

1 Understanding antimicrobial resistance 2 policies implementation in Vietnam: a 3 multilayer analysis of the veterinary drug 4 value chain

5
6 Chloé Bâtie^{1*}, Nguyen Van Duy², Nguyen Thi Minh Khue³, Marisa
7 Peyre¹, Marion Bordier^{1,4,5}, Nguyen Thi Dien³, Vu Dinh Ton²,
8 Flavie Goutard^{1,6,7,8}

9
10 1 ASTRE, CIRAD, INRAE, Univ. Montpellier, Montpellier, France,

11 2 Centre for Interdisciplinary Research on Rural Development, Vietnam National University of Agriculture, Hanoi, Vietnam,

12 3 Faculty of Social Sciences, Vietnam National University of Agriculture, Hanoi, Vietnam,

13 4 CIRAD, UMR ASTRE, Dakar, Senegal

14 5 Senegalese Agricultural Research Institute, Dakar, Senegal

15 6 CIRAD, UMR ASTRE, Hanoi, Vietnam,

16 7 National Institute of Animal Science, Hanoi, Vietnam,

17 8 National Institute of Veterinary Sciences, Hanoi, Vietnam

18
19 *Corresponding author

20 Correspondence: chloe.batie@protonmail.com

21 22 23 **ABSTRACT**

24 Reducing antibiotic use in livestock production has been a target for national action
25 plans worldwide. The Vietnamese livestock plan issued in 2017 has, among other
26 objectives, strengthened the regulatory framework for antibiotic use. While a
27 progressive ban on prophylactic antibiotics in feed and the introduction of mandatory
28 prescriptions have been introduced, the level of implementation of these measures
29 remains unknown. This study explores the level of understanding, acceptance, and
30 application of these regulations among veterinary drug value chain stakeholders. An
31 iterative stakeholder mapping and analysis of the veterinary drug value chain was
32 conducted in north and south Vietnam. We organized one focus group discussion in
33 Hanoi with 12 participants and conducted 39 semi-structured interviews with
34 governmental authorities, national research centers, foreign partners, and private
35 stakeholders along the value chain. The discourses were analyzed to (1) map the
36 veterinary drug value chain and interactions among stakeholders, (2) analyze
37 stakeholder's technical and social capital regarding regulations, and (3) identify factors
38 influencing their implementation. From the map of the veterinary drug value chain, we
39 identified 30 categories of stakeholders. Based on the map, the capital, and the analysis
40 of the discourse, we identified 10 factors that could influence their implementation.
41 These factors included stakeholder perception of the new regulations, their level of
42 knowledge, the availability of technical guidance, conflict of economic interest between
43 stakeholders, scale-related management discrepancies, the technical and financial
44 barriers to the implementation of the regulations at the local level, the presence of an

45 informal distribution channel, international influence, consumer demand for food
46 safety, and the willingness to reduce the burden of antibiotic resistance. It was clearly
47 identified that new regulations are a necessary step to reducing antibiotic usage in
48 Vietnam, but that the lack of local stakeholder involvement combined with technical
49 constraints were barriers to their implementation. The study underlined the need for
50 greater involvement of local stakeholders in the development of regulations as well as
51 the need to mainstream innovations developed by small producers.

52

53

54 **Keywords:** Antimicrobial usage; Livestock production; Stakeholder analysis; Regulations;
55 Practice changes

56

Introduction

58 A reduction in antibiotic use (ABU) in human, animal, and environmental sectors is
59 urgently needed worldwide to prevent unnecessary deaths due to antibiotic resistance
60 (ABR) (O'Neill, 2016). This is a complex issue that must be addressed from a One Health
61 perspective (McEwen & Collignon, 2018). In this regard, the Tripartite Organization (World
62 Health Organization, World Health Animal Organization, and Food and Agriculture
63 Organization) has established a Global Action Plan calling on member states to take action
64 (OMS, 2015). In this context, countries have developed and adopted their own National
65 Action Plan (NAP) for the human and agricultural sectors. Vietnam was the first Southeast
66 Asian country to adopt a national plan, followed by the Philippines in 2015 (Chua et al.,
67 2021). It was approved in 2013, and followed in 2017 by the NAP for livestock and
68 aquaculture (MARD, 2017). The main objective was to “tackle the human health risk related
69 to ABR by better controlling the usage of antibiotics in livestock and aquaculture” (MARD,
70 2017). A new NAP with similar objectives was adopted in 2021 (MARD, 2021) based on five
71 priorities: raising awareness of ABR, promoting good farming practices and prudent ABU,
72 monitoring ABU and ABR, strengthening international and cross-sectoral collaboration
73 around ABR control, and lastly - the focus of our study - reviewing and enforcing
74 regulations for the improved management of ABU.

75 Several actions were taken to achieve the latter objective. The Law on Veterinary
76 Medicine (National Assembly, 2015) was amended with additional regulations to improve
77 legislation governing ABU management. Antimicrobial growth promoters, which were
78 widely used by Vietnamese farmers (Kim et al., 2013) and contribute to antibiotic
79 resistance (McEwen & Collignon, 2018), were banned by the Animal Husbandry Law in
80 2018 (National Assembly, 2018). The following decree (n°13/2020/ND-CP), was enacted to
81 gradually cease the use of antibiotics (AB) in the feed for prophylaxis (Government of the
82 Socialist Republic of Vietnam, 2020). A roadmap of implementation from 2020 to 2025 was
83 issued, starting with high-priority critically important antibiotics, followed by the other AB
84 on the WHO list of critical classes, and finally for all AB classes (WHO, 2019) with a
85 complete ban planned for 2025. In 2020, a circular (n°122020TT-BNNPTNT) was issued to
86 establish the prescription conditions for veterinary drugs, including antibiotics. The circular
87 also included a roadmap of implementation (it will go into effect in 2020 for big-scale farms,
88 2022 for medium-scale farms, 2023 for small-scale farms, and 2025 for household farms)
89 (MARD, 2020).

90 These regulations also impact chicken production. The latter is a growing sector in
91 Vietnam (J.D. Cesaro et al., 2019) due to its ease of production, low investment
92 requirements, and high consumer demand. Three types of chicken production systems are
93 commonly defined: integrated farms, family commercial farms, and household farms, with
94 small-scale farms being the most common (GSOV, 2016; Bâtie et al., 2022). Interestingly,
95 the different production systems were found to be strongly associated with the antibiotics
96 and alternative feed additives value chain (Bâtie et al., 2022).

97 Regulations are one of the most common solutions developed to reduce antibiotic use.
98 However, policy implementation is influenced by both internal and external factors
99 (Brugha, 2000) and needs to be adapted to the local context to be effective. Other studies in
100 Vietnam have previously described the antibiotic value chain and policy development
101 organization (Brunton et al., 2019; Pham-Thanh, 2020). But interactions between
102 stakeholders have not yet been explored within the context of new regulation
103 implementation. However, these interactions can have an impact on implementation and

104 must be studied. A systems approach provides a comprehensive understanding and
105 identifies which part of the system is likely to be impacted by the change. Furthermore,
106 each stakeholder has unique reluctances and motivations for complying with new
107 regulations, which must be investigated to identify levers for activation. Stakeholder
108 mapping and analysis (SMA) is a methodology for understanding the implementation
109 context of a policy to assess the feasibility of its application and enforcement (Zimmermann
110 & Maennling, 2007; Bordier et al., 2018; Poupaud et al., 2021).

111 The purpose of this study is to understand how the regulations governing the
112 progressive ban of AB in feed (decree n°13/2020/ND-CP) and on the mandatory
113 prescriptions (circular n°122020TT-BNNPTNT) are understood, accepted, and applied by
114 the different stakeholders in the antibiotics and alternative feed additives value chain. It
115 also provides recommendations for improving their implementation while minimizing the
116 impact on the most vulnerable members of the community.

117

118

Material and methods

119 We conducted an iterative mapping analysis of stakeholders involved in the antibiotics
120 and alternative feed additives value chain and concerned by regulations to reduce antibiotic
121 use in livestock production in Vietnam. We focused on two new regulations that took effect
122 in 2020: the progressive ban of antibiotics in feed (n°13/2020/ND-CP) and the mandatory
123 prescription to buy drugs (n°122020TT-BNNPTNT). These regulations were chosen based
124 on a preliminary study (Bâtie et al., 2022) due to their recent implementation and potential
125 impact on the reduction of antibiotic usage in Vietnam.

126 The SMA was conducted in three steps (Zimmermann & Maennling, 2007; Bordier et al.,
127 2018; Poupaud et al., 2021): (i) mapping of the antibiotics and alternative feed additives
128 value chain to determine the structural position of the stakeholders within the chain; (ii)
129 analyzing the technical and social capital of the identified stakeholders regarding the
130 regulations to reduce antibiotic use; and (i) identifying the factors influencing the
131 implementation of the new regulations.

132

133 Data collection

134 We first organized a focus group discussion (FGD) in December 2020 with 12
135 stakeholders from the antibiotics and alternative feed additives value chain who were
136 identified through semi-structured interviews in a preliminary study (Bâtie et al., 2022).
137 The objectives were to collectively map the antibiotics and alternative feed additives value
138 chain and identify key stakeholders. The discussion was organized around three topics: (i)
139 identifying the stakeholders in the chain and their roles, (ii) drawing the chain and their
140 interactions, and (iii) identifying existing antibiotic-related legislation [Supplementary
141 Material 1]. Four researchers from the Vietnam National University of Agriculture (VNUA)
142 led the three-hour in-person focus group in Vietnamese, using participatory epidemiology
143 tools such as flowchart building (Catley et al., 2012). The first author was present remotely
144 and received simultaneous Vietnamese-English translation from a team member. The FGD
145 was recorded, the minutes were transcribed and translated into English, and the value
146 chain drawn by the participant was translated and copied into a Word document.

147 From March to October 2021, we conducted semi-structured interviews in northern and
148 southern Vietnam with representatives of the categories of stakeholders identified during

149 the FGD. The interview guide was divided into four main topics: (i) position and role within
150 the antibiotics and alternative feed additives value chain, (ii) interactions with other
151 stakeholders and the nature of those interactions, (iii) knowledge and opinions on
152 antibiotic resistance in Vietnam and about the NAP, and (iv) barriers and motivations for
153 implementing the two new regulations under consideration and their expected impacts on
154 the chain [Supplementary Material 2]. Because of the COVID-19 health crisis, five interviews
155 were conducted in person, with the remainder conducted online. A map of the veterinary
156 drug value chain map was shared with all participants. The first version of the map
157 presented was built from the results of the FGD and then modified as the interviews
158 progressed. Participants were asked to identify themselves on the map and to describe the
159 nature of their interactions with the other stakeholders. They were able to propose changes
160 to the map. The interviews were audio-recorded and lasted between 60 to 90 minutes. The
161 first author conducted them in English or French, with the research assistant translating
162 into Vietnamese when necessary. Throughout the interviews, notes were taken. They were
163 then transcribed and translated into English, as needed.

164 Before starting the FGD and interviews, the objectives of the study were explained to the
165 participants, and they gave their informed consent to participate in the study. Ethical
166 approval was granted from the Ethics Review Board for biomedical research at Hanoi
167 University of Public Health with the application number 020-419/DD-YTCC.

168

169 Data analysis

170 The maps created during the FGD, and semi-structured interviews were compiled and
171 reproduced using a flowchart maker and online diagram software (diagrams.net). The
172 semi-structured interviews were analyzed by thematic analysis following the steps
173 described by Castleberry and Nolen (Castleberry & Nolen, 2018) and coded using the
174 qualitative software package NVivo (V.12,2, QSR International). Thematic analysis is an
175 inductive approach to identifying and analyzing patterns within the transcripts. Codes are
176 descriptive labels attached to similar words or sentences in raw data. They are then
177 reassembled into themes, allowing us to get a bigger picture of the data regarding the
178 research question. Themes were defined *a priori* based on the guide of the interviews.
179 Themes were refined and new ones emerged through a process involving both forward and
180 backward methods. The first author conducted the coding, and the team validated the code
181 matrix.

182 The thematic analysis allowed us to first confirm and improve the map of the antibiotics
183 and alternative feed additives value chain, identify the structural position of the
184 stakeholders, and define their role within this chain. Secondly, each stakeholder who was
185 interviewed was analyzed based on three themes related to their technical and social
186 capital in relation to regulation: legitimacy (institutional position, involvement in the law
187 design, formal or informal flow of antibiotics), resources (knowledge and technical
188 capacities to implement the regulations), and connections (number and quality of
189 relationship) to other stakeholders in the chain (Figure 1) (Zimmermann & Maennling,
190 2007; Poupaud et al., 2021). We were able to categorize each actor according to their
191 capital level by assigning a score ranging from absent (-) to strong (+++). Each category of
192 stakeholders was assigned the average score of participants within that category. Third, we
193 identified the factors influencing the implementation of the new regulations using the chain
194 map, stakeholder classification, and semi-structured interview coding (barriers, and levers

195 to implement the new regulations). Data were triangulated (de Sardan, 2008) through
196 multiple sources of information (different respondents, flow chart), observation when
197 possible, and team discussion.

198

199

200

Results

201

Description of focus group and semi-structured interviews participants

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

In December 2020, twelve respondents participated in a focus group discussion in Hanoi. Four participants were from the public sector (three from the Sub-Department of Animal Health and Livestock Production (Sub-DAHLP) and one from the veterinary district station), while eight were from the private sector. From March to October 2021, 39 interviews were conducted with participants from four provinces (Hanoi, Hung Yen, Hai Phuong, and Bac Ninh) in north Vietnam and three provinces (Hô Chi Minh, Long An, and Dong Thap) in south Vietnam. Participants included government officials (n = 12), private sector workers (n = 18), and foreign partner institutions (n = 4). Table 1 describes the participants.

212
213

214 **Table 1:** Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents of the semi-structured
215 interviews (n=39), 2021, Vietnam.

| Characteristics | Number (n=39) | Characteristics | Number (n=39) |
|--------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Gender</i> | | <i>Category of stakeholders</i> | |
| Male | 27 | DAH | 1 |
| Female | 12 | DLP | 2 |
| <i>Location</i> | | Sub-DAHLP | 5 |
| North | 28 | DARD | 1 |
| South | 11 | Veterinary district station | 1 |
| <i>Sector</i> | | Communal veterinarian | 2 |
| Public sector | 13 | National research center | 1 |
| Private sector | 22 | Feed company | 3 |
| International partner | 4 | Alternative feed additives company | 3 |
| <i>Territorial level</i> | | Technician | 1 |
| Supra-national | 8 | Importer/Producer | 3 |
| National | 10 | Distributor | 2 |
| Provincial | 10 | Agencies level 1 and 2 | 5 |
| District/communal | 11 | Veterinarian | 1 |
| | | Integrated farm | 1 |
| | | Family commercial farm | 2 |
| | | Household farm | 1 |
| | | International organization | 1 |
| | | Foreign research center | 2 |
| | | Foreign development agencies | 1 |

216
217
218
219
220

MARD: Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development; DAH: Department of Animal Health; DLP: Department of Livestock Production; Sub-DAHLP: Sub-Department of Animal Health and Livestock Production; DARD: Department of Agriculture and Rural Development, agencies level 1 and 2: local drugstores.

221
222


223 Structural position of the stakeholders within the veterinary drug value chain

224 The veterinary drug value chain, as mapped during the FGD, was revised three times
225 during semi-structured interviews. Figure 1 shows the result. There was no difference in
226 chain structure between respondents from North and South Vietnam. Finished products are
227 imported or made in Vietnam using imported components. They are then distributed either
228 directly to farmers or through agencies. An agency was defined by the participants as a shop

229 selling drugs, agricultural equipment, or animal feed and owned by a veterinarian. Agencies
230 1 and 2 differ in size, source of supply (level-1 agencies are directly connected to drug
231 companies, whereas supply-level-2 agencies target consumers). A third level was
232 occasionally reported by participants, but as it differed between interviews, it was not
233 included in the figure. The end users were identified as three types of farms with different
234 input and output sources: integrated farms (contracts with integrators), family commercial
235 farms (private farms), and household farms (backyard farms). VietGAHP (Vietnamese Good
236 Animal Husbandry Practices) farms were certified under the same-named standards. We
237 defined veterinarians as veterinarians who worked for an agency or independently that
238 provided farmers with direct advice and drugs. Technicians were veterinarians employed
239 by a company. Their roles are described in Supplementary Material 3.

240 Interactions among the identified stakeholders varied. The agencies charge a fee for
241 drugs, feed, and materials, but they also offer farmers free advice. These interactions can be
242 facilitated through a contract between farmers, agencies, and distributors. In this case, they
243 receive preferential pricing and benefits if they purchase or sell a certain number of drugs.
244 The interactions can also take place across a network of farms, in which a technician
245 employed by an integrator provides the farm with drugs and advice.

246 This chain is regulated by authorities at various territorial levels, as shown in Figure 2.
247 The Department of Animal Health (DAH) regulates antibiotic importation, production, and
248 distribution, as well as medicated feed mills. The department is also responsible for
249 delivering practice certificates to veterinarians. The Department of Livestock Production
250 (DLP) regulates feed companies. The DAH oversees the provincial Sub-Department of
251 Animal Health (Sub-DAH), which merged with the Sub-Department of Livestock Production
252 (Sub-DLP) to form the Sub-Department of Animal Health and Livestock Production (Sub-
253 DAHLP). They provide them with information and guidelines for implementing legislation.
254 In exchange, they receive reports on the situation in each province. The two last
255 administrative levels are a veterinary district station and a communal veterinarian, which
256 operate on the district and communal levels, respectively. Finally, international
257 organizations, international collaborators, and national and foreign research centers work
258 together with government authorities to provide evidence for the development of new
259 policies and technical guidance.
260




261
262

263 **Figure 1:** Organization of the antibiotics and alternative feed additives value chain from focus group
264 discussion (n=12) and semi-structured interviews (n=39), 2020-2021, Vietnam.

265 In bold: sell and give advice; VietGAHP: Vietnamese Good Animal Husbandry
266 Practices.

267
268



269


270 **Figure 2:** Chain of control of the antibiotics and alternative feed additives value chain and chain of
 271 command between governmental authorities from focus group discussion (n=12) and semi-structured
 272 interviews (n=39), 2021, Vietnam.

273 MARD: Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development; DAH: Department of Animal
 274 Health; DLP: Department of Livestock Production; Sub-DAHLP: Subdepartment of
 275 Animal Health and Livestock Production; DARD: Department of Agriculture and Rural
 276 Development.

277
 278

279 Technical and social capital in stakeholders regarding regulations

280 Through the FGD and individual interviews, we identified 30 categories of stakeholders
 281 affected by the regulations. They were divided into three groups: the public sector
 282 comprising governmental bodies and national research centers (n=9), the private sector
 283 involving stakeholders from importation to final antibiotic users (n=15), and international
 284 partners (n=6) (Figure 3). Analyzing the main functions of the potential key stakeholders
 285 helped us identify those who have significant influence. The stakeholders' technical and
 286 social capital based on their legitimacy, resources, and connections is outlined in Table 2
 287 and visualized in Figure 4. More information can be found in Supplementary Material 3.
 288



289

290 Figure 3: Categories of key stakeholders (n=30) involved in the antibiotics and alternative feed additives
291 value chain from focus group discussion (n=12) and semi-structured interviews (n=39), 2020-2021,
292 Vietnam.

293 Actors belonged to public sector (orange), international partners (green), and private
294 sector (blue).

295


296
297
298
299

Table 2: Classification of the stakeholders of the veterinary drug value chain interviewed according to their level of legitimacy, resources, connections regarding new regulations on the progressive ban of AB in the feed (n°13/2020/ND-CP) and mandatory prescription (n°122020TT-BNNPTNT), 2021, Vietnam.

| Stakeholders | Legitimacy | Resources | Connections |
|--|------------|-----------|-------------|
| Public sector | | | |
| <i>Governmental authorities</i> | | | |
| Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (MARD) | NA | NA | NA |
| Department of Animal Health (DAH) | +++ | ++ | ++ |
| Department of Livestock Production (DLP) | +++ | ++ | ++ |
| Sub-department of Animal Health and Livestock Production (Sub-DAHLP) | +++ | + | +++ |
| Provincial Department of Agriculture and Rural Development (DARD) | ++ | + | ++ |
| Veterinary district station | + | - | + |
| Communal veterinarian | + | - | + |
| <i>National Research Centers</i> | | | |
| National research center | +++ | ++ | ++ |
| University | NA | NA | NA |
| Private sector | | | |
| <i>National private stakeholders</i> | | | |
| Feed company | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| Alternative feed additives company | + | ++ | + |
| Importer | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| Producer | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| Distributor | ++ | + | ++ |
| Technician | + | ++ | ++ |
| <i>Local private stakeholders</i> | | | |
| Agency level 1 | + | + | ++ |
| Agency level 2 | + | + | + |
| Veterinarian | + | + | + |
| <i>Informal value chain</i> | | | |
| Informal drug seller | NA | NA | NA |
| Human pharmacy | NA | NA | NA |
| <i>Users</i> | | | |
| Integrator | NA | NA | NA |
| Integrated farm | + | ++ | ++ |
| Family commercial farm | + | + | ++ |
| Household farm | + | + | + |
| VietGAHP farm | NA | NA | NA |
| State farm | NA | NA | NA |
| International partners | | | |
| World Health Organisation (WHO) | NA | NA | NA |
| Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) | ++ | +++ | ++ |
| World Animal Health Organisation (WAHO) | NA | NA | NA |
| Foreign research center | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| Foreign development agencies | ++ | +++ | + |
| Donor | NA | NA | NA |

300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309

Legitimacy: Defined by the institutional position (acquired by law or perceived by the public to be legitimate) of the stakeholders and their involvement in the law design and/or by the type of antibiotics flow formal (flow monitored by the government) or informal. **Resources:** Defined by stakeholders' knowledge of ABU, ABR, and regulations, and by the technical (financial, human, material) resources to apply the new regulations. **Connections:** Number of interactions within the veterinary drug value chain and quality of stakeholder's relationships (level of trust, frequency of connection, formal or informal). +++ strong, ++ medium, + weak, - absent, ? indetermined. NA: not assessed. Definitions adapted from Zimmermann, 2007; Poupaud et al., 2021; Bordier et al., 2018.



310

311 **Figure 4:** Onion ring visualization of the technical and social capital of the identified stakeholders within
 312 the chain regarding regulations to reduce antibiotic use from semi-structured interviews (n=39), 2021,
 313 Vietnam.

314 *: focus on new regulations on the progressive ban of AB in the feed (n°13/2020/ND-
 315 CP) and mandatory prescription (n°122020TT-BNNPTNT). Sphere of control:
 316 stakeholders who are central to behaviors and activities. Sphere of influence:
 317 stakeholders who have the power to change the outcome on impacts. Sphere of
 318 concern: stakeholders who have no control over the actions of others but who are
 319 affected by the regulations. Absent: stakeholders who have no control over
 320 regulations. Onion ring visualization adapted from (Zimmermann & Maennling, 2007;
 321 Bordier et al., 2018).

322

323 *Public sector*

324 The public sector included government authorities and national research centers.

325

326 **Government authorities**

327 Their legitimacy, resources, and connections decreased throughout the value chain.
 328 Respondents indicated that the central level was critical in the development of the NAP and
 329 regulations (Figure 4). The DAH was described as an "umbrella" that manages all activities
 330 related to the veterinary drug value chain, and in the NAP, they are identified as the focal
 331 point for implementation. The central government (DAH and DLP) had a good

332 understanding of ABR, ABU, and regulations, though they acknowledged that they were not
333 always aware of the full ABR situation in Vietnam.

334

335 "I don't know exactly what the current situation is, many projects are working on
336 antimicrobial resistance. (...) actually, I don't believe we have a full picture for the
337 country." (Interview 12 (IW12), government authority)

338

339 The DAH and DLP also collaborated to develop the circular on mandatory prescriptions
340 (circular 12). They are linked to a number of stakeholders (MARD, international partners,
341 Sub-DAHLP, national private stakeholders), but not directly to small holders and drug
342 sellers locally. The central government's legitimacy, resources, and connections provided it
343 influence over the legislative process and the formulation of new regulations.

344 The Sub-DAHLP was viewed as a link between central, district, and communal
345 authorities. Their missions included monitoring everything related to antibiotic use,
346 including drug agency control (certificate of practice, banned substances, and quality
347 testing). However, participants suggested that their mission had limitations.

348

349 The Sub-DAHLP could also offer suggestions for the development of new regulations.
350 Other stakeholders rated their knowledge as average, and in some provinces, they were
351 perceived to lack the human and technical capacity needed to enforce new regulations.
352 Indeed, differences between provinces were observed in the five interviews conducted with
353 Sub-DAHLP representatives, not only in terms of ABR and regulation knowledge, but also in
354 technical capacity to enforce the regulations. The Sub-DAHLP was described as having a
355 close relationship with all stakeholders in the veterinary drug value chain, particularly with
356 drug agencies. Sub-DAHLP employees are responsible for controlling agencies, but they also
357 frequently own them as a secondary source of income. A respondent raised the possibility
358 of a conflict of interest between the competent authority and the private sector in terms of
359 ABU management. The Sub-DAHLP also plays an important role in ABU and ABR research.
360 They not only authorize data collection, but they also connect research projects, farms, and
361 drugstores.

362

363 "What also surprised me is the link between the Sub-DAH vet and the drugshops. A
364 lot of them, at least 30/50%, are owned by staff affiliated with Sub-DAH or former
365 Sub-DAH staff." (IW 28, international partner)

366

367 District veterinarians at the veterinary district station were not perceived to play a
368 significant role in Vietnam's antibiotic reduction regulations. Other stakeholders perceived
369 them to have limited knowledge of ABU and ABR, as well as limited capacity to implement
370 regulations. They were also described as relaying information about new regulations to
371 farmers and drug sellers.

372 Communal veterinarians were perceived to have no role in regulatory development or
373 implementation; their primary function was to organize mandatory vaccination. The
374 majority of our communal veterinarian interviewees were not qualified veterinarians and
375 had limited knowledge, learning from the experiences of drugstore agents and farmers.
376 They admitted to not being very involved in the fight against ABR. Their primary source of
377 income was treating diseases in farmers' livestock and selling antibiotics; the second came
378 from government subsidies. They directly connected with farmers in the local area.

379

380 **National research centers**

381 National research centers were considered as knowledgeable about the ABU and ABR
382 situation in Vietnam. A foreign research center perceived them as getting more involved in
383 the fight against ABR. By leading research on ABU, ABR, and AB residues, they were
384 providing evidence that authorities used to create regulations. They were directly overseen
385 by the MARD, either DAH or DLP. They also had strong ties to international organizations
386 and foreign research institutions. They said that they had frequent contact with farmers and
387 drug sellers while conducting research. They were not, however, directly connected to
388 MARD; rather, their findings were reported to MARD by international organizations.

389 *Private sector*

390 The private sector included stakeholders operating on a national and local scale, as well
391 as users.

392 **Private national stakeholders**

393 This category included drug, feed, and alternative feed additive companies and
394 integrators.

395 They were perceived by authorities and other private stakeholders as having the
396 necessary knowledge, technical capabilities, and human resources to implement the
397 regulations. These companies employ technicians who provide free technical advice to
398 large-scale farmers on a contract basis. Their technicians studied veterinary medicine at the
399 university level, so they are authorized to write prescriptions, giving them an advantage in
400 enforcing the regulations. The most influential were involved in the regulation design, while
401 others were aware of regulatory changes before local stakeholders. Before the regulations
402 were issued, some drug companies began producing alternative medicines, and feed
403 companies replaced antibiotics with supplemented feed. Alternative feed additive
404 companies provided large-scale farms with free guidance as a trial. However, they reported
405 insufficient resources to deal directly with smallholders, and their products' packaging was
406 not always appropriate for them.

407 They had a strong connection that was formalized through a contract with integrated
408 farms, large family commercial farms, and level 1 agencies. They had no direct contact with
409 households or small and medium-sized family commercial farms.

410

411 **Private local stakeholders**

412 This category included level 1 and 2 agencies and veterinarians who did not have a shop.
413 They were perceived as having weak legitimacy because they were not part of the decision-
414 making process when designing regulations and also because they sometimes sell banned
415 antibiotics.

416

417 "Most of the vet drug shops in Vietnam sell banned drugs. That's the reality." (IW22,
418 agency level 1)

419

420 They were also seen as lacking the resources to implement new regulations. Level 1
421 agency representatives usually had a veterinary degree and an in-depth knowledge of ABU
422 and ABR, but not all level 2 agencies were run by veterinarians, and not all employees were
423 trained in animal diseases and antibiotic use. Other stakeholders described them as being
424 underinformed, and during the interviews, they were not always aware of the new
425 regulations. They were, however, perceived to play an important role in the implementation
426 of regulations due to their close connection with farmers.

427

428 **Users**

429 The users included integrated farmers, family commercial farmers, and household
430 farmers. Users are defined as having a low level of legitimacy because they were not
431 involved in the law-making process (with the exception of the most important integrated
432 farms), despite being perceived as directly affected by regulatory changes.

433 According to many respondents, farmers lacked knowledge of regulations and prudent
434 ABU practices. Small-scale farms were frequently cited as the source of AB misuse in
435 Vietnam.

436 They had strong connections among them, sharing their experiences. The strength of
437 their connection with agencies differed between users. Some of them went to various
438 agencies without seeking advice, purchasing drugs solely based on their own experience.
439 Others, however, relied heavily on advice from trusted agencies. Integrated farms and large
440 family commercial farms were connected to drug and feed agencies or integrators by a
441 contract.

442 *International partners*

443 International partners included international organizations, foreign research centers,
444 and foreign development agencies. International partners provided evidence of the ABU
445 and ABR situation in Vietnam and were thus considered legitimate. They self-assessed their
446 influence as moderate, noting that they were not the final decision-makers and could only
447 make recommendations to policymakers. However, the DAH relies on research project
448 findings to develop new regulations, and the majority of them were involved in the
449 development of the NAP alongside national authorities.

450

451 “I think we have an influence because I have seen a lot of my papers cited even in the
452 legislation (...) However, you don't know how much influence there is” (IW28,
453 international partner)

454

455 International partners were perceived to have a thorough understanding of ABU and
456 ABR in Vietnam. They had the ability to organize workshops, conduct research, create
457 guidelines, and assist the government. Respondents also identified donors as having greater
458 influence because they bring fundings and thus can set the direction of the governmental
459 action.

460 International partners were described as being indirectly linked to the value chain.
461 Collaboration between different research centers, foreign development agencies, and
462 international organizations was perceived to be multifaceted. Their connections with
463 companies or integrators appeared limited, as conducting research with integrated farms
464 could be difficult. They did, however, collaborate with other companies to conduct product
465 trials.

466

467 Factors influencing the implementation of new regulations

468 Based on our analysis of the stakeholders' capital regarding regulations, we identified 10
469 factors that influenced, positively or negatively or both, the implementation of new
470 regulations [Supplementary Material 4].

471 *Stakeholders' perceptions of the new regulations*

472 The vast majority of value chain stakeholders were positive about the new regulations.
473 They recognized their importance in reducing antibiotic use and, as a result, fighting
474 antibiotic resistance and protecting human health. Respondents thought that farmers will
475 be forced to change their practices over time, and that new regulations are an important
476 step toward that goal. Except for government stakeholders, they all stated that they would
477 be difficult to implement and lacked confidence in their short-term implementation.
478 Respondents also compared the situation in the human sector, where prescriptions are
479 required to purchase drugs, but this regulation isn't enforced.

480

481 "Without a doctor's prescription, you can still buy medicine for self-treatment."
482 (IW39, household farm)

483

484 "This law is a feasible way to reduce antimicrobial use but it will take 5-10 years for
485 farmers to adapt to it. The government expects it to be achieved in 1-2 years, but
486 that's impossible, it will take a long time to raise farmers' awareness." (IW24, local
487 veterinary service)

488 *Level of knowledge*

489 Gaps of knowledge about ABU, ABR, and on regulations were perceived as barriers to
490 their implementation.

491 Others believed that the majority of respondents lacked sufficient knowledge about ABU
492 and ABR. As a result, respondents reported that the ABR increase was due by overuse of
493 antibiotics, incorrect dosage and duration, and the use of banned antibiotics. The
494 emergence of resistance on farms has led farmers to increase dosage and switch of
495 antibiotics, leading to untreatable conditions, higher farm expenditure, and the need to find
496 alternative solutions. Only a few respondents identified the impact on human health as a
497 long-term consequence, and most farmers perceived ABR as invisible with only long-term
498 effects.

499

500 "It's true that the officers don't really understand what antimicrobial resistance is,
501 not to mention farmers. Especially, they believe what they see, but the effect of AMR
502 has long-term consequence that are not immediately visible." (IW25, local
503 veterinary service)

504

505 In terms of regulations, stakeholders, with the exception of central government actors,
506 had a limited understanding of the decree on antibiotic usage in feed, but awareness of the
507 circular on prescription was limited and confused with a previous law (Law on Veterinary
508 Medicine, 2015). Farmers and local drug sellers were the least aware of regulations,
509 followed by communal and district veterinarians and sometimes Sub-DAHLP. National
510 companies, the national government, and researchers were all aware of the regulatory
511 changes. Respondents' understanding of mandatory prescription regulations was low,
512 especially for farmers who did not understand the benefit of this regulation. Mass media,
513 the internet, and loudspeakers were the principal sources of information for drug sellers
514 and farmers, but they recognized the need to increase communication around new laws.
515 Some workshops were also organized by companies together with the government.

516

517 *Technical guidance availability on the implementation of regulations*

518 At the central and international levels (private, public, and foreign partners), the
519 respondents were all aware of the regulations but unsure of how to implement them in
520 practice. Not technical guidance was provided, and it was not clear how regulations should
521 be enforced. Moreover, regulations were also perceived as incomplete, especially because
522 details regarding on-farm inspections were not included (number of inspections per year,
523 exact procedure). They consider this is significant because, even if buying feed containing
524 antibiotics for chickens older than 21 days was banned, farmers continued to add
525 antibiotics to the feed. For some respondents, stricter sanctions should also be included in
526 the regulations to oblige farmers and producers to comply with them.

527 The lack of technical guidance and economic interest for farmers was also identified as a
528 barrier to their implementation.

529

530 “If the law is not thorough, difficult to implement, and does not bring economic
531 benefits, it is not mandatory for me to follow it.” (IW36, integrated farmer)

532 *Conflict of economic interest between stakeholders*

533 Those who didn't comply with the regulations were perceived to have an economic
534 advantage over the others, which encouraged non-compliance with regulations. For
535 farmers, using antibiotics for prevention was a way to reduce disease incidence and ensure
536 good production, while buying antibiotics over the counter was a way to save time in case of
537 flock disease. For drug sellers, selling AB without a prescription is faster than with one,
538 allowing them to sell more drugs and thus increase their income.

539

540 “This law cannot be applied in practice when chickens are sick; I buy medicine
541 myself rather than waiting for a veterinarian prescription.” (IW37, family
542 commercial farmer)

543

544 Feed companies that add antibiotics to feed benefit more than others. Indeed, if feed is
545 perceived as less efficient because of the absence of antibiotics, farmers will switch to a feed
546 supplier known to add antibiotics to feed to maximize their production. Respondents
547 reinforce the fact that, for regulations to be effective, they must be applied by everyone at
548 the same time.

549

550 “Enforcement is one of the issues because of the violation of certain (...). If one of
551 them uses it illegally, that means that somehow, they have the benefit of market
552 competitiveness.” (IW34, international partner)

553 *Scale-related management discrepancies*

554 The predominance of small-scale farms in Vietnam was perceived by respondents as a
555 major barrier. They had poor farming management practices, which were accentuated by
556 intermittent production. Indeed, some farmers produced a few hundred chickens for the
557 Lunar New Year and then stopped their production after selling the batch. Farmers treated
558 their chickens themselves or according to the experience of other farmers and bought drugs
559 without a prescription based on their own experience. They also did not always consider
560 the advice of veterinarians. Small-scale farmers aged between 50 and 60 showed less
561 willingness to change compared to the younger generation.

562 There was insufficient control over small-scale farms because the government did not
563 have the human and financial capacity to inspect them all.

564

565 “Even if we don’t put antibiotics in feed, they can still buy drugs from drug agencies
566 and add it themselves.” (IW29, feed company)

567

568 Additionally, some drug sellers sold AB without the proper qualifications. This is made
569 possible by the limited control capacity of Sub-DAHLP to inspect all small retailers.

570 Integrated and large-scale farms were perceived to be more compliant with legislation.
571 Firstly, they were more aware of regulatory changes and had time to adapt due to their
572 direct link with integrators. Integrators, drug, and feed companies were also better
573 prepared for regulatory change because in-company veterinarians were qualified to write
574 out prescriptions under the new regulation. Also, respondents explained that it was more
575 difficult for a large farm to add antibiotics to feed and to mix them themselves than for
576 small scale farms. Government or contract farm integrators carried out more on-farm
577 inspections due to the products being sold in supermarkets where drug residue tests are
578 performed.

579 *Technical and financial barriers to the implementation of regulations at local level*

580 Locally, respondents stressed the technical and financial barriers to implementing the
581 new regulations. First, in some areas, there were not enough certified veterinarians for the
582 number of farmers, especially given the large number of small-scale farms. Thus, due to a
583 shortage of qualified veterinarians, systematic prescriptions for the purchase of AB would
584 be impossible to implement.

585

586 “In some remote areas, people do not have advanced training; this includes
587 veterinarians who do not have practice certificates as they have very little work,
588 with only a few small-scale livestock farms.” (IW23, local veterinary service)

589

590 Small-scale farms lacked both alternatives to antibiotics and adequate biosecurity
591 measures, leading to a high disease incidence. This justified the preventive use of
592 antibiotics, whose usage is also recommended by drug sellers. This was exacerbated by the
593 forecast in Vietnam, with frequent changes in weather. Advice on biosecurity was perceived
594 as scarce, as farms were rarely visited by veterinarians. Biosecurity was also not considered
595 a priority by farmers. Alternative products were perceived as more expensive than
596 antibiotics and available in inappropriate packaging. Moreover, they were usually not
597 approached by an alternative company, as stated by one representative of this actor
598 category. Large-scale farms had easier access to alternatives because alternative medicine
599 companies provided free trials and technical advice. However, some farmers and drug
600 sellers reported using garlic, herbs, or vitamins on a regular basis. University research was
601 also underway in one of the study districts on the use of herbs to improve disease treatment
602 and meat quality.

603 *Informal distribution channels*

604 The informal market was perceived as a barrier to the implementation of new
605 regulations. Indeed, unlicensed products from Vietnam were imported across the Chinese
606 border and then sold over to agencies via the internet or distributors. The government
607 lacked capacity to control this illegal flow of antibiotics as informal vendors were perceived

608 to be powerful, while the business generated a lot of money. As a result, most shops sold
609 low-quality (diluted) drugs and banned substances.

610

611 “Counterfeit drugs with less than 70% content are common in Vietnam. This affects
612 vets making prescriptions. Drugs are used according to instructions and are found to
613 be inefficient, so they need to double the amount of drugs, which creates antibiotic
614 resistance.” (IW4, local veterinary service)

615

616 Farmers continued to buy banned antibiotics because they were perceived to be more
617 effective. To the contrary, poor-quality drugs were perceived as a major issue that the
618 government should address. Respondents also reported the presence of unlicensed shops
619 run by people without practice certificates.

620

621 *International influence*

622 The Vietnamese government developed its NAP and implemented corresponding
623 regulations, partly to respond to international demand. These regulations were strongly
624 influenced by foreign technical experts and were therefore similar to European regulations.
625 However, some respondents had a negative perception of this international influence.
626 Indeed, as Vietnamese breeding conditions differed from those in Europe, they identified
627 that some regulations were poorly suited to the context and difficult to implement.

628 For companies that must follow the international trend of reducing antibiotics in order
629 to export their products, the international influence was seen as a motivation. Indeed,
630 complying with these regulations was a step toward reaching the food safety standards
631 required for the international market.

632 *Consumers demand for food safety*

633 Producing higher-quality food that could be sold for a higher price was a motivation at
634 all levels and in all sectors. Indeed, respondents reported that consumers were more aware
635 of ABR and food quality issues and that farmers had to progressively comply with
636 consumers’ demands. However, because not all consumers had the possibility to pay a
637 higher price for chickens, this lever was still identified as moderate. Household farmers also
638 reported not using AB in their flock to protect their health.

639 Companies had started to develop labels for chicken produced with less antibiotics or
640 fed with herbs that better suit urban consumer demand. Moreover, the VietGAHP certificate
641 was needed to sell to supermarkets. The requirements to get this certification included,
642 among others, the recording of ABU and the absence of AB residues. These alternative
643 medicine companies were also driven by the desire to create safer products.

644

645 “I know that some companies have really made it part of their strategy to reduce the
646 use of antibiotics. So we’re going to discuss how to support this via our solutions and
647 farm management. (...) Some integrators are aiming for 0 AB in line with European
648 practices.” (IW32, alternative feed additives company)

649 *Reducing the burden of antibiotic resistance*

650 Reducing the burden of ABR to protect public health was a motivation shared by
651 governmental authorities (up to the provincial level), researchers, and a variety agencies,
652 distributors, and alternative medicine companies. By developing the antimicrobial

653 resistance Sub-Steering Committee, the Vietnamese government has shown that ABR is now
654 considered an important issue. The National Institute of Veterinary Research (NIVR) was
655 identified as playing a central role in this committee, but one international partner reported
656 no longer being involved in the process. The central government perceived itself as having a
657 responsibility for public health to tackle the ABR threat.

658 The private sector was also concerned about ABR as a public health issue. But some
659 veterinarians and agency level 1 and 2 representatives explained that reducing the burden
660 of ABR resulted in increased profits for them. Indeed, according to them, farmers using less
661 antibiotics will earn more money and be able to buy more supplies from their shop. Indeed,
662 many agencies sold to farmers on credit. Additionally, mandatory prescriptions were
663 perceived as an economic advantage for drug sellers. Indeed, farmers will have to rely on
664 veterinarians to use the correct dosage of drugs, which will lead not only to accurate advice
665 to limit the development of resistance but also an increase in their income. Using less AB
666 was also associated with reducing production costs for farmers and integrated companies.

667

668

669

Discussion

670 This study aimed to understand whether stakeholders in the veterinary drug value chain
671 in Vietnam understood, accepted, and implemented regulations to reduce ABU, with a focus
672 on the ban of AB in feed (decree n°13/2020/ND-CP) and mandatory prescription (circular
673 n°122020TT-BNNPTNT). To that end, we first obtained a comprehensive representation of
674 the antibiotics and alternative feed additives value chain, as well as a description of the
675 interactions between the different stakeholder categories. We distinguished between
676 stakeholders operating at the national level and the local level. We then identified the
677 technical and social capital of each stakeholder in relation to the regulations designed to
678 reduce ABU. We showed that the level of understanding, acceptance, and implementation of
679 the new regulations varied according to the structural position and influence of the
680 stakeholders within the chain. The level of influence, which depends on their level of
681 legitimacy, resources, connections, and roles in the legislative process, seemed to be higher
682 for drug companies, feed companies, alternative feed additives companies, and integrators
683 than for farmers, level 1 and 2 agencies, and veterinarians. Finally, the first two steps
684 allowed us to identify 10 factors that had a neutral, negative, or positive influence on the
685 implementation of the new regulations. According to the respondents, the high proportion
686 of small-scale farms represented one of the main barriers to their implementation, whereas
687 large-scale production systems seemed to be the most adaptable to regulatory change and
688 thus the least directly impacted. At the local level, a lack of knowledge about ABU and ABR,
689 the regulations themselves, and their objectives were reported to be important barriers.
690 Finally, enablers to implement regulations were concerned about ABR as a health risk and
691 food safety issue for all stakeholders.

692

693 Advantages and bias of conducting an iterative stakeholder mapping and analysis

694 In this study, we explored the structural position and technical and social capital of the
695 stakeholders regarding the regulations related to ABR through a systemic (Peters, 2014)
696 and interdisciplinary approach to develop recommendations adapted to the Vietnamese
697 context (Kakkar et al., 2018). We aimed to translate policy changes into changes of practice

698 that would effectively reduce the use of antibiotics in chicken production (Baudoin et al.,
699 2021). To accomplish this, we conducted an iterative stakeholder mapping and analysis
700 (SMA). SMA is a method to assess how future policies will be understood by the impacted
701 stakeholders or to support their implementation (Mayers, 2005; Zimmermann &
702 Maennling, 2007; Reed et al., 2009; Godakandage et al., 2017) by identifying key
703 stakeholders, characterizing them, describing their interactions, and exploring their
704 technical and social capital regarding the future policy and their level of influence on its
705 implementation. In fine, it provides relevant information to support the formulation of
706 recommendations to improve the effectiveness of these future policies. This approach has
707 already been applied to ABR surveillance programs in Vietnam (Bordier et al., 2018) and
708 the antibiotic value chain in Laos (Poupaud et al., 2021). By exploring barriers to the
709 implementation of new regulations using a participatory approach, we identified systemic
710 lock-ins (economical, political, technical, and social) to reducing antibiotic usage in chicken
711 production in Vietnam (Baudoin et al., 2021).

712 However, we recognize that our study has some limitations. To begin, almost all of the
713 interviews were conducted online due to the health crisis. Although this format represents
714 great interest when interviews cannot be conducted face-to-face, several biases can be
715 identified. Direct observations are reduced, and information is lost (lack of informal
716 discussions, misunderstandings, longer time to build trust, and more complicated
717 debriefing). Another limitation may have been the sensitivity of the subject to the
718 participants. Indeed, these regulations are already enforced or will be soon, and
719 participants might have been worried about the consequences of expressing their true
720 opinions. We attempted to reduce this bias by interviewing several respondents from the
721 same category and gathering information from the perspective of other participants.
722 However, we failed to contact informal stakeholders who could have helped us further
723 describe the informal value chain. Due to time and technical constraints, we only
724 interviewed one representative for some categories of stakeholders. Thus, it might have
725 been relevant to interview another district veterinarian to clarify their role in the drug
726 value chain. Finally, translation might also have caused misunderstandings. We are also
727 aware that the representation of the veterinary drug value chain may be incomplete, as it is
728 based on stakeholder interviews and reflects the situation at the time of data collection in
729 2021.

730 The inclusion or exclusion of some categories of stakeholders may influence the
731 conclusions drawn and introduce bias because of their different perspectives on the
732 regulations. Small farmers have been blamed for AB misuse and low regulatory compliance.
733 However, our sample lacked small farmers compared to other stakeholders, and we did not
734 their perspectives on their role in ABR as identified by other stakeholders. Thus, additional
735 research is needed to investigate farmers' perceptions about the ABU reduction and to
736 identify how they adapt to the need for changing practices.

737

738 Influence of stakeholders on the implementation of regulations

739 The Sub-DAHLP appeared to be the link between the central level and local
740 stakeholders. They had field expertise and were consulted by the upper level, while they
741 transmitted information on ABR, ABU, and regulations to the lower level. They were in
742 contact with drug sellers, veterinarians, and farmers (though they were unable to inspect
743 all farms), as well as researchers. However, respondents reported differences between

744 provinces on regulatory implementation, which might impact the benefits of the regulatory
745 changes. National private stakeholders were consulted on the design of the new legislation,
746 and we saw better understanding, compliance, and adaptability among these stakeholders.
747 They were also better controlled by the competent authorities. They were motivated to
748 reduce their ABU to access the international market and export their products. However,
749 our study was unable to determine the exact influence of private companies on the design
750 of the law. Local stakeholders were not involved in the process and showed a lower level of
751 understanding and compliance. Nevertheless, they were identified as key stakeholders in
752 achieving objectives due to their position in the chain as end-users and must be more
753 involved.

754 International partners perceived themselves as having a moderate influence on the
755 legislative process. However, in Vietnam, ABU and ABR monitoring remained dependent on
756 research projects, and legislation was partly developed based on the recommendations of
757 international researchers. The NAP was adopted in response to a call from international
758 organizations (OMS, 2015) and influenced by international stakeholders, even though the
759 final decision rests with the government. Regulations were sometimes identified too far
760 away from Vietnamese reality. Consequently, these regulations developed by national
761 authorities under the influence of international factors may favor production intensification
762 in Vietnam. As explained by one participant, the policy to intensify the farms was done
763 without the farmers' involvement. To be effective, regulations need to be adapted to the
764 context rather than simply copied from another country's regulations (Kirchhelle et al.,
765 2020). For example, there were still a large number of small retailers who lacked the legally
766 required practice certificates or qualifications, and they were the only source of antibiotics
767 in remote areas. In this case, strict regulation could limit small-scale farmers' access to
768 antibiotics.

769 Difficulties to implement the regulations

770 Our study showed that the new regulations would be difficult to implement in Vietnam
771 in the short term, and that local compliance could take longer than anticipated. Indeed, even
772 though antibiotics have been banned for growth promotion since 2018 (National Assembly,
773 2018), respondents still reported mixing AB with feed on the farm. This result contradicted
774 previous studies that did not report the usage of antibiotics for growth promotion (Pham-
775 Duc et al., 2019; Luu et al., 2021; Bâtie et al., 2022). This disparity could be explained by the
776 data collection process. Indeed, participatory approaches can reduce communication
777 barriers and stimulate discussion (Bordier et al., 2018). This finding is consistent with
778 several studies that have shown that the regulatory enforcement of antibiotic usage is low
779 or absent in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), particularly in Vietnam (Nguyen et
780 al., 2013). The new NAP issued in 2021 also highlighted the need for better regulatory
781 enforcement to fight against ABR (MARD, 2021), and an analysis of NAP in Southeast Asia
782 reached the same conclusion (Chua et al., 2021).

783 Not all local stakeholders who will be affected by mandatory prescriptions in a few
784 years' time were aware of the regulatory changes, and most of the respondents at different
785 levels did not believe that they would be implemented soon. In veterinary medicine, buying
786 antibiotics over the counter is a common practice driven by farmers' experience-based
787 antibiotic usage (Carrique-Mas et al., 2015; Truong et al., 2019; Bâtie et al., 2022) as it is
788 also in human medicine (McKinn et al., 2021). Moreover, people without a practice
789 certificate or veterinary training sell drugs (usually to a relative of the shop owner) and are

790 therefore not allowed to issue prescriptions. In countries where over-the-counter drugs are
791 highly regulated, the rate of self-medication is significantly lower than in Southeast Asia,
792 where drugs are widely available. Self-medication leads to antibiotic misuse and overuse
793 (Nepal & Bhatta, 2018). Changing farmers' habits (especially among the older generation
794 and for small scale farms) was perceived as difficult in Vietnam because they are using AB
795 according to their own experience or their neighbor's experiences. The implementation of
796 this essential regulation in the fight against ABR will therefore require the development of
797 tailored solutions.

798 Solutions to improve the implementation of the new regulations

799 Several respondents stressed the need to clarify regulations and strengthen sanctions to
800 improve their implementation. These sanctions should be more clearly defined, stricter, and
801 systematic. This would require clear guidance, a distribution of tasks, and more resources
802 for the authorities, including technical and human capacities (Jamrozik & Selgelid, 2020).
803 Participants suggested random on-farm feed inspections or targeting drug sellers to incur a
804 domino effect. We also identified an informal flow of antibiotics leading to the sale and
805 usage of banned substances. This informal flow has already been described in aquaculture
806 systems (Brunton et al., 2019), and producers have reported using banned substances
807 (Pham-Duc et al., 2019). Respondents also raised drug quality concerns, including the
808 presence of diluted antibiotics, which led to higher antibiotic dosages. This finding was
809 consistent with a previous study conducted among farmers in the Mekong Delta (Yen et al.,
810 2019) and is also an issue in other Southeast Asia countries (Pham-Duc &
811 Sriparamanathan, 2021).

812 Most respondents believe that reducing the proportion of small-scale farms in favor of
813 large-scale farms and integrated systems would help to alleviate the ABR burden. Small-
814 scale farms have often been blamed for AB misuse and overuse in Vietnam and worldwide
815 (Ducrot et al., 2021) and identified as stakeholders who fail to comply with regulations due
816 to their lack of adaptability (Pham-Duc & Sriparamanathan, 2021). The solution of
817 reducing the number of small scale farms is in line with the government's strategy outlined
818 in the agriculture development plan for 2025 (Prime Minister, 2021). This was also true in
819 2003, during the highly pathogenic avian influenza crisis, when industrial production was
820 pushed through partnership between the public and agro-industrial sectors, because
821 integrated farms were perceived as implementing better biosecurity (Figuié et al., 2013).
822 However, this study demonstrated that, due to consumer preference for the local market,
823 only the most competitive ones developed. Also, as one respondent pointed out, increasing
824 the size of farms does not always result in a reduction in ABU, and increasing size without
825 adequate training can have negative consequences for ABU control. Moreover, intensive
826 systems were also reported as heavy users of AB, especially in prophylaxis (Luu et al., 2021;
827 Bâtie et al., 2022). Furthermore, small-scale production has been shown in many regions to
828 be a source of women's empowerment, financial reserves, an important element for
829 ceremonies, and a contributor to nutrition (Alders et al., 2018). It also represents social
830 benefit and reinforces the relationship between householders (Dumas et al., 2018). Another
831 study in Ethiopia found that as farm size increases, men usually take control, reducing the
832 independence of women (Sambo et al., 2015). In Vietnam, the population is predominantly
833 rural, and small-scale farmers still represent the most common production systems.
834 Demand varies according to cultural events; for example, chickens are highly consumed
835 during the Lunar New Year, and many smallholders only raise chickens for this occasion

836 (Delabouglise et al., 2017). In Southeast Asia, the knowledge and practices of men and
837 women regarding AB differ depending on the context (education, socio-economic factors)
838 (Pham-Duc & Sriparamanathan, 2021). Thus, social factors must be taken into account
839 when developing livestock production (Sambo et al., 2015; Dumas et al., 2018).

840 We found that small scale farms have difficulty complying with the new regulations
841 because they lack the technical capacity to implement them. Small scale and medium scale
842 farms in Vietnam have poor biosecurity and resulting in high disease incidences, also
843 aggravated by climatic conditions, leading to a high usage of antibiotics for prevention. In
844 consequence, the reduction in ABU was perceived to have a negative impact on their
845 income. A survey of farmers, retailers, and veterinarians in Cambodia revealed that farms
846 with higher levels of biosecurity used fewer antibiotics (Ornelas-Eusebio et al., 2020).
847 Strengthening biosecurity is a target of the government, which is now developing
848 biosecurity guidelines and projects focusing on biosecurity to improve the training of
849 trainers with the National Agriculture Extension Center. Feed additives and probiotics are
850 common in Vietnam and are necessary supplements, but respondents reported a lack of
851 alternatives to antibiotics and the high price of these products.

852 In our study, the lack of knowledge among farmers and drug sellers, as well as some
853 local authorities, is a barrier to the implementation of the new regulations. Because a lack of
854 awareness of ABU and ABR's broader impact drives ABU (Brunton et al., 2019), many
855 studies have identified education programs as key interventions to improve ABU, which has
856 increased the adoption of the regulations. Some respondents were aware that ABR can
857 have serious consequences for human health, as highlighted in another study (Pham-Duc et
858 al., 2019) and we found that reducing the ABR burden could also act as a lever in reducing
859 ABU. Raising awareness already figures among the NAP objectives and has been carried
860 over to the second NAP. In Vietnam, this is achieved through mass media channels, TV
861 programs, or workshops organized at different levels. Respondents stated that, in addition
862 to the communication campaign, more training of local stakeholders was required.
863 Stakeholders must also be aware of the change in regulations, which did not seem to be the
864 case for local stakeholders. According to the respondents, information on new regulations
865 should be disseminated more widely, particularly locally. This could be done by organizing
866 workshops with district or communal veterinarians. Finally, to raise compliance with
867 regulations, relevant stakeholders must understand the objective of the law. Indeed,
868 farmers did not always understand the link between ABU reduction and ABR, as well as the
869 link between AB residues and ABR, as observed in Cambodia. Farmers and drug sellers did
870 not see the real benefit of the law, and as the fight against ABR is long-term, they tended to
871 focus on their daily problems. In Cambodia, farmers were identified as focusing more on the
872 benefits of their production rather than on the consequences of antibiotic use (Om &
873 McLaws, 2016).

874 Several stakeholders identified drugstores as critical components of the antibiotic
875 reduction strategy. Moreover, according to the respondents, drugstores would be easier to
876 manage than farmers because there were fewer of them. By raising awareness of good
877 husbandry practices, they would then be able to provide farmers with advice. Mandatory
878 prescription was sometimes perceived positively by this category of stakeholders as it
879 would attract more customers and enhance the quality of advice. If farmers had fewer
880 livestock diseases to manage, they would have higher incomes and would be willing to pay
881 for the products. It would therefore be a win-win situation for them. In a previous study,
882 drugstores were identified as the primary point of contact for farmers because they sell
883 antibiotics and provide advice (Doan Hoang et al., 2019; Bâtie et al., 2022).

905

Acknowledgements

906 We are grateful to all the respondents who participated in the study. We are thankful to
907 Nguyen Thi Nga for the data collection in north Vietnam and Le Thi Thu Hà and Ding Bao
908 Truong for the data collection in south Vietnam. We would also like to thank Anita Saxena
909 for the professional English proofreading. Part of this work has previously appeared online
910 as part of the thesis of Chloé Bâtie .

911

Funding

912 This study is part of the ROADMAP (Rethinking of Antimicrobial Decision-Systems in the
913 Management of Animal Production) project and has received funding from the European
914 Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under Grant Agreement No.
915 817626. This work was also funded in part by the GREASE platform in partnership (www.grease-network.org).
916

917

Conflict of interest disclosure

918 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial
919 or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

920

921


References

- 922 Alders RG, Dumas SE, Rukambile E, Magoke G, Maulaga W, Jong J, Costa R (2018) Family
923 poultry: Multiple roles, systems, challenges, and options for sustainable contributions to
924 household nutrition security through a planetary health lens. *Maternal & Child Nutrition*,
925 **14(S3)**, e12668. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mcn.12668>
- 926 Bâtie C, Le Thi Thu H, Loire E, Truong DB, Ha Minh T, Ngo Thi Kim C, Paul M, Goutard F
927 (2022) Characterisation of chicken farms in Vietnam: A typology of antimicrobial use
928 among different production systems. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, **208**, 105731.
929 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2022.105731>
- 930 Baudoin F, Hogeveen H, Wauters E (2021) Reducing Antimicrobial Use and Dependence in
931 Livestock Production Systems: A Social and Economic Sciences Perspective on an
932 Interdisciplinary Approach. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, **8**, 584593.
933 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.584593>
- 934 Bordier M, Binot A, Pauchard Q, Nguyen DT, Trung TN, Fortané N, Goutard FL (2018)
935 Antibiotic resistance in Vietnam: moving towards a One Health surveillance system. *BMC*
936 *Public Health*, **18**, 1136. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-6022-4>
- 937 Brugha R (2000) Stakeholder analysis: a review. *Health Policy and Planning*, **15**, 239–246.
938 <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/15.3.239>
- 939 Brunton LA, Desbois AP, Garza M, Wieland B, Mohan CV, Häsler B, Tam CC, Le PNT, Phuong
940 NT, Van PT, Nguyen-Viet H, Eltholth MM, Pham DK, Duc PP, Linh NT, Rich KM, Mateus
941 ALP, Hoque MdA, Ahad A, Khan MNA, Adams A, Guitian J (2019) Identifying hotspots for
942 antibiotic resistance emergence and selection, and elucidating pathways to human
943 exposure: Application of a systems-thinking approach to aquaculture systems. *Science of*
944 *The Total Environment*, **687**, 1344–1356.
945 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.134>
- 946 Carrique-Mas JJ, Trung NV, Hoa NT, Mai HH, Thanh TH, Campbell JI, Wagenaar JA, Hardon A,
947 Hieu TQ, Schultsz C (2015) Antimicrobial Usage in Chicken Production in the Mekong
948 Delta of Vietnam. *Zoonoses and Public Health*, **62**, 70–78.
949 <https://doi.org/10.1111/zph.12165>
- 950 Castleberry A, Nolen A (2018) Thematic analysis of qualitative research data: Is it as easy as
951 it sounds? *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, **10**, 807–815.
952 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cptl.2018.03.019>
- 953 Catley A, Alders RG, Wood JLN (2012) Participatory epidemiology: Approaches, methods,
954 experiences. *The Veterinary Journal*, **191**, 151–160.
955 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2011.03.010>
- 956 Chua AQ, Verma M, Hsu LY, Legido-Quigley H (2021) An analysis of national action plans on
957 antimicrobial resistance in Southeast Asia using a governance framework approach. *The*
958 *Lancet Regional Health: Western Pacific*, **7**, 100084.
959 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2020.100084>
- 960 Delabougliise A, Choisy M, Phan TD, Antoine-Moussiaux N, Peyre M, Vu TD, Pfeiffer DU,
961 Fournié G (2017) Economic factors influencing zoonotic disease dynamics: demand for
962 poultry meat and seasonal transmission of avian influenza in Vietnam. *Scientific Reports*,
963 **7**, 5905. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-06244-6>
- 964 Doan Hoang P, Vu Thi Quynh G, Truong DB, Nguyen Van C, Bach Tuan K, Vo Be H, Thwaites
965 G, Rushton J, Carrique-Mas J (2019) Veterinary Drug Shops as Main Sources of Supply
966 and Advice on Antimicrobials for Animal Use in the Mekong Delta of Vietnam. *Antibiotics*,
967 **8**, 195. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics8040195>


- 968 Ducrot C, Hobeika A, Lienhardt C, Wieland B, Dehays C, Delabouglise A, Bordier M, Goutard
969 F, Patel E, Figuié M, Peyre M, Moodley A, Roger F (2021) Antimicrobial Resistance in
970 Africa—How to Relieve the Burden on Family Farmers. , 27.
971 <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2710.210076>
- 972 Dumas SE, Maranga A, Mbullo P, Collins S, Wekesa P, Onono M, Young SL (2018) “Men Are
973 in Front at Eating Time, but Not When It Comes to Rearing the Chicken”: Unpacking the
974 Gendered Benefits and Costs of Livestock Ownership in Kenya. *Food and Nutrition*
975 *Bulletin*, 39, 3–27. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0379572117737428>
- 976 Figuié M, Pham AT, Moustier P (2013) Grippe aviaire dans la filière. La réorganisation du
977 secteur agro-industriel au Vietnam. *Revue d'Études en Agriculture et Environnement*, 94,
978 397–420. <https://doi.org/10.4074/S1966960713014021>
- 979 Godakandage SSP, Senarath U, Jayawickrama HS, Siriwardena I, Wickramasinghe SWADA,
980 Arumapperuma P, Ihalagama S, Nimalan S, Archchuna R, Umesh C, Uddin S, Thow AM
981 (2017) Policy and stakeholder analysis of infant and young child feeding programmes in
982 Sri Lanka. *BMC Public Health*, 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4342-4>
- 983 Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (2020) *Decree detailing a number of*
984 *articles of the Law on Animal Husbandry*.
- 985 GSOV (2016) *Results of the rural, agricultural and fishery census 2016*. General Statistics
986 Office of Vietnam, Hanoi.
- 987 Jamrozik E, Selgelid M (Eds.) (2020) *Ethics and Drug Resistance: Collective Responsibility*
988 *for Global Public Health*. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
989 <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-27874-8>
- 990 J.D. Cesaro, G. Duteurtre, Nguyen Mai Huong (2019) *Atlas de la transition de l'élevage au*
991 *Vietnam (1986-2016)*. IPSARD-CIRAD.
- 992 Kakkar M, Chatterjee P, Chauhan AS, Grace D, Lindahl J, Beeche A, Jing F, Chotinan S (2018)
993 Antimicrobial resistance in South East Asia: time to ask the right questions. *Global Health*
994 *Action*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16549716.2018.1483637>
- 995 Kim DP, Saegerman C, Douny C, Ton V, Xuan BH, Dang Vu B (2013) First survey on the use
996 of antibiotics in pig and poultry production in the Red River Delta region of Vietnam.
997 *Food Pub Health*, 3(5), 247–256. <https://doi.org/10.5923/j.fph.20130305.03>
- 998 Kirchhelle C, Atkinson P, Broom A, Chuengsatiansup K, Ferreira JP, Fortané N, Frost I,
999 Gradmann C, Hinchliffe S, Hoffman SJ, Lezaun J, Nayiga S, Outtersson K, Podolsky SH,
1000 Raymond S, Roberts AP, Singer AC, So AD, Sringernyuang L, Tayler E, Rogers Van Katwyk
1001 S, Chandler CIR (2020) Setting the standard: multidisciplinary hallmarks for structural,
1002 equitable and tracked antibiotic policy. *BMJ Global Health*, 5.
1003 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2020-003091>
- 1004 Luu QH, Nguyen TLA, Pham TN, Vo NG, Padungtod P (2021) Antimicrobial use in household,
1005 semi-industrialized, and industrialized pig and poultry farms in Viet Nam. *Preventive*
1006 *Veterinary Medicine*, 189, 105292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2021.105292>
- 1007 MARD (2017) *The National Action Plan for Management of Antibiotic Use and Control of*
1008 *Antibiotic Resistance in Livestock Production and Aquaculture during the period of*
1009 *2017-2020*.
- 1010 MARD (2020) *Circular on management of veterinary drugs containing narcotic substances*
1011 *and precursors and veterinary prescription*.
- 1012 MARD (2021) *The National Action Plan on Preventing and Combating Antibiotic resistance*
1013 *in the Agricultural Sector for the period 2021-2025 (In Vietnamese)*.
- 1014 Mayers J (2005) *Stakeholder power analysis*. International Institute for Environment and
1015 Development.

- 1016 McEwen SA, Collignon PJ (2018) Antimicrobial Resistance: a One Health Perspective (FM
1017 Aarestrup, S Schwarz, J Shen, L Cavaco, Eds.). *Microbiology Spectrum*, **6**, 6.2.10.
1018 <https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.ARBA-0009-2017>
- 1019 McKinn S, Trinh DH, Drabarek D, Trieu TT, Nguyen PTL, Cao TH, Dang AD, Nguyen TA, Fox
1020 GJ, Bernays S (2021) Drivers of antibiotic use in Vietnam: implications for designing
1021 community interventions. *BMJ Global Health*, **6**, e005875.
1022 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2021-005875>
- 1023 National Assembly (2015) *Law on veterinary medicine or law on animal health*.
- 1024 National Assembly (2018) *Law on Animal Husbandry*.
- 1025 Nepal G, Bhatta S (2018) Self-medication with Antibiotics in WHO Southeast Asian Region:
1026 A Systematic Review. *Cureus*. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.2428>
- 1027 Nguyen KV, Thi Do NT, Chandna A, Nguyen TV, Pham CV, Doan PM, Nguyen AQ, Thi Nguyen
1028 CK, Larsson M, Escalante S, Olowokure B, Laxminarayan R, Gelband H, Horby P, Thi Ngo
1029 HB, Hoang MT, Farrar J, Hien TT, Wertheim HF (2013) Antibiotic use and resistance in
1030 emerging economies: a situation analysis for Viet Nam. *BMC Public Health*, **13**, 1158.
1031 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-1158>
- 1032 Nguyen-Viet H, Tuyet-Hanh TT, Unger F, Dang-Xuan S, Grace D (2017) Food safety in
1033 Vietnam: where we are at and what we can learn from international experiences.
1034 *Infectious Diseases of Poverty*, **6**, 39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-017-0249-7>
- 1035 Om C, McLaws M-L (2016) Antibiotics: practice and opinions of Cambodian commercial
1036 farmers, animal feed retailers and veterinarians. *Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection
1037 Control*, **5**, 42. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-016-0147-y>
- 1038 OMS (2015) *Global action plan on antimicrobial resistance*.
- 1039 O'Neill J (2016) *The Review on Antimicrobial Resistance. Tackling drug-resistant infections
1040 globally: final report and recommendations*. Review on Antimicrobial Resistance,
1041 London.
- 1042 Ornelas-Eusebio E, García-Espinosa G, Laroucau K, Zanella G (2020) Characterization of
1043 commercial poultry farms in Mexico: Towards a better understanding of biosecurity
1044 practices and antibiotic usage patterns (I Karunasagar, Ed.). *PLOS ONE*, **15**, e0242354.
1045 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242354>
- 1046 Peters DH (2014) The application of systems thinking in health: why use systems thinking?
1047 *Health Research Policy and Systems*, **12**, 51. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1478-4505-12-51>
- 1048 Pham-Duc P, Cook MA, Cong-Hong H, Nguyen-Thuy H, Padungtod P, Nguyen-Thi H, Dang-
1049 Xuan S (2019) Knowledge, attitudes and practices of livestock and aquaculture
1050 producers regarding antimicrobial use and resistance in Vietnam (IF Angelillo, Ed.).
1051 *PLOS ONE*, **14**, e0223115. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0223115>
- 1052 Pham-Duc P, Sriparamanathan K (2021) Exploring gender differences in knowledge and
1053 practices related to antibiotic use in Southeast Asia: A scoping review (S Clegg, Ed.).
1054 *PLOS ONE*, **16**, e0259069. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259069>
- 1055 Pham-Thanh L (2020) Livestock Development in Hanoi City, Vietnam—Challenges and
1056 Policies. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, **7**, 10.
- 1057 Poupaud M, Putthana V, Patriarchi A, Caro D, Agunos A, Tansakul N, Goutard FL (2021)
1058 Understanding the veterinary antibiotics supply chain to address antimicrobial
1059 resistance in Lao PDR: Roles and interactions of involved stakeholders. *Acta Tropica*,
1060 **220**, 105943. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2021.105943>
- 1061 Prime Minister (2021) *Decision approving the Master plan agricultural restructure in the
1062 2021-2025 period*.

- 1063 Reed MS, Graves A, Dandy N, Posthumus H, Hubacek K, Morris J, Prell C, Quinn CH, Stringer
1064 LC (2009) Who's in and why? A typology of stakeholder analysis methods for natural
1065 resource management. *Journal of Environmental Management*, **90**, 1933–1949.
1066 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2009.01.001>
- 1067 Sambo E, Bettridge J, Dessie T, Amare A, Habte T, Wigley P, Christley RM (2015)
1068 Participatory evaluation of chicken health and production constraints in Ethiopia.
1069 *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, **118**, 117–127.
1070 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2014.10.014>
- 1071 de Sardan J-PO (2008) *La rigueur du qualitatif. Les contraintes empiriques de*
1072 *l'interprétation socio-anthropologique*. Louvain-la-neuve.
- 1073 Truong DB, Doan HP, Doan Tran VK, Nguyen VC, Bach TK, Rueanghiran C, Binot A, Goutard
1074 FL, Thwaites G, Carrique-Mas J, Rushton J (2019) Assessment of Drivers of Antimicrobial
1075 Usage in Poultry Farms in the Mekong Delta of Vietnam: A Combined Participatory
1076 Epidemiology and Q-Sorting Approach. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, **6**, 84.
1077 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2019.00084>
- 1078 WHO (2019) *Critically Important Antimicrobials for Human Medicine, 6th Revision: 2018*
1079 *Ranking of medically important antimicrobials for risk management of antimicrobial*
1080 *resistance due to non-human use*. World Health Organization, Geneva.
- 1081 Yen NTP, Phu DH, Van Cuong N, Kiet BT, Hien BV, Padungtod P, Truong DB, Thwaites GE,
1082 Carrique-Mas JJ (2019) Labelling and quality of antimicrobial products used in chicken
1083 flocks in the Mekong Delta of Vietnam. *Veterinary Medicine and Science*, **5**, 512–516.
1084 <https://doi.org/10.1002/vms3.189>
- 1085 Zimmermann A, Maennling C (2007) *Multi-stakeholder management: Tools for Stakeholder*
1086 *Analysis: 10 building blocks for designing participatory systems of cooperation*. GTZ,
1087 Eschborn.
1088



- Sell + advice
- Sell
- Informal sell
- Alternative feed additives
- Sell outside the contract
- Sell to the same group of actor



- ↔ Chain of command / recommendations
- ↔ Collaboration
- ↔ Control / recommendations
- Control
- - - -> Weak or undetermined control

PUBLIC SECTOR (n=9)

MARD = Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development
DAH = Department of Animal Health
DLP = Department of Livestock production
SubDAHLP = Subdepartment of Animal Health and Livestock
Production
DARD = Department of Agriculture and Rural Development
Veterinarian district station
Animal health worker
NIVR = National Institute of Veterinary Research
University

INTERNATIONAL PARTNERS (n=6)

WHO = World Health Organization
WOAH = World One Health Organization
FAO = Food and Agriculture Organization

Foreign research development
Donor

Foreign research center

PRIVATE SECTOR (n=15)

Antibiotics and alternative feed additive suppliers

Importer
Producer
Distributor
Feed company
Alternative feed additives
company


Integrator
Veterinarian integrated
Agency 1
Agency 2
Veterinarian
Human pharmacy

Users

Integrated farm
Family commercial farm
Household farm

PRIVATE SECTOR

PUBLIC SECTOR



INTERNATIONAL PARTNERS

- Regulations to reduce ABU*
- Sell
- - - - Informal sell
- Control
- **Control / recommendations**
- - - - Undetermined control
- Collaboration
- **Chain of command / recommendations**