

Examining Brain Structures and Cognitive Functions in Patients with Recovered COVID-19 Infection: A Multicenter Study Using 7T MRI

Jr-Jiun Liou, PhD^{1#}; Tales Santini, PhD^{1#}; Jinghang Li, BS¹; Monica Gireud-Goss, PhD³; Vibhuti Patel, BS³; Oluwatobi F. Adeyemi, PhD²; Gabriel A. de Erausquin, MD, PhD³; Valentina R. Garbarino, PhD³; Mohamad Habes, PhD³; Jayandra J. Himali, PhD³; Christof Karmonik, PhD⁴; Beth E. Snitz, PhD¹; Joseph M. Mettenburg, MD, PhD¹; Minjie Wu, PhD¹; Howard J. Aizenstein, MD, PhD¹; Anna L. Marsland, PhD¹; Peter J. Gianaros, PhD¹; Richard Bowtell, PhD²; Olivier Mougin, PhD²; Farhaan S. Vahidy, PhD⁴; Timothy D. Girard, MD, MSCI¹; Heidi I.L. Jacobs, PhD⁵; Akram A. Hosseini, MD, PhD²; Sudha Seshadri, MD³; Tamer S. Ibrahim, PhD^{1*}

¹University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

²University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

³University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA

⁴Houston Methodist Research Institute, Houston, Texas, USA

⁵Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

#Equal contribution

*Corresponding author:

Tamer S. Ibrahim, PhD

Professor of Bioengineering, Psychiatry, and Radiology

Swanson School of Engineering, and School of Medicine

University of Pittsburgh

3501 Fifth Ave

Pittsburgh, PA 15213

tibrahim@pitt.edu

Word count: 5891

Key Points

Question: Do white matter hyperintensity burden, hippocampal whole and subfield volumes, and cognition differ between patients hospitalized with COVID-19 versus participants without hospitalization?

Findings: We found no significant difference in white matter hyperintensity volume, but hippocampal volume was reduced, and cognitive and memory performance were worse in those hospitalized for COVID-19 compared with age-matched non-hospitalized group (either mild COVID-19 or no COVID-19 reported). In the hospitalized group, increased white matter hyperintensity and reduced hippocampal volumes are significantly higher correlated with worse cognitive and memory scores.

Meaning: Adults hospitalized for COVID-19 had lower hippocampal volumes and worse cognitive performance than adults with COVID-19 that did not lead to hospitalization or without reported COVID-19 infection.

Abstract

Importance: Emerging evidence suggests that severe acute respiratory syndrome, COVID-19, negatively impacts brain health, with clinical magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showing a wide range of neurologic manifestations but no consistent pattern. Compared with 3 Tesla (3T) MRI, 7 Tesla (7T) MRI can detect more subtle injuries, including hippocampal subfield volume differences and additional standard biomarkers such as white matter lesions. 7T MRI could help with the interpretation of the various persistent post-acute and distal onset sequelae of COVID-19 infection.

Objective: To investigate the differences in white matter hyperintensity (WMH), hippocampal subfields volumes, and cognition between patients hospitalized with COVID-19 and non-hospitalized participants in a multi-site/multi-national cohort.

Design: Original investigation of patients hospitalized with COVID-19 between 5/2020 and 10/2022 in 3 USA and 1 UK medical centers with follow-up at hospital discharge.

Participants: A total of 179 participants without a history of dementia completed cognitive, mood and other assessments and MRI scans.

Exposure: COVID-19 severity, as measured by hospitalization vs no hospitalization

Main Outcomes and Measures: 7T MRI scans were acquired. All WMH and hippocampal subfield volumes were corrected for intracranial volumes to account for subject variability. Cognition was assessed using a comprehensive battery of tests. Pearson correlations and unpaired t-tests were performed to assess correlations and differences between hospitalized and non-hospitalized groups.

Results: We found similar WMH volume (4112 vs 3144mm³, p=0.2131), smaller hippocampal volume (11856 vs 12227mm³, p=0.0497) and lower cognitive and memory performance, especially the MoCA score (24.9 vs 26.4 pts, p=0.0084), duration completing trail making test B (97.6 vs 79.4 seconds, p=0.0285), Craft immediate recall (12.6 vs 16.4 pts, p<0.0001), Craft delay recall (12.0 vs 15.6 pts, p=0.0001), and Benson figure copy (15.2 vs 16.1 pts, p=0.0078) in 52 patients hospitalized for COVID-19 (19[37%] female; mean[SD] age, 61.1[7.4] years) compared with 111 age-matched non-hospitalized participants (66[59%] female; mean[SD] age, 61.5[8.4] years).

Conclusions and Relevance: Our results indicate that hospitalized COVID-19 cases show lower hippocampal volume when compared to non-hospitalized participants. We also show that WMH and hippocampal volumes correlate with worse cognitive scores in hospitalized patients compared with non-hospitalized participants, potentially indicating recent lesions and atrophy.

Introduction

Emerging evidence indicates that COVID-19 can negatively impact brain health ^{1,2}. However, clinical magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) reveals a wide range of neurologic manifestations without a consistent pattern ³⁻⁷. A recent observational cohort in the United Kingdom found that COVID-19 patients have more white matter hyperintensities (WMHs) and reduced regional brain volume detected using 3 Tesla (3T) MRI compared to controls ⁸. Whole hippocampal atrophy, linked to cognitive and memory decline, has been noted in patients with post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 infection (PASC) ^{9,10}. Aged individuals after a COVID-19 diagnosis are at higher risk for new diagnoses of Alzheimer's disease (AD) within a year of infection. ¹¹ Further, plasma biomarker levels associated with neurodegeneration and AD (i.e. GFAP, NfL) are elevated in non-demented COVID patients when compared to individuals with AD who have not experienced a COVID-19 infection ¹².

Given that PASC is associated with persistent, relapsing, and new symptoms, characterizing PASC in a diverse prospective observational cohort could be beneficial to improving treatment and prevention of these COVID-19 associated complications ¹³⁻¹⁷. 7 Tesla (7T) MRI can detect more subtle injury in the brain when compared with the more available MRIs at 1.5T and 3T. 7T detects 5% more white matter lesions ¹⁸, 42% more central vessels ¹⁸, and three times more cerebral microinfarcts ¹⁹, suggesting a much higher detection rate at 7T. Using 7T MRI, we can detect differences between specific and nonspecific white matter hyperintensities ²⁰, more subtle perivascular spaces ²¹, and nuanced changes in hippocampal subfields ^{22,23}.

The purpose of this study is to investigate the association of COVID-19 hospitalization for COVID-19 with WMH burden, hippocampal volume, and cognitive measures within a diverse multinational cohort from the 7T MRI Covid Consortium.

Methods

Participant recruitment. With Institutional Review Board approval from the University of Pittsburgh, Houston Methodist Research Institute, the University of Texas Health San Antonio, and the University of Nottingham, participants were identified either from outreach referrals, clinical referrals, or inpatient hospital records at four locations: Pittsburgh, USA; Houston, USA; San Antonio, USA; and Nottingham, UK. Prior to their initial visit, participants underwent a comprehensive informed consent process, which included a detailed review of the study's objectives. Eligible participants were between the ages of 45 and 85 and had no pre-existing dementia, as screened using the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly and the Clinical Dementia Rating scale. Participants were asked to participate in two visits, the first to capture medical background, and completion of physical and cognitive assessments, and a second to capture a 7T MRI. A total of 179 participants completed 7T MRI scans, of which 163 were acquired with acceptable image quality for inclusion in our analysis (**Figure 1**).

COVID-19 severity. The 163 participants with acceptable quality MRIs were categorized into two groups: 52 participants who were hospitalized for COVID-19 (HOSP, which included participants hospitalized for suspected or diagnosed with COVID-19 prior to the 7T MRI visit) and 111 participants who were not hospitalized (NO HOSP, which included mild and not-reported COVID-19 infection in the last 6-15 months prior to 7T MRI the visit). According to the WHO Clinical Classification, the severity of acute COVID-19 illness is classified as Mild, Moderate, Severe, and Critical, and each participant was also classified into one of these categories as applicable based on their reported symptoms. (**Table S1**).

Demographic information. Demographic information, lifestyle habits, vascular risk factors, medication usage, and medical history data were collected. Categories for years of education correspond to completion of high school (12), professional/trade school (14), bachelor's degree (16), master's degree (18), or doctorate (20). Demographic assessments encompass age, biological sex, race, and ethnicity, as well as occupational history utilizing a PhenX tool, and information on current and former smoking, alcohol, and recreational drug use.

Medical history. Key responses in medical, cardiovascular, and neurological conditions were included: diabetes, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, cancer, B12 deficiency, thyroid disease, sleep apnea, obesity (BMI>30), atrial fibrillation, congestive heart failure, heart valve replacement or repair, migraine, and head injury. Detailed records of prior COVID-19 illness and vaccination history were also documented. This included whether the participant received a COVID-19 vaccine, the number of doses, the product name, and the date of each dose. Additionally, flu vaccination history and the date of flu vaccination were recorded.

Cognitive, mood and other neuropsychological assessments. Comprehensive evaluations encompassing cognitive, neuropsychological, mood, and functional domains were conducted. The assessment battery comprises the Uniform Data Set neuropsychological test battery from the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center (NACC) and NIH Toolbox. The cognitive test battery assesses verbal memory (Craft Story immediate/delayed recall), visual memory (Benson Complex Figure intermediate/delayed recall), psychomotor speed and cognitive flexibility (Trail Making Test), and visuospatial construction (Benson Figure copy).

Neuroimaging. During the second visit, each participant completed a 75-minute MRI scan. Participants in Pittsburgh²⁴ were imaged using a 7T Siemens MAGNETOM human MRI scanner (Erlangen, Germany) equipped with the 2nd 28 generation of the radiofrequency Tic-Tac-Toe head coil at University of Pittsburgh. Participants in Houston and San Antonio²⁹ were imaged using a 7T Siemens MAGNETOM Terra (Erlangen, Germany) at the Houston Methodist Hospital Research Institute with the commercial head coil (NOVA medical) whereas participants in Nottingham³⁰ were imaged using a 7T Philips Achieva (Amsterdam, Netherlands), also using the commercial RF coil (NOVA medical) at the University of Nottingham. Whole brain structural imaging encompassed the acquisition of both T1-MP2RAGE images at a resolution of 0.55 mm isotropic and T2-FLAIR images at a resolution of 0.75x0.75x1.5 mm³. A T2-TSE sequence at resolution of 0.375x0.375x1.5mm³ was obtained for hippocampal subfield segmentation, acquired perpendicular to the main axis of the hippocampus. The comprehensive sequence parameters are provided in **Table S2**. The MRI scans were performed at an average of 19 months (19.0 ± 7.5 months) after hospital discharge.

Image analysis. Intracranial volumes were estimated from T1-MP2RAGE using SynthStrip³¹, which leverages deep learning techniques for improved accuracy. Precise estimation of intracranial volume is crucial for normalizing subregional volumes to account for individual head size variability.

Subsequently, segmentation of WMH from the T2-FLAIR images was performed employing our in-house deep learning-based model `wmh_seg2d`³². Specifically, using a Unet architecture, the T2-FLAIR images went through four sets of overlap patch embedding and transformer block for encoding and convolutional decoder blocks for decoding. This model, which was trained in a diverse dataset and using data augmentation for the common artifacts seen in 7T MRI, was utilized in the T2-FLAIR images and provides a more accurate WMH segmentation compared to FreeSurfer segmentation of T1w images qualitatively³². All segmentations underwent visual inspection and manual correction by two authors (JJL and TS) using ITK-SNAP³³ before proceeding to volume extraction and statistical analysis.

For segmentation of the hippocampal subfields, we first removed the characteristic background noise from the T1-MP2RAGE by combining the white matter, grey matter, cerebrospinal fluid, and skull segmentations from the second inversion of the T1-MP2RAGE sequence obtained using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) 12 package³⁴ and masking the T1-MP2RAGE. We performed an image harmonization between our MRI acquisitions and the atlas in two steps. First, the T2-TSE images were denoised using a variance stabilizing transformation and the Block-matching and 4D filtering algorithm^{22,35} and the resultant image was bias corrected using SPM12. Second, we denoised the T2-TSE images from "IKND Magdeburg Young Adult 7T³⁶" atlas using the same strategy as applied to our T2-TSE images. We then estimated the volumes of hippocampal subfields using the Automatic

Segmentation of Hippocampal Subfields (ASHS) package ³⁷. The segmentations were then manually verified and corrected following the Berron'17 protocol ³⁶. In the main analysis, we combined the dentate gyrus (DG), cornu Ammonis (CA) 2, and CA3 subfields due to the characteristic small volumes of the CA2 and CA3 subfields and, therefore, potentially higher variability of labeling.

Statistical analysis. The volumes of WMH and hippocampus subfields are corrected by the intracranial volume and age to adjust for subject variability and aging effects, respectively. Two sample t-tests are employed to compare the HOSP and NO HOSP groups. Pearson correlations are used to see the associations of total and subfield volumes in the hippocampus with age and cognitive/memory scores in HOSP and NO HOSP groups.

Results

COVID-19 severity. In HOSP group (**Table 1**), the average duration of hospitalization is 12.3 ± 14.7 days (range 1-76 days) and 18 out of 52 participants were in an intensive care unit for 9.9 ± 7.4 days (range 2-28 days). According to the WHO severity criteria, we encoded unknown, mild, moderate, severe, and critical to 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4. The average disease severity in HOSP is significantly higher than that of NO HOSP ($p < 0.001$). Based on WHO classification, HOSP participants were classified into Critical (27%), Severe (54%), Moderate (13%), Mild (6%). In contrast, NO HOSP participants included 0% Critical, 3% Severe, 6% Moderate, 38% Mild, and the remaining 53% were asymptomatic. Although no difference between HOSP and NO HOSP is detected in the percentage of participants who received a COVID-19 vaccine(s) ($p = 0.2294$), number of doses received ($p = 0.7597$), or history of flu vaccine(s) ($p = 0.6613$), we are unable to report if the participant received a vaccine before or after infection and/or hospitalization. All data were collected 22.4 ± 8.8 months after the infection and/or hospitalization.

Demographic, medical history, and cognitive/memory performance. The mean age (**Table 1**) of HOSP and NO HOSP is similar - 61.1 ± 7.4 and 61.5 ± 8.4 years, respectively ($p = 0.7402$). No difference was detected in the percentage of self-reported White participants (69% vs 80%, $p = 0.0595$) or Hispanic/Latinos (13% vs 17%, $p = 0.5259$) recruited. Interestingly, the HOSP group was made up of a significantly higher percentage of males (63% vs 41%, $p = 0.0033$) and has fewer years of education than the NO HOSP group (14.8 vs 15.8 years, $p = 0.0205$). The percentages of participants with diabetes (33% vs 11%, $p = 0.0021$), thyroid disease (8% vs 20%, $p = 0.0496$), sleep apnea (25% vs 10%, $p = 0.0191$) and obesity (37% vs 18%, $p = 0.0174$) are significantly higher in HOSP compared to NO HOSP. No significant difference is detected in hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, cancer, B12 deficiency, atrial fibrillation, congestive heart failure, heart valve replacement or repair, migraine, or head injury. In terms of cognitive/memory performance, compared to the NO HOSP group, HOSP participants had significantly worse scores characterized as lower MoCA (24.9 vs 26.4, $p = 0.0084$), higher Trail making test B (97.6 vs 79.4, $p = 0.0285$), lower Craft immediate recall (12.6 vs 16.4, $p < 0.0001$), lower Craft delayed recall (12.0 vs 16.1, $p = 0.0001$), and lower Benson figure copy (15.2 vs 16.1, $p = 0.0078$) scores. However, no significant difference was detected in Trail Making A ($p = 0.4466$), or Benson figure recall ($p = 0.3179$).

White matter hyperintensity volume and correlations with cognition. Representative T2-FLAIR images of the two participants with highest WHM burden are presented in axial and sagittal views (Figure 1). HOSP participants have 30% more WMH volume ($4112 \pm 7389 \text{ mm}^3$ vs $3144 \pm 3149 \text{ mm}^3$) compared to the NO HOSP group when controlled for age, however that is not statistically significant ($p = 0.24$) since there is high variability among participants. In the HOSP group, WMH is significantly more associated with worse scores of MoCA ($p = 0.0006$), Trail making test B ($p = 0.0054$), and Benson figure recall ($p = 0.0117$) scores when compared to controls (**Figure 2**).

Hippocampal total and subfield volume. Representative raw, harmonized, and segmented images of hippocampus subfields among the three collection sites – University of Nottingham UK, University of Texas Health San Antonio USA, and University of Pittsburgh USA are presented (**Figure 3**). The

dentate gyrus (DG), cornu Ammonis 1, 2, and 3 (CA1, CA2, and CA3), subiculum (Sub), entorhinal cortex (ErC) are labeled in different colors. For quantitative comparisons, the left and right hemispheres are evaluated separately; the DG, CA2, and CA3 are combined given the small volumes of each subfield and risk of mislabeling. The whole hippocampus is significantly smaller in the HOSP group (11856 vs 12227 mm³, $p=0.0497$). Also, the HOSP volumes of Right CA1 (755 vs 794 mm³, $p=0.045$) is significantly lower than the NO HOSP volumes. Although not reaching statistical significance, all other hippocampus subfields show a trend toward smaller volumes in the HOSP group (**Figure 3**). Moreover, the total hippocampal volume is inversely correlated with age, however there is no significant difference in the slope between HOSP and NO HOSP groups (**Figure S3**). In the HOSP groups, larger whole hippocampus is significantly associated with better cognitive/memory scores MoCA ($p=0.0119$), Craft immediate recall ($p=0.0085$), and Craft delayed recall ($p=0.0053$) (**Figure 4**) when compared with the NO HOSP participants. The bilateral subiculum and partially the right DG+CA2+CA3 are driving these findings.

Discussion

In this study, we investigated the association of hospitalization for COVID-19 with WMH burden and hippocampal volume and cognitive/memory assessments within a diverse multinational cohort using 7T MRI. In the hospitalized group, we found greater associations between higher WMH volumes, lower hippocampus volumes and worse cognitive/memory scores. We also found smaller hippocampal volumes in terms of total and subfields.

WMHs are seen as diffused areas of high intensity on T2-FLAIR images that indicate altered water content in hydrophobic white matter regions³⁸. Several pathological mechanisms underlying WMHs contributions to cognitive impairment and dementia have been proposed: hypoperfusion, defective cerebrovascular reactivity, and blood brain barrier dysfunction as well as dysfunction of oligodendrocyte precursor cells or impaired perivascular clearance³⁸. WMH associations with COVID-19 are mixed in the literature. In larger cohorts of hospitalized patients with COVID-19, 35%³⁹ to 55%⁴⁰ show nonspecific but likely chronic WMH. When examined in detail, the reported chronic WMH are predominantly located within subcortical and basal ganglia³⁹. However, other studies reported no difference in WMH load between non-hospitalized and hospitalized COVID-19 cases⁴¹ or between intensive care unit (ICU) and non-ICU COVID-19 patients⁴².

Our study showed that COVID-19 patients have a 30% higher WMH burden although the high subject-to-subject variability fails to show statistical significance. Notably, we observed stronger associations between WMHs and three cognitive/memory scores (Figure 2) in the HOSP cohort, potentially suggesting more recent WMHs in the HOSP group and older/chronic WMHs in the NO HOSP group, allowing more time for neuroplastic adaptation. Two potential pathological mechanisms could explain the potentially recent WMH in COVID-19 patients. After COVID-19 infection, COVID-related ischemic stroke, intracranial hemorrhage, and hypoxia brain injury directly result in WMH formation. Alternatively, COVID-19 induces hypoxia and subsequent amyloid beta accumulation in the grey matter, leading to neuronal dysfunction or damage to oligodendrocytes, demyelination and axonal loss in the white matter and ultimately WMH formation.^{43,44} Also, comorbidities that are higher in the HOSP group (diabetes, sleep apnea, and obesity) may play a role in WMH correlations with cognitive/memory scores. Longitudinal studies are needed to clarify these findings.

Beyond WMH, diffusion-weighted MRI sequences have been shown to be sensitive to white matter microstructural changes; COVID-19 cases show reduced mean diffusivity, axial diffusivity, radial diffusivity and increase of fractional anisotropy in white matter¹⁰ along with tissue damage in regions that are functionally connected to the primary olfactory cortex¹. Using 7T MRI, other studies have shown that COVID-19 patients exhibit a higher perivascular space count⁴⁵, enlarged volumes in the pons, superior cerebellar peduncle, and the entire brainstem⁴⁶ and overall weaker connections based

on ROI-to-voxel analysis⁴⁷ Additionally, significant differences in the brainstem have been observed in post-hospitalization COVID-19 patients⁴⁸.

Our observation of smaller hippocampal volume is consistent with other studies that found hippocampal volume loss in the COVID-19 group. The severe COVID-19 group showed reduced thickness in the left hippocampus⁴⁹ when compared to healthy controls. Hippocampal atrophy is accompanied by altered microstructural integrity in white matter and functional connectivity in COVID-19 patients⁹. COVID-19 survivors have increased amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation values in the hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus⁵⁰. A disruption in functional connectivity between the anterior and posterior hippocampus in COVID-19 participants was also observed⁵¹. COVID-19 cases showed a higher average regional homogeneity in the right hippocampus compared to healthy controls⁵². Some studies even extended the investigation into the association between plasma biomarker expression and hippocampal volume. Hospitalized patients have elevated glial fibrillary acidic protein and neurofilament light in the plasma compared to non-hospitalized patients⁹; the former is associated with volume of the subiculum and subiculum body in the hippocampus whereas the latter is inversely associated with volume of the hippocampal head subfield⁹. In contrast, a few studies^{10,53,54} have shown that COVID-19 patients have enlarged olfactory cortices, bilateral hippocampi, and amygdalae. Additionally, global volume in the bilateral hippocampus correlates with memory loss, and left hippocampal volume is related to smell loss¹⁰. This may suggest that acute inflammation leads to edema and observation of hippocampal volume increase, which can be later followed by cell death, tissue degeneration and atrophy.

In our study, we found a lower volume of whole hippocampus and Right CA1 subfield along with a reduced score of MoCA, Craft immediate verbatim, Craft immediate paraphrase, Benson figure copy, and Craft delayed paraphrase in the HOSP group. Moreover, significantly stronger positive associations between hippocampal volumes and better cognitive/memory scores (Figure 4) in the HOSP group may indicate recent atrophy of the hippocampus in this group vs longstanding volumes in the NO HOSP participants. Another explanation would be some comorbidities could be increasing hospitalization rate while also inducing hippocampal atrophy. For instance, hippocampus is especially sensitive to oxidative stress, and sleep apnea could be a factor^{55,56}. Longitudinal studies are necessary to elucidate these findings. Moreover, our study shows a slightly and non-significant higher correlation of hippocampus subfields with age in the HOSP group (Figure S3), trending towards significance in the Left CA2+CA3+DG group ($p=0.0692$), perhaps indicating that older participants in the HOSP group have a larger hippocampal volume gap when compared with the NO HOSP group and that gap decreases in young participants, suggesting that age could exacerbate the impact of severe COVID-19 on hippocampal volume. Higher sample size is needed to confirm or reject this hypothesis. A hippocampal study identified potential neurobiological mechanisms of central nervous system damage in COVID-19 infection, involving blood brain barrier disruption, elevated levels of interleukins and blunted hippocampal neurogenesis⁵⁷. Loss of hippocampal neurogenesis may contribute to cognitive and emotional symptoms observed in COVID-19 patients, involving deficits of verbal memory and learning, working memory and executive functions⁵⁷.

Through autopsy COVID-19 cases, distinct neuropathological features have been identified in human postmortem brain samples. There is significantly higher protein deposition of glial fibrillary acidic protein and C-C motif chemokine 11 and lower protein deposition of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein in the hippocampus of human postmortem tissues⁹. Autopsy samples from humans with COVID-19 exhibit increased microglial/macrophage reactivity in subcortical white matter compared with cortical grey matter and compared to those without infection⁵⁸. Significantly higher ionized calcium-binding adapter molecule 1 and interleukin 6 (IL6) signal in the medulla in COVID-19 human brain tissues when compared to control brains, though did not reach significance in the hippocampus⁵⁷. COVID-19 brains exhibit microglial activation⁵⁹ and expression of interleukin 1 beta and IL6, especially within the hippocampus and the medulla oblongata, when compared with non-COVID control⁵⁷. In the

hippocampal dentate gyrus of humans infected with COVID-19, fewer neuroblasts and immature neurons are observed^{43,44}. 7T MRI postmortem imaging along with subsequent neuropathological analysis in fatal COVID-19 autopsy cases show a wide range of clinical manifestations but consistent histological expression of reactive gliosis, cortical neuron eosinophilic degeneration, and axonal disruption⁶⁰. Antemortem-postmortem-histology alignment shows hemorrhage and acute necrosis in the cerebral hemorrhage, suggesting microglial activation, microglial nodules, and neuronophagia observed in most brains are likely due to systemic inflammation, with synergic contribution from hypoxia and ischemia⁶¹. COVID-19 causes neuronal degeneration and reduces neurogenesis in human hippocampus⁶² and that microglial activation is significantly higher in COVID-19 without dementia compared to those without COVID-19 or dementia⁶³.

Our study has several limitations. First, this study is cross-sectional in nature. All HOSP participants completed MRI scans on average 19 months after their COVID-19 hospitalization. Without pre-infection scans, we could not determine whether the observed measures occurred after COVID-19 hospitalization. Longitudinal studies show that COVID-19 patients experience a more dramatic decrease in parahippocampal gyrus tissue integrity and orbitofrontal cortex cortical thickness over a 5 month follow up¹. With longitudinal datasets, COVID cases have a greater reduction in grey matter thickness as well as global brain volume and a greater cognitive decline between baseline and five-month follow up¹. The adverse effect of COVID-19 on brain function and structure appears to fade over time, especially between ICU and non-ICU patients⁶⁴. Second, the timing and effect of treatments are not considered in the analysis, although the benefits of hydrocortisone⁶⁵, benzodiazepine⁶⁶, anticoagulant heparin⁶⁷, antiplatelet agents⁶⁸, and IL6 receptor antagonists⁶⁹ for the treatment of critically ill patients with COVID-19 have been reported. Timing of the COVID-19 vaccines is also not considered. Participants could have received vaccines prior to COVID-19 infection impacting the presentation of symptom and risk for hospitalization. The third limitation is the analysis of global WMH volumes rather than spatial distributions and regional WMH volumes. Some studies found a higher WMH volume in COVID-19 patients in the right hemisphere⁷⁰, especially the right frontal lobe². It is worth noting we only enrolled 4 participants who were hospitalized while testing negative for COVID-19 in the allotted time frame across the study sites. Therefore, the sample size was insufficient to make meaningful comparisons between hospitalization with and without COVID-19 infection.

Conclusions

In a diverse multinational cohort study using 7T MRI, we found decreased hippocampal volumes as well as reduced cognitive and memory performance in those who were hospitalized for COVID-19 when compared to the age-matched non-hospitalized group. Hospitalized individuals also presented higher associations between higher WMH volume, lower hippocampal volumes and worse cognitive/memory scores.

Author contributions

Dr. Ibrahim has full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Dr. Liou and Dr. Santini contributed equally as co-first authors.

Concept and design: Hosseini, Seshadri, Ibrahim.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Liou, Santini, Li, Goss, Patel, Adeyemi, de Erausquin, Garbarino, Habes, Himali, Karmonik, Li, Masdeu, Snitz, Mettenburg, Wu, Aizenstein, Marsland, Bowtell, Gowland, Roman, Ganguli, Vahidy, Girard, Jacobs, Hosseini, Seshadri, Ibrahim.

Drafting of the manuscript: Liou, Santini, Ibrahim.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors.

Statistical analysis: Liou, Santini.

Obtained funding: Seshadri, Ibrahim.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Goss, Patel, Adeyemi, de Erausquin, Garbarino, Habes, Himali, Karmonik, Li, Masdeu, Snitz, Mettenburg, Wu, Aizenstein, Marsland, Bowtell, Gowland, Roman, Ganguli, Vahidy, Girard, Jacobs, Hosseini, Seshadri, Ibrahim.

Supervision: Hosseini, Seshadri, Ibrahim.

Conflict of interest disclosures

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to all the participants, their families and care providers, and the research and support staff for their contributions to this study. Special thanks to Ms. Caroline J Pidro, Ms. Valerie N Davis, Ms. Janet L Lower, and Mr. Jeremy J. Berardo. We also thank Dr. Vinicius P. Campos and Dr. Marcelo A.C. Vieira for sharing their denoising code.

Funding/support

This study is supported by National Institute on Aging - National Institutes of Health R56AG074467 (TI and SS) and R01AG063525 (TI). This research was also supported in part by the University of Pittsburgh Center for Research Computing, RRID:SCR_022735, through the resources provided. Specifically, this work used the H2P cluster, which is supported by NSF award number OAC-2117681. This study was also supported in part by P30AG066546 (South Texas Alzheimer's Disease Research Center).

Data availability

Demographic and cognitive/memory data as well as the MRI scans will be made available upon reasonable request.

References

1. Douaud G, Lee S, Alfaro-Almagro F, et al. SARS-CoV-2 is associated with changes in brain structure in UK Biobank. *Nature*. 2022;604(7907):697-707.
2. Cecchetti G, Agosta F, Canu E, et al. Cognitive, EEG, and MRI features of COVID-19 survivors: a 10-month study. *J Neurol*. 2022;269(7):3400-3412.
3. Hernández-Fernández F, Sandoval Valencia H, Barbella-Aponte RA, et al. Cerebrovascular disease in patients with COVID-19: neuroimaging, histological and clinical description. *Brain*. 2020;143(10):3089-3103.
4. Kandemirli SG, Dogan L, Sarikaya ZT, et al. Brain MRI Findings in Patients in the Intensive Care Unit with COVID-19 Infection. *Radiology*. 2020;297(1):E232-e235.
5. Helms J, Kremer S, Merdji H, et al. Delirium and encephalopathy in severe COVID-19: a cohort analysis of ICU patients. *Crit Care*. 2020;24(1):491.
6. Kremer S, Lersy F, de Sèze J, et al. Brain MRI Findings in Severe COVID-19: A Retrospective Observational Study. *Radiology*. 2020;297(2):E242-e251.
7. Paterson RW, Brown RL, Benjamin L, et al. The emerging spectrum of COVID-19 neurology: clinical, radiological and laboratory findings. *Brain*. 2020;143(10):3104-3120.
8. Multiorgan MRI findings after hospitalisation with COVID-19 in the UK (C-MORE): a prospective, multicentre, observational cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2023;11(11):1003-1019.
9. Díez-Cirarda M, Yus-Fuertes M, Sanchez-Sanchez R, et al. Hippocampal subfield abnormalities and biomarkers of pathologic brain changes: from SARS-CoV-2 acute infection to post-COVID syndrome. *EBioMedicine*. 2023;94:104711.
10. Lu Y, Li X, Geng D, et al. Cerebral Micro-Structural Changes in COVID-19 Patients - An MRI-based 3-month Follow-up Study. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2020;25:100484.
11. Wang L, Davis PB, Volkow ND, Berger NA, Kaelber DC, Xu R. Association of COVID-19 with New-Onset Alzheimer's Disease. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2022;89(2):411-414.
12. Frontera JA, Boutajangout A, Masurkar AV, et al. Comparison of serum neurodegenerative biomarkers among hospitalized COVID-19 patients versus non-COVID subjects with normal cognition, mild cognitive impairment, or Alzheimer's dementia. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2022;18(5):899-910.
13. Thaweethai T, Jolley SE, Karlson EW, et al. Development of a Definition of Postacute Sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *Jama*. 2023;329(22):1934-1946.
14. Horwitz LI, Thaweethai T, Brosnahan SB, et al. Researching COVID to Enhance Recovery (RECOVER) adult study protocol: Rationale, objectives, and design. *PLoS One*. 2023;18(6):e0286297.
15. Oelsner EC, Krishnaswamy A, Balte PP, et al. Collaborative Cohort of Cohorts for COVID-19 Research (C4R) Study: Study Design. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2022;191(7):1153-1173.
16. de Erausquin GA, Snyder H, Brugha TS, et al. Chronic neuropsychiatric sequelae of SARS-CoV-2: Protocol and methods from the Alzheimer's Association Global Consortium. *Alzheimers Dement (N Y)*. 2022;8(1):e12348.
17. de Erausquin GA, Snyder H, Carrillo M, Hosseini AA, Brugha TS, Seshadri S. The chronic neuropsychiatric sequelae of COVID-19: The need for a prospective study of viral impact on brain functioning. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2021;17(6):1056-1065.
18. Tallantyre EC, Morgan PS, Dixon JE, et al. A comparison of 3T and 7T in the detection of small parenchymal veins within MS lesions. *Invest Radiol*. 2009;44(9):491-494.
19. Ghaznawi R, de Bresser J, van der Graaf Y, et al. Detection and characterization of small infarcts in the caudate nucleus on 7 Tesla MRI: The SMART-MR study. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. 2018;38(9):1609-1617.

20. De Guio F, Vignaud A, Chabriat H, Jouvent E. Different types of white matter hyperintensities in CADASIL: Insights from 7-Tesla MRI. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* 2018;38(9):1654-1663.
21. Huo Y, Wang Y, Guo C, et al. Deep white matter hyperintensity is spatially correlated to MRI-visible perivascular spaces in cerebral small vessel disease on 7 Tesla MRI. *Stroke Vasc Neurol.* 2023;8(2):144-150.
22. Santini T, Koo M, Farhat N, et al. Analysis of hippocampal subfields in sickle cell disease using ultrahigh field MRI. *NeuroImage Clinical.* 2021;30:102655.
23. Santini T, Chen C, Zhu W, et al. Hippocampal subfields and thalamic nuclei associations with clinical outcomes in multiple sclerosis: An ultrahigh field MRI study. *Mult Scler Relat Disord.* 2024;86:105520.
24. Li J, Liou J-J, Santini T, et al. Investigating white matter hyperintensities in a multicenter COVID-19 study using 7T MRI. *Alzheimer's & Dementia.* 2023;19(S24):e083186.
25. Santini T, Zhao Y, Wood S, et al. In-vivo and numerical analysis of the eigenmodes produced by a multi-level Tic-Tac-Toe head transmit array for 7 Tesla MRI. *PloS one.* 2018;13(11):e0206127.
26. Krishnamurthy N, Santini T, Wood S, et al. Computational and experimental evaluation of the Tic-Tac-Toe RF coil for 7 Tesla MRI. *PloS one.* 2019;14(1):e0209663.
27. Santini T, Wood S, Krishnamurthy N, Martins T, Aizenstein HJ, Ibrahim TS. Improved 7 Tesla transmit field homogeneity with reduced electromagnetic power deposition using coupled Tic Tac Toe antennas. *Scientific reports.* 2021;11(1):3370.
28. Ibrahim T, Santini T, Farhat N, et al. 7 Tesla Brain MRI: A Paradigm Shifting Technology for Clinical Research in Aging and Dementia Science. *Alzheimer's & Dementia.* 2023;19(S24):e083214.
29. Goss M, Bernal R, Patel VN, et al. Covid-19 may have a detrimental impact on sensorimotor function. *Alzheimer's & Dementia.* 2023;19(S24):e083191.
30. Hosseini AA, Adeyemi OF, Bowtell R, et al. Using 7T MRI to study hippocampal structures in Alzheimer's disease and post-SARS-CoV2 infection. *Alzheimer's & Dementia.* 2023;19(S24):e083216.
31. Hoopes A, Mora JS, Dalca AV, Fischl B, Hoffmann M. SynthStrip: skull-stripping for any brain image. *Neuroimage.* 2022;260:119474.
32. Li J, Santini T, Huang Y, et al. wmh_seg: Transformer based U-Net for Robust and Automatic White Matter Hyperintensity Segmentation across 1.5 T, 3T and 7T. *arXiv preprint arXiv:240212701.* 2024.
33. Yushkevich PA, Piven J, Hazlett HC, et al. User-guided 3D active contour segmentation of anatomical structures: significantly improved efficiency and reliability. *Neuroimage.* 2006;31(3):1116-1128.
34. Penny WD, Friston KJ, Ashburner JT, Kiebel SJ, Nichols TE. *Statistical parametric mapping: the analysis of functional brain images.* Elsevier; 2011.
35. Foi A. Noise estimation and removal in MR imaging: The variance-stabilization approach. Paper presented at: 2011 IEEE International symposium on biomedical imaging: from nano to macro2011.
36. Berron D, Vieweg P, Hochkeppler A, et al. A protocol for manual segmentation of medial temporal lobe subregions in 7 Tesla MRI. *NeuroImage Clinical.* 2017;15:466-482.
37. Yushkevich PA, Pluta JB, Wang H, et al. Automated volumetry and regional thickness analysis of hippocampal subfields and medial temporal cortical structures in mild cognitive impairment. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2015;36(1):258-287.
38. Alber J, Alladi S, Bae HJ, et al. White matter hyperintensities in vascular contributions to cognitive impairment and dementia (VCID): Knowledge gaps and opportunities. *Alzheimer's Dement (N Y).* 2019;5:107-117.
39. Mahammedi A, Saba L, Vagal A, et al. Imaging of Neurologic Disease in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19: An Italian Multicenter Retrospective Observational Study. *Radiology.* 2020;297(2):E270-e273.

40. Radmanesh A, Raz E, Zan E, Derman A, Kaminetzky M. Brain Imaging Use and Findings in COVID-19: A Single Academic Center Experience in the Epicenter of Disease in the United States. *AJNR American journal of neuroradiology*. 2020;41(7):1179-1183.
41. Bungenberg J, Humkamp K, Hohenfeld C, et al. Long COVID-19: Objectifying most self-reported neurological symptoms. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol*. 2022;9(2):141-154.
42. Chougar L, Shor N, Weiss N, et al. Retrospective Observational Study of Brain MRI Findings in Patients with Acute SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Neurologic Manifestations. *Radiology*. 2020;297(3):E313-e323.
43. Frontera JA, Simon NM. Bridging Knowledge Gaps in the Diagnosis and Management of Neuropsychiatric Sequelae of COVID-19. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2022;79(8):811-817.
44. Nasrabady SE, Rizvi B, Goldman JE, Brickman AM. White matter changes in Alzheimer's disease: a focus on myelin and oligodendrocytes. *Acta Neuropathol Commun*. 2018;6(1):22.
45. Langan MT, Smith DA, Verma G, et al. Semi-automated Segmentation and Quantification of Perivascular Spaces at 7 Tesla in COVID-19. *Front Neurol*. 2022;13:846957.
46. Thapaliya K, Marshall-Gradisnik S, Barth M, Eaton-Fitch N, Barnden L. Brainstem volume changes in myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome and long COVID patients. *Front Neurosci*. 2023;17:1125208.
47. Barnden L, Thapaliya K, Eaton-Fitch N, Barth M, Marshall-Gradisnik S. Altered brain connectivity in Long Covid during cognitive exertion: a pilot study. *Front Neurosci*. 2023;17:1182607.
48. Rua C, Raman B, Rodgers CT, et al. Quantitative susceptibility mapping at 7 Tesla in COVID-19: mechanistic and outcome associations. *medRxiv*. 2023:2023.2011.2022.23298899.
49. Qin Y, Wu J, Chen T, et al. Long-term microstructure and cerebral blood flow changes in patients recovered from COVID-19 without neurological manifestations. *J Clin Invest*. 2021;131(8).
50. Du YY, Zhao W, Zhou XL, et al. Survivors of COVID-19 exhibit altered amplitudes of low frequency fluctuation in the brain: a resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging study at 1-year follow-up. *Neural Regen Res*. 2022;17(7):1576-1581.
51. Esposito F, Cirillo M, De Micco R, et al. Olfactory loss and brain connectivity after COVID-19. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2022;43(5):1548-1560.
52. Cattarinussi G, Miola A, Trevisan N, et al. Altered brain regional homogeneity is associated with depressive symptoms in COVID-19. *J Affect Disord*. 2022;313:36-42.
53. Tu Y, Zhang Y, Li Y, et al. Post-traumatic stress symptoms in COVID-19 survivors: a self-report and brain imaging follow-up study. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2021;26(12):7475-7480.
54. Besteher B, Machnik M, Troll M, et al. Larger gray matter volumes in neuropsychiatric long-COVID syndrome. *Psychiatry Res*. 2022;317:114836.
55. Daulatzai MA. Evidence of neurodegeneration in obstructive sleep apnea: relationship between obstructive sleep apnea and cognitive dysfunction in the elderly. *Journal of neuroscience research*. 2015;93(12):1778-1794.
56. Morrell MJ, McRobbie DW, Quest RA, Cummin AR, Ghiassi R, Corfield DR. Changes in brain morphology associated with obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep medicine*. 2003;4(5):451-454.
57. Soung AL, Vanderheiden A, Nordvig AS, et al. COVID-19 induces CNS cytokine expression and loss of hippocampal neurogenesis. *Brain*. 2022;145(12):4193-4201.
58. Fernández-Castañeda A, Lu P, Geraghty AC, et al. Mild respiratory COVID can cause multi-lineage neural cell and myelin dysregulation. *Cell*. 2022;185(14):2452-2468.e2416.
59. Agrawal S, Farfel JM, Arfanakis K, et al. Brain autopsies of critically ill COVID-19 patients demonstrate heterogeneous profile of acute vascular injury, inflammation and age-linked chronic brain diseases. *Acta Neuropathol Commun*. 2022;10(1):186.
60. Martin M, Paes VR, Cardoso EF, et al. Postmortem brain 7T MRI with minimally invasive pathological correlation in deceased COVID-19 subjects. *Insights Imaging*. 2022;13(1):7.

61. Thakur KT, Miller EH, Glendinning MD, et al. COVID-19 neuropathology at Columbia University Irving Medical Center/New York Presbyterian Hospital. *Brain*. 2021;144(9):2696-2708.
62. Bayat AH, Azimi H, Hassani Moghaddam M, et al. COVID-19 causes neuronal degeneration and reduces neurogenesis in human hippocampus. *Apoptosis*. 2022;27(11-12):852-868.
63. Poloni TE, Medici V, Moretti M, et al. COVID-19-related neuropathology and microglial activation in elderly with and without dementia. *Brain Pathol*. 2021;31(5):e12997.
64. Tassignon B, Radwan A, Blommaert J, et al. Longitudinal changes in global structural brain connectivity and cognitive performance in former hospitalized COVID-19 survivors: an exploratory study. *Exp Brain Res*. 2023;241(3):727-741.
65. Angus DC, Derde L, Al-Beidh F, et al. Effect of Hydrocortisone on Mortality and Organ Support in Patients With Severe COVID-19: The REMAP-CAP COVID-19 Corticosteroid Domain Randomized Clinical Trial. *Jama*. 2020;324(13):1317-1329.
66. Pun BT, Badenes R, Heras La Calle G, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for delirium in critically ill patients with COVID-19 (COVID-D): a multicentre cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2021;9(3):239-250.
67. Goligher EC, Bradbury CA, McVerry BJ, et al. Therapeutic Anticoagulation with Heparin in Critically Ill Patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385(9):777-789.
68. Bradbury CA, Lawler PR, Stanworth SJ, et al. Effect of Antiplatelet Therapy on Survival and Organ Support-Free Days in Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Jama*. 2022;327(13):1247-1259.
69. Higgins AM, Berry LR, Lorenzi E, et al. Long-term (180-Day) Outcomes in Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19 in the REMAP-CAP Randomized Clinical Trial. *Jama*. 2023;329(1):39-51.
70. Andriuta D, Si-Ahmed C, Roussel M, et al. Clinical and Imaging Determinants of Neurocognitive Disorders in Post-Acute COVID-19 Patients with Cognitive Complaints. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2022;87(3):1239-1250.

Figures and Tables

Table 1. Difference of COVID-19 severity, demographic information, medical history, cognition, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) characteristics of 163 participants in hospitalization (HOSP) and without hospitalization (NO HOSP).

	HOSP (n=52)	NO HOSP (n=111)	p-value
COVID-19			
• COVID-19 severity			
○ Critical, No. (%); mean LOS	14 (27); 23.8 days	0	<0.0001
○ Severe, No. (%); mean LOS	28 (54); 10.7 days	3 (3)	
○ Moderate, No. (%); mean LOS	7 (13); 1.7 days	7 (6)	
○ Mild, No. (%); mean LOS	3 (6); 1.7 days	42 (38)	
○ No reported symptoms, No. (%)	0	59 (53)	
• COVID-19 vaccine, No. (%)	44 (85)	76 (68)	0.2294
• COVID-19 vaccine doses, mean (SD)	3.0 (0.8)	3.0 (0.6)	0.7597
• Flu vaccine, No. (%)	31 (60)	52 (47)	0.6613
Demographic information			
• Age, mean (SD)	61.1 (7.4)	61.5 (8.4)	0.7402
• Sex female, No. (%)	19 (37)	66 (60)	0.0033
• Race white, No. (%)	36 (69)	89 (80)	0.0595
• Ethnicity Hispanic/Latino, No. (%)	7 (13)	19 (17)	0.5259
• Years of education, mean (SD)	14.8 (2.7)	15.8 (2.4)	0.0205
Medical history			
• Diabetes, No. (%) ^a	17 (33)	10 (9)	0.0021
• Hypertension, No. (%) ^a	23 (44)	30 (27)	0.2773
• Hypercholesterolemia, No. (%) ^a	22 (42)	32 (29)	0.3843
• Cancer, No. (%) ^a	8 (15)	15 (14)	0.7110
• B12 deficiency, No. (%) ^a	7 (13)	13 (12)	0.8252
• Thyroid disease, No. (%) ^a	4 (8)	17 (15)	0.0496
• Sleep apnea, No. (%) ^a	13 (25)	9 (8)	0.0191
• Obesity (BMI>30), No. (%) ^a	19 (37)	16 (15)	0.0174
• Atrial fibrillation, No. (%) ^a	3 (6)	3 (3)	0.5476

• Congestive heart failure, No. (%) ^a	1 (2)	1 (1)	0.7260
• Heart valve surgery, No. (%) ^a	1 (2)	0	0.2022
• Migraines, No. (%) ^a	8 (15)	21 (24)	0.1977
• Head Injury, No. (%) ^a	5 (10)	16 (18)	0.1411
Cognition			
• MoCA, mean (SD)	24.9 (3.7)	26.4 (2.9)	0.0084
• Trail making test A, mean (SD)	35.0 (22.5)	32.7 (14.3)	0.4466
• Trail making test B, mean (SD)	97.6 (46.0)	79.4 (48.3)	0.0285
• Craft immediate recall, mean (SD) ^a	12.6 (6.4)	16.4 (3.2)	<0.0001
• Craft delayed recall, mean (SD) ^a	12.0 (6.6)	15.6 (3.7)	0.0001
• Benson figure copy, mean (SD) ^a	15.2 (2.7)	16.1 (1.0)	0.0078
• Benson figure recall, mean (SD) ^a	12.1 (3.6)	12.6 (2.4)	0.3179
MRI Neuroimaging			
• ICV, mean (SD)	1435854 (111163)	1469898 (138349)	0.1221
• WMHV, mean (SD)	4112 (7389)	3144 (3149)	0.2420
• WMHV/ICV, mean (SD)	0.0029 (0.0056)	0.0021 (0.0021)	0.2131
• Left+Right Hippocampi, mean (SD)	11856 (1088)	12227 (1070)	0.0497
• Left CA1, mean (SD)	718 (101)	745 (116)	0.0686
• Left CA2+CA3+DG, mean (SD)	635 (94)	658 (90)	0.0684
• Left SUB, mean (SD)	1073 (138)	1077 (121)	0.9442
• Left ERC, mean (SD)	869 (134)	873 (127)	0.9714
• Right CA1, mean (SD)	755 (98)	794 (113)	0.0450
• Right CA2+CA3+DG, mean (SD)	701 (101)	722 (87)	0.1136
• Right SUB, mean (SD)	1090 (148)	1111 (124)	0.5824
• Right ERC, mean (SD)	876 (122)	876 (138)	0.8640

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CA1, cornu Ammonis 1; CA2+CA3+DG, cornu Ammonis 2 + cornu Ammonis 3 + dentate gyrus; ERC, entorhinal cortex; ICV, intracranial volume; LOS, length of stay; MoCA, Montreal cognitive assessment; SD, standard deviation; SUB, subiculum; WMHV, white matter hyperintensity volume. ^aData from 88 participants in NO HOSP group.




Figure 1. White matter hyperintensity segmentation and quantification. (A) Representative T2-FLAIR images, with and without segmentation, from two participants with the highest WMH burden in each group in both axial and sagittal views, demonstrate a higher WMH burden in the HOSP group. (B) At the group level, no significant difference was detected in total WMH volume. Error bars represent standard deviations.




Figure 2. White matter hyperintensity burden and its association with age and cognition. (A) The association with age is similar between groups ($p=0.0591$). However, the slopes are significantly different between HOSP and NO HOSP in (B) MoCA ($p=0.0006$), (D) duration to complete Trail making test B ($p=0.0054$), and (H) the Benson figure recall scores ($p=0.0117$). The association with (C) duration to complete Trail making test A ($p=0.2972$), (E) Craft immediate recall ($p=0.0505$), (F) Craft delayed recall ($p=0.1074$), and (G) Benson figure copy ($p=0.5983$) showed no significant differences in slopes between groups. Higher scores are better for MoCA, Craft Immediate Recall, Craft Delayed Recall, Benson Figure Copy, and Benson Figure Recall, and lower scores (shorter times) are better for Trail making Test A and B.




Figure 3. Hippocampal subfield segmentation and quantification. (A) Image harmonization and hippocampal subfield segmentation were performed across three imaging sites. (B) Quantitative comparison of hippocampal subfields between the HOSP and NO HOSP groups revealed significant findings. A reduction in bilateral hippocampal volumes was observed in the HOSP group compared to that of the NO HOSP group (11,856 vs 12,227 mm³, $p=0.0497$). Additionally, the right CA1 subfield volume was significantly lower in the HOSP group compared to the NO HOSP group (755 vs 794 mm³, $p=0.045$).




Figure 4. Hippocampal subfield volume and its association with age and cognition. The association of total hippocampal volume with (A) age is similar between groups ($p=0.2650$). The associations with (B) MoCA ($p=0.0119$), (E) Craft immediate recall ($p=0.0085$), and (F) Craft delayed recall ($p=0.0053$) are significantly different between HOSP and NO HOSP groups. (C-D, G-H) No significant difference between slopes is detected in other cognitive scores: Trail making test A ($p=0.1726$), Trail making test B ($p=0.4021$), Benson figure copy ($p=0.5188$), and Benson figure recall ($p=0.2440$). (I) Differences in bilateral SUB, bilateral ERC, and right CA2+CA3+DG are also detected. Higher scores are better for MoCA, Craft Immediate Recall, Craft Delayed Recall, Benson Figure Copy, and Benson Figure Recall, and lower scores (shorter times) are better for Trail making Test A and B.

Supplementary Information




Figure S1. Participant recruitment. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans from 163 participants were included in our analysis. Participants who were hospitalized for COVID-19 in the past 6-24 months were categorized into the hospitalization (HOSP) group, while the remaining participants were categorized as the non-hospitalization (NO HOSP) group.




Figure S2. Length of stay and its association with COVID-19 severity in HOSP group. (A) The average duration of hospitalization is 12.3 ± 14.7 days (range 1-76 days) and 18 of those were in an intensive care unit for 9.9 ± 7.4 days (range 2-28 days). (B) According to the WHO classification, we encoded the COVID-19 severity of mild, moderate, severe, and critical to 1, 2, 3, and 4. The length of stay is significantly correlated with COVID-19 severity ($p=0.0003$).




Figure S3. Comparisons of associations of hippocampal subfield volume with age between HOSP and NO HOSP groups. No significant differences in age-related slopes were found between groups for any hippocampal subfields: (A) Left CA1 ($p=0.7512$), (B) Right CA1 ($p=0.8171$), (C) Left CA2+CA3+DG ($p=0.0692$), (D) Right CA2+CA3+DG ($p=0.1470$), (E) Left SUB ($p=0.4506$), (F) Right SUB ($p=0.4648$), (G) Left ERC ($p=0.8808$), and (H) Right ERC ($p=0.4695$).

Table S1. COVID-19 severity based on WHO classification. SpO₂, oxygen saturation rate indicating the oxygen amount being carried by red blood cells in the body which should be equal to or above 96%; RR, respiratory rate which should be about 20 breaths per minute normally; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome.

	Based on available clinical records	Based on self-report, if clinical records are not available
Mild	No hypoxia or pneumonia	Did not receive oxygen
Moderate	Clinical signs of non-severe pneumonia AND SpO ₂ ≥90% on room air	Did not receive oxygen
Severe	Clinical signs of severe pneumonia AND SpO ₂ ≤90% on room air; OR RR>30 breaths/min	Received oxygen (or told you they needed it, but it was not available)
Critical	ARDS; OR sepsis/septic shock; OR pulmonary embolism, acute coronary syndrome, acute stroke	Received invasive ventilation (or max available respiratory support)

Table S2. 7T MRI sequence parameters. T1-MP2RAGE, T2-FLAIR, and T2-TSE images are analyzed for intracranial volume, white matter hyperintensity volume, and hippocampal subfield volume.

	T1-MP2RAGE	T2-FLAIR	T2-TSE
Resolution (mm)	0.55 x 0.55 x 0.55	0.75 x 0.75 x 1.5	0.375 x 0.375 x 1.5
Echo Time (ms)	2.22	99	61
Inversion Time (ms)	800 & 2500	2900	-
Repetition Time (ms)	6000	14000	10060
Acceleration Factor	2	2	2
Acquisition Time (min)	12:44	11:28	3:53