

## Appendix 2-a: Quality appraisal of included studies using the MMAT Qualitative tool

Study	1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?	1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?	1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?	1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?	1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources collection, analysis and interpretation?
Ahankari et al.(25)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Broom et al.(26)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chuang et al.(27)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Erland et al.(28)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Filice et al.(29)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kurotschka et al.(30)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lam et al.(31)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gonzalez et al.(32)	Yes	Can't tell	Yes	Yes	Yes
Phipps et al.(33)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Wharton-Smith et al.(34)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Gesser-Edelsburg et al.(35)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Loignon et al.(36)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

## Appendix 2-b: Quality appraisal of included studies using the MMAT quantitative tools

Study	Quantitative studies				
	2.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?	2.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?	2.3. Are the measurements appropriate?	2.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?	2.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?
Alanezi F et al.(37)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Balkrishnan , P et al.(38)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Can't tell	Yes
Battista, M. C.et al.(39)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Can't tell	Yes
Francisco M. A et al.(40)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Handel, A. S. et al.(41)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kularatne, S. A. et al.(42)	No	No	No	Yes	No

Alqahtani, J. S. et al.(43)	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Ismail, M. et al.(44)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	<b>Quantitative non-randomized</b>				
	<b>3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?</b>	<b>3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome intervention or exposure?</b>	<b>3.3. Are there complete outcome data?</b>	<b>3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?</b>	<b>3.5. During the study period is the intervention administered or exposure occurred as intended?</b>
Driver, J. A. et al.(45)	Yes	Yes	Can't tell	Can't tell	Yes

#### Appendix 2-c: Quality appraisal of included studies using the MMAT mixed method tool

Study	4.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?	4.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?	4.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?	4.4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?	4.5. Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?
Barniol, J. et al.(46)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fryk, J. J. et al.(47)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Nhan, C. et al.(48)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Raffetin, A. et al.(49)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes

#### Appendix 2-d: Quality appraisal of included studies using the JBI critical appraisal checklist for text and opinion papers

Study	Is the source of the opinion clearly identified?	Does the source of opinion have standing in the field of expertise?	Are the interests of the relevant population the central focus of the opinion?	Is the stated position the result of an analytical process and is there logic in the opinion expressed?	Is there reference to the extant literature?	Is any incongruence with the literature sources logically defended?
Bandara, T. et al.(50)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Haq, Z. et al.(51)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Omrani, A. S. et al.(52)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

