

Supplementary Material 1 – Sample logbook

Note. This is a sample logbook written by the research team which contains no participant data.

ACTIVITY DIARY:

In addition to having your child wear the activity monitor for one week, we ask that you keep this diary to monitor the times the activity monitor was put on or taken off. This will help us to understand your child's regular physical activity. Please bring this form along with your child's activity monitor to your next study visit.

* at grandparents *

Event	Example Tues June 19	DAY 1 Day: Mon Date: May 16	DAY 2 Day: Tues Date: May 17	DAY 3 Day: wed Date: May 18	DAY 4 Day: Thurs Date: May 19	DAY 5 Day: Fri Date: May 20	DAY 6 Day: Sat Date: May 21	DAY 7 Day: Sun Date: May 22
Time the device was put on	7:10 am	~ 7:00 am	7:15 am	7:45 am	6:15 am	6:30 am	6:50 am	7:10 am
Was the device removed for naps?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Nap Time (Please include both start of nap & wake up time)	2:30 pm – 3:47 pm	12:30 – 2:45 pm	1:00 – 3:00 pm		12:45 – 2:30 pm	1:15 – 3:30 pm	12:30 – 2:30 pm	1:00 – 3:00 pm * car sleep?
	-				* forgot to put device back on			
Times the device may have been taken off and put back on and reason(s) (e.g. bath, swimming, etc)	4:45 pm – 5:27 pm (swimming)	~ 6:30 pm bath	6:00 – 6:15 pm bath	11:00 – 3:00 pm baby bjorn	5:45 – 6:15 pm bath	11:00 – 11:30 am car ride	8:00 – 8:15 am charge	7:30 – 7:45 pm charge
	7:10 pm – 7:37 pm (bath)			* carried		6:00 – 6:30 pm bath	4:00 – 4:15 pm swim	1:00 – 3:00 pm car ride
	-	3:00 – 3:20 pm car ride		4:30 – 5:00 pm swim			~ 6:00 pm bath	6:00 – 6:25 pm bath
	-			~ 5:00 pm bath				
Time the device was taken off before bed	7:40 pm	7:00 pm	8:00 pm	6:30 pm	6:30 pm	6:45 pm	6:45 pm	7:00 pm

ID #: Participant 1 Initials: P1 Accelerometer #: XXX / 01

PLEASE SEE THE NEXT PAGE FOR DETAILED INSTRUCTIONS

Supplementary material 2. Results of TOST equivalency tests.

Compared to Logbook_AllWear

[1] "=====

[1] "LogbookAwakeWear_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = -9.7$, $p = 1$
The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = -21.5$, $p < 0.01$
NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	-21.484	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	-9.703	752	1
TOST Upper	-33.264	752	< 0.001

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	-55.9050	2.60218	[-60.1905, -51.6195]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	-0.7821	0.04164	[-0.8504, -0.7135]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====

[1] "Ahmadi_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was significant, $t(752) = -2.5$, $p < 0.01$
The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 7.194$, $p < 0.01$
NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
TOST: reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	7.194	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	16.897	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	-2.509	752	0.006

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	22.7292	3.15947	[17.5259, 27.9324]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.2619	0.03706	[0.2009, 0.3227]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====

[1] "vanHees_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 14.56$, $p = 1$
The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 23.351$, $p < 0.01$
NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	23.35	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	32.14	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	14.56	752	1

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	81.4597	3.48842	[75.7146, 87.2047]	0.9
Hedges's $g(z)$	0.8501	0.04252	[0.78, 0.9198]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====

[1] "5min_0count_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = -6$, $p = 1$
The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = -17.2$, $p < 0.01$
NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	-17.213	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	-6.048	752	1
TOST Upper	-28.378	752	< 0.001

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	-47.2602	2.74559	[-51.7819, -42.7386]	0.9
Hedges's $g(z)$	-0.6267	0.03986	[-0.692, -0.561]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====

[1] "10min_0count_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 0.91, p = 0.18$
 The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = -10.4, p < 0.01$
 NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
 TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	-10.3509	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	0.9131	752	0.181
TOST Upper	-21.6149	752	< 0.001

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	-28.1699	2.72150	[-32.6519, -23.6879]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	-0.3768	0.03771	[-0.4387, -0.3147]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").
 [1] "=====
 [1] "20min_0count_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was significant, $t(752) = 8.08, p < 0.01$
 The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = -2.87, p < 0.01$
 NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
 TOST: reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	-2.869	752	0.004
TOST Lower	8.082	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	-13.819	752	< 0.001

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	-8.0310	2.79941	[-12.6413, -3.4207]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	-0.1044	0.03654	[-0.1645, -0.0444]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").
 [1] "=====
 [1] "30min_0count_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was significant, $t(752) = -7.7, p < 0.01$
 The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 2.602, p < 0.01$
 NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
 TOST: reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	2.602	752	0.009
TOST Lower	12.904	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	-7.700	752	< 0.001

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	7.74203	2.97566	[2.8415, 12.6426]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.09472	0.03652	[0.0347, 0.1547]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====

[1] "60min_0count_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 4.43, p = 1$
 The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 13.443p < 0.01$
 NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
 TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	13.443	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	22.459	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	4.427	752	1

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	45.7063	3.40007	[40.1068, 51.3058]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.4894	0.03856	[0.4259, 0.5526]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====

[1] "90min_0count_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 8.78, p = 1$
 The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 17.188p < 0.01$
 NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
 TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	17.188	752	< 0.001

TOST Lower 25.599 752 < 0.001
TOST Upper 8.777 752 1

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	62.6426	3.64461	[56.6403, 68.6448]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.6257	0.03985	[0.56, 0.691]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====

[1] "vanHees_30/80_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was significant, $t(752) = 2.71, p < 0.01$

The null hypothesis test was non-significant, $t(752) = -1.39, p = 0.17$

NHST: don't reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero

TOST: reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	-1.387	752	0.166
TOST Lower	2.712	752	0.003
TOST Upper	-5.486	752	< 0.001

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	-10.37302	7.47906	[-22.6902, 1.9441]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	-0.05049	0.03647	[-0.1104, 0.0094]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====

[1] "Choi1s_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 3.1, p = 1$

The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 11.653, p < 0.01$

NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero

TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	11.653	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	20.210	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	3.095	752	0.999

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	41.7422	3.58223	[35.8427, 47.6417]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.4242	0.03805	[0.3616, 0.4866]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "====="

[1] "Choi60s_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 4.11$, $p = 1$
 The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 12.981$, $p < 0.01$
 NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
 TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	12.981	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	21.849	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	4.114	752	1

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	44.8767	3.45703	[39.1834, 50.5701]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.4726	0.03842	[0.4093, 0.5356]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "====="

[1] "Troiano1s_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 2.41$, $p = 0.99$
 The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 11.413$, $p < 0.01$
 NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
 TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	11.413	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	20.415	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	2.412	752	0.992

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	38.8680	3.40551	[33.2596, 44.4765]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.4155	0.03798	[0.353, 0.4778]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

```
[1] "====="
[1] "Troiano60s_W"
```

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was significant, $t(752) = -4.8, p < 0.01$
The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 4.736p < 0.01$
NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
TOST: reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

```
      t df p.value
t-test  4.736 752 < 0.001
TOST Lower 14.306 752 < 0.001
TOST Upper -4.834 752 < 0.001
```

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	15.1695	3.20321	[9.8942, 20.4449]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.1724	0.03671	[0.112, 0.2327]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

Compared to Logbook_AwakeWear

```
[1] "====="
[1] "LogbookAllWear_W"
```

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 10.74, p = 1$
The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 21.484p < 0.01$
NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

```
      t df p.value
t-test 21.48 752 < 0.001
TOST Lower 32.23 752 < 0.001
TOST Upper 10.74 752 1
```

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	55.9050	2.60218	[51.6195, 60.1905]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.7821	0.04164	[0.7135, 0.8504]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "====="

[1] "Ahmadi_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 13.81$, $p = 1$
 The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 21.431$, $p < 0.01$
 NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
 TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	21.43	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	29.05	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	13.81	752	1

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	78.6342	3.66921	[72.5914, 84.6769]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.7802	0.04162	[0.7116, 0.8484]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "====="

[1] "vanHees_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 27.45$, $p = 1$
 The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 34.464$, $p < 0.01$
 NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
 TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	34.46	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	41.48	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	27.45	752	1

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	137.365	3.98579	[130.8005, 143.9288]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	1.255	0.04872	[1.1743, 1.3345]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====
[1] "5min_0count_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was significant, $t(752) = -8.4, p < 0.01$
The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 3.77, p < 0.01$
NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
TOST: reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	3.770	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	15.965	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	-8.425	752	< 0.001

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	8.6448	2.29311	[4.8683, 12.4213]	0.9
Hedges's $g(z)$	0.1372	0.03661	[0.077, 0.1974]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====
[1] "10min_0count_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = -0.091, p = 0.46$
The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 10.944, p < 0.01$
NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	10.94411	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	21.97892	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	-0.09071	752	0.464

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	27.7351	2.53425	[23.5615, 31.9087]	0.9
Hedges's $g(z)$	0.3984	0.03786	[0.3361, 0.4605]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====
[1] "20min_0count_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 6.87, p = 1$
 The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 16.524p < 0.01$
 NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
 TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	16.524	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	26.176	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	6.872	752	1

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	47.8740	2.8973	[43.1025, 52.6455]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.6016	0.0396	[0.5363, 0.6665]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").
 [1] "=====
 [1] "30min_0count_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 10.91, p = 1$
 The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 19.459p < 0.01$
 NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
 TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	19.46	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	28.01	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	10.91	752	1

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	63.6471	3.27090	[58.2603, 69.0339]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.7084	0.04076	[0.6412, 0.7752]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").
 [1] "=====
 [1] "60min_0count_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 18.49, p = 1$
 The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 25.504p < 0.01$
 NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero

TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

```
      t df p.value
t-test 25.50 752 < 0.001
TOST Lower 32.52 752 < 0.001
TOST Upper 18.49 752    1
```

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	101.6113	3.98410	[95.0499, 108.1726]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.9285	0.04359	[0.8566, 0.9999]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====

[1] "90min_0count_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 21.86$, $p = 1$
The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 28.613$, $p < 0.01$
NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

```
      t df p.value
t-test 28.61 752 < 0.001
TOST Lower 35.36 752 < 0.001
TOST Upper 21.86 752    1
```

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	118.548	4.14310	[111.7244, 125.3708]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	1.042	0.04526	[0.967, 1.1158]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====

[1] "vanHees_30/80_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 2.08$, $p = 0.98$
The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 5.402$, $p < 0.01$
NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

```
      t df p.value
```

t-test 5.402 752 < 0.001
TOST Lower 8.719 752 < 0.001
TOST Upper 2.084 752 0.981

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	45.5320	8.42914	[31.6502, 59.4138]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.1967	0.03679	[0.1361, 0.257]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====

[1] "Choi1s_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 16.71, p = 1$
The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 23.423, p < 0.01$
NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	23.42	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	30.13	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	16.71	752	1

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	97.6472	4.16894	[90.7815, 104.513]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.8527	0.04255	[0.7825, 0.9224]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====

[1] "Choi60s_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 18.16, p = 1$
The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 25.138, p < 0.01$
NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero
TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	25.14	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	32.11	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	18.16	752	1

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	100.7818	4.00914	[94.1792, 107.3843]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.9152	0.04341	[0.8436, 0.9863]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====

[1] "Troiano1s_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 16.93$, $p = 1$

The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 24.02$, $p < 0.01$

NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero

TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	24.02	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	31.11	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	16.93	752	1

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	94.7731	3.94565	[88.275, 101.2711]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.8745	0.04285	[0.8038, 0.9446]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").

[1] "=====

[1] "Troiano60s_W"

Paired t-test

The equivalence test was non-significant, $t(752) = 12.93$, $p = 1$

The null hypothesis test was significant, $t(752) = 21.319$, $p < 0.01$

NHST: reject null significance hypothesis that the effect is equal to zero

TOST: don't reject null equivalence hypothesis

TOST Results

	t	df	p.value
t-test	21.32	752	< 0.001
TOST Lower	29.71	752	< 0.001
TOST Upper	12.93	752	1

Effect Sizes

	Estimate	SE	C.I.	Conf. Level
Raw	71.0746	3.33392	[65.584, 76.5651]	0.9
Hedges's g(z)	0.7761	0.04157	[0.7076, 0.8442]	0.9

Note: SMD confidence intervals are an approximation. See vignette("SMD_calcs").