

# Leveraging Electronic Health Records to Investigate Sex Differences in Oral Diseases and Conditions

Fetchko E<sup>1</sup>, Sangalli L<sup>2</sup>, Letra A<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Pittsburgh School of Dental Medicine; [elf127@pitt.edu](mailto:elf127@pitt.edu)

<sup>2</sup> College of Dental Medicine – Illinois, Midwestern University; [lsanga@midwestern.edu](mailto:lsanga@midwestern.edu)

<sup>3</sup> Departments of Oral and Craniofacial Sciences, and Endodontics, University of Pittsburgh School of Dental Medicine

<sup>4</sup> Center for Craniofacial and Dental Genetics, University of Pittsburgh School of Dental Medicine; [AriadneLetra@pitt.edu](mailto:AriadneLetra@pitt.edu)

**Abstract word count:** 294

**Total word count:** 3813

**Total number of tables/figures:** 4

**Number of references:** 39

**Keywords:** Sex Characteristics, Stomatognathic Diseases, Database, Pulp Diseases, Periapical Disease, Oral Health, Dental Records

## Corresponding author:

Ariadne Letra, DDS, MS, PhD

Professor

Departments of Oral and Craniofacial Sciences, Endodontics

Center for Craniofacial and Dental Genetics

Bridgeside Point I, 100 Technology Drive, room 450L, Pittsburgh PA, 15219

Tel: 412-624-3061; Email: [AriadneLetra@pitt.edu](mailto:AriadneLetra@pitt.edu)

**Knowledge Transfer Statement:** This study examined sex-based differences in the prevalence of dental diseases, highlighting significant variations between males and females. These findings may contribute valuable insights into understanding these conditions and their potential genetic, biological, and hormonal underpinnings. Patients, clinicians, and policymakers can leverage these results to promote more targeted preventive and treatment approaches, ultimately improving oral health outcomes for both sexes.

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** Sexual dimorphism has been shown to influence disease predisposition and/or progression, however, studies addressing sex-based differences in dental, oral, and craniofacial (DOC) diseases and conditions are scarce. This study aimed to identify DOC diseases and conditions likely influenced by sexual dimorphism using two large data repositories.

**Methods:** Retrospective study of medical/dental record data obtained from adult participants (>18 years old) in the NIH *All of Us* Research Program (n=254,700) and the BigMouth Data Repository (n ≈ 4.7 million). The number of males and females presenting each selected DOC disease concept in each database was recorded. Sex-specific association analysis for each concept was performed using chi-square tests ( $\alpha \leq 0.0002$ ). Female-to-male odds ratio (OR) and confidence intervals were also calculated.

**Results:** The initial search in *All of Us* and BigMouth yielded 216 and 243 DOC concepts, respectively. Eighty-seven of 216 dental concepts identified in *All of Us* had sex-stratified data. Of these, significant sex-related differences were found for 61/87 concepts (70%), with 33 concepts (54%) showing female bias and 28 (46%) showing male bias ( $P \leq 0.0002$ ). Higher female bias was noted for ‘diseases of oral soft tissues’, ‘disorders of tooth development and eruption’, and ‘diseases of pulpal/periapical tissues’, whereas higher male bias was noted for ‘gingival and periodontal diseases’, ‘dental caries’, and ‘malignant tumor of oral cavity’ ( $P \leq 0.0002$ ). Analysis of BigMouth data showed sex bias for 90/230 (39%) concepts investigated, of which 87 (97%) showed female bias and 3 (3%) showed male bias ( $P \leq 0.0002$ ). Discordant sex bias results among the databases were noted for 8 concepts.

**Conclusions:** This study provides evidence of sex bias in numerous DOC diseases and conditions in the populations studied. Additional studies in other populations and considering sociodemographic factors might provide further insight into the role of sexual dimorphism in DOC diseases.

## Introduction

Sex is a biological variable that accounts for differences between males and females across multiple species and cellular domains such as growth, metabolism, reproduction, and aging processes (Manandhar et al. 2018). These differences may confer increased vulnerability for specific infections, diseases, and conditions in one sex over the other (Ubeda and Janse 2016). Previous studies have found significant differences in immune functions between males and females that influence the course and manifestation of infections (Klein 2000). Males typically exhibit a more robust acute inflammatory response, while females display attenuated inflammatory reactions (Valerio and Kirkwood 2018). This divergence is a consistent trait among mammals, where the innate immune response significantly differs between sexes (Valerio and Kirkwood 2018). Moreover, studies have indicated that sex hormones exert differential effects on the immune system, contributing to distinct risk profiles for certain disorders in males and females (Keselman and Heller 2015). In addition, specific genes on the X chromosome are shown to influence metabolism, injury response, behavior (Arnold et al. 2015; Keogh and Boerner 2020; Lipsky et al. 2021), as well as expression of cytokines, and other immune response mediators (Klein and Flanagan 2016). More recently, a growing body of research has highlighted significant differences in disease manifestations between males and females, driven by these underlying biological mechanisms (Mauvais-Jarvis 2020).

Yet, large clinical studies or studies utilizing human data examining sex-related implications in oral, dental, and craniofacial diseases and disorders are scarce. Although oral diseases affect almost half of the population worldwide (World Health Organization 2022), the understanding of sex-specific manifestations that could confer differential risk for certain oral conditions remains limited. These variations in oral manifestations could be attributed to differences in immune function, hormonal influences, or genetic predisposition (Sangalli et al. 2023). Without a clear understanding of which

conditions show a different manifestation in males and females, grasping the underlying cause becomes challenging.

Numerous studies have shown contrasting biological traits between men and women, including certain investigations into specific oral conditions and sex-related distinctions. Some examples include apical periodontitis and dental caries. Studies of apical periodontitis have yielded mixed outcomes, with findings supporting no significant difference between the sexes, while others suggesting a higher prevalence in women (Al-Naxhan et al. 2017; Berlink et al. 2015). Similarly, studies focused on dental caries have conflicting findings, with some indicating female predilection (Lukacs and Largaespada 2006; Lukacs 2011), others favoring males (Furuta et al. 2010), and others failing to demonstrate any sex-related differences (Shaffer et al. 2015). Discrepancies among these results are likely due to differences in sample sizes, parameters evaluated, and populations studied. Therefore, additional studies with large sample sizes are warranted to determine the oral and dental conditions likely affected by sexual dimorphism.

The National Institute of Health (NIH) *All of Us* Research Program (*All of Us*) (The *All of Us* Research Program Investigators, 2019) consists of a national effort to collect medical, dental, and sociodemographic data, as well as biological samples from one million people in the United States to foster advancements in precision medicine and improve health. Through the *All of Us* Researcher Workbench, investigators can access electronic health record (EHR), survey, and genomic data of program participants in completely de-identified format to conduct research. It constitutes a valuable publicly available tool for conducting studies leveraging a large sample size aimed at enhancing personalized medicine approaches (Ramirez et al. 2022). Researchers can query the database for specific disease/condition concepts and obtain data on the total number of participants presenting with each concept, their male-female distributions, race/ethnicity, and age ranges. Researchers may be approved to use *All of Us* data after signing a data use agreement and having completed research ethics training modules. Similarly, the BigMouth Dental

Repository (BigMouth) is a centralized dental data repository derived from a national consortia of US dental schools (Consortium of Oral Health Research and Informatics, COHRI) (Walji et al. 2014). Launched in 2012, BigMouth contains de-identified EHR data obtained from dental diagnostic and procedure codes, as well as self-reported medical history data from patients seen at each of the current 11 participating dental schools. BigMouth is not publicly available; access is provided to those institutions that contribute to the repository. While *All of Us* and BigMouth have inherent differences due to their sample population and types of data available, both databases offer immense potential for research in dental, oral, and craniofacial (DOC) research and evidence-based dentistry on a large scale.

In this study, we leveraged the availability of large-scale data from *All of Us* and BigMouth to investigate common DOC diseases and conditions likely influenced by sexual dimorphism. The results highlight numerous conditions for which sexual dimorphism may play a role in the pathogenesis and provide insight into the development of future precision-based diagnostic and treatment strategies.

## **Materials and Methods**

### *Data sources*

This retrospective study used medical/dental record data from adult participants (aged 18-89 years old) obtained via the NIH *All of Us* Research Program (n= 254,700) and the BigMouth Dental Data Repository (n≈ 4.7 million). Data search was conducted by a single investigator (EF) between May and August, 2023.

A comprehensive set of search terms was built around common DOC diseases/conditions. For *All of Us*, search terms were informed by the Systemized Nomenclature of Medicine (SNOMED, <https://www.snomed.org/>) identification number or International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-10 (<https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/icd/icd10.htm>), and included “oral carcinoma”, “oral cancer”, “tongue cancer”, “oral tumor”, “periodontitis”, “periodontal disease”, “dental”, “dental disease”, “tooth”, “oral”,

“mouth”, “infection”, “caries”, “dental anomaly”. The same search terms were utilized for the BigMouth queries to identify diagnostic codes associated with each concept.

The participant count for each DOC concept in each database was then recorded and stratified by biological sex (male, female, or other). By default, exact participant counts for EHR concepts with fewer than 20 participants are not provided in *All of Us* to protect participant privacy, therefore comparisons in such cases were not performed. Similarly, concepts showing fewer than 10 participants were not included in the analysis. The study workflow is presented in Figure 1.

### *Statistical analysis*

Sex-specific association analysis for each DOC disease concept was performed using chi-square tests, with a Bonferroni-corrected  $p \leq 0.0002$  denoting statistical differences. Female-to-male odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were also calculated to compare the relative odds of the occurrence of each concept in females and males. All statistical analyses were performed using the free online tool SISA (Simple Interactive Statistical Analysis, <https://www.quantitativeskills.com/sisa/>).

## **Results**

### *Sex differences in DOC concepts from All of Us*

A total of 216 DOC concepts were identified in *All of Us*, of which 87 had sex-stratified frequency data. Of these, significant sex-related differences were found for 61/87 concepts (70%), with 33 concepts (54%) showing female bias and 28 (46%) showing male bias ( $p \leq 0.0002$ ). The top 3 concepts showing significant statistical evidence of female bias were ‘diseases of the oral soft tissues’ [recurrent aphtous stomatitis ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR = 5.37), aphtous ulcer of mouth ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR = 5.36), xerostomia ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR = 4.96)], followed by ‘disorders of tooth development and eruption’ [anodontia ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR = 5.29),

disturbance of tooth eruption or exfoliation ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR= 5.14), dental arch relationship ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR = 4.00)], and ‘diseases of pulp and periapical tissues’ [dental abscess ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR= 2.78), reversible pulpitis ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR=2.68), toothache ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR=2.56)]. For ‘gingival and periodontal diseases’, aggressive periodontitis showed the strongest statistical evidence of female bias ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR=2.56), followed by chronic periodontitis ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR=1.33), and accretion of teeth ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR=1.29). ‘Dental caries’ and ‘dental caries on smooth surface penetrating into dentin’ also showed statistical evidence of female bias ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR=1.33 and OR=1.29, respectively). Conditions in the ‘others’ category showing significant female bias were unsatisfactory tooth restoration ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR=4.00), and alveolitis of jaw ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR=3.45) (Table 1).

In contrast, the 28 concepts exhibiting a male bias reflect a majority of concepts in ‘gingival and periodontal diseases’ [generalized moderate periodontitis ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; female to male OR=0.02), localized moderate periodontitis ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR=0.05), and tooth loss due to local periodontal disease ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; female to male OR=0.16)], followed by ‘dental caries’ concepts [dental caries on pit and fissure penetrating into dentin ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; female to male OR=0.06), dental caries on pit and fissure penetrating into pulp ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; female to male OR=0.10)]. Male bias was also observed for ‘malignant tumor of oral cavity’ concepts ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; female to male OR=0.18). (Table 1).

Additional concepts showing significant sex bias in All of Us data are shown in Table 1. Concepts in which a trend for significance ( $0.0002 \leq p \leq 0.05$ ) for sex bias or non-significant results were observed are shown in Appendix Table 1.

### *Sex differences in DOC concepts from BigMouth*

We used BigMouth to validate findings obtained via *All of Us*. Using the same search criteria, we identified 243 oral and dental diseases concepts in BigMouth that were mostly related to the concepts



identified in *All of Us*. Of these, 230 concepts had sex-specific data, with 90/230 (39%) showing statistically significant differences among the sexes ( $p \leq 0.0002$ ); 87 (97%) showing female bias and 3 (3%) showing male bias ( $p \leq 0.0002$ ) (Table 2). The top 3 concepts showing a predominantly female bias were ‘disorders of tooth development and eruption’ [cementum defect ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR = 5.39), dentin defect ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR= 3.37), enamel defect ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR = 2.56)], ‘diseases of the oral soft tissues, oral mucosa, tongue, and salivary glands’ [xerostomia ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR = 5.93), red-blue lesion ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR = 4.47), tongue swelling/pathology ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR = 3.61)], and ‘diseases of pulp and periapical tissues’ [symptomatic apical periodontitis ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR=2.77), symptomatic reversible pulpitis ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR=2.64), and previously treated teeth ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR = 2.45)]. For ‘disorders of teeth, hard tissues of teeth, and supporting structures’, significant female bias was observed for temporomandibular disorders ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR=9.72), sensitive dentin ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR=3.40), and primary occlusal trauma ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR=3.31). Other conditions predominantly showing female bias were harmful oral habits ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR = 2.34), systemic disease manifestation in jaw ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR = 2.19)], and benign odontogenic pathology ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; OR = 2.10)] (Table 2).

Concepts showing significant statistical evidence of male bias were malignant neoplasm ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; female to male OR = 0.52), generalized severe aggressive periodontitis ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; female to male OR = 0.75), root caries ( $p \leq 0.0001$ ; female to male OR = 0.80) (Table 2). Additional concepts showing significant sex bias in BigMouth data are shown in Table 2. Concepts in which a trend for significance ( $0.0002 \leq p \leq 0.05$ ) for sex bias or non-significant results were observed are shown in Appendix Table 2.

*Concepts showing sex differences in both All of Us and BigMouth*

Among the concepts investigated in *All of Us* and BigMouth, complete term overlap was noted for 20 concepts, with 8 concepts showing discordant sex bias, namely ‘pulp degeneration/necrosis’, ‘chronic apical abscess’, ‘generalized moderate periodontitis’, ‘localized chronic periodontitis’, ‘dental caries penetrating into pulp’, ‘developmental abnormality of tooth size/form’, ‘attrition of teeth’, and ‘abrasion of teeth’ (Table 3).

## Discussion

In the present study, we compared the frequencies of more than 200 DOC disease concepts among 4,501,648 females and males residing in the United States utilizing two large databases (*All of Us* and BigMouth) featuring electronic health record data. Among the DOC disease concepts included in the analysis, significant sex-specific differences were found for 70% and 39% of the concepts found in *All of Us* and BigMouth, respectively. Specifically, a female predominance was identified in 54% and 97% of these dental concepts in each database. Instances of discordant sex bias among concepts in each database were also observed, albeit minimal.

Among the seven general concept categories in the *All of Us* database, ‘disorders of tooth development and eruption’, ‘diseases of the oral soft tissues, oral mucosa, tongue, and salivary glands’, and ‘diseases of pulp and periapical tissues’ were marked by female bias, meanwhile ‘gingival and periodontal diseases’, ‘malignant tumors of the oral cavity’, ‘dental caries’, and ‘other’ disorders were marked by male bias. Periodontal disease is widely known to affect males more frequently than females. A systematic review (Shiau and Reynolds 2010) and two US population-based studies (Albandar 2002) have confirmed a significantly male predilection in periodontal disease, with effect sizes ranging from 7.33 to 16.85. Potential explanations for this well-established sex difference may be attributed to biological, immunological, and behavioral reasons. For instance, sexual dimorphism has been reported to

account for a more diverse oral microbiome, with males presenting an increased abundance of *Prevotella intermedia* compared to females (Vieira et al. 2017). Males also tend to demonstrate a more robust intrinsic inflammatory reaction to both injuries and microbial pathogens compared to females (Shiau and Reynolds 2010). This is evidenced by an increased toll like receptor (TLR)-4 signaling, distinct cytokine production (Klein and Flanagan 2016), and greater CD8+T cell count (Abdullah et al. 2012) in males. Moreover, risk factors commonly associated with periodontal disease (e.g., obesity, cardiovascular disease, type II diabetes), are also known to exhibit a male bias (Meisel et al. 2014; Regensteiner et al. 2015; Kim et al. 2020). Lastly, a study by Lipsky et al. (2021) suggested that men are less inclined to prioritize their oral health therefore increasing the potential to higher instances of periodontal disease. In contrast, ‘gingival and periodontal diseases’ in BigMouth were marked by female bias. Interestingly, these reflected specific gingivitis conditions and periodontitis manifestations frequently associated with autoimmune conditions. The observed higher female predilection could potentially be attributed to hormonal effects during pregnancy or menstrual cycles, as well as a more robust innate and adaptive immune response that makes females more vulnerable to autoimmune and anti-inflammatory conditions (Klein and Flanagan 2016).

Disorders of teeth, hard tissues of teeth, and supporting structures were also more prevalent in males from *All of Us*. Many of these included loss of teeth due to periodontal disease, which may result from a male bias for periodontal disease. Nevertheless, BigMouth data revealed a higher prevalence of these concepts in females. A possible explanation for such discrepancy is that the terminology for the dental concepts identified within the two databases examined were not completely standardized, and BigMouth often contained additional subtypes of each concept compared to *All of Us*. There might also be an inherent bias in BigMouth as the participants included mostly females.

Dental caries concepts exhibited a female bias in both databases, with females presenting between 1.3- to 1.64-fold higher risk of overall dental caries than males. When considering additional dental caries

subtypes in *All of Us*, however, a marked male bias was observed for 7/9 concepts including carious exposure of pulp, dental caries on pit and fissure (in enamel, into dentin, and into pulp). In BigMouth, of the 18 dental caries-associated concepts, only root caries showed male bias. Female bias in dental caries has been supported by several narrative and systematic reviews (Lukacs and Largaespada 2006; Ferraro and Vieira 2010; Teshome et al. 2021). Moreover, an earlier tooth eruption in females, states of pregnancy, among others, have been suggested as potential reasons for a higher female prevalence for dental caries (Lukacs 2006). Additional studies support a similar prevalence of dental caries among the sexes (Shaffer et al. 2015; Skoskiewicz-Malinowska et al. 2021).

With the exception of ‘chronic apical abscess’ and ‘pulp and periapical disease’ that showed a male bias in All of Us, all concepts under ‘diseases of the pulp and periapical tissues’ category showed predominantly female bias in All of Us and BigMouth. Particularly, concepts associated with dental pain, such as toothache, symptomatic reversible pulpitis, and symptomatic apical periodontitis were at least 2 times more frequent in females than males. Similar prevalence rates and instances of either higher female or male predominance in pulp and periapical diseases have been documented in the literature (Berlink et al. 2015; Huumonen et al. 2017; Ferreira et al. 2022).

Similarly, both databases were concordant in identifying a female bias for all ‘diseases of oral soft tissues, tongue, and salivary glands’, with some concepts showing higher than 5-fold increased odds in females compared to males. Considering that many of these conditions have an autoimmune etiology, a higher female predisposition was expected (Klein and Flanagan 2016). Disorders of tooth development and eruption as well as dentofacial anomalies were also significantly more prevalent in females compared to males, similarly to previous reports (Letra et al. 2022).

Males displayed a significantly higher risk of oral malignancies compared to females in both databases. This has been consistently reported across various studies worldwide, where males exhibit

between 1- to 5-fold increased risk compared to females (Ward et al. 2019; Park et al. 2022). Such higher male predisposition to cancer persists even after controlling for behavioral risk factors, including smoking and alcohol consumption (Park et al. 2022). This phenomenon has been attributed to the potential association between androgens and cancer development, whereas estrogens have been proposed to exert a protective effect (Park et al. 2022).

Increasing evidence has supported genetic predisposition as a contributor to sex-based biological differences in oral and dental diseases (Sangalli et al. 2023). In this context, female bias towards caries risk has been attributed to genetic variation in genes located on the X chromosome, which are implicated in amelogenesis, dietary preferences, oral microbiome composition, and salivary flow (Ferraro and Vieira 2010). Similarly, studies have reported that genetic polymorphisms may result in an altered host response to microbial challenges in the dental pulp therefore modulating caries progression and/or predisposition to pulp and periapical disease (Menezes-Silva et al. 2012; Messing et al. 2019; Petty et al. 2023). More notably, sex-specific associations with polymorphisms in genes involved in inflammation, wound healing, bone remodeling, and apoptosis, have been reported for periapical disease (de Souza et al. 2019; Petty et al. 2023). In animal models, sexual dimorphism in immunological responses to oral infectious diseases, including differences in neutrophil trafficking, osteoclast differentiation and formation in cases of both periodontal and periapical disease has been widely reported (Valerio and Kirkwood 2018). Sex differences have also been reported for the extent of activation of innate and adaptive immune cells in females, including higher numbers of macrophages, dendritic cells, antigen-presenting cells, interferon activity, lymphocyte cells, CD4+T cells, and cytotoxic T cells (Klein and Flanagan 2016). Taken together, these multiple lines of evidence strongly support a role for sex as a biological variable underlying the pathogenesis of pulpal and periapical diseases, although non-biological factors may also play a role.

This study has numerous strengths but also has some limitations. Among the strengths is the large sample size surpassing previous studies and greatly enhancing the generalizability of our findings, as well as the large number and wide spectrum of DOC concepts investigated. Study limitations include inherent differences among the databases as each aggregates data from different sources and may contribute to occasional discrepant findings. For instance, *All of Us* contains medical and dental record data from individuals across the US who agree to share their data, whereas BigMouth data is directly imported from dental electronic health records from eleven participating dental schools. Hence, a lack of complete agreement between the participant community and concept terminologies in *All of Us* and BigMouth databases was expected. *All of Us* provided broader group categories, whereas BigMouth featured more concepts and very specific subcategories based on dental diagnostic terminology. Importantly, dental data from *All of Us* is more likely to be resultant of self-report or within electronic medical records whereas BigMouth data is retrieved from electronic dental records reflecting actual patient examinations. Among the main discordant findings of sex bias in the two databases were ‘pulp degeneration/necrosis’, ‘chronic apical abscess’, ‘generalized moderate and localized chronic periodontitis’, ‘dental caries penetrating the pulp’, ‘developmental anomaly of tooth size/form’, and ‘tooth abrasion’ and ‘attrition’. While these differences may have precluded more accurate comparisons between the databases, the overall similarities in the findings of female or male bias across many of the concepts investigated in the two databases are invaluable in identifying consistent pattern of sex disparities in oral and dental diseases and conditions on a large scale.

## **Conclusions**

Evidence supporting sex-specific differences DOC diseases and conditions is still scarce. This study corroborates previous reports suggesting sexual dimorphism in periodontal disease, dental caries, and

malignant tumors of the oral cavity meanwhile providing new evidence for sexual dimorphism in pulpal and periapical disease, disorders of oral soft tissues and tooth development, and other DOC conditions. These findings provide valuable insight as they may be used to inform future studies aimed at uncovering the biological and non-biological factors that underlie sex-specific differences in disease, and towards clinical translation with sex-specific strategies to improve oral health of females and males. Further studies considering socio-economic and behavioral factors may also provide valuable insight into the role of sex differences in DOC diseases and conditions.

### **Acknowledgements**

We gratefully acknowledge *All of Us* participants for their contributions, without whom this research would not have been possible. We also thank the National Institutes of Health's *All of Us* Research Program for making available the participant data examined in this study. Thanks to Dominic Woods for technical assistance. Emma Fetchko was supported by the University of Pittsburgh School of Dental Medicine Dean's Summer Research Scholarship.

### **Authors' contributions**

EF contributed to data acquisition and analysis and drafted the manuscript; LS contributed to data interpretation and drafted the manuscript; AL contributed to conception, data interpretation, and critically reviewed the manuscript.

### **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

**Reporting Guidelines:** GATHER checklist for epidemiological reports on population estimates of health conditions was followed.

**Funding:** This study was supported by the University of Pittsburgh School of Dental Medicine Dean's Summer Research Scholarship (to EF).

## References

- Abdullah M, Chai PS, Chong MY, Tohit ERM, Ramasamy R, Pei CP, Vidyadaran S. 2012. Gender effect on in vitro lymphocyte subset levels of healthy individuals. *Cell Immunol.* 272(2):214-219.
- Albandar JM. 2002. Periodontal diseases in North America. *Periodontol 2000.* 29:31-69.
- Arnold AP, Reue K, Eghbali M, Vilain E, Chen X, Ghahramani N, Itoh Y, Li J, Link JC, Ngun T, et al.. 2015. The importance of having two X chromosomes. *Phil Trans R Soc B.* 371:e1-e11.
- Berlink T, Tinoco JMM, Carvalho FLF, Sassone LM, Tonico EMB. 2015. Epidemiological evaluation of apical periodontitis prevalence in an urban Brazilian population. *Braz Oral Res.* 29:51.
- de Souza LC, Cavalla F, Maili L, Garlet GP, Vieira AR, Silva RM, Letra A. 2019. WNT gene polymorphisms and predisposition to apical periodontitis. *Sci Rep.* 9:18980.
- Ferraro M, Vieira A. 2010. Explaining gender differences in caries: A multifactorial approach to a multifactorial disease. *Int J Dent.* 2010:649643.
- Ferreira ML, Buligon MP, Sfreddo CS, Liedke GS, Morgental RD. 2022. Factors related to apical periodontitis in a southern Brazilian population: a multilevel analysis. *Braz Oral Res.* 36:e112.



- Furuta M, Ekuni D, Yamamoto T, Irie K, Koyama R, Sanbe T, Yamanaka R, Morita M, Kuroki K, Tobe K. 2010. Relationship between periodontitis and hepatic abnormalities in young adults. *Acta Odontol. Scand* 68(1):27-33.
- Huumonen S, Suominen AL, Vehkalahti MM. 2017. Prevalence of apical periodontitis in root filled teeth: Findings from a nationwide survey in finland. *Int Endod J.* 50(3):229-236.
- Keogh E, Boerner K. 2020. Exploring the relationship between male norm beliefs, pain-related beliefs and behaviours. *Eur J Pain.* 24(2):423-343
- Keselman A, Heller N. 2015. Estrogen signaling modulates allergic inflammation and contributes to sex differences in asthma. *Front Immunol.* 6:568.
- Kim KB, Shin YA. 2020 Males with Obesity and Overweight. *J Obes Metab Syndr.* 29(1):18-25.
- Klein SL, Flanagan KL. 2016. Sex differences in immune responses. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 16:626-638
- Klein SL. 2000. Hormones and mating system affect sex and species differences in immune function among vertebrates. *Behav Processes.* 51:149-166.
- Letra A. Rethinking the Genetic Etiology of Nonsyndromic Tooth Agenesis. 2022. *Curr Osteoporos Rep.* 20(6):389-397.
- Lipsky MS, Su S, Crespo CJ, Hung M. 2021. Men and oral health: a review of sex and gender differences. *Am J Mens Health* 15(3):15579883211016361.
- Lukacs JR. 2011. Gender differences in oral health in south asia: Metadata imply multifactorial biological and cultural causes. *Am J Hum Biol.* 23(3):398-411.
- Lukacs JR, Largaespada LL. 2006. Explaining sex differences in dental caries prevalence: saliva, hormones, and “life-history” etiologies. *Am J Hum Biol.* 18:540-555.
- Manandhar M, Hawkes S, Buse K, Nosrati E, Magar V. 2018. Gender, health and the 2030 agenda for sustainable development. *Bull World Health Organ.* 96:644-653.

- Mauvais-Jarvis F, Bairey Merz N, Barnes PJ, Brinton RD, Carrero JJ, DeMeo DL, De Vries GJ, Epperson CN, Govindan R, Klein SL, et al. 2020. Sex and gender: modifiers of health, disease, and medicine. *Lancet*. 396(10250):565-582.
- Meisel P, Holtfreter B, Völzke H, Kocher T. 2014. Sex differences of tooth loss and obesity on systemic markers of inflammation. *J Dent Res*. 93(8):774-9.
- Menezes-Silva R, Khaliq S, Deeley K, Letra A, Vieira AR. Genetic susceptibility to periapical disease: conditional contribution of MMP2 and MMP3 genes to the development of periapical lesions and healing response. *J Endod*. 2012 38(5):604-7.
- Messing M, de Souza LC, Cavalla F, Kookal KK, Rizzo G, Walji M, Silva R, Letra A. 2019. Investigating potential correlations between endodontic pathology and cardiovascular diseases using epidemiological and genetic approaches. *J Endod*. 45(2):104-110.
- Park J-O, Nam I-C, Kim C-S, Park S-J, Lee D-H, Kim H-B, Han K-D, Joo Y-H. 2022. Sex differences in the prevalence of head and neck cancers: a 10-year follow-up study of 10 million healthy people. *Cancers*. 14:2521
- Petty LE, Silva R, de Souza LC, Vieira AR, Shaw DM, Below JE, Letra A. 2023. Genome-wide association study identifies novel risk loci for apical periodontitis. 2023. *J Endod*. 49(10):1276-1288.
- Ramirez AH, Sulieman L, Schlueter DJ, Halvorson A, Qian J, Ratsimbazafy F, Loperena R, Mayo K, Basford M, Deflaux N, et al. 2022. The *All of Us* research program: data quality, utility, and diversity. *Patterns (N Y)*. 3(8):100570.
- Regensteiner JG, Golden S, Huebschmann AG, Barrett-Connor E, Chang AY, Chyun DC, Fox CS, Kim C, Reckelhoff JF, et al. 2015. Sex differences in the cardiovascular consequences of diabetes

mellitus: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 132(25): 2424–2447

- Sangalli L, Souza LC, Letra A, Shaddox L, Ioannidou E. 2023. Sex as a biological variable in oral diseases: evidence and future prospects. *J Dent Res*. 102(13):1395-1416.
- Shaffer JR, Wang X, McNeil DW, Weyant RJ, Crout R, Marazita ML. 2015. Genetic susceptibility to dental caries differs between the sexes: A family-based study. *Caries Res*. 49(2):133-140.
- Shiau HJ, Reynolds MA. 2010. Sex differences in destructive periodontal disease: a systematic review. *J Periodontol*. 81(10):1379-1389.
- Skoskiewicz-Malinowska K, Kaczmarek U, Malicka B. 2021. Gender-wise comparison of oral health quality of life and its relationship with oral health parameters among elderly from Wroclaw, south-west Poland. *PLoS One*. 16(11):e0259286.
- Teshome A, Mucbe A, Girma B. 2021. Prevalence of dental caries and associated factors in East Africa, 2000-2020: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Pub Health*. 9:645091.
- The *All of Us* Research Program Investigators. 2019. The “*All of Us*” Research Program. *N Engl J Med* 381;7.
- Ubeda F, Jansen VAA. 2017. The evolution of sex-specific virulence in infectious diseases. *Nat Commun*. 7:13849.
- Valerio MS, Kirkwood KL. 2018. Sexual Dimorphism in Immunity to Oral Bacterial Diseases: Intersection of Neutrophil and Osteoclast Pathobiology. *J Dent Res*. 97(13):1416-1423.
- Vieira AT, Castelo PM, Ribeiro DA, Ferreira CM. 2017. Influence of oral and gutmicrobiota in the health of menopausal women. *Front Microbiol*. 8:1884.

Walji MF, Kalenderian E, Stark PC, White JM, Kookal KK, Phan D, Tran D, Bernstam EV, Ramoni R.

2014. BigMouth: a multi-institutional dental data repository. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 21(6):1136-40.

Ward EM, Sherman RL, Henley SJ, Jemal A, Siegel DA, Feuer EJ, Firth AU, Kohler BA, Scott S, Ma J,

et al. 2019. Annual Report to the Nation on the Status of Cancer, Featuring Cancer in Men and Women Age 20-49 Years. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 111(12):1279-1297.


World Health Organization, Global Status Report on Oral Health 2022,

<https://www.who.int/team/noncommunicable-diseases/global-status-report-on-oral-health-2022>.

Accessed on August 16, 2023.

## **FIGURE LEGEND**

**Figure 1.** Study workflow for inclusion of dental, oral, and craniofacial concepts investigated.



**Table 1. Dental, oral and craniofacial (DOC) concepts extracted from *All of Us* showing statistically significant sex differences.**

EHR concepts*	Participants N	Females		Males		Others <sup>o</sup>	P-value <sup>#</sup>	OR	95% CI	Sex bias
		N	%	N	%					
<b>Diseases of pulp and periapical tissues</b>										
Infection of tooth	13060	7340	56%	5720	44%	360	< 0.0001	1.65	1.57 - 1.73	F > M
Pulpitis	1460	820	56%	640	44%	40	< 0.0001	1.64	1.42 - 1.90	F > M
Symptomatic apical periodontitis	1320	740	56%	580	44%	60	< 0.0001	1.63	1.40 - 1.90	F > M
Reversible pulpitis	580	360	62%	220	38%	<20	< 0.0001	2.68	2.11 - 3.40	F > M
Asymptomatic apical periodontitis	580	340	59%	240	41%	<20	< 0.0001	2.00	1.59 - 2.54	F > M
Chronic apical abscess	440	180	41%	260	59%	<20	< 0.0001	0.48	0.37 - 0.63	M > F
Pulp and periapical tissue disease	360	140	39%	220	61%	<20	< 0.0001	0.41	0.30 - 0.55	M > F
Toothache	260	160	62%	100	38%	<20	< 0.0001	2.56	1.80 - 3.65	F > M
Radicular cyst	200	120	60%	80	40%	<20	0.0001	2.25	1.51 - 3.36	F > M
Dental abscess	160	100	63%	60	38%	<20	< 0.0001	2.78	1.77 - 4.37	F > M
<b>Gingival and periodontal diseases</b>										
Chronic periodontitis	4440	2380	54%	2060	46%	120	< 0.0001	1.33	1.23 - 1.45	F > M
Accretion on teeth	3460	1840	53%	1620	47%	100	< 0.0001	1.29	1.17 - 1.42	F > M
Generalized chronic periodontitis	1140	440	39%	700	61%	40	< 0.0001	0.40	0.33 - 0.47	M > F
Localized periodontitis	540	160	30%	380	70%	<20	< 0.0001	0.18	0.14 - 0.23	M > F
Localized chronic periodontitis	540	160	30%	380	70%	<20	< 0.0001	0.18	0.14 - 0.23	M > F
Acute periodontitis	480	200	42%	280	58%	<20	< 0.0001	0.51	0.39 - 0.66	M > F
Generalized moderate periodontitis	300	40	13%	260	87%	<20	< 0.0001	0.02	0.02 - 0.04	M > F
Aggressive periodontitis	260	160	62%	100	38%	<20	< 0.0001	2.56	1.80 - 3.65	F > M
Localized moderate chronic periodontitis	220	40	18%	180	82%	<20	< 0.0001	0.05	0.03 - 0.08	M > F
Loss of teeth due to local periodontal disease	140	40	29%	100	71%	<20	< 0.0001	0.16	0.10 - 0.27	M > F
Complete edentulism due to periodontal disease	140	40	29%	100	71%	<20	< 0.0001	0.16	0.10 - 0.27	M > F
Partial edentulism due to periodontal disease	140	40	29%	100	71%	<20	< 0.0001	0.16	0.10 - 0.27	M > F
<b>Dental caries</b>										
Dental caries	13000	7300	56%	5700	44%	360	< 0.0001	1.64	1.56 - 1.72	F > M
Dental caries on smooth surface penetrating into dentin	1980	1080	55%	900	45%	60	< 0.0001	1.44	1.27 - 1.63	F > M
Cariou exposure of pulp	1080	460	43%	620	57%	40	< 0.0001	0.55	0.46 - 0.65	M > F
Dental caries on pit and fissure surface penetrating into dentin	720	140	19%	580	81%	<20	< 0.0001	0.06	0.45 - 0.08	M > F
Dental caries on pit and fissure surface limited to enamel	600	160	27%	440	73%	<20	< 0.0001	0.13	0.10 - 0.17	M > F
Dental caries on smooth surface penetrating into pulp	520	180	35%	340	65%	<20	< 0.0001	0.28	0.22 - 0.36	M > F
Arrested dental caries	420	80	19%	340	81%	<20	< 0.0001	0.06	0.04 - 0.08	M > F
Dental caries on pit and fissure surface penetrating into pulp	420	100	24%	320	76%	<20	< 0.0001	0.10	0.07 - 0.13	M > F
Dental caries on smooth surface limited to enamel	120	40	33%	80	67%	<20	< 0.0001	0.25	0.14 - 0.43	M > F

<b>Malignant tumor of oral cavity</b>										
Malignant tumor of oral cavity	820	340	41%	480	59%	40	< 0.0001	0.50	0.41 - 0.61	M > F
Malignant tumor of tongue	400	120	30%	280	70%	<20	< 0.0001	0.18	0.14 - 0.25	M > F
<b>Diseases of oral soft tissues, oral mucosa, tongue, and salivary glands</b>										
Oral infection	13960	8020	57%	5940	43%	380	< 0.0001	1.82	1.74 - 1.92	F > M
Candidiasis of mouth	4820	3280	68%	1540	32%	120	< 0.0001	4.54	4.16 - 4.94	F > M
Ulcer of mouth	3980	2680	67%	1300	33%	100	< 0.0001	4.25	3.87 - 4.67	F > M
Stomatitis	3720	2520	68%	1200	32%	80	< 0.0001	4.41	4.00 - 4.86	F > M
Xerostomia	2840	1960	69%	880	31%	80	< 0.0001	4.96	4.43 - 5.55	F > M
Aphthous ulcer of mouth	2320	1620	70%	700	30%	80	< 0.0001	5.36	4.72 - 6.07	F > M
Recurrent aphthous stomatitis	1460	1020	70%	440	30%	40	< 0.0001	5.37	4.59 - 6.30	F > M
Oral ulcerative mucositis due to antineoplastic therapy	400	240	60%	160	40%	<20	< 0.0001	2.25	1.70 - 2.99	F > M
Cyst of oral soft tissue	380	240	63%	140	37%	<20	< 0.0001	2.94	2.19 - 3.95	F > M
Enteroviral vesicular stomatitis	200	120	60%	80	40%	<20	0.0001	2.25	1.51 - 3.36	F > M
Geographic tongue	180	120	67%	60	33%	<20	< 0.0001	4.00	2.58 - 6.20	F > M
<b>Disorders of Tooth Development</b>										
Tooth disorder	18960	11080	58%	7880	42%	500	< 0.0001	1.98	1.90 - 2.06	F > M
Disturbance of tooth eruption or exfoliation	1960	1360	69%	600	31%	60	< 0.0001	5.14	4.49 - 5.89	F > M
Anomaly of tooth position	1640	1020	62%	620	38%	40	< 0.0001	2.71	2.35 - 3.12	F > M
Anodontia	660	460	70%	200	30%	<20	< 0.0001	5.29	4.18 - 6.69	F > M
Retained dental root	620	200	32%	420	68%	<20	< 0.0001	0.23	0.18 - 0.29	M > F
Dental arch relationship anomaly	120	80	67%	40	33%	<20	< 0.0001	4.00	2.34 - 6.84	F > M
<b>Others<sup>‡</sup></b>										
Cracked tooth	1340	540	40%	800	60%	40	< 0.0001	0.46	0.39 - 0.53	M > F
Foreign body in mouth	3520	1880	53%	1640	47%	100	< 0.0001	1.31	1.20 - 1.44	F > M
Unsatisfactory tooth restoration	660	440	67%	220	33%	<20	< 0.0001	4.00	3.18 - 5.03	F > M
Excessive attrition of teeth	540	200	37%	340	63%	<20	< 0.0001	0.35	0.27 - 0.44	M > F
Hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy	520	220	42%	300	58%	<20	< 0.0001	0.54	0.42 - 0.69	M > F
Alveolitis of jaw	400	260	65%	140	35%	<20	< 0.0001	3.45	2.58 - 4.61	F > M
Abrasion of tooth	400	140	35%	260	65%	<20	< 0.0001	0.29	0.22 - 0.39	M > F
Dental restoration failure of marginal integrity	340	80	24%	260	76%	<20	< 0.0001	0.09	0.07 - 0.13	M > F
Contour of existing restoration of tooth biologically incompatible with oral health	200	60	30%	140	70%	<20	< 0.0001	0.18	0.12 - 0.28	M > F
Open fracture of tooth	200	120	60%	80	40%	<20	0.0001	2.25	1.51 - 3.36	F > M
Dental restoration esthetically inadequate or displeasing	160	40	25%	120	75%	<20	< 0.0001	0.11	0.07 - 0.18	M > F

\* based on ICD-10-CM as reported in All of Us (N = 254,700)

<sup>‡</sup> Oral and dental disease concepts are categorized as “Others” when they constitute the sole entry within their corresponding ICD-10-CM category.

<sup>‡</sup> All of Us privacy requirements restrict knowledge of exact participant numbers when there is less than 20 in each disease concept. Not included in the total participant count.

OR: female-to-male odds ratio; CI: confidence interval

F: females; M: males



**Table 2. Dental, oral and craniofacial concepts in BigMouth showing sex bias.**

EHR concept*	Participants N	Females		Males		Others <sup>o</sup>	P value <sup>#</sup>	OR	95% CI	Sex bias
		N	%	N	%					
<b>Diseases of pulp and periapical tissues</b>										
Periapical diagnosis	20310	11818	58%	8284	41%	208	<0.0001	2.02	1.94 - 2.10	F > M
Pulp necrosis	19296	10288	53%	8802	46%	206	<0.0001	1.36	1.31 - 1.42	F > M
Previously endodontically treated	14414	8734	61%	5553	39%	127	<0.0001	2.45	2.34 - 2.57	F > M
Symptomatic apical periodontitis	9818	6072	62%	3626	37%	120	<0.0001	2.77	2.61 - 2.93	F > M
Asymptomatic apical periodontitis	4294	2403	56%	1858	43%	33	<0.0001	1.67	1.53 - 1.81	F > M
Asymptomatic irreversible pulpitis	3789	2077	55%	1678	44%	34	<0.0001	1.53	1.39 - 1.67	F > M
Normal apical tissues	3791	2187	58%	1571	41%	33	<0.0001	1.93	1.76 - 2.11	F > M
Chronic apical abscess	3398	1816	53%	1556	46%	26	<0.0001	1.36	1.24 - 1.49	F > M
Previously initiated therapy	2949	1775	60%	1144	39%	30	<0.0001	2.39	2.15 - 2.65	F > M
Normal pulp	2589	1440	56%	1118	43%	31	<0.0001	1.65	1.48 - 1.84	F > M
Acute apical abscess	2379	1271	53%	1092	46%	16	<0.0001	1.35	1.21 - 1.52	F > M
Symptomatic reversible pulpitis	1699	1044	61%	640	38%	15	<0.0001	2.64	2.30 - 3.03	F > M
Asymptomatic reversible pulpitis	956	528	55%	418	44%	10	<0.0001	1.59	1.33 - 1.90	F > M
<b>Gingival and periodontal diseases</b>										
Chronic periodontitis	127902	63629	50%	60270	47%	4003	<0.0001	1.11	1.09 - 1.13	F > M
Generalized slight chronic periodontitis	59390	28678	48%	27080	46%	3632	<0.0001	1.11	1.09 - 1.14	F > M
Plaque induced gingival disease without local contributing factors	38113	21295	56%	16587	44%	231	<0.0001	1.64	1.60 - 1.69	F > M
Generalized moderate chronic periodontitis	31787	16251	51%	15387	48%	149	<0.0001	1.12	1.08 - 1.15	F > M
Periodontal health	30215	16967	56%	13183	44%	65	<0.0001	1.65	1.60 - 1.71	F > M
Generalized severe chronic periodontitis	22199	10248	46%	11838	53%	113	<0.0001	0.75	0.72 - 0.78	M > F
Plaque induced gingival disease with local contributing factors	20461	11304	55%	9091	44%	66	<0.0001	1.54	1.49 - 1.61	F > M
Localized moderate chronic periodontitis	19096	10343	54%	8678	45%	75	<0.0001	1.42	1.36 - 1.48	F > M
Localized severe chronic periodontitis	15029	7649	51%	7326	49%	54	0.0002	1.09	1.04 - 1.14	F > M
Healthy periodontium with attachment loss	14764	7695	52%	7042	48%	27	<0.0001	1.19	1.14 - 1.25	F > M
Localized slight chronic periodontitis	6204	3502	56%	2684	43%	18	<0.0001	1.20	1.14 - 1.25	F > M
Gingival soft tissue recession-facial and lingual surfaces	1594	946	59%	647	41%	1	<0.0001	2.14	1.86 - 2.46	F > M
Gingival diagnosis non-plaque induced	1489	926	62%	563	38%	0	<0.0001	2.71	2.33 - 3.14	F > M
Mucogingival deformity- lack of keratinized gingiva	813	491	60%	322	40%	0	<0.0001	2.33	1.91 - 2.84	F > M
Gingival swelling	787	473	60%	310	39%	4	<0.0001	2.32	1.89 - 2.84	F > M
Insufficient biological width	626	402	64%	224	36%	0	<0.0001	3.22	2.56 - 4.06	F > M
Inflammatory jaw lesion	536	306	57%	224	42%	6	<0.0001	1.85	1.45 - 2.36	F > M
Gingivitis associated with lichen planus	449	349	78%	100	22%	0	<0.0001	12.18	8.89 - 16.68	F > M

Lack of gingiva/keratinized tissue	425	267	63%	158	37%	0	<0.0001	2.86	2.16 - 3.77	F > M
Localized slight aggressive periodontitis	192	114	59%	76	40%	2	0.0001	2.23	1.48 - 3.36	F > M
Gingival hyperplasia	166	103	62%	62	37%	1	<0.0001	2.74	1.76 - 4.28	F > M
Gingivitis associated with pemphigoid	119	85	71%	34	29%	0	<0.0001	6.25	3.56 - 10.97	F > M
Other soft tissue enlargement	109	72	66%	36	33%	1	0.000001	3.95	2.25 - 6.93	F > M
Gingivitis of genetic origin	109	69	63%	40	37%	0	0.0001	2.98	1.72 - 5.16	F > M
Gingivitis associated with mucocutaneous disorder	106	75	71%	31	29%	0	<0.0001	5.85	3.24 - 10.58	F > M
Pyogenic granuloma of gingiva	82	53	65%	28	34%	1	0.0001	3.53	1.85 - 6.70	F > M
Gingival excess-inconsistent gingival margin	68	45	66%	23	34%	0	0.0002	3.83	1.88 - 7.79	F > M
Refractory periodontitis	35	10	29%	25	71%	0	0.0005	0.16	0.06 - 0.45	M > F
Gingivitis associated with pemphigus vulgaris	34	25	74%	9	26%	0	0.0002	7.72	2.63 - 22.66	F > M
<b>Dental caries</b>										
Caries risk	87845	46858	53%	40553	46%	434	<0.0001	1.33	1.31 - 1.36	F > M
Caries risk high	65653	34577	53%	30754	47%	322	<0.0001	1.26	1.24 - 1.29	F > M
Primary caries (at DEJ)	57537	30957	54%	26192	46%	388	<0.0001	1.39	1.36 - 1.43	F > M
Primary caries (<1/2 distance to the pulp)	39646	21339	54%	17919	45%	388	<0.0001	1.41	1.37 - 1.45	F > M
Recurrent caries	30655	17320	56%	13206	43%	128	<0.0001	1.72	1.66 - 1.77	F > M
Caries risk medium	20416	11038	54%	9292	46%	86	<0.0001	1.41	1.36 - 1.47	F > M
Primary caries (>1/2 distance to the pulp)	19362	10035	52%	9170	47%	157	<0.0001	1.20	1.15 - 1.24	F > M
Other dental caries	14101	7303	52%	6631	47%	167	<0.0001	1.21	1.16 - 1.27	F > M
Recurrent caries (<1/2 distance to the pulp)	12099	6850	57%	5208	43%	41	<0.0001	1.73	1.64 - 1.82	F > M
Dental caries, unspecified	10733	5555	52%	5044	47%	134	<0.0001	1.21	1.15 - 1.28	F > M
Caries risk low	9576	5255	55%	4291	45%	30	<0.0001	1.50	1.42 - 1.59	F > M
Root caries	6447	3028	47%	3390	53%	29	<0.0001	0.80	0.75 - 0.86	M > F
Recurrent caries (>1/2 the distance to the pulp)	5235	2895	55%	2305	44%	35	<0.0001	1.57	1.46 - 1.70	F > M
Incipient caries in enamel greater than 1/2 distance to DEJ	4933	2693	55%	2189	44%	51	<0.0001	1.51	1.39 - 1.63	F > M
Recurrent caries (secondary caries)-(to the pulp)	2374	1283	54%	1073	45%	18	<0.0001	1.43	1.27 - 1.60	F > M
Recurrent caries (secondary caries)-enamel	1958	1183	60%	772	39%	3	<0.0001	2.35	2.06 - 2.67	F > M
Caries risk extreme	1869	1006	54%	858	46%	5	<0.0001	1.37	1.21 - 1.56	F > M
Incipient caries in enamel unknown depth-NOS	681	376	55%	304	45%	1	0.0001	1.53	1.23 - 1.89	F > M
<b>Malignant tumor of oral cavity</b>										
Malignant neoplasm	616	258	42%	358	58%	0	<0.0001	0.52	0.41 - 0.65	M > F
<b>Diseases of the oral soft tissues, oral mucosa, tongue, and salivary glands</b>										
Soft tissue calcification	2141	1143	53%	983	46%	15	<0.0001	1.35	1.19 - 1.52	F > M
White-yellow lesion	1791	1042	58%	744	42%	5	<0.0001	1.96	1.71 - 2.24	F > M
Red-blue lesion	1009	684	68%	323	32%	2	<0.0001	4.47	3.71 - 5.39	F > M
Tongue swelling/pathology	892	583	65%	306	34%	3	<0.0001	3.61	2.97 - 4.39	F > M
Ulcerative condition	682	405	59%	276	40%	1	<0.0001	2.15	1.73 - 2.67	F > M
xerostomia	577	409	71%	168	29%	0	<0.0001	5.93	4.60 - 7.64	F > M
Vesiculobullous condition	263	158	60%	104	40%	1	<0.0001	2.30	1.62 - 3.26	F > M

Buccal mucosal swelling	256	154	60%	101	39%	1	<0.0001	2.32	1.63 - 3.30	F > M
Oral/perioral pigmentation	198	125	63%	72	36%	1	<0.0001	3.00	1.99 - 4.51	F > M
<b>Disorders of tooth Development and eruption</b>										
Abnormalities of teeth	67895	32882	48%	30977	46%	4036	<0.0001	1.12	1.10 - 1.14	F > M
Occlusion disorders	16357	9052	55%	6830	42%	475	<0.0001	1.73	1.65 - 1.81	F > M
Eruption abnormalities	8663	4575	53%	3670	42%	418	<0.0001	1.52	1.43 - 1.62	F > M
Developed/Acquired defects	5454	3161	58%	2282	42%	11	<0.0001	1.92	1.78 - 2.07	F > M
Impacted tooth	4429	2335	53%	1713	39%	381	<0.0001	1.77	1.63 - 1.92	F > M
Enamel defect	2830	1739	61%	1086	38%	5	<0.0001	2.56	2.30 - 2.85	F > M
Dentin defect	2537	1636	64%	889	35%	12	<0.0001	3.37	3.00 - 3.78	F > M
Enamel and dentin defect	441	264	60%	176	40%	1	<0.0001	2.25	1.72 - 2.94	F > M
Size alteration	438	248	57%	187	43%	3	<0.0001	1.75	1.34 - 2.29	F > M
Cementum defect	88	61	69%	26	30%	1	<0.0001	5.39	2.83 - 10.26	F > M
<b>Other disorders of teeth, hard tissues of teeth, and supporting structures</b>										
Loss of tooth structure	77435	40573	52%	36479	47%	383	<0.0001	1.24	1.22 - 1.27	F > M
Sensitive dentin	2498	1614	65%	872	35%	12	<0.0001	3.40	3.03 - 3.82	F > M
Temporomandibular disorders	1725	1304	76%	417	24%	4	<0.0001	9.72	8.31 - 11.35	F > M
Abrasion of teeth	1221	658	54%	556	46%	7	<0.0001	1.40	1.19 - 1.64	F > M
Abrasion of teeth due to dentifrice	435	246	57%	189	43%	0	0.0001	1.69	1.30 - 2.22	F > M
Primary occlusal trauma	420	271	65%	149	35%	0	<0.0001	3.31	2.49 - 4.39	F > M
Secondary occlusal trauma	374	232	62%	142	38%	0	<0.0001	2.67	1.99 - 3.59	F > M
<b>Others<sup>‡</sup></b>										
Harmful oral habits	5863	3536	60%	2311	39%	16	<0.0001	2.34	2.17 - 2.52	F > M
Deposits on/staining of teeth	2042	1134	56%	897	44%	11	<0.0001	1.59	1.19 - 1.34	F > M
Systemic disease manifestation in jaw	367	219	60%	148	40%	0	<0.0001	2.19	1.63 - 2.94	F > M
Lip swelling	335	198	59%	133	40%	4	<0.0001	2.20	1.61 - 2.99	F > M

\* reported as shown in BigMouth (total N = 4,686,402)

<sup>‡</sup> oral and dental disease concepts constituting the sole entry within their corresponding ICD-10-CM category in BigMouth

<sup>‡</sup> Others includes number of participants with self-reported 'other gender' and 'gender not reported'

<sup>#</sup> P-values ≤ 0.002 indicate statistical significance after Bonferroni correction; P-values between 0.003 and 0.05 indicate a trend for significance

OR: female to male odds ratio; CI: confidence interval

F: female; M: male

**Table 3. DOC concepts overlapping in All of Us and BigMouth showing sex bias.**

DOC concept	All of Us			BigMouth		
	Sex Bias	OR	95% CI	Sex Bias	OR	95% CI
<i>Diseases of pulp and periapical tissues</i>						
Dental abscess	F > M	2.78	1.77 - 4.37	F > M	1.35	1.21 - 1.52
Reversible pulpitis	F > M	2.68	2.11 - 3.40	F > M	1.59	1.33 - 1.90
Asymptomatic apical periodontitis	F > M	2.00	1.59 - 2.54	F > M	1.67	1.53 - 1.81
Symptomatic apical periodontitis	F > M	1.63	1.40 - 1.90	F > M	2.77	2.61 - 2.93
Pulp degeneration/Pulp necrosis	<b>M &gt; F</b>	<b>0.44</b>	<b>0.25 - 0.78</b>	<b>F &gt; M</b>	<b>1.36</b>	<b>1.31 - 1.42</b>
Chronic apical abscess	<b>M &gt; F</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.37 - 0.63</b>	<b>F &gt; M</b>	<b>1.36</b>	<b>1.24 - 1.49</b>
<i>Gingival and periodontal diseases</i>						
Generalized moderate periodontitis	<b>M &gt; F</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>0.02 - 0.04</b>	<b>F &gt; M</b>	<b>1.12</b>	<b>1.08 - 1.15</b>
Localized chronic periodontitis	<b>M &gt; F</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>0.14 - 0.23</b>	<b>F &gt; M</b>	<b>1.42</b>	<b>1.36 - 1.48</b>
Chronic periodontitis	F > M	1.33	1.23 - 1.45	F > M	1.11	1.09 - 1.13
<i>Dental caries</i>						
At high risk for dental caries	F > M	2.25	1.28 - 3.96	F > M	1.33	1.31 - 1.36
Dental caries	F > M	1.64	1.56 - 1.72	F > M	1.21	1.15 - 1.28
Dental caries penetrating the pulp	<b>M &gt; F</b>	<b>0.55</b>	<b>0.46 - 0.65</b>	<b>F &gt; M</b>	<b>1.10</b>	<b>1.03 - 1.18</b>
<i>Malignant tumor of oral cavity</i>						
Malignant tumor/neoplasm of oral cavity	M > F	0.50	0.41 - 0.61	M > F	0.52	0.41 - 0.65
<i>Diseases of the oral soft tissues, oral mucosa, tongue, and salivary glands</i>						
Xerostomia	F > M	4.96	4.43 - 5.55	F > M	4.25	3.87 - 4.67
Ulcerative condition of the mouth	F > M	4.25	3.87 - 4.67	F > M	2.15	1.73 - 2.67
<i>Disorders of tooth developmental and eruption</i>						
Disturbances in tooth eruption	F > M	5.14	4.49 - 5.89	F > M	1.52	1.43 - 1.62
Developmental abnormality of tooth size/ form	<b>M &gt; F</b>	<b>0.44</b>	<b>0.25 - 0.78</b>	<b>F &gt; M</b>	<b>1.75</b>	<b>1.34 - 2.29</b>
Tooth disorder/abnormalities	F > M	1.98	1.90 - 2.06	F > M	1.12	1.10 - 1.14
<i>Other disorders of teeth, hard tissues of teeth, and supporting structures</i>						
Attrition of teeth	<b>M &gt; F</b>	<b>0.35</b>	<b>0.27 - 0.44</b>	<b>F &gt; M</b>	<b>1.09</b>	<b>1.03 - 1.16</b>
Abrasion of teeth	<b>M &gt; F</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>0.22 - 0.39</b>	<b>F &gt; M</b>	<b>1.40</b>	<b>1.19 - 1.64</b>

Bold font denotes differences in sex bias among the results from the two databases.

OR, female-to-male odds ratio; CI, Confidence Interval

## APPENDIX

**Appendix Table 1. Additional dental, oral and craniofacial concepts investigated in All of Us.**

EHR concepts*	Participant count	Female count	Male count	Other gender	P-value <sup>#</sup>	Sex bias (trend)
dental caries extending into dentin	2160	1040	980	60	0.07	none
broken tooth without complication	960	480	420	<20	0.006	F>M
fractured dental restorative material	860	380	420	<20	0.05	none
fractured dental restorative material with loss of material	720	320	360	<20	0.03	M>F
necrosis of the pulp	700	320	360	<20	0.03	M>F
oral phase dysphagia	640	320	280	<20	0.03	F>M
leukoplakia of oral mucosa	600	280	300	<20	0.25	none
neoplasm of uncertain behavior of lip, oral cavity and pharynx	420	200	220	<20	0.17	none
irreversible pulpitis	360	180	180	<20	1	none
laceration of oral cavity	320	140	140	<20	1	none
neoplasm of uncertain behavior of oral cavity	240	120	120	<20	1	none
erosion of teeth	220	100	120	<20	0.06	none
disturbance of oral epithelium	180	80	100	<20	0.04	M>F
at risk for dental caries	140	80	60	<20	0.02	F>M
failure of osseointegration of dental implant	120	60	60	<20	1	none
dislocation of tooth	120	60	60	<20	1	none
broken tooth with complication	120	80	60	<20	0.009	F>M
cellulitis of oral soft tissues	120	60	60	<20	1	none
pulp degeneration	100	40	60	<20	0.005	M>F
developmental abnormality of tooth size and form	100	40	60	<20	0.005	M>F
oral ulceration due to radiation burn	100	40	60	<20	0.005	M>F
primary malignant neoplasm of floor of mouth	100	40	60	<20	0.005	M>F
at high risk for dental caries	100	60	40	<20	0.005	F>M
tooth loss	80	40	40	<20	1	none
biological failure of dental implant after osseointegration	60	40	40	<20	1	none
fracture of dental implant	60	40	40	<20	1	none

\* EHR, electronic health records reported as shown in All of Us (total N = 254,700)

<sup>#</sup> Chi-square test; P-values ≤ 0.0002 indicate statistical significance after Bonferroni correction; P-values between 0.0003 and 0.05 indicate trend for significance  
F: female; M: male

**Appendix Table 2. Additional dental, oral and craniofacial concepts investigated in BigMouth.**

EHR concept*	Participant count	Female count	Male count	Other gender	Gender not reported	P-value <sup>#</sup>	Sex bias (trend)
number alteration	53739	24882	25229	4	3617	0.03	M>F
enamel fracture	15793	7975	7777	2	39	0.02	F>M
enamel and dentin fracture	12311	6190	6052	3	63	0.07	None
attrition of teeth	7972	4065	3890	1	16	0.005	F>M
primary caries to the pulp	7521	3797	3614	7	102	0.003	F>M
complete anodontia	5337	2656	2673	1	6	0.74	None
aggressive periodontitis	4009	2053	1938	1	16	0.01	F>M
anatomic abnormalities	2470	1223	1220	1	26	1	None
cervical caries	2320	1134	1175	1	10	0.22	None
hard tissue abnormality	2144	1068	1050	1	25	0.58	None
compromised crown:root ratio	1980	1025	950	1	4	0.02	None
crown-root fracture	1808	920	884	0	4	0.23	None
unspecified periodontal disease and condition	1624	832	786	0	6	0.10	None
rampant caries	1554	763	769	0	22	0.82	None
abscess of the periodontium	1537	798	730	0	9	0.01	F>M
erosion of teeth	1327	616	706	1	4	0.0005	M>F
enamel and dentin fracture with pulp involvement	1283	602	676	1	4	0.003	M>F
generalized moderate aggressive periodontitis	1274	665	602	0	6	0.01	F>M
shape alteration	1147	605	540	0	2	0.006	F>M
combined periodontic-endodontic lesion	1033	534	493	0	6	0.07	None
localized severe aggressive periodontitis	928	484	441	0	3	0.04	F>M
disturbances in tooth formation	918	480	438	0	0	0.05	None
normal periapical tissues	888	470	410	1	7	0.004	F>M
generalized severe aggressive periodontitis	821	374	444	0	3	0.0005	M>F
vertical and/or horizontal ridge deficiency	763	393	370	0	0	0.24	None
cyst of the oral region	752	395	350	0	7	0.02	F>M
localized moderate aggressive periodontitis	723	373	349	0	1	0.20	None
aberrant frenum/muscle position	630	329	293	0	7	0.04	None
incipient caries in enamel less than 1/2 way to DEJ	629	310	317	0	2	0.70	None
arrested dental caries	614	323	287	0	4	0.03	F>M
face swelling	536	293	234	0	9	0.0003	F>M
benign nonodontogenic path.	480	245	234	0	1	0.48	None
inadequate crown length	471	251	219	0	1	0.04	F>M
genetic jaw disease	468	250	216	0	2	0.02	F>M
size alteration	438	248	187	0	3	0.0004	F>M
external resorption	349	201	191	0	2	0.44	None
secondary pulpal conditions	342	160	181	0	1	0.10	None
soft tissue abnormality	334	158	175	0	1	0.18	None
caries of cementum	298	142	156	0	0	0.25	None
abnormal gingival color	285	157	126	0	2	0.009	F>M
gingival abscess	281	158	121	0	2	0.002	F>M
asymptomatic apical periodontitis	256	127	128	0	1	1	None
complete anodontia (congenital)	255	129	125	0	1	0.72	None
verruca-papillary lesion	253	133	119	0	1	0.21	None
supernumerary teeth (including fourth molar)	250	112	125	0	13	0.24	None
internal resorption	233	104	128	0	1	0.03	M>F
paranasal sinus pathology	232	121	109	0	2	0.26	None
atrophy of edentulous alveolar ridge	228	122	106	0	0	0.13	None
irregular alveolar process	222	108	110	0	4	0.85	None
generalized slight aggressive periodontitis	212	113	96	1	2	0.10	None
fistula	182	101	79	0	2	0.02	F>M
benign odontogenic pathology	174	102	70	0	2	0.0006	F>M
horizontal root fracture (apical)	160	76	82	0	2	0.50	None
salivary gland pathology	158	85	70	0	3	0.10	None
periodontal abscess	147	67	79	0	1	0.16	None

skin lesion	132	71	60	0	1	0.17	None
mucogingival deformity- aberrant frenum/muscle position	124	76	48	0	0	0.0004	F>M
gingival soft tissue recession-interproximal-papillary	107	53	54	0	0	1	None
other hard tissue lesion	104	54	44	0	6	0.16	None
habitual abrasion of teeth	103	50	53	0	0	0.67	None
gingivitis associated with streptococcal species	101	54	47	0	0	0.32	None
external resorption	93	45	48	0	0	0.65	None
diabetes mellitus associated gingivitis	83	49	34	0	0	0.02	F>M
gingival soft tissue enlargement	81	43	38	0	0	0.43	None
pericoronal abscess	80	41	39	0	0	0.75	None
symptomatic apical periodontitis	79	47	31	0	1	0.01	F>M
palatal swelling	72	36	35	0	1	0.86	None
ankylosis	68	35	32	0	1	0.60	None
drug induced gingivitis-other	63	31	31	0	1	1	None
enlargement of alveolar ridge	60	28	30	0	2	0.71	None
gingival excess/display	59	33	26	0	0	0.20	None
disorder of teeth and supporting structures, unspecified	58	28	30	0	0	0.71	None
gingival excess-pseudopocket	57	37	20	0	0	0.001	F>M
other surface lesion	53	29	24	0	0	0.33	None
mucogingival deformity- decreased vestibular depth	49	30	19	0	0	0.03	F>M
gingival lesions	49	29	20	0	0	0.07	None
gingivitis associated w/ primary herpetic gingivostomatitis	47	29	18	0	0	0.02	F>M
condensing osteitis	43	29	14	0	0	0.001	F>M
primary occlusal trauma2	41	19	22	0	0	0.50	None
periodontitis-root fracture	40	21	19	0	0	0.65	None
floor of mouth swelling	39	17	22	0	0	0.25	None
obliteration of root canal	38	22	16	0	0	0.17	None
gingival lesions-foreign body reaction	38	25	13	0	0	0.006	F>M
decreased vestibular depth	37	20	17	0	0	0.48	None
necrotizing periodontal dx	37	18	19	0	0	0.81	None
acute apical abscess	35	13	22	0	0	0.03	M>F
refractory periodontitis	35	10	25	0	0	0.0003	M>F
necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis (nug)	33	18	15	0	0	0.46	None
hereditary disturbances in tooth structure, no origin specified	33	18	14	0	1	0.32	None
periodontosis	32	18	14	0	0	0.31	None
pulp abnormalities	29	14	14	0	1	1	None
puberty associated gingivitis	29	18	11	0	0	0.06	None
post adolescent periodontitis	25	16	9	0	0	0.05	None
gingivitis associated with recurrent oral herpes	24	14	10	0	0	0.25	None
metabolic jaw disease	22	14	8	0	0	0.07	None
periodontitis-dental restorations and appliances	22	14	8	0	0	0.07	None
gingivitis modified by malnutrition- no origin specified	22	14	8	0	0	0.07	None
periodontitis-tooth anatomic factors	20	12	8	0	0	0.20	None
fibrous epulis	19	14	5	0	0	0.003	F>M
hyperplasic pulpitis	18	11	7	0	0	0.18	None
periodontitis-cervical root resorption and cemental tears	18	11	7	0	0	0.18	None
rapidly progressive periodontitis	17	8	9	0	0	0.73	None
dental restorative material-other	17	11	6	0	0	0.08	None
dental restorative material-nickel	16	10	6	0	0	0.16	None
flabby ridge	16	8	8	0	0	-----	None
gingivitis associated with candida	14	9	5	0	0	-----	None
throat/neck swelling	13	9	4	0	0	0.05	None
radiation caries	13	8	5	0	0	0.23	None
juvenile periodontitis	12	7	5	0	0	0.41	None
drug induced gingivitis-oral contraceptive associated	11	7	4	0	0	-----	None
pericoronal abscess	11	8	3	0	0	-----	None
gingivitis associated with varicella zoster	9	4	5	0	0	-----	None
widened periodontal ligament	8	5	3	0	0	0.31	None

gingivitis associated with lupus erythematosus	8	7	1	0	0	-----	F>M
gingivitis modified by malnutrition-ascorbic acid deficient	8	4	4	0	0	-----	None
gingival fibromatosis	8	2	6	0	0	-----	None
gingivitis associated with histoplasmosis	7	6	1	0	0	-----	None
gingivitis associated with treponema pallidum	7	4	3	0	0	-----	None
focal epithelial hyperplasia of mouth or tongue	7	1	6	0	0	-----	None
dental restorative material-acrylic	6	2	4	0	0	-----	None
dental restorative material-mercury	6	4	2	0	0	-----	None
prepubertal periodontitis	5	1	4	0	0	-----	None
gingivitis associated with other blood dyscrasias	5	4	1	0	0	-----	None
mucogingival deformity-abnormal color	4	3	1	0	0	-----	None
Pulp stones	3	2	1	0	0	-----	None
gingivitis associated with erythema multiforme	3	2	1	0	0	-----	None

\* EHR, electronic health records reported as shown in BigMouth (total N = 4,686,402); concepts with < 10 male or female counts were not included in the analysis  
<sup>#</sup> Chi-square test; <sup>#</sup> P-values ≤ 0.0002 indicate statistical significance after Bonferroni correction; P-values between 0.0003 and 0.05 indicate trend for significance  
 F: female; M: male  
 OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval  
 F: female; M: male

**Appendix Table 3. Number of participants per age group in All of Us according to each concept investigated.**

EHR concepts*	Number of Participants per Age Group <sup>o</sup>							
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70-79	80-89	< 89
Infection of tooth	1800	2720	3200	4000	2920	1260	240	40
Pulpitis	140	240	360	380	340	140	<20	<20
Symptomatic periapical periodontitis	160	240	320	380	220	100	<20	<20
Necrosis of the pulp	40	80	100	180	180	140	<20	<20
Asymptomatic periapical periodontitis	40	80	100	160	160	100	<20	<20
Reversible pulpitis	40	80	120	140	140	80	<20	<20
Acute periodontitis	40	80	80	120	160	80	<20	<20
Pulp and periapical tissue disease	<20	40	80	100	100	60	<20	<20
Toothache	60	60	60	60	40	<20	<20	<20
Oral fistula	<20	<20	60	40	80	40	<20	<20
Periapical abscess with sinus tract	<20	<20	40	40	60	40	<20	<20
Dental abscess	<20	40	40	40	40	<20	<20	<20
Pulp degeneration	<20	<20	40	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20
Chronic periodontitis	480	640	900	1380	1120	560	100	<20
Accretion on teeth	360	580	800	1200	1100	620	100	<20
Generalized chronic periodontitis	40	80	220	340	380	260	40	<20
Localized periodontitis	<20	40	60	140	200	180	40	<20
Localized chronic periodontitis	<20	40	60	140	200	180	40	<20
Acute periodontitis	40	80	80	120	160	80	<20	<20
Generalized moderate periodontitis	<20	<20	40	60	100	140	40	<20
Aggressive periodontitis	<20	40	60	80	80	<20	<20	<20
Localized moderate chronic periodontitis	<20	<20	<20	40	60	120	<20	<20
Radicular cyst	<20	40	40	40	60	<20	<20	<20
Dental caries	1780	2700	3200	3980	2900	1260	240	40
Dental caries on smooth surface penetrating into dentin	160	260	320	580	580	380	60	<20
Carious exposure of pulp	80	120	240	360	300	100	<20	<20
Dental caries on pit and fissure surface penetrating into dentin	<20	60	100	180	220	240	40	<20
Dental caries on pit and fissure surface limited to enamel	<20	60	80	120	200	200	40	<20
Dental caries on smooth surface penetrating into pulp	<20	60	80	120	160	120	40	<20
Arrested dental caries	<20	40	60	80	140	180	<20	<20
Dental caries on pit and fissure surface penetrating into pulp	<20	40	60	120	120	120	<20	<20
At risk for dental caries	<20	40	40	40	60	<20	<20	<20
Dental caries on smooth surface limited to enamel	<20	<20	<20	40	40	40	<20	<20
At high risk for dental caries	<20	<20	<20	<20	40	<20	<20	<20
Malignant tumor of oral cavity	<20	40	100	260	360	220	60	<20



Malignant tumor of tongue	<20	<20	60	140	200	140	<20	<20
Primary malignant neoplasm of floor of mouth	<20	<20	<20	40	40	<20	<20	<20
Oral infection	1940	2920	3400	4200	3080	1340	260	40
Candidiasis of mouth	340	680	940	1260	1200	660	140	<20
Ulcer of mouth	440	580	700	980	940	460	100	<20
Stomatitis	360	520	640	880	880	460	120	<20
Xerostomia	100	220	360	640	920	720	180	<20
Aphthous ulcer of mouth	340	400	440	580	480	220	40	<20
Recurrent aphthous stomatitis	200	240	240	340	340	180	60	<20
Oral ulcerative mucositis due to antineoplastic therapy	<20	40	60	100	140	80	<20	<20
Cyst of oral soft tissue	40	60	80	80	80	40	<20	<20
Enteroviral vesicular stomatitis with exanthem	40	60	40	40	<20	<20	<20	<20
Geographic tongue	<20	40	<20	40	40	40	<20	<20
Tooth disorder	3300	3800	4320	5360	4240	1940	420	60
Disturbance of tooth eruption or exfoliation	1000	340	220	180	100	<20	<20	<20
Tooth eruption disorder	1040	340	220	180	100	40	<20	<20
Anodontia	40	40	80	240	260	80	<20	<20
Developmental abnormality of tooth size and form	<20	<20	40	40	40	<20	<20	<20
Anomaly of tooth position	540	320	240	240	200	100	<20	<20
Dental arch relationship anomaly	<20	<20	<20	40	<20	<20	<20	<20
Cracked tooth	100	160	220	360	380	260	60	<20
Fractured dental restorative material with loss of material	<20	60	100	180	220	200	40	<20
Unsatisfactory tooth restoration	40	60	100	180	200	140	40	<20
Retained dental root	<20	60	120	180	180	120	40	<20
Excessive attrition of teeth	40	80	100	120	160	120	<20	<20
Abrasion of tooth	<20	<20	60	140	120	80	<20	<20
Dental restoration failure of marginal integrity	<20	<20	40	100	140	100	<20	<20
Contour of existing restoration of tooth biologically incompatible with oral health	<20	<20	<20	40	60	60	<20	<20
Loss of teeth due to local periodontal disease	<20	<20	<20	60	60	<20	<20	<20
Complete edentulism due to periodontal disease	<20	<20	<20	40	60	40	<20	<20
Partial edentulism due to periodontal disease	<20	<20	<20	40	40	60	<20	<20
Foreign body in mouth	360	600	820	1220	1120	620	120	<20
Broken tooth without complication	160	160	220	200	140	60	<20	<20
Oral phase dysphagia	<20	40	80	140	200	140	40	<20
Hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy	<20	60	80	140	200	140	40	<20
Alveolitis of jaw	140	80	80	80	40	<20	<20	<20
Open fracture of tooth	<20	40	40	40	60	40	<20	<20
Disturbance of oral epithelium	<20	<20	<20	40	60	40	<20	<20
Dental restoration esthetically inadequate or displeasing	<20	<20	<20	40	60	40	<20	<20
Broken tooth with complication	<20	<20	40	40	<20	<20	<20	<20
Oral ulceration due to radiation burn	<20	<20	<20	40	40	<20	<20	<20

\* EHR, electronic health records, concepts based on ICD-10-CM as reported in All of Us (N = 254,700)

<sup>f</sup> Oral and dental disease concepts are categorized as “Others” when they constitute the sole entry within their corresponding ICD-10-CM category.

<sup>o</sup> All of Us privacy requirements restrict knowledge of exact participant numbers when there is less than 20 in each disease concept