

S1 Table. The scores of 8 experts on 9 items of Inattention domain of ADHD-T-Dari:

CVI.

Item	Experts								Experts in agreement	I-CVI	Pc	κ	Evaluation
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H					
Q01	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	7	0.875	0.031	0.871	Excellent
Q02	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1.0	0.004	1.0	Excellent
Q03	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	7	0.875	0.031	0.871	Excellent
Q04	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1.0	0.004	1.0	Excellent
Q05	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	7	0.875	0.031	0.871	Excellent
Q06	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1.0	0.004	1.0	Excellent
Q07	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	7	0.875	0.031	0.871	Excellent
Q08	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1.0	0.004	1.0	Excellent
Q09	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1.0	0.004	1.0	Excellent

S-CVI/Ave = 0.944

Note. The item content validity index (I-CVI) is calculated as follows: positive agreements / total experts. Scale content validity index (S-CVI/Ave) is the average of the CVIs divided by the total number of instrument items. The binomial random variable was used to determine Pc (probability of a chance occurrence): $Pc = [N! / A! (N-A)!] \times 0.5^N$. "N" stands for "number of experts" and "A" stands for "number of experts on good relevance". Agreement on significance is indicated by the symbol kappa: $kappa = (I-CVI - Pc) / (1 - Pc)$. Fair = $k = 0.40$ to 0.59 ; Good = $k = 0.60$ to 0.74 ; and Excellent = $k > 0.74$ are the kappa evaluation standards.