# Detecting transdiagnostic retinal deviations in mental disorders through normative modeling 
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#### Abstract

The retina is a sensitive indicator of central nervous system integrity, which can be efficiently examined with optical coherence tomography. Using data from the UK Biobank, we computed normative models of age-related retinal thinning in a mid-to-late life control sample ( $\mathrm{N}=56,677$ ) to estimate retinal deviations in schizophrenia ( $\mathrm{N}=178$ ), bipolar disorder ( $\mathrm{N}=266$ ), and major depression $(\mathrm{N}=105)$. We hypothesized that retinal thickness deviations from normative age-related thinning would parallel brain alterations, with SZ showing the most pronounced changes. Across diagnostic groups, significant negative deviations, driven by schizophrenia and to a lesser extent bipolar disorder, were found in macular thickness and ganglion cell-interstitial plexiform layer thickness but not in retinal nerve fiber layer thickness. While female individuals appeared to show stronger deviations overall, this effect did not reach statistical significance. Using the largest optical coherence tomography sample to date, we demonstrate transdiagnostic deviations in retinal thickness, predominantly in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, but not major depression. Our findings underscore the utility of normative modeling for precise, personalized assessments in psychiatric disorder endophenotypes, particularly retinal thickness.


## Introduction

The retina is a sensitive substrate of central nervous system (CNS) integrity which can be efficiently examined with optical coherence tomography (OCT) ${ }^{1-3}$. Previous studies have found retinal aberrations in individuals with schizophrenia (SZ) but were limited by their sample-size to make direct transdiagnostic comparisons or attempt a comprehensive modeling of normative age-related retinal thinning. ${ }^{2}$ Here, we used normative modeling ${ }^{4}$ of a large healthy control (HC) sample and a sizeable patient population of the UK Biobank ${ }^{5}$ and estimated transdiagnostic retinal deviations from normative retinal thinning in SZ, Bipolar Disorder (BD) and Major Depression (MD). We hypothesized that deviations in retinal thickness would mirror brain alterations, with SZ showing the most pronounced changes, followed by BD and $\mathrm{MD}^{6}$.

## Methods

We considered the thicknesses of the macula (MT), the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL), and the ganglion cell-interstitial plexiform layer (GC-IPL) from 57,226 individuals ( $54.8 \%$ female; Table 1) of the UK Biobank without diagnosed eye disorders, with complete OCT imaging, and with information on confounding variables (including image quality, degree of macular centeredness, socioeconomic status, and BMI and smoking status as cardiometabolic factors). We statistically adjusted for these variables by analyzing the residuals of a simple linear regression model in all downstream analyses. We excluded influential outlier values using an interquartile range (IQR) trimming approach, where observations above or beyond 4 IQRs were considered unreliable and excluded (MT: $\mathrm{N}=653$; RNFL: $\mathrm{N}=323$; GC-IPL: $\mathrm{N}=527$ ). The final sample included a mid-to-late life HC group ( $\mathrm{N}=56,677$; based on whom the normative models of mean and variance were estimated with the R package gamlss ${ }^{4}$ ); individuals with SZ ( $\mathrm{N}=178$ ); $\mathrm{BD}(\mathrm{N}=266)$, and $\mathrm{MD}(\mathrm{N}=105)$. We created sex- and laterality-specific normative models of age effects on MT, RNFL and GC-IPL (Figure 1). Subsequently, we computed individual deviations (z-scores) across diagnostic groups, which we averaged across the left and right eye, and compared them between sexes and diagnoses.

## Results

As shown in Figure 1, there were covariate-adjusted deviations across diagnostic groups in macular thickness $(\mathrm{z}=-0.22, \mathrm{CI}=[-0.29,-0.11], \mathrm{P}<0.0001)$ and GC-IPL $(\mathrm{z}=-0.16, \mathrm{CI}=[-$ $0.25,-0.07], \mathrm{P}<0.0001$ ), but not RNFL ( $\mathrm{z}=-0.03, \mathrm{CI}=[-0.12,0.06], \mathrm{P}=0.53$ ). Sex-specific effects for these retinal thickness deviations did not reach statistical significance (all $\mathrm{P}>0.2$ ). MT deviations were driven by $\mathrm{SZ}(\mathrm{z}=-0.34, \mathrm{CI}=[-0.47,-0.21], \mathrm{P}<0.0001)$ and BP $(z=-0.13, C I=[-0.25,-0.01], \mathrm{P}=0.03)$, with a significant group difference between the two $(\Delta \mathrm{z}=0.20, \mathrm{CI}=[0.04,0.36], \mathrm{P}=0.003)$. There was no evidence for MT deviations in MD ( $\mathrm{z}=-0.01, \mathrm{CI}=[-0.26,0.24], \mathrm{P}=0.96$ ). In contrast, in GC-IPL deviations were significant for $\mathrm{SZ}(\mathrm{z}=-0.20, \mathrm{CI}=[-0.34,-0.06], \mathrm{P}=0.004)$ and $\mathrm{BD}(\mathrm{z}=-0.15, \mathrm{CI}=[-0.29,-0.01], \mathrm{P}=0.02)$ but not MD $(z=-0.04, C I=[-0.30,0.21], \mathrm{P}=0.73)$, with no evidence for a group difference between SZ and $\mathrm{BD}(\Delta \mathrm{z}=0.11, \mathrm{CI}=[-0.05,0.27], \mathrm{P}=0.16)$.

## Discussion

Leveraging normative modeling of the biggest OCT sample to date, we provide evidence for transdiagnostic deviations from normative retinal thickness across mid-to-late life, largely driven by SZ and BD but not MD. Our individualized approach suggested that these deviations are more pronounced in SZ than BD . While this study was cross-sectional, the results highlight the transdiagnostic utility of normative modeling for precise and personalized measurements in putative endophenotypes of psychiatric disorders such as retinal thickness.

## Data and Code availability

All data analyzed in this study are available through the UK Biobank (http://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/). All code will be made freely available after publication to ensure reproducibility at https://github.com/homanlab/.
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## Figure 1



Figure 1. A. Normative age-related curves estimated from healthy control females (red) and males (blue) for macular thickness, retinal nerve fiber layer thickness and ganglion cellinterstitial plexiform layer thickness. Transdiagnostic deviations for patients are overlayed on the normative curves as individual data points. The 50th centile curves for healthy females and males are shown in solid red and blue lines, respectively, with 2.5 th and 97.5 th centile boundaries indicated by dashed lines of the corresponding color. B. Sex-stratified average deviations from the normative mean ( $z$-score $=0$ ), with error bars indicating $95 \%$ confidence intervals, for macular thickness, retinal nerve fiber layer thickness, and ganglion cell-interstitial plexiform layer thickness, for the transdiagnostic sample combined and for schizophrenia (SZ), bipolar disorder (BD), and major depressive disorder (MD) as separate groups. Error bars not touching the zero line indicate a z -score that is significantly different from zero.

Table 1. Sample characteristics

| Characteristic | HC |  | SZ |  | BD |  |  | MD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Mean (SD) | N | Mean (SD) | N | Mean (SD) | N | Mean (SD) |
| Age | 56677 | 56.91 (8.14) | 178 | 55.3 (8.41) | 266 | 55.49 (8.28) | 105 | 56.91 (8.14) |
| Females | $\begin{array}{r} 31018 \\ (54.7 \%) \end{array}$ | - | 75 | - | 162 | - | 75 | - |
| Males | $\begin{array}{r} 25659 \\ (45.8 \%) \end{array}$ | - | 103 | - | 104 | - | 30 | - |
| Smoking: <br> - Current <br> - Never <br> - Previous <br> - Prefer not to answer | $\begin{array}{r} 5416 \\ 31661 \\ 19426 \\ 174 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 44 \\ 77 \\ 55 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \\ 120 \\ 98 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \\ 41 \\ 41 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - |
| Townsend Deprivation Index | 56677 | -1.08 (2.95) | 178 | 0.21 (3.62) | 266 | -0.18 (3.41) | 105 | -1.08 (2.95) |
| Body Mass Index | 56677 | 27.25 (4.72) | 178 | 27.92 (5.96) | 266 | 28.3 (5.3) | 105 | 27.25 (4.72) |
| Overall Macular Thickness (R) | 56677 | $\begin{aligned} & 277.05 \\ & (24.66) \end{aligned}$ | 178 | $\begin{aligned} & 274.06 \\ & (37.04) \end{aligned}$ | 266 | $\begin{array}{r} 274.95 \\ (16.5) \end{array}$ | 105 | $\begin{aligned} & 277.05 \\ & (24.66) \end{aligned}$ |
| Overall Macular Thickness (L) | 56677 | $\begin{aligned} & 274.63 \\ & (23.74) \end{aligned}$ | 178 | $\begin{aligned} & 267.71 \\ & (42.76) \end{aligned}$ | 266 | $\begin{array}{r} 271.8 \\ (18.47) \end{array}$ | 105 | $\begin{aligned} & 274.63 \\ & (23.74) \end{aligned}$ |
| RNFL Thickness (R) | 56677 | 29.67 (8.23) | 178 | 30.55 (12.34) | 266 | 29.44 (6.33) | 105 | 29.67 (8.23) |
| RNFL Thickness (L) | 56677 | 27.03 (7.54) | 178 | 26.48 (6.55) | 266 | 26.79 (5.55) | 105 | 27.03 (7.54) |
| GC-IPL Thickness (R) | 56677 | 73.99 (9.42) | 178 | 72.8 (12.65) | 266 | 73.1 (6.64) | 105 | 73.99 (9.42) |
| GC-IPL Thickness (L) | 56677 | 73.88 (8.97) | 178 | 71.56 (10.85) | 266 | 72.81 (6.87) | 105 | 73.88 (8.97) |
| QC Image Quality (R) | 56677 | $\begin{array}{r} 65.07 \\ (12.94) \end{array}$ | 178 | 66.05 (12.12) | 266 | $\begin{array}{r} 65.29 \\ (13.02) \end{array}$ | 105 | 65.07 (12.94) |
| QC Image Quality (L) | 56677 | $\begin{array}{r} 65.59 \\ (12.67) \end{array}$ | 178 | 66.51 (11.69) | 266 | 66.66 (11.8) | 105 | 65.59 (12.67) |
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| QC Macular Center Alignment (R) | 56677 | $\begin{aligned} & 252.91 \\ & (21.16) \end{aligned}$ | 178 | $\begin{aligned} & 255.68 \\ & (25.67) \end{aligned}$ | 266 | $\begin{aligned} & 255.14 \\ & (17.06) \end{aligned}$ | 105 | $\begin{aligned} & 252.91 \\ & (21.16) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QC Macular Center Alignment (L) | 56677 | $\begin{aligned} & 259.33 \\ & (26.53) \end{aligned}$ | 178 | $\begin{aligned} & 264.36 \\ & (35.83) \end{aligned}$ | 266 | $\begin{aligned} & 258.05 \\ & (26.99) \end{aligned}$ | 105 | $\begin{aligned} & 259.33 \\ & (26.53) \end{aligned}$ |
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