

Assessing sustained attention processes and related cerebral activations in typically developing adolescents using the gradual-onset continuous performance task (gradCPT)

J. Awada^{1*}, NB. Fernandez^{1*}, V. Siffredi^{1,2,3}, MC. Liverani^{1,4}, J. Miehlbradt¹, C. Borradori-Tolsa¹, & R. Ha-Vinh Leuchter¹

¹ Division of Development and Growth, Department of Paediatrics, Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland

² Institute of Bioengineering, Center for Neuroprosthetics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland

³ Department of Radiology and Medical Informatics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Switzerland

⁴ SensoriMotor, Affective and Social Development Laboratory, Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

*Shared authorship

Classification of the target and non-trial trials

Based on Rosenberg and colleagues (2013), an accurate non-target trial happened when participants pressed the response button within a time range of 560ms and 1'520ms after the stimulus onset. Any ambiguous presses (highly deviant reaction times, meaning shorter than 560ms or longer than 1'520ms) or multiple button presses during a single trial were assessed using an iterative algorithm from Rosenberg et al. (2013) to maximize correct responses and potentially assign to adjacent trials. Finally, an accurate target trial happened when participants withheld their response between 560ms and 1'520ms after the stimulus onset.

Table SMI: Regional cerebral activations during the Face and Scene tasks for the main effect of tasks. (A) Common brain regions activated in both Face and Scene tasks (Conjunction analysis). (B) and (C) Differences in brain activations between the Face and Scene tasks. All reported clusters are significant at peak-level at $p < 0.001$, uncorrected for multiple comparisons, with a minimum size of 50 voxels. Peaks that are significant after correction for multiple comparison are indicated with an asterisk (*FDR-corrected).

Abbreviation: Lat.: Hemisphere lateralisation (L = left; R = right), Z-score (Z_E) values refer to the activation maxima to the SPM coordinates.

Cerebral regions		Lat.	MNI coordinates			Cluster size	Z_E
			x	y	z		
(A) Face & Scene							
Frontal	Posterior-medial frontal – dACC/SMA	L	0	18	44	155	3.995
	Posterior-medial frontal – dACC/SMA	R	16	10	62	183	3.761
	Superior – precentral gyrus	L	-26	-2	60	118	3.394
	Superior frontal gyrus	L	-22	0	52	118	4.267
	Superior frontal gyrus	R	26	4	64	183	3.325
Other	Cerebellum (VI)	L	-40	-46	-30	112	4.254
(B) Face > Scene							
Temporal	Middle temporal gyrus	R	44	-58	4	73*	4.584
(C) Scene > Face							
Temporal	Superior parahippocampal gyrus	L	-28	-48	-8	2706*	7.325
	Superior parahippocampal gyrus	R	22	-38	-16	3326*	7.159
Parietal	Inferior precuneus	L	-18	-56	14	100	4.337
	Inferior precuneus	R	18	-50	22	273	3.903
	Superior parietal lobule	R	18	-78	48	109	4.204
Occipital	Calcarine gyrus	R	16	-94	-4	3326*	4.472
	Posterior cuneus	R	16	-98	6	3326	4.212
	Inferior occipital gyrus	L	-28	-78	-2	2706*	4.499
	Superior lingual gyrus	R	26	-60	-8	3326*	6.749
	Middle occipital gyrus	L	-24	-80	20	2706*	5.497
	Middle occipital gyrus	R	32	-76	16	3326*	6.013
Other	Cingulate cortex - Middle cingulate gyrus	L	-8	14	38	155	3.224

Table SM2: Regional cerebral activations during response inhibition (Target > Non-Target) for the interaction Tasks x Stimuli. All reported clusters are significant at peak-level at $p < 0.001$, uncorrected for multiple comparisons, with a minimum size of 50 voxels. Peaks that are significant after correction for multiple comparison are indicated with an asterisk (*FDR-corrected).

Abbreviation: Lat.: Hemisphere lateralisation (L = left ; R = right), Z-score (Z_E) values refer to the activation maxima to the SPM coordinates.

Cerebral regions		Lat.	MNI coordinates			Cluster size	Z_E
			x	y	z		
Scene (T>NT) > Face (T>NT)							
Frontal	Inferior frontal gyrus (<i>pars opercularis</i>)	R	48	16	0	397	3.424
	Middle frontal gyrus	R	42	28	36	385	3.601
	Inferior precentral gyrus	R	50	8	34	385	3.764
Parietal	Intraparietal sulcus	R	40	-42	46	587	3.776
	Inferior parietal lobule	R	48	-36	52	587	4.255
	Superior parietal lobule	L	-22	-64	34	53	3.524
Occipital	Superior fusiform gyrus – FFA	R	32	-62	-12	101	4.144
	Inferior fusiform gyrus (area FG3)	L	-30	-58	-14	282	4.047
	Inferior fusiform gyrus (area FG3)	R	28	-48	-12	70	3.490
Other	Inferior lingual gyrus	L	-22	-46	-10	282	3.246
	Middle occipital gyrus	L	-26	-78	22	128	4.025
	Anterior insula	L	-34	18	-2	294	4.719
	Anterior insula	R	28	18	-8	397*	5.351
	Cerebellum (crus 1)	L	-40	-58	-28	282	3.698
	Cerebellum (IV-V)	R	30	-42	-22	70	3.599
	Cerebellum (VI)	L	-32	-56	-32	282	3.471
	Thalamus	R	10	-10	0	135	4.175

Table SM3: Pearson's correlations between individual Beta values and d' and RT_{CV} scores during the GradCPT tasks. (*) significant p-value.

	Cerebral regions	Lat.	Pearson's correlation coefficient (r)	p-value
Face task	Association with RT_{CV}			
Frontal	Superior frontal gyrus	L	.589	.0002*
	Superior frontal gyrus	R	.709	<.0001*
	Posterior-medial frontal – dACC/SMA	R	.633	.001*
Temporal	Middle temporal gyrus	L	.655	.0004*
	Superior temporal gyrus	L	.697	.0001*
Parietal	Angular gyrus	L	.673	.0002*
	Angular gyrus	R	.710	<.0001*
Scene task	Association d' scores			
Other	Anterior cingulate cortex	L	.597	.002*
Association with RT_{CV}				
Other	Cerebellum (VI)	L	.396	.050*

Table SM4: Pearson's correlations between individual Beta values and Conners' inattention scores during the GradCPT tasks. (*) significant p-value.

	Cerebral regions	Lat.	Pearson's correlation coefficient (r)	p-value
Face task				
<i>Frontal</i>	Superior precentral gyrus	L	0.337	0.100
<i>Temporal</i>	Superior temporal gyrus	L	0.319	0.120
<i>Parietal</i>	Superior precuneus	L	0.444	0.026*
	Superior parietal lobule	L	0.412	0.041*
	Superior parietal lobule	R	0.504	0.010*
<i>Occipital</i>	Calcarine sulcus	L	0.752	<0.001*
	Calcarine sulcus	R	0.584	0.002*
	Superior cuneus	L	0.465	0.019*
	Superior cuneus	R	0.551	0.004*
	Lingual gyrus	L	0.494	0.012*
	Superior occipital gyrus	L	0.498	0.011*
	Superior occipital gyrus	R	0.425	0.034*
<i>Other</i>	Insula lobe – posterior insula	R	0.469	0.018*
	Parahippocampal gyrus	L	0.602	0.002*
Scene task				
<i>Parietal</i>	Precuneus	L	0.401	0.047*
<i>Occipital</i>	Calcarine sulcus	L	0.316	0.124
	Cuneus	L	0.149	0.479
	Cuneus	R	0.343	0.094
	Inferior lingual gyrus	L	0.342	0.095
	Inferior lingual gyrus	R	0.205	0.325
	Superior occipital gyrus	L	0.286	0.162
	Superior occipital gyrus	R	0.415	0.039*
<i>Other</i>	Cerebellum (VI)	L	0.333	0.104

Table SM5: Pearson's correlations between individual Beta values and TAP scores during the GradCPT tasks. (*) significant p-value.

	Cerebral regions	Lat.	Pearson's correlation coefficient (r)	p-value
Face task	Association with Go/No-go scores (2 stim – 1 target)			
<i>Parietal</i>	Inferior precuneus	L	0.655	<0.001*
<i>Occipital</i>	Inferior cuneus	L	0.694	<0.001*
<i>Subcortical</i>	Basal ganglia – putamen	L	0.704	<0.001*
	Association with Go/No-go scores (5 stim – 2 targets)			
<i>Frontal</i>	Superior precentral gyrus	L	0.661	<0.001*
Scene task	Association with Go/No-go scores (5 stim – 2 targets)			
<i>Temporal</i>	Superior temporal gyrus	R	0.752	<0.001*
<i>Other</i>	Insula lobe – posterior insula	R	0.656	<0.001*