1 Spatial Patterns of Dengue Incidence in Nepal During Record Outbreaks in 2022 and 2023:

2 Implications for Public Health Interventions

- 3 RH: Spatial Patterns of Dengue in Nepal, 2022-2023
- 4
- 5 Simrik Bhandari^{1,2}, Jason K. Blackburn^{2,3}, Sadie J. Ryan^{*1,2}
- 6 ¹Quantitative Disease Ecology and Conservation (QDEC) Lab, Department of Geography,
- 7 University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611 USA
- 8 ²Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611 USA
- ³Spatial Ecology and Epidemiology Research Laboratory, Department of Geography, University
- 10 of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
- 11
- 12 *Correspondence to: Sadie J. Ryan, Department of Geography, University of Florida, Gainesville,
- 13 FL 32611 USA, siryan@ufl.edu, (352) 294-7513
- 14
- 15 Keywords: Nepal, dengue, outbreak, spatial patterns, spatial association
- 16
- 17 Abstract: 243 words; Main text: 2781; 1 table, 5 figures, 1 supplemental figure

18 Abstract

19 Dengue, first reported as a travel case in Nepal in 2004, was initially confined to the lower 20 plains, but has spread to higher elevations. It occurred as large outbreaks in 2022 and 2023 21 (54,784 and 51,243 cases, respectively), reaching every district (n=77). We calculated dengue incidence in each district in 2022 and 2023, via digitizing case data from Nepal's Ministry of 22 23 Health and Population, and 2021 census data from the National Statistics Office. Incidence and peak dengue months in each year were mapped, and spatial clusters (hotspots and cold spots) and 24 25 spatial outliers of incidence rates for the two years were identified using Local Moran's I. In 26 2022, district-wise peak cases occurred in August – October. One hotspot (High-High) including six districts around Kathmandu, and one cold spot (Low-Low) comprising eight high elevation 27 districts in Nepal's northwest region were identified. In 2023, cases peaked March-November, 28 indicating more distributed peaks, starting earlier; hotspots shifted to north-central and eastern 29 regions, and a Low-High outlier district in the central region was identified. Identifying timing of 30 31 peaks, and spatial clusters of dengue incidence can inform targeted management, improving effectiveness and cost-efficiency. This study provides a baseline examination of recent dengue in 32 Nepal, highlighting timing and spatial clustering in incidence. The mountainous northwest cold 33 34 spots align with expectations of fewer mosquitoes due to geography and climate. However, dengue peaked in all 77 districts over three months in 2022, suggesting ecological and climatic 35 factors may no longer be effective barriers. 36

37 243 Words

39 Introduction

Dengue fever, a mosquito-borne viral infection, is a significant global public health problem, 40 leading to an estimated 390 million infections annually¹. Dengue virus (DENV) is an arbovirus in 41 42 the family Flaviviridae, transmitted by Aedes spp. mosquitoes, primarily Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus². DENV infection can present when a range of symptoms. Infected individuals may be 43 44 asymptomatic, experience mild fever, or suffer from severe forms of the disease, such as dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) and dengue shock syndrome (DSS). DHF is characterized by high fever, 45 46 severe headache, bleeding (such as nosebleeds or gum bleeding), and abdominal pain. DSS, a more 47 severe progression, includes symptoms like a rapid, weak pulse, cold, clammy skin, and low blood pressure, leading to potentially life-threatening shock. Dengue is also known as 'bone-breaking 48 fever' due to the severe muscle and bone pain it can cause ³. The disease is more prevalent in 49 50 warmer regions near the equator, particularly in tropical and subtropical areas ^{4,5}. The dengue virus has four distinct serotypes (DENV-1, DENV-2, DENV-3, and DENV-4). Infection with one 51 serotype provides immunity to that specific serotype but increases the risk of severe disease if a 52 person is subsequently infected with a different serotype ⁶. This phenomenon complicates the 53 development of an effective dengue vaccine. 54

Dengue impacts livelihoods, and the burden affects healthcare systems, especially in regions with tropical and subtropical climates ^{4,5}. In Nepal, dengue fever was first reported in 2004, and has evolved into a significant public health issue, now considered endemic in the country. All four dengue virus serotypes are now present and co-circulating in Nepal, and both *Aedes albopictus* and *Ae. aegypti* have been identified as the key transmission vectors in Nepal ⁷.

Initially confined to the warmer southern lowland Terai region of Nepal, dengue has spread
to higher elevations and previously unaffected areas ^{8,9}. Climate change has been shown to have

62 influenced mosquito breeding patterns and expanded *Aedes* spp. mosquito habitats in Nepal ^{10,11}. 63 Additionally, excessive rainfall, poor waste management, and rapid unplanned urbanization create 64 ideal breeding grounds for these mosquitoes. Urbanization supports mosquito breeding habitat by 65 creating diverse artificial aquatic habitats, such as buckets and flowerpots, which provide ideal 66 conditions for *Aedes* spp. ^{12,13}; these processes have been underway in Nepal, as in much of the 67 world, over the past two decades. The open border with India also plays a role in dengue 68 transmission in Nepal, facilitating cross-border introductions and transmission of the disease ¹⁴.

Nepal's health system faces considerable challenges in controlling dengue. These include 69 70 difficulties in monitoring, early detection, and diagnosis. Significant outbreaks in 2010, 2013, 2016, 2019, 2022, and 2023 highlighted these challenges ¹⁵. In 2020 and 2021 there was a decrease 71 in reported cases, likely due to lockdowns and restricted movement, but underreporting due to a 72 focus on COVID-19 may have played a role ¹⁶. The year 2022 saw a significant rise in dengue in 73 Nepal, with an alarming 54,784 cases recorded ¹⁷. In 2023, although there was a decrease in the 74 reported cases, the number was still high with 51,243. Both years saw the cocirculation of DENV-75 1, DENV-2, and DENV-3^{18,19}. In response to increasing case numbers and recent record years, the 76 government has taken steps to mitigate outbreaks by offering free testing and treatment for dengue 77 and focusing on prevention and control measures 20 . 78

Identifying where regions are experiencing higher or lower than expected disease incidence can inform targeted public health interventions like mosquito control programs and public health education, ensuring efficient resource allocation in high-risk zones. Geospatial methods are crucial to studying disease incidence and spread, particularly in a management context ^{21,22}. The Local Indicators of Spatial Association (LISA) statistic is often used in exploratory spatial data analysis (ESDA) frameworks to quantify spatial clustering or dispersion of disease cases or incidence in a

study area, including studies of dengue and other arboviral diseases in emerging and endemic settings ^{23,24}. Identifying spatially discrete areas of significantly high (e.g. hotspots) or low (e.g. cold spots) disease activity within administrative functional boundaries, can frame responses to public health events. In this study, we mapped district level dengue incidence in Nepal in 2022 and 2023, identify peak case months by district, and identify patterns of spatial heterogeneity in incidence across the country, using LISA methods.

91

92 Methods

93 Study Area

Nepal is a small (147,516 km²) mountainous, landlocked country in South Asia, surrounded by 94 China to the north and India to the south, east, and west. The population in 2021 was 29,164,578, 95 with a population growth rate of 0.92%²⁵. The country exhibits diverse topography and ecology 96 with low-lying Terai plains in the south, hilly midlands, and the high Himalayas mountains in the 97 north ²⁶ (Figure 1). Nepal experiences four distinct climatic periods during the year: the pre-98 monsoon season (March to May), the monsoon season (June to September), the post-monsoon 99 period (October to November), and the winter months (December to February)²⁷. 100 101 Administratively, Nepal is currently divided into seven provinces and 77 districts.

Figure 1. Ecological and Administrative Divisions of Nepal. The 77 districts and 7 provinces of
 Nepal, overlaid on three ecological divisions: Mountain (white), Hill (green), and Terai (brown).



105

106 *Data Acquisition and Processing*

107 Monthly district-level dengue cases reported for 2022 and 2023 were obtained from the 108 Epidemiology and Disease Control Division (EDCD) of the Ministry of Health and Population, 109 Nepal ^{28,29}. Population data for each district was obtained from the National Census Report 2011³⁰ 110 and 2021²⁵. Administrative division shapefiles (geographic coordinate system WGS 1984) were 111 downloaded from the National Geo Portal (<u>https://nationalgeoportal.gov.np/</u>). Dengue cases and 112 population datasets were digitized and geospatially joined to district polygons for analyses and 113 visualization using ArcGIS Pro³¹.

Population projections: District level population estimates for 2022 and 2023 were projected from
 2011 and 2021 census data using an exponential growth model ^{32,33}. The annualized growth rate
 (r) was calculated as:

117 $r = \ln(P_2/P_1)/t$,

where P_1 is the 2011 population count, P_2 is the 2021 population count, and t is the number of

119 years between the two censuses.

120 This growth rate was then used to project the population for the required years:

121 $P_x = P_2. e^{rt}$,

where P_x is the population estimate for the target year x, and t is years from the 2021 census to the target year (2022, 2023).

124 Case Timing Peaks: The months of peak case number, by district, were recorded, for 2022 and

125 2023, and geospatially joined to district polygons for mapping.

Incidence rates: Annual dengue incidence rates per 100,000 were calculated using GeoDa 1.20³⁴.
Dengue incidence was calculated as the total number of dengue cases in a reporting year in each district divided by its total population.

129 Incidence =
$$\frac{Dengue case in the district}{Total population in the district} \times 100,000$$

Spatial Clustering: Global Moran's I provides an indicator of spatial clustering, to assess whether a phenomenon is spatially random, clustered, or dispersed on a landscape. This index takes values -1 - 1, from dispersed to clustered, and through simulations, permutations can provide a distribution of values, such that a statistic of significance can be assessed. This was applied to district-wise incidence in each 2022 and 2023, and 999 permutations run in GeoDa software to derive z-scores and assess significance at 0.025, to account for two years in this analysis.

LISA Analysis: Local Moran's I statistic is a popular tool used in spatial analysis to identify disease
 patterns ^{35,36}. It analyzes whether similar or dissimilar values in a dataset are geographically

clustered, to detect areas of hotspots, cold spots, and outliers³⁷. When working with small population areas, rate data, such as incidence, can be subject to instability due to small populations in sub-regions. Smoothing techniques in spatial analysis are applied to adjust rates to account for this instability ³⁸. To assess any potential rate instability in this study, both Empirical Bayes Smoothing (EBS) and Spatial Bayes Smoothing (SBS) were applied to the incidence rates and compared to raw rates using box plots (Figure S1).

Local Moran's I was calculated for the raw incidence rate using a queen contiguity spatial weight matrix with 999 permutations at a 0.025 significance level (to account for analyses spanning two years), identifying significant clusters and outliers. Maps of significant hotspots and cold spots for each year were constructed to visually assess spatial patterns.

148

149 **Results**

150 Dengue was first reported in Nepal in 2004, as a travel case, and was initially constrained to the 151 lowland Terai region. Until 2010, reported cases remained fewer than 50 each year; in the next decade, annual cases had increased to being reported in the several hundred, with 2016 and 2017 152 first seeing numbers exceeding a thousand. In 2019, an outbreak of nearly 18,000 reported cases 153 154 brought attention to this public health threat, and its expansion in the country. In 2020 and 2021, 155 perhaps due to redirected resources for the COVID-19 pandemic, reported cases were in the 156 hundreds. In 2022 and 2023, echoing dengue outbreaks around the world, Nepal had outbreaks with case numbers reported more than double that of any prior year on record (Figure 2). 157

158



160 Figure 2. Yearly reported dengue cases in Nepal

161

In 2022, in Nepal, there was a total of 54,784 reported dengue cases, and district level incidence ranged from a low of 4.21 to a high of 1,716.89. In 2023, the total number of reported cases was 51,243, with a district level incidence range of 2.49 to 2,242.44. The districts with the highest incidence rates were not identical between the two years, and in 2023, high incidence rates occurred further east than in 2022 (Figure 3).

167

169 Figure 3. Incidence per 100,000 by districts of Nepal in 2022 and 2023



170

The district level peak case month map for 2022 year illustrates that most dengue cases were reported in the months of August, September, and October (Figure 4A), with no obvious spatial patterns, suggesting that the whole country experienced one epidemic peak season. In 2023, cases

- 175 were at their highest in some districts as early as March and peaked as late as November in the
- 176 mountainous region (Figure 4B). The pattern of peak months in 2023 was more heterogeneous,
- 177 although this was only visually assessed.
- 178 Figure 4. Month of peak number of dengue cases by district in 2022 and 2023



- 180 Global Moran's *I* indicated clustering in both 2022 and 2023, with positive values of *I* (Table 1).
- 181 Based on permutation tests, 2022 showed significant clustering at the global level, for our criterion
- 182 of significance level (α =0.025).

183

Table 1: Global Moran's *I* values for dengue incidence, aggregated to district for 2022 and 2023

Year	Total Dengue Cases	Moran's <i>I</i>	Z-score	P-value
2022	54,784	0.472	7.4798	0.001
2023	51,243	0.095	1.7947	0.060

185

P-values in bold indicate statistically significant results.

*Moran's *I* values range between -1 and 1, where negative values indicate dispersion and positive values indicate clustering

188

190 Figure 5. Hotspots and cold spots of dengue incidence as identified by Local Indicator of

191 Spatial Association (LISA) for 2022 and 2023 in Nepal. Areas in red indicate clusters of districts

192 where districts with high rates are surrounded by districts with similarly high rates, indicating a

193 significant hotspot for dengue transmission. Blue areas represent low clusters, indicating districts

with low rates surrounded by districts with similarly low rates. The area in light blue color indicates

statistically significantly lower incidence than expected for its neighboring districts.



196

The LISA map for 2022 dengue incidence (Figure 5A) shows one hotspot and one regional
cold spot. Six districts in the centrally located province of Bagmati (Kathmandu, Lalitpur,
Bhaktapur, Kavrepalanchowk, Makwanpur and Dhading) had statistically higher dengue incidence
and eight districts had statistically lower dengue incidence in the west (Humla, Mugu, Bajura,
Kalikot, Jumla, Jajarkot, Rukum West, Surkhet).

The LISA map for 2023 dengue incidence reveals a shift in clusters (Figure 5B) to two hotspots and two cold spots. Four centrally located districts (Gorkha, Lamjung, Kaski, and Syangjha) and 2 districts located to the east (Dhankuta, Morang) had statistically higher dengue incidence. Similarly, three districts in the west (Jajarkot, Salyan, Banke) and three centrally located districts (Sindhuli, Sarlahi, Rautahat) had statistically lower dengue incidence. One Low – High outlier in the Chitwan district also indicates a lower incidence than in neighboring districts.

209 Discussion

210 In 2022 and 2023, Nepal experienced dengue outbreaks reporting more than double the number of cases of any prior year on record. In a country experiencing such rapid and expansive outbreaks 211 of this emergent vector-borne disease, visualizing and understanding spatial patterns and timing 212 can inform public health planning and intervention. Dengue cases were reported in every district 213 of the country in 2022, which indicates that previously assumed climate and ecological barriers to 214 establishment and transmission are no longer acting to curb its spread. Using exploratory 215 216 geospatial approaches, we digitized and visualized the 2022 and 2023 dengue outbreak years for Nepal, based on published case data and census population projections. 217

218 Mapped peak case months by districts showed that in 2022, the highest case numbers 219 occurred clumped in time, spanning August to October with no obvious heterogeneity in the

220 country. However, in 2023, peak case numbers occurred in districts from March to November, with mountainous districts peaking both earliest and latest, and showing a patchier distribution of peak 221 months across the country. Annual incidence maps showed that in 2022, very high incidence 222 districts were largely clumped around Kathmandu, while in 2023, not only were these not the same 223 224 districts showing higher incidence, but an emergence of higher reported incidence in eastern Nepal 225 emerged. The distribution of incidence was clustered in both years at the global scale, but only significantly so in 2022. This was echoed in a shift in dengue hotspots and cold spots from the year 226 2022 to 2023. In 2022, the hotspot (high-high cluster of districts) identified around Kathmandu 227 228 was also contained entirely within Bagmati province, while in 2023, the two identified hotspots were clusters of districts occurring in the two provinces to the west (Gandaki) and east (Koshi). 229 230 Interestingly, one district in Bagmati became part of a cold spot in 2023, suggesting lower 231 incidence than expected, and a second district in Bagmati (Chitwan) was a low-high outlier in 2023, indicating incidence rates significantly lower than expected, and not part of a cluster. 232 Whether province-level shifts such as this could reflect the impact of population level immunity 233 from the prior year at the district-level, or successful interventions, improved vector-control and 234 prevention, requires follow-up studies leveraging approaches outside the scope of this study, but 235 236 including serological surveillance, and cross-sectional or longitudinal health center level survey instruments. 237

It is important to note that this study relies on reported case data, and in a large and rapidly unfolding outbreak situation, the availability of diagnostic testing, accessibility to health facilities and implementation of intervention are unevenly distributed in space and time, which may create uneven data reporting, and over-representation of better resourced areas. While we might expect that areas with very sparse populations, where healthcare access is quite limited, such as the

mountainous region, could lead to a lack of reporting, the presence of cases reported in every district in 2022 suggests that this did not lead to overly spatial biased outcomes in our study. However, we are cautious in our interpretation of the cold spot cluster of low-low incidence in the northwest mountainous region identified in 2022, as it may be more indicative of sparse reporting than existing prevention. While the sparse population in the mountainous districts could also distort incidence rate calculation, we found that the incidence rates were not unstable or impacted by population distribution for the purposes of conducting the ESDA analyses here.

Using local indicators of spatial association (LISA) methods, the study identified hotspots 250 251 (i.e., districts with higher dengue incidence) indicating potential high-priority areas for targeted 252 health measures in those regions. In the scenario of vector-borne disease management, these can 253 indicate areas that may need increased intervention, such as spraying, larval source management 254 (LSM), or increased allocation of hospital resources for palliative care and diagnostic testing. The shifts in identified hotspots between the two years in this study are worth further consideration, to 255 256 explore how best to allocate limited resources. The study also highlighted cold spots, regions with lower than expected dengue incidence, which could result from how local health strategies, 257 population immunity, or case reporting vary from place to place. 258

Nepal is a small country with diverse topography leading to a sharp climate and ecological gradients. It is experiencing rapid shifts in climate-induced impacts, which influences both human population distributions on the landscape, and the ecology of vector habitats. Understanding the dynamics underpinning the spatial patterns of a rapidly establishing vector borne disease described here through analysis of spatial human incidence data requires further investigation. Future research on the spatial distribution of dengue in Nepal should explore additional drivers (socioeconomic, climatic, and environmental influences) of dengue transmission.

266

267 Supplemental Material



268

Figure S1: Boxplot comparison of incidence rates for A. 2022, and B. 2023, showing no

- appreciable differences among Unsmoothed (RAW), Empirical Bayes Smoothing (EBS), and
- 271 Spatial Bayes Smoothing (SBS)

272

274 Acknowledgments

275 Financial Support

- SB and SJR were supported by NSF BII 2213854.
- 277 Disclosures regarding real or perceived conflicts of interest.
- 278 The authors report no conflicts of interest.
- 279 References
- 280 1. Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, Drake JM,
- Brownstein JS, Hoen AG, Sankoh O, Myers MF, George DB, Jaenisch T, Wint GRW,
- Simmons CP, et al., 2013. The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature 496*: 504–
 507
- 284 2. De Almeida RR, Paim B, De Oliveira SA, Souza AS, Gomes ACP, Escuissato DL, Zanetti
- G, Marchiori E., 2017. Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever: A State-of-the-Art Review Focused in
 Pulmonary Involvement. *Lung 195*: 389–395
- 3. World Health Organization., 2009. Dengue guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, prevention
 and control : new edition
- 4. Gubler DJ., 2002. Epidemic dengue/dengue hemorrhagic fever as a public health, social and
 economic problem in the 21st century. *Trends Microbiol 10*: 100–103
- 5. Guzman MG, Halstead SB, Artsob H, Buchy P, Farrar J, Gubler DJ, Hunsperger E, Kroeger
- A, Margolis HS, Martínez E, Nathan MB, Pelegrino JL, Simmons C, Yoksan S, Peeling
- 293 RW., 2010. Dengue: a continuing global threat. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 8: S7–S16
- 6. Gubler D., 1998. Dengue and Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever. *Clin Microbiol Rev 11*: 480–496

295	7.	Kawada H, Futami K, Higa Y, Rai G, Suzuki T, Rai SK., 2020. Distribution and pyrethroid
296		resistance status of Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus populations and possible
297		phylogenetic reasons for the recent invasion of Aedes aegypti in Nepal. Parasit Vectors 13:
298		213
299	8.	Dhimal M, Gautam I, Kreß A, Müller R, Kuch U., 2014. Spatio-Temporal Distribution of
300		Dengue and Lymphatic Filariasis Vectors along an Altitudinal Transect in Central Nepal.
301		PLoS Negl Trop Dis 8: e3035
302	9.	Tuladhar R, Singh A, Varma A, Choudhary DK., 2019. Climatic factors influencing dengue
303		incidence in an epidemic area of Nepal. BMC Res Notes 12: 131
304	10.	Acharya BK, Cao C, Lakes T, Chen W, Naeem S., 2016. Spatiotemporal analysis of dengue
305		fever in Nepal from 2010 to 2014. BMC Public Health 16: 849
306	11.	Pandey BD, Pandey K, Neupane B, Shah Y, Adhikary KP, Gautam I, Hagge DA, Morita K.,
307		2015. Persistent dengue emergence: the seven years surrounding the 2010 epidemic in
308		Nepal. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg: trv087
309	12.	Li Y, Kamara F, Zhou G, Puthiyakunnon S, Li C, Liu Y, Zhou Y, Yao L, Yan G, Chen X-G.,
310		2014. Urbanization Increases Aedes albopictus Larval Habitats and Accelerates Mosquito
311		Development and Survivorship. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 8: e3301
312	13.	Wilke ABB, Chase C, Vasquez C, Carvajal A, Medina J, Petrie WD, Beier JC., 2019.
313		Urbanization creates diverse aquatic habitats for immature mosquitoes in urban areas. Sci
314		<i>Rep 9</i> : 15335
315	14.	Pokharel P, Khanal S, Ghimire S, Pokhrel KM, Shrestha AB., 2023. Frequent outbreaks of
316		dengue in Nepal – causes and solutions: a narrative review. Int J Surg Glob Health 6

317	15.	Acharya KP, Chaulagain B, Acharya N, Shrestha K, Subramanya SH., 2020. Establishment
318		and recent surge in spatio-temporal spread of dengue in Nepal. Emerg Microbes Infect 9:
319		676–679
320	16.	Pandey BD, Ngwe Tun MM, Pandey K, Dumre SP, Bhandari P, Pyakurel UR, Pokhrel N,
321		Dhimal M, Gyanwali P, Culleton R, Takamatsu Y, Costello A, Morita K., 2022. Has
322		COVID-19 suppressed dengue transmission in Nepal? Epidemiol Infect 150: e196
323	17.	Bijukchhe SM, Hill M, Adhikari B, Shrestha A, Shrestha S., 2023. Nepal's worst dengue
324		outbreak is a wake-up call for action. J Travel Med 30: taad112
325	18.	Rimal S, Shrestha S, Pandey K, Nguyen TV, Bhandari P, Shah Y, Acharya D, Adhikari N,
326		Rijal KR, Ghimire P, Takamatsu Y, Pandey BD, Fernandez S, Morita K, Ngwe Tun MM, et
327		al., 2023. Co-Circulation of Dengue Virus Serotypes 1, 2, and 3 during the 2022 Dengue
328		Outbreak in Nepal: A Cross-Sectional Study. Viruses 15: 507
329	19.	Rimal S, Shrestha S, Paudel SW, Shah Y, Bhandari G, Pandey K, Kharbuja A, Kapandji M,
330		Gautam I, Bhujel R, Takamatsu Y, Bhandari R, Klungthong C, Shrestha SK, Fernandez S, et
331		al., 2024. Molecular and Entomological Characterization of 2023 Dengue Outbreak in
332		Dhading District, Central Nepal. Viruses 16: 594
333	20.	Dhoubhadel BG, Hayashi Y, Domai FM, Bhattarai S, Ariyoshi K, Pandey BD., 2023. A
334		major dengue epidemic in 2022 in Nepal: need of an efficient early-warning system. Front
335		<i>Trop Dis 4</i> : 1217939
336	21.	Auchincloss AH, Gebreab SY, Mair C, Diez Roux AV., 2012. A Review of Spatial Methods
337		in Epidemiology, 2000–2010. Annu Rev Public Health 33: 107–122
338	22.	Graham AJ, Atkinson PM, Danson FM., 2004. Spatial analysis for epidemiology. Acta Trop
339		<i>91</i> : 219–225

340	23.	Oliveira MA de, Ribeiro H, Castillo-Salgado C., 2013. Geospatial analysis applied to
341		epidemiological studies of dengue: a systematic review. Rev Bras Epidemiol Braz J
342		<i>Epidemiol 16</i> : 907–917
343	24.	Lippi CA, Stewart-Ibarra AM, Romero M, Lowe R, Mahon R, Van Meerbeeck CJ, Rollock
344		L, Gittens-St Hilaire M, Trotman AR, Holligan D, Kirton S, Borbor-Cordova MJ, Ryan SJ.,
345		2020. Spatiotemporal Tools for Emerging and Endemic Disease Hotspots in Small Areas:
346		An Analysis of Dengue and Chikungunya in Barbados, 2013-2016. Am J Trop Med Hyg
347		<i>103</i> : 149–156
348	25.	National Statistics Office., 2023. National Population and Housing Census 2021.
349		Thapathali, Kathmandu: National Statistics Office, Office of the Prime Minister and
350		Council of Ministers
351	26.	Duncan JMA, Biggs EM., 2012. Assessing the accuracy and applied use of satellite-derived
352		precipitation estimates over Nepal. Appl Geogr 34: 626-638
353	27.	Talchabhadel R, Karki R, Thapa BR, Maharjan M, Parajuli B., 2018. Spatio-temporal
354		variability of extreme precipitation in Nepal. Int J Climatol 38: 4296-4313
355	28.	ECDC., 2023. 2023_12_15 Dengue Situation Update. Kathmandu, Nepal: Epidemiology
356		and Disease Control Division, Department of Health Services, Ministry of Health and
357		Population
358	29.	ECDC., 2022. Situation Update of Dengue 2022 (As of 31th Dec, 2022). Kathmandu,
359		Nepal: Epidemiology and Disease Control Division, Department of Health Services,
360		Ministry of Health and Population
361	30.	Central Bureau of Statistics., 2012. National Population and Housing Census 2011.
362		Kathmandu, Nepal: National Central Bureau of Statistics, Planning Commission Secretariat

- 363 31. ESRI., 2023. ArcGIS Pro
- 364 32. Center For International Earth Science Information Network-CIESIN-Columbia
- 365 University., 2016. Documentation for Gridded Population of the World, Version 4
- 366 (GPWv4). Palisades, NY: NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (SEDAC)
- 367 33. Lloyd CT, Chamberlain H, Kerr D, Yetman G, Pistolesi L, Stevens FR, Gaughan AE,
- 368 Nieves JJ, Hornby G, MacManus K, Sinha P, Bondarenko M, Sorichetta A, Tatem AJ.,
- 369 2019. Global spatio-temporally harmonised datasets for producing high-resolution gridded
- population distribution datasets. *Big Earth Data 3*: 108–139
- 371 34. Luc Anselin, Ibnu Syabri, Youngihn Kho., 2006. GeoDa: An Introduction to Spatial Data
 372 Analysis. *Geographical Analysis 38 (1)*, 5-22
- 373 35. Ikejezie J, Langley T, Lewis S, Bisanzio D, Phalkey R., 2022. The epidemiology of
- diphtheria in Haiti, December 2014–June 2021: A spatial modeling analysis. *PLOS ONE 17*: e0273398
- 376 36. Parra-Amaya M, Puerta-Yepes M, Lizarralde-Bejarano D, Arboleda-Sánchez S., 2016.
- Early Detection for Dengue Using Local Indicator of Spatial Association (LISA) Analysis. *Diseases 4*: 16
- 379 37. Anselin L., 1995. Local Indicators of Spatial Association—LISA. Geogr Anal 27: 93–115
- 380 38. Anselin L, Lozano N, Koschinsky J., 2006. Rate transformations and smoothing. *Urbana*51: 61801