

Appendix 1: Supplemental methods and results for “**Estimating time-varying transmission and oral cholera vaccine effectiveness in Haiti and Cameroon, 2021-2023**”

Erin N. Hullahd^{1,2}, Marie-Laure Charpignon^{2,3}, Ghinwa Y. El Hayek², Lihong Zhao^{2,4}, Angel N. Desai^{2,5},
Maimuna S. Majumder^{1,2}

¹ Computational Health Informatics Program, Boston Children’s Hospital & Harvard Medical School,
Boston, MA, United States

² Comp Epi Dispersed Volunteer Research Network, Boston, MA, United States

³ Institute for Data, Systems, and Society, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, United
States

⁴ Department of Mathematics, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, United States

⁵ Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of California, Davis Health
Medical Center, Sacramento, CA, United States

Disclaimer: This work is not yet finalized and will be continuously updated. We welcome feedback and suggestions on the analyses and interpretation and any meaningful additions.

June 11, 2024

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	1
Section 1: Additional Tables	2
Table 1: Descriptive and modeled statistics from the literature, Cameroon and Haiti.....	2
Table 2: Number and percent of vaccine effectiveness observations outside 0% or 100%, Cameroon and Haiti.....	3
Section 2: Sensitivity Analyses	4
Artificially changing OCV coverage to understand impact on vaccine effectiveness, Cameroon and Haiti.....	4
Figure 1: Impact of assumed OCV1 coverage on vaccine effectiveness.....	4
Exploring alternate Rt windows in EpiEstim, Cameroon and Haiti.....	4
Figure 2: Impact of length of time window on Rt.....	5
Exploring alternate SI parameters in EpiEstim, Cameroon and Haiti.....	5
Figure 3: Impact of changing the SI on Rt.....	6
Exploring alternate values of R0 on vaccine effectiveness, Cameroon and Haiti.....	6
Figure 4: Impact of changing R0 on OCV1 effectiveness.....	7
Exploring the vaccine effectiveness in Ouest department, Haiti.....	7
Figure 5: Focusing on Ouest department for vaccine effectiveness in Haiti.....	8
Section 3: References	9

Section 1: Additional Tables

Table 1: Descriptive and modeled statistics from the literature, Cameroon and Haiti

	Cameroon	Haiti
2017 % with access to improved water source¹	73.95 (71.24-76.90)	68.29 (66.32-70.19)
2017 % with access to improved sanitation¹	52.93 (51.05-55.11)	51.50 (50.31-52.79)
2017 under-5 mortality per 1,000 live births²	73.5 (60.5-87.0)	59.3 (50.0-71.0)
2019 one-dose MCV coverage³	67.8 (59.2-75.2)	71.6 (65.1-77.4)
% of children with diarrhea taking ORT⁴	19.91 (11.95-31.05)	39.05 (29.17-49.41)
2017 mean years education: female 15-49⁵	7.77 (6.72-8.79)	6.42 (5.70-7.05)
2017 difference in mean years education males to females 15-49 years⁵	0.66 (0.48-0.93)	0.71 (0.66-0.88)
2019 under-5 diarrhea prevalence⁶	43.17 (31.79-58.94)	48.51 (44.42-52.28)
2019 under-5 stunting prevalence⁷	33.07 (26.41-40.55)	23.79 (18.91-29.41)
2019 under-5 wasting prevalence⁷	6.30 (4.31-8.94)	6.25 (3.21-10.41)
2019 under-5 underweight prevalence⁷	12.77 (9.94-16.33)	12.93 (9.70-17.25)
2019 under-5 severe wasting prevalence⁷	1.13 (0.67-1.77)	0.85 (0.38-1.65)
2022 Corruption Perceptions Index⁸	26 / 100	17 / 100
2022 GDP per capita⁹	\$1588.5	\$1748.3
2015 Healthcare Access and Quality Index¹⁰	44.4 (35.0-53.3)	38.5 (33.7-43.5)
Gini Index¹¹	46.6	41.1
2018 Wellcome Global Monitor: Trust in neighbors¹²	44%	67%
2018 Wellcome Global Monitor: Trust in government¹²	51%	46%
2018 Wellcome Global Monitor: Trust in scientists¹²	49%	62%
Vaccine Confidence Project: Vaccines are important¹³	82.98% (71.83-91.84)	91.18% (82.80-97.49)
Vaccine Confidence Project: Vaccines are safe¹³	56.50% (42.46-72.76)	62.33% (43.10-77.75)
Vaccine Confidence Project: Vaccines are effective¹³	63.24% (45.55-83.46)	71.80% (51.30-88.96)
2020 Average precipitation in depth (mm per year)¹⁴	1,604	1,440
Population density (people per sq. km of land area)¹⁵	58	415
Percent of population exposed to high flood risk¹⁶	19.1	17.5

MCV= Measles containing vaccine; ORT = Oral rehydration therapy; GDP = Gross domestic product

Improved water access includes piped water and other improved sources; improved sanitation access includes sewer and septic as well as other improved sources. For the Corruption Perceptions Index, a lower score indicates more corruption. Gini data were most recently available for 2014 in Cameroon and 2012 in Haiti. Wellcome Global Monitor trust data use “a lot” or “some” trust as survey answers indicating trust. Vaccine confidence data were pulled from modeled estimates and used the midpoint of 2018 as the timestamp; importance, safety, and efficacy were defined as the portion of respondents who replied “strongly agree”.

Table 2: Number and percent of vaccine effectiveness observations outside 0% or 100%, Cameroon and Haiti

	Cameroon (n=196 data points)	Haiti (n=302 data points)
Upper 95% CI estimates	3 (1.5%)	2 (0.7%)
Lower 95% CI estimates	24 (12.2%)	3 (1.0%)
Median estimates	5 (2.6%)	2 (0.7%)

We noted a small percentage of estimates that fell outside of the 0 to 100% boundary. This is likely a result of using static estimates of vaccine coverage over the entire duration of the vaccine study period rather than dynamic estimates, which were unavailable for OCV1 campaigns in either country. However, in our study, we were most interested in understanding general patterns of vaccine effectiveness over time and interpreting the median estimates and whether credible intervals overlapped. As such, a small percentage of results out-of-bounds—especially in the credible interval—do not drastically alter our interpretation of the results. In clinically-motivated vaccine effectiveness studies where precision is more important, time-varying vaccine coverage should be considered for similar analysis.


Section 2: Sensitivity Analyses

In order to understand the impact of our assumptions on our findings, we changed our assumptions in a number of ways, each independently while holding all other variables constant.

Artificially changing OCV coverage to understand impact on vaccine effectiveness, Cameroon and Haiti

For our main analysis, we used reported coverage figures for OCV1 campaigns in Cameroon and Haiti. Given that these estimates are highly localized to the targeted locality and do not reflect the coverage status in the whole country, we explored how changing coverage from 60% OCV1 coverage to 90% OCV1 coverage would impact estimated OCV1 effectiveness in both Cameroon and Haiti. When assuming coverage was at 60% rather than the reported 85.50% and 76.00% in Cameroon and Haiti, respectively, vaccine effectiveness was 76.48% (15.24-122.29%) and 95.23% (66.66-108.07%). In contrast, assuming coverage of 90% revealed vaccine effectiveness estimates of 50.99% (10.16-81.52%) in Cameroon and 63.49% (44.44-72.05%) in Haiti.


Figure 1: Impact of assumed OCV1 coverage on vaccine effectiveness



Exploring alternate R_t windows in EpiEstim, Cameroon and Haiti

While we chose a R_t window of one-week to optimize the relationship between too much statistical noise with smaller windows and too much smoothing with larger windows, we explored additional time windows: four days and two weeks. While the shorter window had higher initial R_0 values and the longer window had fewer days under $R_t=1$, qualitatively the patterns across all three windows analyzed were relatively consistent.


Figure 2: Impact of length of time window on R_t



Exploring alternate SI parameters in EpiEstim, Cameroon and Haiti

For our SI, we used a gamma distribution with a mean of 4 days and a SD of 3 derived from previous studies of cholera among household contacts,¹⁷ historic investigations of cholera,¹⁸ and used among previous EpiEstim analyses of cholera.^{19,20} However, studies of cholera in humanitarian and crisis settings used a slightly higher and wider distribution with a mean of 5 and a standard deviation of 8.^{21–23} We modified our analysis to use this wider distribution and qualitatively found similar results between the two distributions, with the narrower SI having slightly higher peaks and lower troughs, but generally similar patterns.


Figure 3: Impact of changing the SI on R_t



Exploring alternate values of R_0 on vaccine effectiveness, Cameroon and Haiti

In our main analysis, we used averages of the published regional and local data for R_0 for our two countries of interest. For our sensitivity analysis, we considered the minimum R_0 published in the literature (1.10 Cameroon / 1.06 Haiti) and maximum published R_0 (3.5 Cameroon / 3.72 Haiti) in each location. In contrast to the changes to the window and the SI which had few qualitative impacts on our findings, changing R_0 drastically altered the vaccine effectiveness estimates. Using the minimum R_0 value in each Cameroon in Haiti resulted in median estimates of vaccine effectiveness of 19.41% (6.40-61.39%) and 12.22% (1.61-35.78%), respectively, while using the maximum R_0 value in each country resulted in average vaccine effectiveness of 83.08% (62.29-97.69%) and 96.97% (83.88-99.70%) for both Cameroon and Haiti. In addition, in both countries, the relative relationship overtime remained consistent despite the changing magnitude of the estimates. It is important to note that these minima and maxima represent estimates at extreme ends of the credible intervals of the distribution of R_0 and therefore are meant to be considered as the extremes in the analysis of the vaccine effectiveness estimates rather than those that were most probable.^{20,24} Notably, such extreme choices of R_0 also resulted in a larger proportion of estimates falling outside of the bounded range of 0 to 100%, suggesting that while these are within the realm of possible choices for R_0 , they are unlikely to be the realized values of R_0 during the outbreaks in Cameroon and Haiti.


Figure 4: Impact of changing R_0 on OCV1 effectiveness



Exploring the vaccine effectiveness in Ovest department, Haiti

In Haiti, where the OCV1 campaign was highly localized to the Ovest and Centre departments, we also conducted a sensitivity analysis using daily cholera data published by department by Haiti's Ministry of Health (MSPP)²⁵ to understand how localized data influence our results. We consider the vaccine effectiveness in the Ovest department of Haiti using digitized daily cholera data (digitized using WebPlotDigitizer²⁶) from October 1, 2022 to August 5, 2023, using reported OCV1 coverage at 69.90%.^{27,28,25} This analysis suggests a median vaccine effectiveness of 83.11% (95% UI: 36.20-97.26%) over the entire vaccination period after December 19, 2022, almost 10% higher than the point estimate at the national level; however, the credible interval overlapped with the national level analysis, and thus this difference was not considered statistically significant.

Figure 5: Focusing on Ouest department for vaccine effectiveness in Haiti



Section 3: References

1. Deshpande, A. *et al.* Mapping geographical inequalities in access to drinking water and sanitation facilities in low-income and middle-income countries, 2000–17. *Lancet Glob. Health* **8**, e1162–e1185 (2020).
2. Burstein, R. *et al.* Mapping 123 million neonatal, infant and child deaths between 2000 and 2017. *Nature* **574**, 353–358 (2019).
3. Sbarra, A. N. *et al.* Mapping routine measles vaccination in low- and middle-income countries. *Nature* **589**, 415–419 (2021).
4. Wiens, K. E. *et al.* Mapping geographical inequalities in oral rehydration therapy coverage in low-income and middle-income countries, 2000–17. *Lancet Glob. Health* **8**, e1038–e1060 (2020).
5. Graetz, N. *et al.* Mapping disparities in education across low- and middle-income countries. *Nature* **577**, 235–238 (2020).
6. Reiner, R. C. *et al.* Variation in Childhood Diarrheal Morbidity and Mortality in Africa, 2000–2015. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **379**, 1128–1138 (2018).
7. Kinyoki, D. K. *et al.* Mapping child growth failure across low- and middle-income countries. *Nature* **577**, 231–234 (2020).
8. Transparency International. CORRUPTION PERCEPTIONS INDEX. (2019).
9. World Bank Open Data - GDP per capita (USD). *World Bank Open Data* <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.PCAP.CD>.
10. Barber, R. M. *et al.* Healthcare Access and Quality Index based on mortality from causes amenable to personal health care in 195 countries and territories, 1990–2015: a novel analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *The Lancet* **390**, 231–266 (2017).
11. World Bank Open Data - Gini Index. *World Bank Open Data* <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SI.POV.GINI>.
12. Wellcome Trust & Gallup. *Wellcome Global Monitor 2018: Country-Level Data*. <https://wellcome.org/reports/wellcome-global-monitor/2018/appendix-country-level-data> (2019).
13. de Figueiredo, A., Simas, C., Karafillakis, E., Paterson, P. & Larson, H. J. Mapping global trends in vaccine confidence and investigating barriers to vaccine uptake: a large-scale retrospective temporal modelling study. *The Lancet* **396**, 898–908 (2020).
14. World Bank. Average precipitation in depth (mm per year). <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/AG.LND.PRCP.MM> (2023).
15. World Bank. Population density (people per sq. km of land area). *World Bank Open Data* <https://data.worldbank.org> (2023).
16. Rentschler, J., Salhab, M. & Jafino, B. A. Flood exposure and poverty in 188 countries. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 3527 (2022).
17. Weil, A. A. *et al.* Clinical Outcomes in Household Contacts of Patients with Cholera in Bangladesh. *Clin. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Infect. Dis. Soc. Am.* **49**, 1473–1479 (2009).
18. Phelps, M. D. *et al.* The importance of thinking beyond the water-supply in cholera epidemics: A historical urban case-study. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **11**, e0006103 (2017).
19. Bi, Q. *et al.* The Epidemiology of Cholera in Zanzibar: Implications for the Zanzibar Comprehensive Cholera Elimination Plan. *J. Infect. Dis.* **218**, S173–S180 (2018).
20. Zheng, Q. *et al.* Cholera outbreaks in sub-Saharan Africa during 2010–2019: a descriptive analysis. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **122**, 215–221 (2022).
21. Zhao, S., Musa, S. S., Qin, J. & He, D. Associations between Public Awareness, Local Precipitation, and Cholera in Yemen in 2017. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **101**, 521–524 (2019).
22. Camacho, A. *et al.* Cholera epidemic in Yemen, 2016–18: an analysis of surveillance data. *Lancet*

- Glob. Health* **6**, e680–e690 (2018).
23. Azman, A. S. *et al.* Population-Level Effect of Cholera Vaccine on Displaced Populations, South Sudan, 2014. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **22**, 1067–1070 (2016).
 24. Chunara, R., Andrews, J. R. & Brownstein, J. S. Social and News Media Enable Estimation of Epidemiological Patterns Early in the 2010 Haitian Cholera Outbreak. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **86**, 39 (2012).
 25. Ministère de la Santé Publique et de la Population & Direction d'épidémiologie, des laboratoires, et de la recherche. *SITUATION ÉPIDÉMIOLOGIQUE DU CHOLÉRA, HAÏTI: 04 AOUT 2023*. https://mspp.gouv.ht/site/downloads/Sitrep%20cholera_05_aout_2023.pdf (2023).
 26. Rohatgi, A. WebPlotDigitizer. (2022).
 27. Pan American Health Organization & World Health Organization. Immunization Newsletter, v.45, n.1. *PAHO* (2023).
 28. World Health Organization. Disease Outbreak News: Cholera – Cameroon. <https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak-news/item/2022-DON374>.