SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

1. Survey Development

Step 1 (Expert Consultation and Evaluation): Once the research team drafted the first version of the survey, it underwent a review by one General Practitioner (GP) and two academic experts specialising in adolescent mental health research and primary care research. Each expert assessed the survey items, categorising them as 1) essential, 2) should be retained, or 3) should be modified. They provided free response feedback on each item, focusing on relevance, appropriateness for the target sample, interpretability, and suitability of response options. Additionally, the experts offered broad advice on each survey section and identified any missing concepts. The research team then analysed the expert feedback and decided whether to remove, retain, or modify each item. Items were retained if at least two of the three experts recommended retention.

Step 2 (Expert GP Advisory Board Consultation): The Black Dog Institute GP Advisory group was provided with a comprehensive overview of the project, its objectives, and specific questions regarding survey items. Members were asked to submit written responses to these questions. This feedback helped the research team understand how to phrase questions, the ease of reporting certain information, suitable response options, definitions of concepts, their standard procedures, and the perceived importance of wait times for youth mental health services. Subsequently, a meeting was held to further discuss the survey. Topics included terminology, response options for additional questions, optimal presentation of questions, and key concepts. Following these discussions, the survey was revised by the research team.

Step 3 (Research Team Consultation and Review): The survey was sent to the research team for review and feedback. The team examined each survey item, offering suggestions on wording, response options, and identifying any missing elements. Based on their feedback, the survey was revised and subsequently uploaded into Qualtrics.

Step 4 (Piloting with GPs): Four GPs were recruited from the Black Dog GP Advisory group to assess their experience of completing the survey. Eight evaluation questions were included at the end of the survey which provided information on the clarity of the questions, intelligibility of the instructions, and the adequacy of the format. Information was also

gathered on the average time it took to complete the survey in full. Based on this feedback, final adjustments were made before the commencement of data collection.

2. Survey

	What is your first name?	Free Response
	•	Numeric
2.	What is your age?	
3.	What is your current gender identity?	
		2. Male
		3. Non-binary
		4. Different identity (please state)
4.	How many years of experience do you have	Numeric
	working as a registered General Practitioner?	
5.	Do you currently work full-time or part-time?	1. Full time
		2. Part time
6.	How many centres or practices do you currently	Numeric
	work in?	
7.	Which state or territory do you work in?	1. New South Wales
		2. Victoria
		3. Queensland
		4. Australian Capital Territory
		5. Northern Territory
		6. Western Australia
		7. South Australia
		8. Tasmania
8.	To help us determine the type of location (i.e.	Numeric
	metropolitan, regional, rural/remote), please tell	
	us the postcode of your current practice?	
	**Note. If you work at more than 1, please select	
	the practice where you see the most youth patients	
	or spend the most time at.	
9.	What is your local Primary Health Network?	Free Response

We would like to hear about your experiences and opinions regarding wait times for inperson (including both face-to-face and telehealth) mental health services for your youth patients with symptoms of depression and/or anxiety.

For the purposes of this survey, we define "youth patients" as adolescents aged 12 to 17 years.

We define "wait time" as the number of days young people typically wait to access the inperson mental health treatment or services that you have referred them to.

1.	In a typical week, how frequently do you see	1.	Never
	youth patients aged 12-17 years?	2.	Rarely
		3.	Occasionally
		4.	Frequently
		5.	Very frequently
2.	Considering your entire youth patient load, would	1.	Very low
	you describe the proportion of youth patients who	2.	Low
	present with symptoms of depression and/or	3.	Moderate
	anxiety as	4.	High
		5.	Very high
3.	In the past 12 months, do you believe that the	1.	Increased
	proportion of your youth patients presenting with	2.	Remained stable/Unchanged
	symptoms of depression and/or anxiety has:	3.	Decreased
4.	How often do your youth patients have their	1.	Never
	parent, carer or guardian present in their	2.	Rarely
	consultation?	3.	Sometimes
		4.	Often
		5.	Always
6.	Have you completed any additional training in	1.	Yes
	mental health? (i.e. above your University-level	2.	No
	and General Practitioner training).		
	\rightarrow If yes, has any of this additional training	1.	Yes
	specifically targeted youth mental health?	2.	No

7. What is the Medicare Benefits Schedule number Free response (correct answer: 2715) for a Mental Health Treatment plan?

WAIT TIME EXPERIENCE AND REFERRAL PRACTICES QUESTIONNAIRE

We know that there are many factors that influence your decisions when it comes to treating youth patients with anxiety and depression. This part of the survey aims to examine the specific impact of wait times on your decisions to refer youth patients with anxiety and/or depression to mental health services such as psychologists, psychiatrists, and other providers.

How often do you refer or recommend the		Never
following treatments or services to your youth		Rarely
patients with symptoms of depression and/or		Sometimes
anxiety?		Often
	5.	Always
□ Psychologist		
□ Psychiatrist		
□ Headspace		
□ Local Child and Adolescent Mental Health		
services (CAMHS)		
□ Inpatient centres (specialised overnight		
admitted patient mental health care		
centres/specialised psychiatric wards or units		
within hospitals)		
Paediatrician		
\Box Allied health care provider (excluding those		
listed here)		
Relationships Australia		
□ Ongoing care with GP		
□ School counsellor/psychologist		
\Box A structured in-person therapeutic program or		
service that targets specific mental health		
diagnoses like depression or anxiety (e.g. Cool		
Kids)		
	 following treatments or services to your youth patients with symptoms of depression and/or anxiety? Psychologist Psychologist Headspace Local Child and Adolescent Mental Health services (CAMHS) Inpatient centres (specialised overnight admitted patient mental health care centres/specialised psychiatric wards or units within hospitals) Paediatrician Allied health care provider (excluding those listed here) Relationships Australia Ongoing care with GP School counsellor/psychologist A structured in-person therapeutic program or service that targets specific mental health 	following treatments or services to your youth 2. patients with symptoms of depression and/or 3. anxiety? 4. 5. 5. Psychologist 5. Psychiatrist 1. Headspace 1. Local Child and Adolescent Mental Health services (CAMHS) 1. Inpatient centres (specialised overnight admitted patient mental health care 1. centres/specialised psychiatric wards or units within hospitals) 1. Paediatrician 1. Allied health care provider (excluding those listed here) 1. Relationships Australia 0. School counsellor/psychologist 1. A structured in-person therapeutic program or service that targets specific mental health diagnoses like depression or anxiety (e.g. Cool

□ Support group (e.g., a group of people			
meeting to share information, experiences,			
problems, and solutions)			
□ Phone helplines (e.g. Kids Helpline, Beyond			
Blue)			
□ Printed information or brochures about mental			
health			
□ Websites that provide information about			
mental health			
□ Digital interventions or apps designed to			
improve symptoms of depression or anxiety			
□ Medication (e.g. anti-depressants)			
□ Lifestyle modifications (e.g. diet changes,			
exercise/physical activity)			
□ Sleep hygiene measures			
□ Other (Please specify)			
The following are presented to participants who select "rarely", "sometimes", "often", or			
"always" in the previous question for psychologist, psychiatrist, headspace, Local Child			
and Adolescent Mental Health services (CAMHS), Inpatient centres (specialised overnight			
admitted patient mental health care centres/specialised	psychiatric wards or units within		
hospitals)			
1. When you have made a referral to a psychologist ,	Days		
approximately how many <u>days</u> do you think your	 I don't know 		
youth patients have to wait to access them? (We			
understand this is difficult, please just provide			
your best guess).			
2. On the scale provided, please rate how acceptable	1. Unacceptable		
you consider the wait times for a psychologist	2. Slightly unacceptable		
	3. Neutral		
	4. Slightly acceptable		
	5. Acceptable		
	Days		
	*		

3.	What do you consider to be an acceptable wait	Days
	time for a psychologist (in days)?	 I don't know
4.	When you have made a referral to a psychiatrist ,	Days
	approximately how many <u>days</u> do you think your youth patients have to wait to access them?	 I don't know
5.	On the scale provided, please rate how acceptable you consider the wait times for a psychiatrist .	 Unacceptable Slightly unacceptable Neutral Slightly acceptable Acceptable
6.	What do you consider to be an acceptable wait time for a psychiatrist (in days)?	Days
7.	When you have made a referral to headspace , approximately how many <u>days</u> do you think your youth patients have to wait to access them?	Days o I don't know
8.	On the scale provided, please rate how acceptable you consider the wait times for a headspace .	 Unacceptable Slightly unacceptable Neutral Slightly acceptable Acceptable
9.	What do you consider to be an acceptable wait time for a headspace (in days)?	Days
10.	When you have made a referral to a Local Child and Adolescent Mental Health services (CAMHS), approximately how many <u>days</u> do you	Days o I don't know

think your youth patients have to wait to access	
them?	
	1. Unacceptable
11. On the scale provided, please rate how acceptable	2. Slightly unacceptable
you consider the wait times for a Local Child and	3. Neutral
Adolescent Mental Health services (CAMHS).	4. Slightly acceptable
	5. Acceptable
	Days
12. What do you consider to be an acceptable wait	
time for a Local Child and Adolescent Mental	
Health services (CAMHS) (in days)?	
	Days
13. When you have made a referral to an inpatient	○ I don't know
centre, approximately how many <u>days</u> do you	
think your youth patients have to wait to access	
them?	
	1. Unacceptable
14. On the scale provided, please rate how acceptable	2. Slightly unacceptable
you consider the wait times for an inpatient	3. Neutral
centre.	4. Slightly acceptable
	5. Acceptable
	Days
15. What do you consider to be an acceptable wait	
time for an inpatient centre (in <u>days)?</u>	
16. Does your practice actively track wait times of the	1. Yes
mental health services that you frequently refer	2. No
to?	Free response
\rightarrow If yes, how do they do this?	
17. How helpful would up-to-date information on	1. Not at all helpful
service wait times be for your treatment decisions	2. Slightly helpful
and referrals?	3. Moderately helpful

4. Very helpful
5. Extremely helpful
1. Never
2. Rarely
3. Sometimes
4. Often
5. Always
1. Never
2. Rarely
3. Sometimes
4. Often
5. Always
1. Strongly disagree
2. Disagree
3. Neutral
4. Agree
5. Strongly agree
_

□ Long wait times lead to greater deterioration	
of symptoms or illness/condition	
\Box Long wait times lead to greater chances of	
relapse	
\Box Long wait times lower the likelihood of	
future help-seeking	
□ Long wait times lead to patients feeling	
abandoned, neglected, or forgotten	
□ Long wait times lead to patients feeling their	
issues are not important	
□ Long wait times lead to school	
disengagement/absenteeism	
\Box Long wait times lead to social withdrawal and	
dysfunction (e.g. family conflict and impaired	
relationships)	
□ Long wait times delay remission/recovery of	
illness/condition	
\Box Long wait times lead to an increased use of	
medication	
21. Have you experienced any other negative	Free response
consequences of long wait times?	
22. How important do you think it is that GPs provide	1. Not at all
mental health strategies or resources to their youth	2. Slightly
patients while they await in-person treatment?	3. Moderately
	4. Very
	5. Extremely
23. How often do you recommend the following	1. Never
interventions to your youth patients to help them	2. Rarely
manage their mental health while they await in-	3. Sometimes
person treatment?	4. Often
	5. Always
□ Scheduling additional appointments	
□ Medication	
L	1

		1	
	Monitoring phone calls from practice staff		
	Exercise/Physical activity		
	□ Diet improvements		
	□ Mindfulness		
	\Box Referral to the school counsellor or wellbeing		
1	team		
	Hand-outs with mental health		
i	information/psychoeducation		
	Mental health mobile phone apps		
	Mental health websites (e.g. ReachOut,		
]	Beyond Blue)		
	Online mental health programs or services		
	(e.g. eHeadspace)		
	Telephone helplines (e.g. Kids helpline)		
	Sleep hygiene measures		
	Other (please specify)		
24. Thir	nking about your recent experiences referring		
youth patients to in-person treatments and			
services, please answer the following questions.			
i)	On a scale of 1 to 5, how would you rate	1.	Very poor
	the quality of clinical support you	2.	Poor
	provided to your youth patients while they	3.	Fair
	awaited in-person treatment?	4.	Good
		5.	Excellent
ii)	On a scale of 1 to 5, how would you rate	1.	Very poor
	the quality of clinical support you	2.	Poor
	provided to the parents/guardians of your	3.	Fair
	youth patients while their child awaited in-	4.	Good
	person treatment?	5.	Excellent
		1.	No knowledge

iii) On a scale of 1 to 5, how would yo	u rate 2. Minimal knowledge
your level of knowledge about what	t 3. Basic knowledge
strategies and resources are most he	elpful 4. Adequate knowledge
for your youth patients who are aw	aiting 5. Superior knowledge
in-person treatment?	
25. Overall, what do you think is the optimal	Free response
intervention for youth patients awaiting in-	person
treatment/services for depression and/or ar	nxiety?
26. On a scale of 1 to 5, how confident are you	in 1. Not at all confident
recommending mobile mental health apps	to your 2. Slightly confident
youth patients experiencing symptoms of	3. Somewhat confident
depression and/or anxiety?	4. Fairly confident
	5. Completely confident
27. On a scale of 1 to 5, please rate how helpfu	Il you 1. Not at all helpful
think the each of the following types of mo	bbile 2. Slightly helpful
apps would be for youth patients with sym	ptoms 3. Moderately helpful
of depression and/or anxiety who are await	ting in- 4. Very helpful
person treatment:	5. Extremely helpful
 An app that helps improve a young person's sleep. An app that provides safety plannin suicidality and self-harm. An app that provides psychoeducat about depression and anxiety in you An app that delivers mindfulness stor meditation skills (e.g. breathing exercises, relaxation techniques). An app that provides self-directed psychological therapy to reduce neg thinking (e.g. Cognitive Behaviour Therapy). 	ag for ion uth. trategies

• An app that connects the young person			
directly with the referred healthcare			
provider (e.g. updates on upcoming			
appointment, reminders)			
• An app that helps a young person improve			
their relationships and social functioning.			
• An app that helps a young person focus on			
resilience and personal strengths (e.g.			
Positive Psychology).			
• An app that helps improve communication			
and self-disclosure skills.			
• An app that helps increase a young			
person's physical activity.			
• An app that provides self-tracking tools			
for medication reminders and physical			
exercise.			
• An app that uses mood tracking to build			
emotional awareness.			
• An app that encourages journaling and			
personal reflection.			
• An app that provides moderated peer			
support for young people to build support			
and connect with others with similar			
experiences.			
Please re-enter your current Allied Health Practitioner	Free response		
Regulation Agency (AHPRA) registration number			
This survey is now complete. [End of survey]			

3. Management of Fraudulent Respondents and Data Cleaning Processes

a) Existing security measures and deterrents

The initial survey incorporated several security measures. The Qualtrics survey platform employed various prevention settings, such as fraud detection mechanisms including bot detection, security scan monitoring, RelevantID, and features to prevent indexing and multiple submissions. Furthermore, Qualtrics software can detect IP address locations, enabling the blocking of foreign IP addresses. To reduce the likelihood of bot completion, the survey also included multiple free-text response sections throughout.

b) Fraudulent survey sign-ups

Despite the existing security measures, fraudulent activity was identified on the 17th May 2022, and again sporadically between the 2nd and 15th of June 2022. During the first attack in May, the sign-ups were quickly suspected to be fraudulent due to the large number of responses (>150) that came through within a day compared to the previous recruitment rate (1-2 responses per day or none). Some survey completions were completed in quick succession, and some were completed at unusual times of the day such as early mornings (before 6.00 am) or evenings (after 11.00 pm). Moreover, these increases in survey completions did not coincide with increased recruitment efforts, particularly during advertising campaigns. An initial review of the survey responses revealed that they were qualitatively different from those received previously. Taking all these factors into account, our team suspected that the study had been targeted by fraudulent respondents. This same pattern of unusual activity was detected in the subsequent attacks that occurred in June 2022.

c) Response to fraudulent survey respondents:

In response to the May 2022 attack, we paused recruitment and closed the survey, and contact was made with the University of New South Wales Human Research Ethics Committee (UNSW HREC) on the 18th of May 2022 to provide details of the attack. The research team consulted with other researchers at the Black Dog Institute who had encountered similar issues and reviewed the literature for guidance on managing the situation. The research team conducted a thorough review of all completed surveys and examined our processes to identify factors that may have contributed to the attack. Based on these discussions and our initial review, we developed a protocol aimed at 1) enhancing measures to prevent future attacks; 2)

detailing the process for identifying fraudulent or illegitimate respondents, and 3) outlining the procedure for managing suspected fraudulent respondents. The protocol was reviewed by the chief investigator of the project and the Trial Steering Committee. In response to the June 2022 attacks, we paused recruitment and closed the survey several times. Contact was made with UNSW HREC on the 21st June 2022 and other measures were implemented (see below). The protocol was updated to reflect these changes.

d) Prevention of fraudulent survey respondents:

Following the initial attack in May 2022, we implemented reCAPTCHA software at the beginning of the survey to prevent bot attacks. After consulting with UNSW HREC, we revised the participant information sheet and consent form (PISCF) and updated the survey instructions to state, "You will only be able to complete the survey once" and "Please note that only one voucher will be issued per participant." Each voucher was manually sent by a member of the research team after reviewing the survey data and confirming the respondent as a 'genuine responder.' The research team also obtained ethics approval for the inclusion of the two integrity check questions:

Question 1: What is the Medicare Benefits Schedule number for a Mental Health Treatment plan? (Correct Answer: 2715. Nonsense or incorrect numbers indicated that the survey responses may be invalid or fraudulent).

Question 2: What is your local Primary Health Network (PHN)? (Free response: this was checked against the postcode and State or Territory listed).

Following the further attacks in June 2022, the research team sought approval from UNSW HREC to de-anonymise the survey and request the participants' Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA) number twice during the survey along with their first name. This information was used to confirm whether a participant was a registered GP in Australia via the national AHPRA register. Changes were made to the survey information and consent form to provide context as to why this information was being gathered and the following questions were added to the survey:

- What is your current Allied Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA) registration number? This question was asked early in the survey after eligibility questions
- Please re-enter your current Allied Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA) registration number"? This question was asked toward the end of the survey following the Experience and Recommendation of Mobile Health Applications Questionnaire section
- 3. What is your first name? This is to be included in the demographics and background questionnaire section.

The research team also employed several strategies, guided by the literature¹, to systematically identify and remove fraudulent or illegitimate respondents. This procedure is outlined below.

e) Identifying and withdrawing fraudulent or invalid survey responses:

After the first attack in May 2022 and the subsequent attacks in June 2022, two members of the research team manually checked IP and email addresses. The research team developed a list of criteria to identify patterns in survey data that were invalid, inconsistent, and likely fraudulent (see Table 1). During the cleaning process, a response was deemed invalid and removed from the dataset if it met one or more of the following criteria:

- 1. **Duplicate Responses:** Multiple responses from the same IP or email address indicated that one individual was completing the survey multiple times. Any partial or incomplete survey responses were also removed.
- 2. Suspicious Postcodes: Invalid postcodes or postcodes that did not match the reported Australian state or territory were flagged as suspicious. Additionally, a large number of postcodes from the same area reported by multiple respondents within a short timeframe was also flagged as suspicious.
- **3. Rapid Completion:** Any participant who completed the survey faster than 40% of the average completion time for the entire sample was flagged as a possible illegitimate or fraudulent responder. This was based on findings that data from 'speeders' significantly differed from those above the 40% threshold¹.

- 4. Age: Participants' age and number of years of experience as registered GPs were collected. By subtracting the reported years of experience from their reported age, we determined the age at which they began practicing as registered GPs. The GP advisory board provided information on the minimum age a person could realistically claim to be a GP. Based on this, it was decided to label any reported starting age of 23 years or younger as invalid or potentially fraudulent.
- **5. Invalid AHPRA number or inconsistent/incongruent information:** The AHPRA number was manually cross-checked against the participant's name, postcode, state, and PHN. If someone had fraudulently obtained a number and it did not match both entries, or if the other details (i.e., name, postcode, state, and PHN) did not match, this indicated an invalid or potentially fraudulent participant.

Other suspicious activity included the survey responses within a single survey. Specifically, we examined the pattern of survey responses and the content of free-text responses to the questions (see Table 1 for more details). Based on these criteria, two members of the research team (TB, BP or MSK) independently reviewed each response and noted whether it should be removed or retained. Any discrepancies were discussed, and the final decision was made by consensus. Duplicate email and IP addresses, along with foreign IP addresses, were clear indicators of fraud. These entries were automatically removed if both independent researchers flagged the same multiple email or IP addresses. Any reported starting age of 23 years or younger was deemed invalid or potentially fraudulent. If an AHPRA number was fraudulently obtained and did not match in both entries or if the AHPRA number did not align with the other details provided, it indicated an invalid or potentially fraudulent participant.

Some of the individual variables listed in Table 1 alone could not identify a potential fraudulent responder. Instead, the combination of one or more of these characteristics within a single survey completion and the similarities between different survey respondents signing up in quick succession or at unlikely times of the day raised suspicion. Additionally, two researchers from the team had to fully agree on the fraudulent or illegitimate categorisation for a respondent to be removed. Data quality checks were conducted regularly to promptly identify suspicious sign-ups and patterns until the survey concluded in November 2022.

Table 1. Indicators of fraudulent activity

Data Category	Variable	Response characteristic or pattern
Personal	IP address	IP address from a country outside of Australia,
information		or a duplicate IP address
	Email address	The same email address used
	Post code	Invalid postcode or postcode that did not
		match the Australian state or territory; Large
		number of postcodes from the same area
		within a short timeframe; Postcode that did not
		match the state or territory they were
		practicing in (i.e., PHN listed)
	Age	The number of years of experience was
		subtracted from the participant's age to
		determine the age at which they would have
		begun practicing as a registered GP. Any age
		\leq 23 years were excluded.
	First Name	If the first name provided did not match the
		name listed against their AHPRA number, this
		indicated an invalid or potentially fraudulent
		participant.
	AHPRA number	If a number was fraudulently obtained and did
		not match in both entries, or if the other details
		(first name, postcode, state, and PHN) did not
		align, this indicated an invalid or potentially
		fraudulent participant.
Speed responders	Time spent in	Survey completions that take less than 40% of
	survey	the average time of legitimate respondents
Survey responses	Response patterns	Survey entries where the respondent has
	within a single	consistently provided the same or similar
	survey	responses or answered in a pattern for all
		questions, for example:
		• All questions answered were "Yes" or all
		"1's"

ama ((\/aa?) ((_a?)		
• All questions answered were "Yes", "No",		
"Yes", "No" and so forth		
, "1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 2,		
Legitimate free-		
nantly thoughtful		
e question being		
s mostly use a		
" in the form of		
s response is often		
ponse questions.		
lulent responses		
estion options as		
s		
ext responses in the		
s multiple		
the question that		
the participant may		
g., incorrect or		
s Schedule number		
nt Plan)		

References

 Cobanoglu C, Cavusoglu M, Turktarhan G. A beginner's guide and best practices for using crowdsourcing platforms for survey research: The case of Amazon Mechanical Turk (MTurk). Journal of Global Business Insights. 2021;6(1):92-7.

	n	%
Female	138	71.9
Different gender identity	1	0.5
Worked in one centre only	137	71.7
Full-time employment	115	59.9
Metropolitan	133	69.3
State		
New South Wales	87	45.3
Victoria	35	18.2
Queensland	29	15.1
Australian Capital Territory	3	1.6
Northern Territory	1	0.5
Western Australia	21	10.9
South Australia	9	4.7
Tasmania	7	3.6

Supplementary Table 2. Participant characteristics of the total sample (N=192)

^aOne invalid response was excluded

Supplementary Table 3. Differences in estimated wait times across GPs' region of work.

	Metropolitan		Regional/Remote		P value
	п	M (SD)	п	M (SD)	
Psychologist	124	55.24 (44.22)	51	61.31 (56.6)	.495
Psychiatrist	124	126.4 (84.78)	51	83.4 (3.81)	<.001
Headspace	92	65.2 (88.75)	39	51.75 (41.90)	.370
CAMHS	80	64.40 (81.62)	40	28.38 (59.84)	.007
Inpatient unit	64	40.34 (125.47)	20	21.85 (43.33)	.521

Supplementary Table 4. Smartphone apps most recommended by GPs to adolescents with depression and/or anxiety

App name	n	%
Smiling Mind	53	27.6
Headspace	32	16.7
Calm	21	10.9
Beyond now	20	10.4
Calm harm	14	7.3
Worrytime	9	4.7
Virtual hopebox	6	3.1
Breathe	4	2.1