

## **Challenges facing Canadian Long-Term Care Homes and Retirement Homes during the COVID-19 pandemic.**

Christine Fahim\*, PhD, MSc; Ayaat T. Hassan, MSc; Keelia Quinn de Launay, MSc; Alyson Takaoka, MSc; Elikem Togo, MPH; Lisa Strifler, MSc; Vanessa Bach, BPH; Nimitha Paul, MPH; Ana Mrazovac, MSc; Jessica Firman, MPH; Vincenza Gruppuso, PhD; Jamie M. Boyd, MSc; Sharon Straus, MD, MSc

### **\*Corresponding author**

Christine Fahim, PhD MSc  
30 Bond Street, Toronto, ON, M5B 1W8  
[christine.fahim@unityhealth.to](mailto:christine.fahim@unityhealth.to)

## ABSTRACT

COVID-19 presented a crisis for long-term care homes (LTCHs) and retirement homes (RHs). This study explored the pandemic-related challenges LTCHs and RHs faced and the strategies they used to mitigate them. Ninety-one key informant interviews were conducted with LTCH and RH leadership across 47 homes (33 LTCHs, 14 RHs) in Ontario, Canada from February 2021 to July 2022. Findings confirmed evidence for three main challenges. First, leaders were challenged to implement infection prevention and control protocols and measures. Second, they needed supports to facilitate COVID-19 vaccine access and to promote vaccine confidence. Third, LTCH/RH staff experienced significant well-being challenges in the face of COVID-19 pressures. Findings also reveal a plethora of strategies implemented by homes, with ranging reports of perceived success. Homes' needs evolved rapidly as the COVID-19 pandemic progressed. The use of a co-creation, responsive and tailored approach to address evolving barriers and meaningfully support homes during emergencies is recommended.

**Keywords:** Long-term care, LTCH, retirement homes, RH, COVID-19, congregate care, staff, personal support workers, challenges, rapid qualitative analysis

### **Key points:**

- COVID-19 challenges in homes persisted over one year into the pandemic
- We describe the IPAC, vaccine and wellness challenges faced by LTCH and RH
- We used these data to design a congregate care home support program to navigate COVID-19 challenges

## Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic created a crisis in Canadian long-term care homes (LTCH) and retirement homes (RH). The first case of COVID-19 in Canada was confirmed on January 25, 2020; by March 2020 COVID-19 was declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization (Urrutia et al., 2021).

Canada has 2076 LTCHs, of which 627 (over 30%) are located in Ontario (Canadian Institute for Health Information [CIHI], 2021b). LTCHs offer a range of health and personal care services to individuals (primarily older adults) living in these assisted living dwellings, and these residents represent a population who are more susceptible to viral infection due to their congregate living arrangements and complex health care needs (Stall et al., 2020).

In the spring of 2020, >75% of COVID-related deaths in Canada were associated with LTCH and RHs (Public Health Ontario [PHO], 2020b). By July 7, 2020 there were more than 18,000 cases and 6851 deaths among residents of LTC and RH - the majority of cases and deaths being in LTC (Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement [CFHI] & Canadian Patient Safety Institute [CPSI], 2020; Costa et al., 2021). One study found that Ontario residents living in LTC had a 13-fold increase in risk of COVID-19 related death compared to their community-living counterparts aged 69 or older (Fisman et al., 2020). During this period, LTCH staff infected with COVID-19 represented more than 10% of the country's total cases (CIHI, 2020) and by December 2021, LTCH/RH residents accounted for 3% of Canada's COVID-19 cases, but comprised 43% of Canada's COVID-19 deaths (CFHI & CPSI, 2021; CIHI, 2021a).

Nearly one year into the pandemic, approximately 35% of Ontario's LTCHs had experienced COVID-19 outbreaks (Stall et al., 2021; CIHI, 2021a).

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed a fragmented, under-resourced LTC system in Canada, with many long-standing failures that have been previously highlighted in more than 100 reports and public inquiries spanning back more than five decades coming to light (Estabrooks et al., 2023). Such failures include inadequate staffing and supports for staff, insufficient funding particularly in light of Canada’s aging population, a lack of standardized regulations that acknowledges the whole system, lack of data to inform quality improvement efforts, lack of involvement of staff, resident and family voices in the development of supports, and a need to address these gaps using a health equity lens in order not to exacerbate existing inequities (Estabrooks et al., 2020). Some, but not all of these issues apply to RHs as well, due to differences in regulation and oversight between the two settings (CFHI & CPSI, 2021). Efforts to rectify these systemic gaps in LTC persistent for over five decades remain limited, despite an abundance of high-quality evidence on effective solutions (Armstrong & Cohen, 2020; Estabrooks et al., 2023). Increasing LTCH resident complexity only further complicates this crisis in LTC – more and more residents entering LTCHs are functionally dependent with more complex health and social needs and advanced dementia (Estabrooks et al., 2023). With national failures evident and a lack of adequate support, education and remuneration provided for LTC staff even pre-pandemic, the LTC sector was simply unable to absorb the shockwaves of the COVID-19 pandemic and the sector’s lack of pandemic preparation plans (such as care plans for infected residents, access to personal protective equipment (PPE), lack of infection prevention and control (IPAC) training) exacerbated the crisis further (Estabrooks et al., 2023).

In the initial months of COVID-19, Canada experienced a far higher proportion of its COVID-19 deaths coming from LTCHs; these conditions were especially pronounced in the province of Ontario due to coordination gaps between pandemic preparedness, funding

shortages, and insufficient staffing mix (Liu et al., 2020). Given the urgent need to support LTCH/RHs with outbreak prevention and management, our team members led a rapid review that informed the WHO guidance document on COVID-19 infection, prevention and control (IPAC) in LTCH (World Health Organization, 2021; Rios et al., 2020). Shortly after this, the Ontario Ministry of Health mandated that acute care hospitals should support LTCH to implement IPAC protocols and mitigate outbreaks in alignment with this evidence (PHO, 2020a; Ontario Ministry of Long-Term Care, 2022). While not included in the mandate, RH were also encouraged to adhere to these protocols. However, LTCH and RH leaders were challenged with implementing these IPAC protocols amidst a rapidly-evolving pandemic. It was in this context that we first aimed to design a responsive, co-created support program for Ontario LTCH and RH to help the staff in these settings navigate through their COVID-19 challenges.

To inform the components of our program to support LTCH and RH to navigate the COVID-19 pandemic, we conducted robust needs assessments with LTCH and RH in the province of Ontario - one of the Canadian provinces hardest-hit by COVID-19 (Government of Canada, 2023b). Needs assessments are useful tools for gathering information about a particular population, with the purpose being to bring about change beneficial to the health of said population (Stevens & Gillam, 1998). Our specific objectives were to: (1) explore the challenges faced by LTCH and RH leadership staff to navigate the pandemic safely and to identify resources that could assist them; and, (2) to determine what types of meaningful supports would strengthen their roles as leaders and their ability to guide their residents and staff through a pandemic. In this article, we present the findings of our needs assessments, which were conducted using key informant interviews with LTCH and RH leaders.

## **Methods**

We report our study findings using the Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ). We conducted qualitative needs assessments using the Framework Method (Gale et al., 2013).

### **Long-Term Care Homes and Retirement Homes Immunity Study**

Given our extensive partnerships with LTCH and RH, we were approached by multidisciplinary colleagues to conduct immunity studies among LTCH and RH populations to assess SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence and correlates of infection during the COVID-19 pandemic (Protocol: <https://osf.io/wqrst>). During enrollment, we quickly realized that while homes facing the COVID-19 crisis saw the benefit of the research, they had little bandwidth to contribute to it. In an effort to support these homes and facilitate this critical research, we proposed the development of a support program to be delivered alongside the immunity research (COVID-19 Immunity Task Force, 2021). We sought to use co-creation methods to identify and address key challenges facing LTCH and RH and use implementation science methods to iteratively adapt, implement and evaluate a support program that addressed these challenges. Thus, we approached homes with two yoked study requests. The first was to request enrollment in a COVID-19 immunity study that involved the collection of serosamples, dried blood spots, and wastewater data to track the prevalence, spread and correlates of infection and protection of SARS-CoV-2 among their staff, staff household members, LTCH/RH residents, and resident's care partners/family members. The second was to request home leaders to participate in the design and implementation of a responsive support program that would provide tailored resources to navigate the pandemic.

### **Study Design**

We conducted semi-structured needs assessment interviews with LTCH and RH leaders to explore pandemic-related challenges, experiences implementing strategies to address these challenges, and needed supports. In keeping with other qualitative studies conducted during complex health emergencies, we used a rapid analysis approach to conduct and analyze our data in order to facilitate timely development of the support program (Johnson & Vindrola-Padros, 2017).

### **Sampling and Setting**

Our study was conducted in the province of Ontario (population 15.5 million), Canada. The Greater Toronto Area (GTA) is the most densely populated area in Ontario (population >6.2 million) (Statistics Canada, 2022) and was home to many “COVID hotspots” in the province (Jüni et al., 2021; Xia et al., 2022). Outbreaks in these hotspots were correlated with social determinants of health including income, level of education, higher proportions of visible minorities, housing density, and occupation (Xia et al., 2022; Nadesan, 2022). We primarily sampled homes in the GTA and its surrounding regions; a minority of homes were in the Ottawa and Champlain regions. Homes were eligible to participate in our study if leaders (1) provided consent to participating in both the immunity and implementation research, (2) were located in Ontario, and (3) provided a designated communication ‘point person’ within the home. Homes unable to meet these criteria were excluded from the study, as were Indigenous LTCH and RH given that our study team did not have the appropriate expertise and resources to meaningfully support Indigenous partnerships.

### **Participant Recruitment**

We used a variety of passive and active recruitment strategies to enroll homes in our study; study advertisements were shared using study websites, our project partners (including a

number of LTCH, RH, patient advocacy, government and research organizations), social media. Project partners and participants were also encouraged to share the advertisements with their networks. Within each home, we recruited LTCH and RH leadership staff (defined as decision-makers responsible for day-to-day operations in an individual LTCH or RH) to participate in key informant interviews. Leaders were eligible to participate in an interview if they were at least 18 years of age, were comfortable speaking English or French, and agreed to provide individual consent for participation. Only one leader per home was required for these interviews, however, participants were given the option of participating in group interviews.

### **Participant Characteristics**

**Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Homes.** We conducted 91 key informant interviews with LTCH or RH leadership staff across 47 homes (33 LTCH and 14 RH) between February 2021 and July 2022. Our sample included privately owned for-profit homes (63.8%), as well as privately owned non-profit (17.0%), and publicly owned, non-profit homes (19.2%) (Table 1).

The median number of floors in each home was three (range 1-8) with 128 beds (range 21-391) overall, and 129 staff per home (range 10-528). The majority of the homes were located in the Greater Toronto and Hamilton areas (n=35).

### **Data Collection**

In keeping with an integrated KT approach, we co-designed an interview guide with LTCH/RH stakeholders (Canadian Institutes of Health Research, 2015). While the initial focus of the guide was to investigate IPAC implementation challenges, stakeholders highlighted two other key areas of focus. First, stakeholders described the need to probe about challenges related to COVID-19 vaccines and second, stakeholders described the physical, emotional and mental



toll the COVID-19 pandemic had on LTCH/RH staff. In response, we created an interview guide that included probes to investigate IPAC, Vaccine and Wellness challenges. To ensure we were not leading participants during the interviews, we also invited participants to generally describe their experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic, to list any challenges they experienced challenges with, and to describe strategies they used or needed to address these challenges.

Data were collected between February 2021 and July 2022. Participants took part in a 20- to 25-minute semi-structured interview (Appendix B) via phone or Zoom (<https://zoom.us/>) conducted by an interviewer (AT, KQD, AH, JF, MSc or MPH education) and note-taker (AM, VB, OS, BSc or BPH education). Verbal consent and interviews were recorded. Notes were taken as close to verbatim as possible, in keeping with rapid analysis methodology (Nevedal et al., 2021). After the data collection session, the interviewer and note-taker reviewed the notes for accuracy; the note-takers listened to the recordings to supplement any missing details in the notes (Nevedal et al., 2021). All participants were de-identified and assigned a unique study ID. Participants were not compensated.

### **Characteristics of Interviewers**

Interviewers were Research Coordinators from the Knowledge Translation Program at St. Michael's Hospital. They were all women and had experience in qualitative research, implementation, or community-based participatory approach research. The research staff were not known to the study participants. Our research team recognizes that positionality is intersecting and fluid; our team shares some commonalities (e.g., gender), while other characteristics and viewpoints differ. With respect to this project, our team included individuals who work with older adults as clinicians, researchers, caregivers, and those with experiences of loved ones in long-term and retirement care. Our approach stemmed from a co-creation and

integrated knowledge translation lens (Jull et al., 2017) that could allow space for those working in LTCH and RH to identify their needs, which would later inform the development of relevant, tailored interventions.

### **Data Analysis**

Using a rapid analysis approach (Nevedal et al., 2021), data were double-coded by research staff and trainees experienced in implementation science methodology (KQD, LS, ET, AH, JF). Five transcripts were double-coded using open coding to generate a codebook. This codebook was used to categorize the challenges homes faced and strategies implemented to address these challenges. Experienced research staff double coded 15 interviews; discrepancies were resolved until there was 100% agreement. The remaining interviews were single-coded. Data were then themed by two researchers (AH, MSc; LS, PhD) with input from a scientist (CF, PhD).

### **Funding and Ethics**

This study was funded by the COVID-19 Immunity Task Force and the John and Myrna Daniels Charitable Foundation via the University of Toronto's Aging and Place Institute. This study received ethics approval from the Toronto Academic Health Science Network (REB 20-347).

## **Results**

We categorized the identified challenges within the overarching themes identified by our LTCH/RH partners. Specifically, three themes were identified by our partners: implementation of IPAC protocols and measures; facilitation of access and uptake of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines amidst vaccine mandates, and well-being challenges, particularly among LTCH/RH staff. In

Tables 1-3, we report the challenges related to these three categories and describe the strategies used by home leadership to address them.

## **Infection Prevention and Control**

### **Table 2: Infection Prevention and Control Challenges and Strategies Implemented.**

We identified ten challenges related to IPAC implementation during the COVID-19 pandemic (Table 1). These included: insufficient resources and time to deliver IPAC education and preparation (e.g., mask fitting), lack of consistent IPAC implementation by staff and residents with limited capacity to follow protocols (e.g., proper masking), difficulties keeping up with rapidly evolving COVID-19 protocols and mandates, resource (including personal protective equipment [PPE] and COVID-19 rapid test) shortages, impact of the physical home structure on IPAC implementation (e.g., lack of physical space to cohort and distance staff and residents), family pushback on IPAC protocols, staff PPE fatigue, and fears of returning to normal and loosening IPAC restrictions. To address these challenges, homes commonly leveraged external supports from hospitals and public health units to receive updates on COVID-19 mandates, protocols and their implementation, in addition to physical (e.g., equipment), financial, and human resources. Homes found it useful to have a dedicated IPAC champion to provide advice, guidance and staff support. Some homes implemented multi-pronged strategies (e.g., huddles, use of champions, handouts, training) to facilitate IPAC uptake; others also implemented routine audits. Other levers to IPAC implementation included having leaders who were committed to transparent and open communication, leaders with previous experience managing health emergencies, and homes with physical space conducive to IPAC cohorting and isolation.

## **COVID-19 Vaccines**

**Table 3: COVID-19 Vaccine Challenges and Strategies Implemented.** Notably, participants reported that the majority of LTCH and RH staff were supportive of COVID-19 vaccines. However, we identified six challenges to COVID-19 vaccine uptake in LTCH and RH (Table 2). Barriers at the individual staff level included mistrust around vaccine safety, beliefs that COVID-19 boosters would not improve health outcomes, and beliefs that vaccine mandates were an infringement on labour laws and personal liberties. Some staff did not feel comfortable working with residents or colleagues who were unvaccinated, which led to workplace conflict and tension. Furthermore, family members concerned about vaccine safety did not provide consent for LTCH residents to receive the vaccines.

Also reported were logistical barriers, including a lack of knowledge or ability to access a vaccine clinic, challenges using the online booking systems, and lack of vaccine availability due to Canada-wide supply chain issues. In particular, some RH leaders reported that their homes, unlike LTCH, were not prioritized to receive the COVID-19 vaccines and were challenged to advocate and secure doses for their staff members. Government-wide mandatory vaccination policies were perceived both as a barrier to uptake (some viewed it as an infringement on personal liberties) and a facilitator to uptake (led the majority of LTCH/RH residents and staff to receive the first two doses), though uptake of subsequent COVID-19 boosters remained a persistent challenge. Doubts about COVID-19 booster necessity was driven by beliefs that people had COVID-19 antibodies from their initial doses or from natural illness, and because COVID-19 case numbers were increasing despite high vaccination rates.

Enablers to vaccine uptake were also identified. Some staff believed that vaccine uptake (particularly the initial two doses) would facilitate a return to normalcy, for instance, by ending lockdowns and allowing staff to return to work in more than one home. Others became

discouraged when public health mandates remained unchanged (e.g., lockdowns) and COVID-19 cases continued, following uptake of COVID-19 vaccines.

In other homes, outbreaks drove staff, who were initially hesitant, to receive the vaccines. Participants reported the use of multi-pronged strategies to address vaccine misinformation and concerns, including 1-to-1 conversations with staff, engaging leadership to provide education about the vaccines, distribution of educational materials to address key concerns, and holding town halls with respected experts. Use of opinion leaders and respected vaccine champions were also found to be effective strategies to combat vaccine hesitancy, particularly when champions included members of historically marginalized populations and reflected the demographics of the LTCH/RH staff population. LTCH/RH policies such as provision of financial resources (e.g., transportation, parking coverage) and providing incentives to vaccination were perceived as effective strategies. Finally, homes used connections to IPAC supports, including IPAC hubs that included regional hospitals, to facilitate access to vaccine supply and appointments, particularly at the start of the vaccine rollout. Some sites overcame logistical barriers to vaccine access by supporting online appointment booking or hosting mobile or on-site vaccine clinics. Some homes also offered boosters to caregivers while they were visiting residents.

### **Staff Well-Being**

**Table 4: Well-being Challenges and Strategies Implemented.** LTCH/RH leaders reported that frontline staff such as PSWs and nurses experienced a variety of challenges to well-being during the pandemic and described significant experiences of burnout, low morale, and mental health challenges. These were driven by working in a high-stress, high-risk environment coupled with challenges outside of the workplace, such as fear of transmitting COVID-19 to their families, colleagues and residents, childcare challenges, fears about vaccine safety, and

general fears about the novel virus and its impacts (including health and economic impacts).

Provincial policies aiming to curb COVID-19 spread prevented staff from working in more than one home, which led to staff shortages and increased burden on existing staff. Policies on social distancing prevented the implementation of social and activities programming for residents and increased resident isolation, which led to decreased social interaction and subsequent declines in residents' physical and mental health. Participants reported that they and their staff felt helpless to address these concerns and were unable to support their residents' needs.

Staff also became sick with COVID-19, saw colleagues and family members become sick with COVID-19 and witnessed the death of residents. As the pandemic continued, staff were expected to maintain high rates of compliance with IPAC protocols, including wearing masks while much of the province had lifted masking requirements. This led some staff to experience IPAC fatigue, which was compounded by emotional and physical burnout. Some staff refused to work with residents who had COVID-19 and others left their positions or chose to retire early due to burnout and feelings of 'moral injury' (i.e., feeling unable to care for residents in an optimal way while feeling unsupported by leadership). In turn, these challenges increased staffing shortages and pressures on remaining staff. For instance, role shifting was prevalent with many frontline staff (and sometimes managers) taking on responsibilities outside of their traditional tasks (e.g., personal support workers implemented IPAC protocols, nurses became IPAC practitioners, and managers supported resident care) while many staff worked longer hours or double shifts to meet home and resident needs. When homes used agencies to address staff shortages, regular staff members worked alongside people they did not know or trust, which sometimes led to increased stress, workplace conflict, reduced staff morale and team cohesion.

LTCH/RH leadership also faced a number of unique challenges. In addition to trying to maintain home functioning and staff morale, leaders were required to participate in daily or weekly calls with external organizations such as public health units or hospital IPAC hubs. System inefficiencies also challenged home leaders; for instance, some participants reported being forced to individually source PPE amidst the country-wide shortages. One participant reported having to source equipment from three medical providers, as the government had not yet developed its centralized system. Leaders also struggled to stay current with continually changing COVID-19 mandates, policies and guidance, and found it challenging to address questions about the nature of the virus or, as the pandemic evolved, COVID-19 vaccines. Participants also reported high levels of distrust directed toward them from by staff and by residents' family members who were frustrated by IPAC protocols and lockdowns which limited or eliminated visits to loved ones. Leaders reported feeling insufficiently equipped to provide resources to staff to address these challenges. At the height of the pandemic, they prioritized implementation of IPAC protocols and resident care, which left little capacity to develop and implement wellness programs to support staff. Some did report the implementation of well-being activities in the workplace, however, they were also reports of discontinuation of these activities due to a lack of capacity or funding to sustain them. Other participants implemented and encouraged mental health supports such as employee assistance programs, but noted little uptake among staff due to accessibility challenges and stigma associated with seeking mental health supports.

Despite these challenges, leaders committed to staff well-being constantly found opportunities to implement multi-pronged and tailored strategies (see Table 3). Some leaders used a co-creation approach to develop and implement these strategies, such as the formation of a wellness committee or providing opportunities for leadership to listen to staff concerns.

## Discussion

The impact of COVID-19 on long-term care and retirement homes was devastating. LTCH/RH staff were at increased risk of becoming sick with COVID-19, not only through workplace exposures, but because they often resided in neighborhoods with lower household incomes, higher rates of ‘essential/frontline’ workers, and higher rates of COVID-19 (Ma et al., 2022). Nearly one year into the pandemic, we conducted 91 key informant interviews with LTCH and RH leadership in 47 homes to assess their experiences navigating the pandemic and to define the challenges faced by LTCH and RH.

Participants identified three overarching challenges associated with IPAC implementation, COVID-19 vaccine uptake, and overall staff well-being. In the early days of the pandemic, concerns were related primarily to a shortage of PPE resources (e.g., masks, face shields) and IPAC protocol implementation issues. Many of these challenges have been well-reported and highlighted as significant concerns for congregate homes for decades (Estabrooks et al., 2020; Estabrooks et al., 2023; Rochon et al., 2022). These challenges include physical infrastructure not conducive to cohorting, distancing or isolation, and limited resources to support the implementation of IPAC protocols (e.g., IPAC specialists) (Estabrooks et al., 2020; Estabrooks et al., 2023). Other contextual challenges include supply chain shortages (Healthcare Excellence Canada, 2020; Houston et al., 2023), which limited access not only to PPE, but also to COVID-19 PCR testing (when rapid antigen tests had not yet become widely available) (Sinha et al., 2021).

As PPE supplies became more available and IPAC protocols became routinized with the support of LTCH/RH leadership, hospital and public health units, other challenges emerged. When COVID-19 vaccines entered our health system in December 2020, home leaders



advocated for early access for their residents and staff. While this prioritization was later granted to LTCH, this was not the case for RH, creating equity imbalances (Sinha et al., 2021; Mishra et al., 2023; Estabrooks et al., 2023; Clark et al., 2023). Further, home leaders contended with staff and family fears about vaccine safety and efficacy; these concerns further escalated with the Ontario government's introduction of mandatory vaccination policies in the spring of 2021 (Ontario Newsroom, 2021).

Frequent changes to IPAC and vaccination policies posed further challenges. In Canada, these included conflicting information about the safety of mixing vaccines, the safety of the AstraZeneca vaccine, vaccine schedules, and the speed at which vaccines were approved (AlShurman et al., 2023). The absence of clear rationales and mixed messaging created confusion and eroded trust among staff and families, fostering fear, anger, and resistance to policies like vaccine mandates, family visitation, and masking (Rochon et al., 2022). Multiple studies have demonstrated the link between inconsistent, unclear vaccination policies and a rise of vaccine hesitancy (Vernon-Wilson et al., 2023). These policies reinforced doubts about the vaccines and fueled mistrust of health organizations, especially among historically marginalized communities (Fahim et al., 2023b; Fahim et al., 2023a; Theivendrampillai et al., 2023). These sentiments extended to LTCH/RH and other healthcare staff, with high levels of vaccine hesitancy reported as the vaccines were first rolled out (Murmans et al., 2023). This context added significant strain on home leaders, who did not feel well equipped or supported to navigate access, uptake, and morale challenges (Vernon-Wilson et al., 2023; AlShurman et al., 2023; Lowe et al., 2022).

Challenges to staff well-being were consistently reported in our interviews. Frontline staff increasingly faced stress, resulting in PTSD from watching residents and colleagues become

ill and die from COVID-19 while at the same time experienced burnout and other negative effects of short-staffing (Fisher et al., 2021). They were confronted by feelings of guilt, at times leading to moral injury (Reynolds et al., 2022) when they watched residents deteriorate from a lack of socialization or activity programming and visitations due to IPAC policies, as they lacked the power or authority to address this (Healthcare Excellence Canada, 2020). Studies have described LTCH/RH workers as the “forgotten front line” (Fisher et al, 2021). While other healthcare workers were being hailed as heroes, LTCH/RH staff, particularly staff in unregulated roles, such as Personal Support Workers, remained underpaid, underappreciated and under-supported (Mishra et al., 2020; Sultana & Ravanera, 2020; Sathiyamoorthy, 2020 ; Hapsari et al., 2022). These workers, who provide 90% of direct resident care, often work as part-time or casual employees in multiple homes. Mandates such as the ‘one-home policy’ requiring staff to only work in one home, coupled with province-wide shutdowns, directly impacted staff incomes and amplified needs for basic services such as childcare support and transportation (Reopening Ontario Act, 2020). Unsurprisingly, such challenges directly impacted well-being and mental health and compounded the issues staff faced at work. Racialized staff (estimated at >40% of the Ontario personal support worker workforce) also experienced intersecting challenges related to racism and workplace violence (Ontario Ministry of Long-Term Care, 2020; Sethi, 2020; Ejaz et al., 2011). In our study, we identified a few homes with robust employee support programs or supports; those that did have services available reported low uptake by staff due to lack of capacity to engage, lack of awareness or concerns about stigma.

Our participants described a plethora of strategies that were used to mitigate these challenges. With respect to IPAC and vaccines, homes found it helpful to appoint dedicated champions or facilitators to support compliance and uptake. Many homes reported developing

educational resources and conducting audits to measure IPAC and vaccine compliance. Others praised their public health units who provided a range of supports to homes, from educational materials to equipment (e.g., PPE and cleaning supplies) to financial supports to homes. Leaders also described the benefits of the hub-and-spoke care delivery model (Government of Canada, 2023c), which was implemented to allow hospitals to ‘partner’ with LTCH and provide supports; notably, these integrations were largely absent pre-pandemic (Estabrooks et al., 2020; Estabrooks et al., 2023). Having effective leadership that was empathetic, collaborative, and engaged was consistently cited as a facilitator to combatting challenges related to IPAC, vaccines and well-being. In our study, participants reported implementing various strategies to maintain staff well-being and morale during the pandemic, including providing access to behavioral supports for residents, encouraging vacation time, offering employee assistance programs, and hosting wellness days and activities. Still, these were often deemed insufficient in the absence of government-wide policies such as paid sick leave for COVID-19 testing, vaccinations (Ontario Ministry of Labour, Immigration, Training, and Skills Development, 2023), or illness and financial benefits (Government of Canada, 2023a).

The COVID-19 pandemic put a spotlight on longstanding inequities and systems gaps for the LTCH and RH sectors and their workers in Canada. These factors had a direct impact on homes’ abilities to respond to novel coronavirus, resulting in a tragic crisis. Ontario’s Long-Term Care COVID-19 Commission published its final report in April 2021, noting that, “many of the challenges that had festered in the long-term care sector for decades- chronic underfunding, severe staffing shortages, outdated infrastructure and poor oversight – contributed to deadly consequences for Ontario’s most vulnerable citizens during the pandemic.” (Marrocco et al., 2021). Our findings are consistent with the well-documented challenges in LTCH and RH and

other research conducted at this time (Stuart, 2022; Baumann et al., 2022; Ayalon et al., 2020; Syed & Ahmad, 2021; Hung et al., 2022; McGilton et al., 2021). However, our data also show that the systemic challenges reported by other researchers earlier in the pandemic continued to persist well through 2021 and 2022 despite massive media and government attention and efforts to address these challenges (Wong et al., 2021; Ayalon et al., 2020; Estabrooks et al., 2020). As described in *Restoring Trust* policy briefing, “COVID-19 [was] a shock wave that cracked wide all the fractures in our nursing home system” (Estabrooks et al., 2020, p. 8). Despite these systemic challenges, a number of factors were identified as facilitators to a strong response to COVID-19. In 2022, Baumann and colleagues found homes that were able to mobilize early had strong leaders that quickly implemented the required training and restructuring to implement Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care directives, and were directly involved in the response (i.e., on the ground with frontline staff, rather than in an office or working from home). Effective managers were those who provided clear, consistent and continuous communication to staff while minimizing over-communication to reduce cognitive overload. Systematic review data further identified home leadership quality and feeling supported as direct correlates to LTCH staff’s burnout and quality of life indicators (Costello et al., 2019).

Our study was one of few in Ontario that was conducted with the intent of designing immediate and sustained strategies to holistically support LTCH and RH leaders to navigate the pandemic and post-pandemic period (Zelmer et al., 2023; Glowinski et al., 2022). We leveraged these findings to design the Wellness Hub program, which we describe in detail elsewhere (<https://wellness-hub.ca/>). Briefly, Wellness Hub delivered educational resources and tailored strategies to support IPAC, vaccine and well-being concerns in LTCH and RH. Unlike other interventions with a singular focus (Glowinski et al., 2022), our program leveraged province-

wide LTCH supports (e.g., Healthcare Excellence Canada’s LTC+ program) and aimed to deliver a comprehensive program with integrated supports for IPAC, vaccine confidence and staff well-being in order to streamline implementation and reduce burden (Zelmer et al., 2023). By iteratively collecting needs assessment data while designing our support program, we were able to be nimble, adaptive and responsive to the rapidly-changing COVID-19 context.

### **Limitations**

Our study has limitations. It was limited to homes in Ontario, Canada, and mostly included homes located in the Greater Toronto Area. We interviewed LTCH and RH leadership to quickly facilitate a needs assessment to inform the development of a subsequent support program; however, the perspectives of LTCH and RH residents, caregivers, and staff are important voices missing from our assessment. Finally, we conducted these interviews over a 17-month period between February 2021 and July 2022. Thus, reported challenges facing homes in the early waves of the pandemic (March 2020 – February 2021) may have been subject to a recall bias, though we anticipate the impact of this bias is limited, given consistency of our findings with other research reported during this time. Our findings demonstrate credibility, confirmability and we have extensively reported our methodology in order to enhance dependability. Our study setting was limited to LTCH and RH in the province of Ontario, mainly in the Greater Toronto Region. The transferability of our findings may be limited compared to other settings, including rural Ontario and other regions in Canada, although based on other national reports, we anticipate these challenges may have been similar, though perhaps experienced to different degrees, across homes in Canada (Stenfors et al., 2020).

### **Conclusion**

LTCH and RH experienced significant challenges during the pandemic related to IPAC implementation, uptake of COVID-19 vaccines and staff well-being and mental health. We recommend that evidence-based strategies should be identified and implemented using a co-created, tailored approach in order to mitigate these barriers and leverage strengths and facilitators. We used the findings of this study to develop a program to support long-term care and retirement homes to navigate the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank Chelsea Gao, Oswa Shafei, and Jane Dim for their contributions to data collection.

**Declaration of interest statement:** This work was supported by the COVID-19 Immunity Task Force under Grant #2021-HQ-000143.

## References

- AlShurman, B.A., Tetui, M., Nanyonjo, A., Butt, Z.A., Waite, N.M., Vernon-Wilson, E., Wong, G., & Grindrod, K. Understanding the COVID-19 Vaccine Policy Terrain in Ontario Canada: A Policy Analysis of the Actors, Content, Processes, and Context. *Vaccines (Basel)*,11(4), 782. doi: 10.3390/vaccines11040782.
- Armstrong, P. & Cohen, M. (2020). *A higher standard: Setting federal standards in long-term care and continuing care*. Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives.  
<https://policyalternatives.ca/publications/reports/higher-standard-0>
- Ayalon, L., Zisberg, A., Cohn-Schwartz, E., Cohen-Mansfield, J., Perel-Levin, S., & Bar-Asher Siegal, E. (2020). *Long-term care settings in the times of COVID-19: Challenges and future directions*. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 32(10), 1239-1243.  
doi:10.1017/S1041610220001416
- Baumann, A., Crea-Arsenio, M., Lavoie-Tremblay, M., Meershoek, A., Norman, P., & Deber, R. (2022). *Exemplars in Long-Term Care during COVID-19: The Importance of Leadership*. *Healthcare Policy*, 17, 27-39. Doi: 10.12927/hcpol.2022.26856
- Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement & Canadian Patient Safety Institute. (2020). *Reimagining care for older adults*.  
[https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5c2fa7b03917eed9b5a436d8/t/5f1f2a0f5624084417d198f8/1595877903854/LTC%2B\\_FullReport\\_EN.pdf](https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5c2fa7b03917eed9b5a436d8/t/5f1f2a0f5624084417d198f8/1595877903854/LTC%2B_FullReport_EN.pdf)
- Canadian Institute for Health Information. (2020). *Pandemic Experience in the Long-Term Care Sector: How Does Canada Compare with Other Countries?*

<https://www.cihi.ca/sites/default/files/document/covid-19-rapid-response-long-term-care-snapshot-en.pdf>.

Canadian Institute for Health Information. (2021a). *Covid-19's impact on long-term care*.

<https://www.cihi.ca/en/covid-19-resources/impact-of-covid-19-on-canadas-health-care-systems/long-term-care>

Canadian Institute for Health Information. (2021b). *Long-term care homes in Canada: How many and who owns them?* <https://www.cihi.ca/en/long-term-care-homes-in-canada-how-many-and-who-owns-them>

Canadian Institutes of Health Research. (2015). *Guide to Knowledge Translation Planning at CIHR: Integrated and End-of-Grant Approaches*. <https://cihr-irsc.gc.ca/e/45321.html#a3>

Clark, J., Straus, S.E., Houston, A., & Abbasi, K. (2023). The world expected more of Canada. *British Medical Journal*, 382, p1634. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.p1634>

Clarke, J. (2021). *Impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic in nursing and residential care facilities in Canada*. Statistics Canada. <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/45-28-0001/2021001/article/00025-eng.htm>

Costa, A. P., Manis, D. R., Jones, A., Stall, N. M., Brown, K. A., Boscart, V., Castellino, A., Heckman, G. A., Hillmer, M. P., Ma, C., Pham, P., Rais, S., Sinha, S. K., & Poss, J. W. (2021). Risk factors for outbreaks of SARS-COV-2 infection at retirement homes in Ontario, Canada: A population-level cohort study. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 193(19). <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.202756>



Costello, H., Walsh, S., Cooper, C., & Livingston, G. (2019). A systematic review and meta-analysis of the prevalence and associations of stress and burnout among staff in long-term care facilities for people with dementia. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 31(8), 1203-1216. doi:10.1017/S1041610218001606

COVID-19 Immunity Task Force. (2021). *Pan-Canadian studies investigate why COVID-19 has been so devastating to long-term care facility residents and staff*.

<https://www.covid19immunitytaskforce.ca/pan-canadian-studies-investigate-why-covid-19-has-been-so-devastating-to-long-term-care-facility-residents-and-staff/>

Ejaz, F.K., Rentsch, J.H., Noelker, L.S., & Castora-Binkley, M. (2011). Racism Reported by Direct Care Workers in Long-Term Care Settings. *Race and Social Problems*, 3(2), 92-98.

Doi: 10.1007/s12552-011-9045-3

Estabrooks, C.A., Straus, S.E., Flood, C.M., Keefe, J., Armstrong, P., Donner, G.J., Boscart, V., Ducharme, F., Silvius, J.L., & Wolfson, M.C. (2020). *Restoring trust: COVID-19 and the future of long-term care in Canada*. Royal Society of Canada. [https://rsc-src.ca/sites/default/files/LTC%20PB%20%2B%20ES\\_EN\\_0.pdf](https://rsc-src.ca/sites/default/files/LTC%20PB%20%2B%20ES_EN_0.pdf)

Estabrooks, C.A., Ewa, V., Keefe, J., & Straus, S.E. (2023). The predictable crisis of covid-19 in Canada's long term care homes. *British Medical Journal*, 382, e075148.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2023-075148>

Fahim, C., Cooper, J., Theivendrampillai, S., Pham, B., & Straus, S. (2023a). Exploring Canadian perceptions and experiences of stigma during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Front Public Health*, 11. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1068268.

- Fahim, C., Cooper, J., Theivendrampillai, S., Pham, B., & Straus, S. (2023b). Ontarians' Perceptions of Public Health Communications and Misinformation During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Survey Study. *JMIR Formative Research*, 7, e38323. doi: 10.2196/38323.
- Fisman, D. N., Bogoch, I., Lapointe-Shaw, L., McCready, J., & Tuite, A. R. (2020). Risk factors associated with mortality among residents with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in long-term care facilities in Ontario, Canada. *JAMA Network Open*, 3(7).  
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.15957>
- Fisher, E., Cárdenas, L., Kieffer, E., & Larson, E. (2021). Reflections from the “Forgotten Front Line”: A qualitative study of factors affecting wellbeing among long-term care workers in New York City during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Geriatric Nursing*, 42(6), 1408-1414.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gerinurse.2021.09.002>
- Gale, N.K., Heath, G., Cameron, E., Rashid, S., & Redwood, S. (2013). Using the framework method for the analysis of qualitative data in multi-disciplinary health research. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 13(117). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-13-117>
- Glowinski, B.J., Vellani, S., Aboumradi, M., Beogo, I., Frank, T., Havaei, F., Kaasalainen, S., Lashewicz, B., Levy, A.M., McGilton, K.S., McMurray, J., & Sims-Gould, J. (2022). The Canadian Long-Term Care Sector Collapse from COVID-19: Innovations to Support People in the Workforce. *Healthcare Quarterly*, 25, 20-26. doi:10.12927/hcq.2022.26982
- Government of Canada. (2023a). *COVID-19 benefits from the CRA*.  
<https://www.canada.ca/en/revenue-agency/services/benefits.html>

Government of Canada. (2023b). *COVID-19 epidemiology update: Summary*. <https://health-infobase.canada.ca/src/data/covidLive/Epidemiological-summary-of-COVID-19-cases-in-Canada-Canada.ca.pdf>

Government of Canada. (2023c). Integration of hospital with congregate care homes in response to COVID-19. <https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/reports-publications/canada-communicable-disease-report-ccdr/monthly-issue/2023-49/issue-2-3-february-march-2023/integration-hospital-etablissements-reponse-pandemie-covid-19.html>

Hapsari, A.P., Ho, J.W., Meaney, C., Avery, L., Hassen, N., Jetha, A., Lay, A.M., Rotondi, M., Zuberi, D., & Pinto, A. The working conditions for personal support workers in the Greater Toronto Area during the COVID-19 pandemic: a mixed-methods study. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 113(6), 817-833. doi: 10.17269/s41997-022-00643-7.

Healthcare Excellence Canada. (2020). *Reimagining care for older adults: Next Steps in COVID-19 Response in Long-Term Care and Retirement Homes*. [https://www.healthcareexcellence.ca/media/pyeh54rn/2022\\_reimaginingcareforolderadults\\_whatweheard\\_en-final-ua.pdf](https://www.healthcareexcellence.ca/media/pyeh54rn/2022_reimaginingcareforolderadults_whatweheard_en-final-ua.pdf)

Houston, A.R., Liu, J., Habibi, R., Murthy, S., & Pai, M. (2023). Canada's role in covid-19 global vaccine equity failures. *British Medical Journal*, 382, e075149. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2023-075149>

Hung, L., Yang, S.C., Gui, E., Sakamoto, M., Mann, J., Dunn, S., & Horne, N. (2022). Staff experience of a Canadian long-term care home during a COVID-19 outbreak: a qualitative study. *BMC Nursing*, 21(45). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-022-00823-3>

- Johnson, G.A., & Vindrola-Padros, C. (2017). Rapid qualitative research methods during complex health emergencies: A systemic review of the literature. *Social Science & Medicine* 189, 63-75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.07.029>
- Jull, J., Giles, A., & Graham, I.D. (2017). Community-based participatory research integrated knowledge translation: advancing the co-creating of knowledge. *Implementation Science*, 12(1), 150. Doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0696-3
- Jüni, P., da Costa, B.R., Maltsev, A., Katz, G.M., Perkhun, A., Yan, S., & Bodmer, N.S. (2021). Ontario dashboard. *Science Briefs of the Ontario COVID-19 Science Advisory Table*. <https://doi.org/10.47326/ocsat.dashboard.2021.1.0>
- Liu, M., Maxwell, C. J., Armstrong, P., Schwandt, M., Moser, A., McGregor, M. J., Bronskill, S. E., & Dhalla, I. A. (2020). COVID-19 in long-term care homes in Ontario and British Columbia. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 192(47), E1540-E1546. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.201860>
- Lowe, M., Harmon, S.H.E., Kholina, K., Parker, R., & Graham, J.E. (2022) Public health communication in Canada during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 113(Suppl 1), 34-45. doi: 10.17269/s41997-022-00702-z.
- Ma, H., Yiu, K.C.Y., Baral, S.D., Fahim, C., Moloney, G., Darvin, D., Landsman, D., Chan, A.K., Straus, S., & Mishra, S. (2022). COVID-19 Cases Among Congregate Care Facility Staff by Neighborhood of Residence and Social and Structural Determinants: Observational Study. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, 8(10). e34927. Doi: 10.2196/34927

Marrocco, F.N., Coke, A., & Kitts, J. (2021). *Ontario's Long-Term Care COVID-19*

*Commission: Final report*. [https://wayback.archive-it.org/17275/20210810150133/http://www.ltccommission-commissionsld.ca/report/pdf/20210623\\_LTCC\\_AODA\\_EN.pdf](https://wayback.archive-it.org/17275/20210810150133/http://www.ltccommission-commissionsld.ca/report/pdf/20210623_LTCC_AODA_EN.pdf)

McGilton, K.S., Krassikova, A., Boscart, V., Sidani, S., Iaboni, A., Vellani, S., & Escrig-Pinol,

A. (2021). Nurse Practitioners Rising to the Challenge During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic in Long Term Care Homes. *The Gerontologist*, *61*(4), 615-623.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnab030>

Mishra, S., Kwong, J.C., Chan, A.K., & Baral, S.D. (2020). Understanding heterogeneity to

inform the public health response to COVID-19 in Canada. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, *192*(25), E684-E685. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.201112.

Mishra, S., Walker, J.D., Wilhelm, L., Larivière, V., Bubela, T., & Straus, S.E. (2023). Use and

misuse of research: Canada's response to covid-19 and its health inequalities. *British Medical Journal*, *382*, e075666. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2023-075666>

Murmann, M., Reed, A.C., Scott, M., Presseau, J., Heer, C., May, K., Ramzy, A., Huynh, C.N.,

Skidmore, B., Welch, V., Little, J., Wilson, K., Brouwers, M., & Hsu, A.T. (2023).

Exploring COVID-19 education to support vaccine confidence amongst the general adult population with special considerations for healthcare and long-term care staff: A scoping review. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, *19*(3), e1352. doi: 10.1002/cl2.1352.

Nadesan, P. (2022). Exploring the Differential Impact of COVID-19 on the Greater Toronto Area: A Literature Review. *McMaster University Journal of Public Health*, 1(11).

<https://doi.org/10.15173/mujph.v1i1.3032>

Nevedal, A.L., Reardon, C.M., Opra Widerquist, M.A., Jackson, G.L., Cutrona, S.L., White, B.S., & Damschroder, L.J. (2021). Rapid versus traditional qualitative analysis using the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR). *Implementation Science*, 16(67). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-021-01111-5>

Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion (Public Health Ontario). (2020a). *Infection prevention and control for long-term care homes: summary of key principles and best practices*. <https://www.publichealthontario.ca/-/media/documents/I/2021/ipac-ltch-principles-best-practices>

Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion (Public Health Ontario). (2020b). *Enhanced Epidemiological Summary: COVID-19 in Long-Term Care Homes in Ontario – January 15, 2020 to February 28, 2021*. <https://www.publichealthontario.ca/-/media/documents/ncov/epi/2020/06/covid-19-epi-ltch-residents.pdf?la=en>

Ontario Ministry of Labour, Immigration, Training, and Skills Development. (2023). *Ontario COVID-19 Worker Income Protection Benefit*. <https://www.ontario.ca/page/covid-19-worker-income-protection-benefit>

Ontario Ministry of Long-Term Care. (2020). *Long-Term Care Staffing Study*. ON: Long-Term Care Staffing Advisory Group; 1-50. <https://www.ontario.ca/page/long-term-care-staffing-study>

Ontario Ministry of Long-Term Care. (2022). *Infection Prevention and Control Standard for Long-Term Care Homes*.

<https://ltchomes.net/LTCHPORTAL/Content/12.%20IPAC%20Standard%20-%20EN.pdf>

Ontario Newsroom. (2021). *Ontario Mandates Immunization Policies for Long-term Care Homes*. [https://news.ontario.ca/en/release/1000230/ontario-mandates-immunization-](https://news.ontario.ca/en/release/1000230/ontario-mandates-immunization-policies-for-long-term-care-homes)

[policies-for-long-term-care-homes](https://news.ontario.ca/en/release/1000230/ontario-mandates-immunization-policies-for-long-term-care-homes)

Reopening Ontario (A Flexible Response to COVID-19) Act, (2020). *Limiting Work to A Single Long-Term Care Home*. <https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/200146>

Reynolds, K.A., Pankratz, L., Jain, B., Grocott, B., Bonin, L., King, G., Sommer, J.L., El-Gabalawy, R., Giuliano, R.J., Kredentser, M., Mota, N., & Roos, L.E. (2022). Moral Injury Among Frontline Long-Term Care Staff and Management During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Frontier in Health Services*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frhs.2022.841244>

Rios, P., Radhakrishnan, A., Williams, C., Ramkissoon, N., Pham, B., Cormack, G.V., Grossman, M.R., Muller, M.P., Straus, S.E., & Tricco, A.C. (2020). Preventing the transmission of COVID-19 and other coronaviruses in older adults aged 60 years and above living in long-term care: a rapid review. *Systematic Reviews*, 9(1):218. doi: 10.1186/s13643-020-01486-4.

Rochon, P.A., Li, J.M., Johnstone, J., Brown, K.A., Armstrong, P., Tanuseputro, P., Savage, R., Gill, S.S., Mather, R., Costa, A.P., McGeer, A., Sinha, S., Gardner, C., Perkhun, A., Bodmer, N.S., Jüni, P., Razak, F., & Born, K.B. (2022). The COVID-19 Pandemic's

Impact on Long-Term Care Homes: Five Lessons Learned. *Science Briefs of the Ontario COVID-19 Science Advisory Table 3*(60). <https://doi.org/10.47326/ocsat.2022.03.60.1.0>

Sathiyamoorthy, T. (2020). Supporting support workers: PSWs need increased protections during COVID-19. *Wellesley Institute*. <https://www.wellesleyinstitute.com/work-health/supporting-support-workers-psws-need-increased-protections-during-covid-19/>

Sethi, B. (2020, September 2). Personal support workers are the backbone of health care but the bottom of the power structure. *The Conversation*. <https://theconversation.com/personal-support-workers-are-the-backbone-of-health-care-but-the-bottom-of-the-power-structure-144648>

Sinha, S., Feil, C., & Iciaszyk, N. (2021). *The Rollout of COVID-19 Vaccines in Canadian Long-Term Care Homes, 9<sup>th</sup> April update*. International Long-Term Care Policy Network. <https://ltccovid.org/2021/04/12/the-rollout-of-covid-19-vaccines-in-canadian-long-term-care-homes-9th-april-update/>

Stall, N. M., Jones, A., Brown, K. A., Rochon, P. A., & Costa, A. P. (2020). For-profit long-term care homes and the risk of covid-19 outbreaks and resident deaths. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 192(33). <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.201197>

Stall, N.M., Brown, K.A., Maltsev, A., Jones, A., Costa, A.P., Allen, V., Brown, A.D., Evans, G.A., Fisman, D.N., Johnstone, J., Jüni, P., Malikov, K., McGeer, A., Rochon, P.A., Sander, B., Schwartz, B., Sinha, S.K., Smith, K., Tuite, A.R., & Hillmer, M.P. (2021). COVID-19 and Ontario's Long-Term Care Homes. *Ontario COVID19 Science Advisory Table*, 2(7). <https://doi.org/10.47326/ocsat.2021.02.07.1.0>



- Statistics Canada. (2022). *Focus on Geography Series, 2021 Census of Population: Toronto, Census metropolitan area*. <https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2021/as-sa/fogs-spg/page.cfm?lang=E&topic=1&dguid=2021S0503535>
- Stenfors, T., Kajamaa, A., & Bennett, D. (2020). How to ... assess the quality of qualitative research. *The Clinical Teacher*, 17, 596-599. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tct.13242>
- Stevens, A., & Gillam, S. (1998). *Needs assessment: From theory to practice*. *BMJ*, 316(7142), 1448–1452. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.316.7142.1448>
- Stuart, N. (2022). Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic for Long-Term Care: Where Do We Go Next? *Healthcare Quarterly*, 25, 1-3. doi:10.12927/hcq.2022.26985
- Sultana, A., & Ravanera, C. (2020). A Feminist Economic Recovery Plan for Canada: Making the Economy Work for Everyone. *The Institute for Gender and the Economy (GATE) and YWCA Canada*. <https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5f0cd2090f50a31a91b37ff7/t/5f205a15b1b7191d12282bf5/1595955746613/>
- Syed, I.U., & Ahmad, F. (2021). COVID-19 and Healthcare Workers' Struggles in Long Term Care Homes. *Journal of Concurrent Disorders*, 3(1), 61-66.
- Theivendrampillai, S., Cooper, J., Lee, T., Lau, M.W.K., Marquez, C., Straus, S.E., & Fahim, C. (2023). Canadian public perceptions and experiences with information during the COVID-19 pandemic: strategies to optimize future risk communications. *BMC Public Health*, 23(796). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-15659-y>

Urrutia, D., Manetti, E., Williamson, M., & Lequy, E. (2021). *Overview of canada's answer to the COVID-19 pandemic's first wave (January–April 2020)*. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(13), 7131.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18137131>

Vernon-Wilson, E., Tetui, M., Nanyonjo, A., Adil, M., Bala, A., Nelson, D., Sayers, E., Waite, N., & Grindod, K. (2023). Unintended consequences of communicating rapid COVID-19 vaccine policy changes- a qualitative study of health policy communication in Ontario, Canada. *BMC Public Health*, 23(1), 932. doi: 10.1186/s12889-023-15861-y.

Wong, E.K.C., Thorne, T., Estabrooks, C., & Straus, S.E. (2021). Recommendations from long-term care reports, commissions, and inquiries in Canada. *F1000Research*, 10, 87. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.43282.2.

World Health Organization. (2021). *Infection prevention and control guidance for long-term care facilities in the context of COVID-19 update*.

[https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-IPC\\_long\\_term\\_care-2021.1](https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-IPC_long_term_care-2021.1)

Xia, Y., Ma, H., Moloney, G., Velásquez García, H.A., Sirski, M., Janjua, N.Z., Vickers, D., Williamson, T., Yiu, K., Kustra, R., Buckeridge, D.L., Brisson, M., Baral, S.D., Mishra, S., & Maheu-Girouz, M. (2022). Geographic concentration of SARS-CoV-2 cases by social determinants of health in metropolitan areas in Canada: a cross-sectional study., *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 194(6), E195-E204. 10.1503/cmaj.211249

Zelmer, J., MacDonald, T., & Lui, J. (2023). A Long-Term Care Collaborative to Improve  
Pandemic Response and Resilience. *NEJM Catalyst*.

<https://catalyst.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/CAT.22.0465>

## Tables

Characteristic	N=47	n (%)
Home Funding Status	Privately owned, for profit	30 (63.8)
	Privately owned, non-profit	8 (17.0)
	Publicly owned, non-profit	9 (19.2)
Year home was built	1900 – 1959	4 (8.5)
	1960 – 1979	17 (36.2)
	1980 – 1999	14 (29.8)
	2000 - 2019	12 (25.5)
	median	range
Number of floors	3	1 - 8
Number of beds	128	21 - 391
Number of filled beds	100	9 - 378
Number of staff currently working at the home	129	10 - 528

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Homes

Theme	Description of Theme
Delivering IPAC education in the LTCH setting was time consuming, resource intensive	Educating staff on IPAC measures was very time consuming and resource intensive, as leadership had to adapt standard IPAC education to the context of the COVID-19 pandemic and outbreaks.
Staff did not implement IPAC guidance consistently	Sites experienced inconsistent implementation of IPAC protocols from their staff, such as not always social distancing, not maintaining consistent hand hygiene, and irregularity with how staff wore PPE.
Residents with limited capacity were not able to follow IPAC protocols	Staff have experienced challenges with ensuring residents with dementia are following IPAC protocols. There were challenges around keeping PPE on residents with dementia, ensuring that they maintained hygiene (i.e., handwashing), and maintaining isolation of ill residents.
Homes had a hard time keeping up with rapidly evolving protocols and communicating changes to families/caregivers and staff, which led to confusion, fear, anxiety and anger	Directions and protocols were constantly changing, making it difficult for staff to keep track of current protocols and practices. This was especially true for RH who did not always have tailored public health guidance available to them. Many sites had difficulty keeping up with the updates as a result, and experienced challenges with effectively communicating the ongoing changes in IPAC protocol to staff, residents, and caregivers/families.
Resources shortages, including PPE and access to COVID-19 rapid tests	Sites experienced shortage or poor access to PPE for staff members, making it difficult to follow IPAC protocols. This was particularly an issue during the first wave, where there were shortages on all PPE (which they were able to resolve over time), but was also seen later in the pandemic during a shortage of N95 masks following guidance changes.  Following recommendations to employ rapid COVID-19 testing during the Omicron wave, sites experienced challenges in acquiring sufficient rapid COVID-19 testing kits in a timely manner, resulting in shortages.
Lack of funding to cover costs related to N95 mask fit testing, staff training for IPAC protocols	While some homes had enough funding or resources in-house to support IPAC (e.g., N95 mask fitting; IPAC protocol training), other homes did not have the necessary resources in house nor sufficient funding to do so.

Physical environment of homes not conducive to IPAC implementation	Homes experienced challenges around finding physical space for IPAC supplies, disinfecting large areas, and ensuring physical distancing in an environment that was not built to handle such expectations (e.g., lack of space, small physical space).
PPE fatigue	Staff members and caregivers became tired of wearing PPE, due to discomfort that comes from wearing many layers of PPE consistently throughout their shift; this worsened in warmer weather. This led to PPE burnout and decreased compliance to PPE in many instances.
Family pushback on IPAC protocols	The pandemic led to new levels of distrust and pushback from caregivers/family members to loosen restrictions. Family members called to complain about not being allowed to visit. Some family members requested residents be cared for by vaccinated staff members, creating tensions between staff.
Fears of returning to normal and loosening IPAC restrictions	Some found adapting to the earlier stages of the pandemic easier because the focus was on constant change. Now some are finding it difficult to loosen IPAC restrictions, for fear of another outbreak. Some expressed a fear of “returning to normal”.
<b>Theme</b>	<b>Description of Theme</b>
Having a dedicated IPAC manager/nurse/champion	IPAC representatives helped provide advice, answer questions, and supported each site and their staff to ensure IPAC protocols were properly followed.
Consistent communication with public health units	Some sites had regular communication with their local public health units that provided them with support, education, and information.
External supports from hospitals or PHU (IPAC guidance, physical or financial resources)	Sites received a range of support/ resources from local public health agencies and external organizations/ hospitals. There was also financial support (e.g., from provincial government) to RH to purchase PPE and cleaning supplies, and hire emergency services to provide onsite support.
Use of multi-pronged strategies to disseminate IPAC updates to staff	Sites utilized a variety of strategies to disseminate IPAC information and updates to their staff, tailoring formats or leveraging communication strategies they already had in place.  Strategies included huddles, town halls, emails, calls, handouts, bulletins, in combination with innovative, interactive, and informal approaches to sharing information.
Monitoring and audits on IPAC compliance	Sites monitored and conducted audits to ensure staff, caregivers, and visitors comply with IPAC protocols.
Having leaders who are committed to transparency with staff, families/caregivers	Commitment to communication from leadership, and transparent, ongoing communication among staff, and between staff and caregivers/ families were effective; included open dialogue on challenges around adherence to IPAC guidelines. This fostered trust.
Homes with physical space conducive to IPAC measure implementation	Having a larger sized home facilitated following IPAC protocols on physical distancing and social isolation. Sites also leveraged other techniques, including restricting movement through cohorting.
Having leaders with experience	Sites with staff who either worked through previous pandemics or earlier

navigating public health emergencies	COVID-19 waves, were able to help sites navigate the pandemic and associated outbreaks.
--------------------------------------	---

Table 2: IPAC Challenges and Strategies Implemented

Theme	Description of Theme
Lack of vaccine availability	Homes experienced challenges with COVID-19 vaccine availability due to interim supply chain issues (i.e., Canada-wide 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose delays) – this delayed vaccination of their staff.
Mistrust around vaccine safety	There was mistrust from staff, caregivers, and residents around vaccine safety due to the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rapid vaccine approval timelines</li> <li>- Changes in vaccine schedule</li> <li>- Fears about long-term effects and vaccine safety and particularly around fertility, breastfeeding, and pregnancy</li> </ul> <p>Members of marginalized communities also experienced mistrust rooted in systemic and historical mistreatment by government and health institutions.</p>
Belief that the vaccine, particularly boosters, will not improve or impact health outcomes	Some staff did not believe the impact would improve health outcomes; this sentiment was further driven by positive COVID-19 cases and continued COVID-19 restrictions after vaccination.
Lack of knowledge, access or ability to get to a vaccine clinic	Staff at sites experienced challenges with accessing vaccine clinics, some of which were due to the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of transportation to the vaccine clinic</li> <li>- Inability to find local vaccine clinics</li> <li>- No protected time to travel to a vaccine clinic (staff would rather wait till the vaccines are available at the site)</li> <li>- Inability to book an appointment following the new online vaccine clinic booking system; compounded with long waitlist times</li> </ul>
Families' concerns about vaccine safety impact vaccine uptake among residents who do not have capacity to provide consent	Families who did not want to get vaccinated prevented their loved ones from receiving the vaccines.
Beliefs that vaccine mandates are an infringement on labour laws, personal liberties	Sites experienced staff pushing back against vaccine mandates, voicing their concerns that it was against their rights and that they were being forced by their organization to get the vaccine.
Theme	Description of Theme
Individual-level strategies to promote vaccine confidence	Sites had success with targeting each staff member at an individual-level and engaging leadership to educate staff on the vaccine, promote uptake, and provide them with access to evidence-based information.
Organizational level strategies to promote	Sites also ensured that staff were provided with evidence-based information and education about the vaccine to combat misinformation, including information to explain

vaccine confidence	the rapid approval process. Sites found that informational town halls were an effective strategy for increasing vaccine uptake. Sites utilized opinion leaders and vaccine champions to promote vaccine uptake. Strategies ranged from bringing in staff to speak to historically marginalized populations, having management being the first to get vaccinated, holding vaccine campaigns with champions, and bringing in team members who were negatively impacted by COVID-19 to speak about their experiences.
Incentives to support vaccine uptake	Some sites provided resources to staff to be vaccinated. This included paying transportation and parking fees for staff as an incentive.
Improving ease of access to vaccinations for LTCH/RH populations	Sites' connection to IPAC supports including IPAC hubs facilitated access to vaccine supply and appointments. Sites were also able to overcome logistical barriers to vaccine access by supporting staff with appointment booking or by leveraging mobile clinics/on-site clinics; this likewise included offering vaccines to caregivers while they were onsite visiting residents to facilitate/ encourage uptake.

Table 3: COVID-19 Vaccine Challenges

Theme	Description of Theme
LTCH staff experienced burnout, moral injury, PTSD, stress, health challenges and general lack of well-being and morale during the pandemic	The pandemic negatively impacted the mental health of staff. Challenges to well-being included working long hours (consistent overtime, no vacation), inability to care for residents in the way they wanted to due to IPAC requirements and staff shortages, working alongside agency staff who were unknown to home staff [and unfamiliar with the home/residents], high levels of stress and always being on alert, fear of bringing COVID-19 to the home, and witnessing the deaths of residents.
Staff generally reported a lack of access to appropriate mental health and well-being supports in homes	Many homes did not have appropriate mental health supports available. As the pandemic progressed, some supports that were initially put in place were discontinued due to limited resources.
When available, staff did not access EAP and other well-being resources; stigma was perceived as a factor.	In situations where well-being resources and supports existed, there remained a lack of uptake. Staff did not have capacity to engage with them, and/or had concerns about stigma and privacy.
Theme	Description of Theme
Some home leaders implemented a variety of strategies to address well-being	To support staff and resident wellness, sites implemented diverse strategies, which included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Providing behavioural supports for residents through Behavioural Supports Ontario (BSO)</li> <li>- Limiting number of staff shifts and encouraging use of vacation time</li> <li>- Leveraging support from external organizations to promote staff wellness (e.g., wellness resources from Local Health Integration Networks (LHIN) and regional hospital networks; drop in counselors or psychogeriatric nurses)</li> <li>- Having clinical staff on site with expertise in promoting wellness (e.g., social worker, occupational health)</li> <li>- Offering Employee Assistance Programs</li> <li>- Hosting social activities and staff appreciation events, providing gift certificates</li> <li>- Distributing well-being resources (e.g., bulletins, self-serve resource table)</li> </ul>

--	--

Table 4: Well-being challenges and implemented strategies