.

Cardiorespiratory fitness, deciles	Cohort analysis in participants without overweight (N=1 002 552)	Cohort analysis in participants with overweight (N=121 497)	Full-sibling analysis in participants without overweight (N=428 695)	Full-sibling analysis in participants with overweight N=48 758)
	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)
D1	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
D2	0.92 (0.90 to 0.94)	0.98 (0.92 to 1.03)	0.95 (0.91 to 1.01)	1.02 (0.91 to 1.15)
D3	0.91 (0.89 to 0.93)	0.88 (0.83 to 0.93)	0.96 (0.90 to 1.01)	0.97 (0.87 to 1.09)
D4	0.86 (0.84 to 0.88)	0.85 (0.80 to 0.90)	0.95 (0.89 to 1.00)	0.92 (0.81 to 1.04)
D5	0.80 (0.78 to 0.82)	0.80 (0.76 to 0.85)	0.87 (0.82 to 0.92)	0.92 (0.81 to 1.03)
D6	0.75 (0.73 to 0.77)	0.74 (0.70 to 0.79)	0.85 (0.80 to 0.90)	0.83 (0.73 to 0.94)
D7	0.72 (0.70 to 0.75)	0.70 (0.66 to 0.74)	0.78 (0.73 to 0.84)	0.81 (0.72 to 0.93)
D8	0.66 (0.64 to 0.68)	0.62 (0.59 to 0.66)	0.73 (0.68 to 0.79)	0.74 (0.65 to 0.84)
D9	0.59 (0.57 to 0.61)	0.58 (0.55 to 0.62)	0.71 (0.66 to 0.77)	0.74 (0.64 to 0.85)
D10	0.53 (0.50 to 0.54)	0.50 (0.47 to 0.54)	0.65 (0.60 to 0.71)	0.67 (0.58 to 0.77)

CI = confidence interval. D = decile. HR = hazard ratio.

The models were adjusted for age at conscription, year of conscription, parental education, and parental income.

Supplemental Table 5. Hazard ratios for type 2 diabetes by deciles of cardiorespiratory fitness in cohort and full-sibling analysis, with and without adjusting for handgrip strength.

	Cohort analysis without adjustment for handgrip strength (N=1 124 049)	Cohort analysis with adjustment for handgrip strength (N=1 095 378)	Full-sibling analysis without adjustment for handgrip strength (N=477 453)	Full-sibling analysis with adjustment for handgrip strength (N=469 718)
Cardiorespiratory fitness, deciles	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)
D1	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
D2	0.83 (0.81 to 0.85)	0.85 (0.83 to 0.87)	0.89 (0.85 to 0.94)	0.91 (0.86 to 0.95)
D3	0.76 (0.74 to 0.78)	0.79 (0.77 to 0.80)	0.85 (0.81 to 0.89)	0.88 (0.84 to 0.92)
D4	0.70 (0.68 to 0.72)	0.73 (0.71 to 0.75)	0.82 (0.78 to 0.86)	0.85 (0.80 to 0.90)
D5	0.64 (0.62 to 0.65)	0.67 (0.65 to 0.69)	0.76 (0.72 to 0.80)	0.79 (0.75 to 0.84)
D6	0.59 (0.58 to 0.61)	0.62 (0.61 to 0.64)	0.71 (0.67 to 0.76)	0.76 (0.71 to 0.80)
D7	0.55 (0.54 to 0.57)	0.59 (0.57 to 0.60)	0.66 (0.62 to 0.70)	0.71 (0.67 to 0.76)
D8	0.49 (0.48 to 0.50)	0.53 (0.51 to 0.54)	0.60 (0.57 to 0.64)	0.66 (0.62 to 0.70)
D9	0.44 (0.42 to 0.45)	0.47 (0.46 to 0.49)	0.58 (0.54 to 0.62)	0.64 (0.59 to 0.68)
D10	0.38 (0.36 to 0.39)	0.42 (0.40 to 0.43)	0.53 (0.49 to 0.57)	0.60 (0.56 to 0.64)

CI = confidence interval. D = decile. HR = hazard ratio.

The models were adjusted for age at conscription, year of conscription, body mass index, parental education, parental income, and handgrip strength as indicated.

Supplemental Table 6. Hazard ratios for type 2 diabetes by deciles of cardiorespiratory fitness in cohort and full-sibling analysis, with and without adjusting for knee extension strength.

Cardiorespiratory fitness, deciles	Cohort analysis without adjustment for knee extension strength (N=1 124 049)	Cohort analysis with adjustment for knee extension strength (N=1 095 343)	Full-sibling analysis without adjustment for knee extension strength (N=477 453)	Full-sibling analysis with adjustment for knee extension strength (N=469 686)
	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)
D1	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
D2	0.83 (0.81 to 0.85)	0.85 (0.83 to 0.86)	0.89 (0.85 to 0.94)	0.91 (0.87 to 0.95)
D3	0.76 (0.74 to 0.78)	0.79 (0.77 to 0.80)	0.85 (0.81 to 0.89)	0.88 (0.84 to 0.92)
D4	0.70 (0.68 to 0.72)	0.73 (0.71 to 0.75)	0.82 (0.78 to 0.86)	0.85 (0.81 to 0.90)
D5	0.64 (0.62 to 0.65)	0.67 (0.65 to 0.69)	0.76 (0.72 to 0.80)	0.80 (0.76 to 0.84)
D6	0.59 (0.58 to 0.61)	0.63 (0.61 to 0.64)	0.71 (0.67 to 0.76)	0.76 (0.72 to 0.81)
D7	0.55 (0.54 to 0.57)	0.59 (0.57 to 0.61)	0.66 (0.62 to 0.70)	0.72 (0.68 to 0.76)
D8	0.49 (0.48 to 0.50)	0.53 (0.51 to 0.54)	0.60 (0.57 to 0.64)	0.67 (0.63 to 0.71)
D9	0.44 (0.42 to 0.45)	0.48 (0.46 to 0.49)	0.58 (0.54 to 0.62)	0.65 (0.61 to 0.69)
D10	0.38 (0.36 to 0.39)	0.42 (0.40 to 0.43)	0.53 (0.49 to 0.57)	0.61 (0.57 to 0.67)

CI = confidence interval. D = decile. HR = hazard ratio.

The models were adjusted for age at conscription, year of conscription, body mass index, parental education, parental income, and knee extension strength as indicated.

Supplemental Table 7. Hazard ratios for type 2 diabetes by deciles of cardiorespiratory fitness in cohort and full-sibling analysis, with and without adjusting for body height.

	Cohort analysis without adjustment for body height (N=1 124 049)	Cohort analysis with adjustment for body height (N=1 124 049)	Full-sibling analysis without adjustment for body height (N=477 453)	Full-sibling analysis with adjustment for body height (N=477 453)
Cardiorespiratory fitness, deciles	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)
D1	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
D2	0.83 (0.81 to 0.85)	0.83 (0.81 to 0.85)	0.89 (0.85 to 0.94)	0.89 (0.85 to 0.94)
D3	0.76 (0.74 to 0.78)	0.76 (0.74 to 0.77)	0.85 (0.81 to 0.89)	0.85 (0.82 to 0.89)
D4	0.70 (0.68 to 0.72)	0.70 (0.68 to 0.72)	0.82 (0.78 to 0.86)	0.82 (0.78 to 0.87)
D5	0.64 (0.62 to 0.65)	0.63 (0.62 to 0.65)	0.76 (0.72 to 0.80)	0.76 (0.72 to 0.80)
D6	0.59 (0.58 to 0.61)	0.59 (0.57 to 0.60)	0.71 (0.67 to 0.76)	0.72 (0.68 to 0.77)
D7	0.55 (0.54 to 0.57)	0.55 (0.54 to 0.56)	0.66 (0.62 to 0.70)	0.67 (0.64 to 0.72)
D8	0.49 (0.48 to 0.50)	0.49 (0.47 to 0.50)	0.60 (0.57 to 0.64)	0.62 (0.58 to 0.66)
D9	0.44 (0.42 to 0.45)	0.43 (0.42 to 0.45)	0.58 (0.54 to 0.62)	0.60 (0.56 to 0.64)
D10	0.38 (0.36 to 0.39)	0.37 (0.36 to 0.39)	0.53 (0.49 to 0.57)	0.55 (0.51 to 0.59)

CI = confidence interval. D = decile. HR = hazard ratio.

The models were adjusted for age at conscription, year of conscription, body mass index, body height, parental education, parental income, and body height as indicated.

Supplemental Table 8. Hazard ratios for type 2 diabetes by deciles of cardiorespiratory fitness expressed as W_{\max} , W_{\max}/kg , or estimated $\text{VO}_{2\max}$ in cohort and full-sibling analysis.

Cardiorespiratory fitness, deciles	W_{\max}		W_{\max}/kg		Estimated $\text{VO}_{2\max}$	
	Cohort analysis (N=1 124 049)	Full-sibling analysis (N=477 453)	Cohort analysis (N=1 124 049)	Full-sibling analysis (N=477 453)	Cohort analysis (N=1 124 049)	Full-sibling analysis (N=477 453)
	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)
D1	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
D2	0.83 (0.81 to 0.85)	0.89 (0.85 to 0.94)	0.85 (0.84 to 0.87)	0.92 (0.88 to 0.97)	0.86 (0.84 to 0.87)	0.92 (0.88 to 0.97)
D3	0.76 (0.74 to 0.78)	0.85 (0.81 to 0.89)	0.80 (0.78 to 0.82)	0.87 (0.82 to 0.91)	0.80 (0.78 to 0.82)	0.87 (0.82 to 0.91)
D4	0.70 (0.68 to 0.72)	0.81 (0.77 to 0.86)	0.74 (0.73 to 0.76)	0.81 (0.77 to 0.86)	0.74 (0.73 to 0.76)	0.81 (0.77 to 0.86)
D5	0.64 (0.62 to 0.65)	0.75 (0.71 to 0.79)	0.68 (0.67 to 0.70)	0.76 (0.72 to 0.80)	0.68 (0.67 to 0.70)	0.76 (0.72 to 0.80)
D6	0.59 (0.58 to 0.61)	0.71 (0.67 to 0.75)	0.63 (0.61 to 0.65)	0.73 (0.68 to 0.77)	0.63 (0.61 to 0.65)	0.73 (0.68 to 0.77)
D7	0.55 (0.54 to 0.57)	0.66 (0.62 to 0.70)	0.56 (0.55 to 0.58)	0.66 (0.62 to 0.70)	0.56 (0.55 to 0.58)	0.66 (0.62 to 0.70)
D8	0.49 (0.48 to 0.50)	0.60 (0.57 to 0.64)	0.52 (0.50 to 0.53)	0.61 (0.57 to 0.65)	0.52 (0.50 to 0.53)	0.61 (0.57 to 0.65)
D9	0.44 (0.42 to 0.45)	0.58 (0.54 to 0.62)	0.43 (0.42 to 0.45)	0.54 (0.50 to 0.58)	0.43 (0.42 to 0.45)	0.54 (0.50 to 0.58)
D10	0.38 (0.36 to 0.39)	0.53 (0.50 to 0.57)	0.33 (0.32 to 0.35)	0.44 (0.40 to 0.48)	0.33 (0.32 to 0.35)	0.44 (0.40 to 0.48)
Cardiorespiratory fitness, per 1 MET					0.88 (0.87 to 0.89)	0.90 (0.90 to 0.91)

CI = confidence interval. D = decile. HR = hazard ratio. MET = metabolic equivalent of task, computed from dividing estimated $\text{VO}_{2\max} / 3.5$.

The models were adjusted for age at conscription, year of conscription, body mass index, parental education, and parental income.

Supplemental Table 9. Hazard ratios for type 2 diabetes by deciles of cardiorespiratory fitness in cohort analysis (as reported in the main article), in the sibling cohort using standard cohort analysis, and using full-sibling analysis (as reported in the main article)

Cardiorespiratory fitness, deciles	Cohort analysis as reported in the main article (N=1 124 049)	Standard analysis replicated in the full-sibling cohort (N=477 453)	Full-sibling analysis as reported in the main article (N=477 453)
	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)
D1	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
D2	0.83 (0.81 to 0.85)	0.83 (0.81 to 0.86)	0.89 (0.85 to 0.94)
D3	0.76 (0.74 to 0.78)	0.77 (0.74 to 0.79)	0.85 (0.81 to 0.89)
D4	0.70 (0.68 to 0.72)	0.69 (0.70 to 0.72)	0.81 (0.77 to 0.86)
D5	0.64 (0.62 to 0.65)	0.64 (0.62 to 0.66)	0.75 (0.71 to 0.79)
D6	0.59 (0.58 to 0.61)	0.60 (0.57 to 0.62)	0.71 (0.67 to 0.75)
D7	0.55 (0.54 to 0.57)	0.55 (0.53 to 0.57)	0.66 (0.62 to 0.70)
D8	0.49 (0.48 to 0.50)	0.48 (0.46 to 0.50)	0.60 (0.57 to 0.64)
D9	0.44 (0.42 to 0.45)	0.43 (0.41 to 0.45)	0.58 (0.54 to 0.62)
D10	0.38 (0.36 to 0.39)	0.37 (0.35 to 0.39)	0.53 (0.50 to 0.57)

CI = confidence interval. D = decile. HR = hazard ratio. The models were adjusted for age at conscription, year of conscription, body mass index, parental education, and parental income.

Supplemental Table 10. Hazard ratios for type 2 by deciles of cardiorespiratory fitness in cohort and full-sibling analysis, restricted to those who conscribed year 1985 or later.

Cardiorespiratory fitness, deciles	Cohort analysis (N=529 710)		Full-sibling analysis (N=161 663)	
	Cases/N	HR (95% CI)	Cases/N	HR (95% CI)
D1	4084/57 822	Ref.	1129/17 246	Ref.
D2	3734/52 741	0.87 (0.83 to 0.91)	1022/15 459	0.95 (0.84 to 1.07)
D3	3303/48 703	0.77 (0.74 to 0.81)	909/14 309	0.84 (0.74 to 0.95)
D4	3982/60 694	0.70 (0.67 to 0.73)	1118/18 140	0.75 (0.67 to 0.85)
D5	3069/48 011	0.64 (0.61 to 0.68)	802/14 438	0.67 (0.59 to 0.77)
D6	3085/50 629	0.58 (0.55 to 0.61)	860/15 507	0.64 (0.56 to 0.73)
D7	3061/56 475	0.55 (0.52 to 0.58)	823/17 313	0.59 (0.52 to 0.68)
D8	2368/49 147	0.51 (0.48 to 0.53)	703/15 302	0.63 (0.55 to 0.73)
D9	2738 53 681	0.46 (0.44 to 0.49)	785/17 055	0.59 (0.52 to 0.68)
D10	2446/51 807	0.39 (0.37 to 0.41)	691/16 894	0.48 (0.41 to 0.56)

CI = confidence interval. D = decile. HR = hazard ratio. P.P = percentage point.

The models were adjusted for age at conscription, year of conscription, body mass index, parental education, and parental income.

Supplemental Table 11. Hazard ratios for type 2 diabetes and differences in the standardized cumulative incidence at 65 years of age by deciles of cardiorespiratory fitness in cohort and full-sibling analysis, using the outcome definition that included only type 2 diabetes diagnosis.

Cardiorespiratory fitness, deciles	Cohort analysis (N=1 124 049)			Full-sibling analysis (N=477 453)		
	Cases/N	HR (95% CI)	Difference in the standardized cumulative incidence at age 65, pp (95% CI)	Cases/N	HR (95% CI)	Difference in the standardized cumulative incidence at age 65, pp (95% CI)
D1	9775/120 001	Ref.	Ref.	3880/49 673	Ref.	Ref.
D2	8261/105 379	0.82 (0.79 to 0.84)	-2.3 (-2.9 to -2.1)	3357/44 708	0.91 (0.85 to 0.97)	-1.0 (-1.6 to -0.3)
D3	9013/125 892	0.72 (0.70 to 0.74)	-3.8 (-4.2 to -3.5)	3745/53 610	0.83 (0.78 to 0.89)	-1.8 (-2.5 to -1.2)
D4	6035/101 028	0.66 (0.64 to 0.69)	-4.6 (-5.0 to -4.3)	2469/43 260	0.81 (0.75 to 0.87)	-2.0 (-2.8 to -1.3)
D5	6088/116 091	0.60 (0.59 to 0.63)	-5.5 (-5.9 to -5.1)	2536/49 313	0.72 (0.67 to 0.78)	-3.0 (-3.8 to -2.3)
D6	4614/106 283	0.53 (0.51 to 0.55)	-6.5 (-6.9 to -6.2)	1980/45 881	0.66 (0.61 to 0.72)	-3.7 (-4.5 to -3.0)
D7	4153/113 794	0.49 (0.48 to 0.51)	-7.1 (-7.5 to -6.8)	1707/48 197	0.60 (0.55 to 0.65)	-4.5 (-5.2 to -3.7)
D8	3404/111 840	0.43 (0.41 to 0.44)	-8.1 (-8.5 to -7.8)	1391/48 071	0.53 (0.48 to 0.58)	-5.2 (-6.0 to -4.5)
D9	2423 111 889	0.37 (0.35 to 0.38)	-9.1 (-9.5 to -8.7)	1003/46 983	0.49 (0.45 to 0.55)	-5.6 (-6.4 to -4.9)
D10	2193/111 852	0.31 (0.29 to 0.32)	-10.0 (-10.4 to -9.6)	893/47 757	0.45 (0.40 to 0.50)	-6.2 (-7.0 to 5.4)

CI = confidence interval. D = decile. HR = hazard ratio. pp = percentage point.

The models were adjusted for age at conscription, year of conscription, body mass index, parental education, and parental income.