Long-term exposure to wildland fire smoke PM_{2.5} and mortality in the contiguous United States

Yiqun Ma^{1,2}, Emma Zang³, Yang Liu⁴, Jing Wei⁵, Yuan Lu^{6,7}, Harlan M. Krumholz^{6,7}, Michelle L.
 Bell⁸, Kai Chen^{1,2,*}

- ¹Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT,
 USA
- ²Yale Center on Climate Change and Health, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT, USA
 ³Department of Sociology, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA
- ⁴Department of Environmental Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta,
- 10 GA, USA
- ⁵Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Science, Earth System Science Interdisciplinary
- 12 Center, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA
- ⁶Center for Outcomes Research and Evaluation, Yale New Haven Hospital, New Haven, CT,
 USA
- ⁷Section of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, New
 Haven, CT, USA
- 17 ⁸School of the Environment, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA
- 18
- 19 *Corresponding author: Kai Chen
- 20 Email: kai.chen@yale.edu
- 21
- 22 Author Contributions: Y.M. conducted formal analyses and drafted the manuscript. K.C.
- conceived of and supervised the conduct of this study and edited the manuscript. K.C. and E.Z.
- 24 applied and managed the mortality dataset. J.W. modelled the all-source PM_{2.5} data and revised
- the manuscript. E.Z., Y.L., Y.L., H.M.K., and M.L.B. contributed to the interpretation of results and
- 26 manuscript revision. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of this manuscript.
- 27 **Competing Interest Statement:** The authors declare no conflict of interests.
- 28 **Classification:** Biological Sciences, Environmental Sciences
- 29 Keywords: wildland fire, wildfire, mortality, fine particulate matter, United States
- 30

31 Abstract

32 Despite the substantial evidence on the health effects of short-term exposure to ambient fine 33 particles (PM_{2.5}), including increasing studies focusing on those from wildland fire smoke, the 34 impacts of long-term wildland fire smoke PM_{2.5} exposure remain unclear. We investigated the 35 association between long-term exposure to wildland fire smoke PM2.5 and non-accidental 36 mortality and mortality from a wide range of specific causes in all 3,108 counties in the contiguous 37 U.S., 2007–2020. Controlling for non-smoke PM_{2.5}, air temperature, and unmeasured spatial and 38 temporal confounders, we found a non-linear association between 12-month moving average 39 concentration of smoke PM_{2.5} and monthly non-accidental mortality rate. Relative to a month with 40 the long-term smoke PM_{2.5} exposure below 0.1 μ g/m³, non-accidental mortality increased by 41 0.16-0.63 and 2.11 deaths per 100,000 people per month when the 12-month moving average of 42 $PM_{2.5}$ concentration was of 0.1-5 and 5+ μ g/m³, respectively. Cardiovascular, ischemic heart 43 disease, digestive, endocrine, diabetes, mental, and chronic kidney disease mortality were all 44 found to be associated with long-term wildland fire smoke PM2.5 exposure. Smoke PM2.5 45 contributed to approximately 11,415 non-accidental deaths/year (95% CI: 6,754, 16,075) in the 46 contiguous U.S. Higher smoke PM2.5-related increases in mortality rates were found for people 47 aged 65 above. Positive interaction effects with extreme heat (monthly number of days with daily 48 mean air temperature higher than the county's 90th percentile warm season air temperature) were 49 also observed. Our study identified the detrimental effects of long-term exposure to wildland fire 50 smoke PM_{2.5} on a wide range of mortality outcomes, underscoring the need for public health 51 actions and communications that span the health risks of both short- and long-term exposure.

52 Significance Statement

53 The area burned by wildland fire has greatly increased in the U.S. in recent decades. Short-term 54 exposure to smoke pollutants emitted by wildland fires, particularly PM_{2.5}, is associated with 55 numerous adverse health effects. However, the impacts of long-term exposure to wildland fire 56 smoke PM_{2.5} on health and specifically mortality remain unclear. Utilizing wildland fire smoke 57 PM_{2.5} and mortality data in the contiguous U.S. during 2007-2020, we found positive associations 58 between long-term smoke PM_{2.5} exposure and increased non-accidental, cardiovascular, 59 ischemic heart disease, digestive, endocrine, diabetes, mental, and chronic kidney disease 60 mortality rates. Each year, in addition to the well-recognized mortality burden from non-smoke 61 PM_{2.5}, smoke PM_{2.5} contributed to an estimated over 10 thousand non-accidental deaths in the 62 U.S. This study demonstrates the detrimental effects of wildland fire smoke PM_{2.5} on a wide 63 range of health outcomes, and calls for more effective public health actions and communications 64 that span the health risks of both short- and long-term exposure.

65 Main Text

66 Introduction

67 Wildland fire is a growing public health concern in the United States. As a result of the warming

- climate (1), a long history of fire suppression (2), and an increase in human-caused fire ignitions
- 69 (3), the country has witnessed a marked increase in the area affected by wildland fires over the
- past few decades, with the burned area roughly quadrupling (4). In recent years, wildland fire
- contributed to up to 25% of total fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) concentrations across the U.S.,
- and up to half in some Western regions (4). Under climate change, the prevalence, frequency,
- and intensity of wildland fire activities are expected to increase in the future (5).

Wildland fire smoke is a complex mixture. Among the various air pollutants emitted by wildland fires, PM_{2.5} is widely used as an indicator of exposure because it is a major component of smoke, can deeply penetrate the respiratory system, and has demonstrated links to public health (6). Previous studies on the health effects of wildland fire smoke mostly focused on the western U.S., where the majority of large fires occurred (7-9). However, the pollutants from wildland fire smoke can travel long distances from the source, potentially affecting human health thousands of kilometers away outside the West (10).

81 Previous studies on the health effects of wildland fire exposure predominantly focused on 82 the effects of short-term exposure, typically examining exposure periods within one or two weeks. 83 Most studies reported a positive relationship between short-term wildland fire smoke exposure 84 and all-cause mortality (9, 11-13). A growing number of studies linked short-term exposure to 85 wildland fire smoke to increased risks of respiratory mortality and presented mixed evidence 86 regarding cardiovascular mortality (11-14). Recent studies have also documented worsened 87 diabetic outcomes (15), higher mortality rates among patients with kidney failure (16), and 88 impaired mental health (17, 18) associated with short-term wildland fire smoke exposure. 89 However, given that climate change has contributed to an increase in wildland fire 90 season length, increasing the duration of exposure, the health impacts of long-term wildland fire

91 smoke exposure should be a concern of growing importance (19-21). To date, little is known

92 about the impacts of long-term exposure to wildland fire smoke on human health (19, 21). Most 93 previous studies focused on mental health, reporting associations between long-term wildland fire 94 exposure and mental outcomes, such as anger problems (22), posttraumatic stress disorder (23), 95 depression (24), and anxiety (25). However, these studies could not distinguish between the 96 effects of smoke and the overall impacts of wildland fires. A few studies suggested that exposure 97 to wildland fires was associated with child mortality, COVID-19 mortality, cardiovascular disease 98 mortality, and respiratory disease morbidity (21), but most of them did not measure the 99 uncertainties of estimates. Given that short-term exposure to wildland fire smoke has been linked 100 to a wide range of mortality outcomes, exploring whether long-term wildland fire smoke exposure 101 is associated with these health effects is worthwhile.

102 Furthermore, the effects of wildland fire smoke PM_{2.5} can be heterogeneous among 103 population subgroups due to physiological, behavioral, and socioeconomic factors (26). Previous 104 studies indicated that demographic factors such as sex, age, and race and ethnicity may modify 105 the association between smoke PM_{2.5} and health outcomes (11, 26, 27). However, existing 106 research mostly focused on the effects of short-term wildland fire smoke exposure and generated 107 mixed findings (9). To better prepare communities for smoke and tackle environmental justice 108 issues, a deeper understanding of susceptibility to wildland fire exposure, particularly long-term 109 exposure, among specific subgroups is needed to help inform targeted public health outreach 110 efforts.

111 In the context of climate change, the co-occurrence of wildland fires and extreme heat 112 events is expected to increase (28). In addition, both extreme heat and PM_{2.5} were associated 113 with impaired cardiopulmonary functions (29, 30). Therefore, extreme heat may interact with 114 wildland fire smoke and further aggravate health effects. A previous study suggested synergistic 115 effects between short-term extreme heat and wildland fire smoke exposures on daily 116 cardiorespiratory hospitalizations in California (31). However, little is known about the potential 117 interaction between extreme heat and long-term smoke $PM_{2.5}$ exposure nationwide. 118 Constrained by a lack of nationwide validated data on pollutant concentrations

119 attributable to wildland fire smoke, many previous studies on the impact of wildland fire smoke on 120 health outcomes have been focusing on episodes with high wildland fire smoke exposure (or 121 smoke wave) using binary measures of smoke concentrations (32). Recently, a machine learning 122 model was developed to estimate wildland fire smoke PM_{2.5} concentrations for the contiguous 123 U.S., using a combination of meteorological factors, fire variables, aerosol measurements, and 124 land use and elevation data (33). This new, high-resolution wildland fire smoke PM_{2.5} dataset 125 (10×10 km²) enabled us to further examine the impact of wildland fire smoke, ranging from the 126 more common, low-level smoke concentrations to the increasingly frequent extremely high 127 concentrations, and to explore its potentially non-linear effects on mortality. 128 Utilizing the nationwide monthly wildland fire smoke PM_{2.5} and mortality data from 2007 to 129 2020, this study aimed to (a) estimate the potentially non-linear associations of long-term smoke 130 PM_{2.5} exposure with county-level monthly non-accidental and cause-specific mortality from a 131 broad spectrum of diseases, (b) calculate the attributable cause-specific mortality burden in each 132 county, (c) examine the associations in different sex, age, and racial and ethnic groups, and (d) 133 explore the interaction effect between smoke PM_{2.5} and extreme heat on mortality.

134 Results

135 **Description of smoke PM2.5 exposure and monthly mortality in the contiguous U.S.**

For months from January 2007 to December 2020, we calculated the moving average of
 smoke PM_{2.5} concentration of the current and previous 11 months for each county to represent
 the average exposure to smoke PM_{2.5} in the previous year. The average 12-month moving
 average concentration of smoke PM_{2.5} across all county-months during the study period was 0.4
 µg/m³, contributing to approximately 5% of all-source PM_{2.5} (Table S1).

141 From 2007 to 2020, all 3,108 counties in the contiguous U.S. experienced some amount 142 of smoke PM_{2.5}, with the western, north central, and southeastern counties being exposed to 143 higher long-term exposure (12-month moving average concentration of smoke PM_{2.5}) than other 144 regions (Fig. 1A). The temporal variability in this exposure was also higher in these regions 145 compared with other regions (Fig. 1B). The overall temporal trend of the 12-month moving 146 average concentration of smoke PM_{2.5} for all U.S. contiguous counties is displayed in Fig. S1. 147 To account for the potentially non-linear health effects of smoke PM_{2.5}, we categorized 148 the 12-month moving average of smoke $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations into 9 bins: 0-0.1 (reference), 0.1-149 0.2, 0.2-0.3, 0.3-0.4, 0.4-0.5, 0.5-0.7, 0.7-1, 1-5 and 5+ μg/m³, corresponding to approximately 9.9%, 17.8%, 17.7%, 14.4%, 11.1%, 14.9%, 9.1%, 5.0%, and 0.1% of county-months in the study 150 151 period (Fig. S2). Only 15 county-months were not exposed to any smoke PM2.5 in the current and 152 previous 11 months.

153 A total of 33,902,722 non-accidental deaths were included in this study, including 154 11,514,374 deaths from cardiovascular diseases, 3,584,654 from respiratory diseases, 1,624,915 155 from endocrine diseases, 939,531 from genitourinary diseases, 2,465,890 from nervous 156 diseases, 1,823,243 from mental and behavioral disorders (hereafter referred to as "mental 157 disorders"), and 1,406,184 from digestive diseases. From 2007 to 2020, the mean age-adjusted 158 non-accidental mortality rate was 61.9 (SD: 23.9) deaths per 100,000 people per month in all 159 counties in the contiguous U.S. The descriptive statistics of age-adjusted mortality rate for each 160 population subgroup and each specific cause are listed in Table S1.

161

162	Association between long-term smoke $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and cause-specific mortality rate
163	Using a panel fixed effects model to control for air temperature, non-smoke $PM_{2.5}$, time-
164	invariant spatial confounders, county-invariant temporal confounders, and regional long-term and
165	seasonal trends, we found a non-linear association between 12-month moving average of smoke
166	PM _{2.5} concentration and monthly non-accidental mortality rate (Fig. 2). Compared to a month in
167	the same county with the long-term smoke $PM_{2.5}$ exposure below 0.1 μ g/m ³ , non-accidental
168	mortality increased by 0.16 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.06, 0.26), 0.40 (95% CI: 0.26, 0.54),
169	0.35 (95% CI: 0.21, 0.49), 0.34 (95% CI: 0.19, 0.50), 0.49 (95% CI: 0.33, 0.65), 0.63 (95% CI:
170	0.44, 0.83), 0.36 (95% CI: 0.11, 0.61), and 2.11 (95% CI: 1.24, 2.99) deaths per 100,000 people
171	per month when the 12-month moving average of smoke $PM_{2.5}$ concentration was in the range of
172	0.1-0.2, 0.2-0.3, 0.3-0.4, 0.4-0.5, 0.5-0.7, 0.7-1, 1-5 and 5+ μg/m³, respectively (Fig. 2).
173	We also observed positive associations between 12-month moving average of smoke
174	PM _{2.5} concentrations and monthly mortality rates from cardiovascular diseases, ischemic heart
175	diseases, digestive diseases, endocrine diseases, diabetes, mental disorders, and chronic kidney
176	diseases (Fig. 2). Compared to a month with the long-term smoke $PM_{2.5}$ exposure below 0.1
177	μ g/m ³ , cardiovascular mortality rates increased when the 12-month moving average of smoke
178	$PM_{2.5}$ concentration was from 0.5 to 1 $\mu g/m^3$ and above 5 $\mu g/m^3,$ and ischemic heart disease
179	mortality rates increased when the concentration was from 0.1 to 5 μ g/m ³ . A nearly linear
180	association was observed for mortality rates from endocrine diseases, including diabetes: the
181	central estimates were generally higher as the concentration bin increased. Digestive mortality
182	was found sensitive to the 12-month moving average of smoke $PM_{2.5}$ when the concentration was
183	0.5-0.7 and 5+ μ g/m ³ . Mortality from mental disorders (such as dementia, schizophrenia, and
184	post-traumatic stress disorder) increased in all concentration bins compared to a month with the
185	12-month moving average concentration below 0.1 μ g/m ³ . In addition, mortality from chronic
186	kidney diseases was found sensitive to long-term smoke $PM_{2.5}$ exposure when the 12-month
187	moving average concentration was 0.3-0.4 and 0.5-1 μ g/m ³ .

188 We also examined the associations between 12-month moving average of smoke PM_{2.5} 189 concentrations and monthly mortality rates from other specific causes, including stroke, 190 myocardial infarction, hypertensive diseases, hypertensive heart diseases, respiratory diseases, 191 chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), nervous diseases, Alzheimer's disease and 192 related dementias (ADRD), and genitourinary diseases (Fig. S3). Although some of them were 193 also found to be sensitive to long-term smoke $PM_{2.5}$ exposure, such as mortality from stroke, 194 myocardial infarction, respiratory diseases, and ADRD, these specific causes were not presented 195 in the main figures or included in further analysis because their estimates were either insignificant 196 after the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons, or inconsistent in direction across smoke 197 $PM_{2.5}$ concentration bins. Negative effect estimates were found for hypertensive heart disease, 198 COPD, and nervous disease mortality in some concentration bins, but none of them remain 199 statistically significant after Bonferroni correction. The detailed estimates for all examined specific 200 causes are listed in Table S2.

201

202 Cause-specific mortality burden attributable to long-term smoke PM_{2.5} exposure

203 Assuming the homogeneity within each smoke $PM_{2.5}$ bin and the causality of the 204 estimated smoke PM2.5-mortality relationships, we further quantified the mortality burden 205 attributable to long-term smoke PM2.5 exposure. As non-smoke PM2.5 was adjusted for in the 206 model, the estimated smoke PM_{2.5}-attributable mortality burden is in addition to the well-207 recognized burden from non-smoke PM_{2.5}. On average, approximately 11,415 non-accidental 208 deaths (95% CI: 6,754, 16,075) were attributable to smoke PM_{2.5} in the contiguous U.S. per year. 209 The spatial distribution of this attributable burden was generally consistent with the distribution of 210 smoke PM_{2.5} concentration (Fig. 3A). The estimated attributable non-accidental mortality burden 211 for each year is displayed in Fig. S4.

For mortality from other specific causes, each year, long-term smoke PM_{2.5} exposure contributed approximately 4,512 deaths (95% CI: 1,922, 7,102) from cardiovascular diseases, including 3,753 (95% CI: 1,915, 5,592) ischemic heart disease deaths, 1,142 deaths (95% CI:

215 285, 1,999) from endocrine diseases, including 858 (95% CI: 149, 1,566) diabetes deaths, 2,083
216 deaths (95% CI: 1,143, 3,022) from mental disorders, 537 deaths from digestive diseases (95%
217 CI: -200, 1,273), and 320 deaths (95% CI: -72, 713) from chronic kidney diseases. Among the
218 total long-term smoke PM_{2.5}-attributable non-accidental deaths, approximately 72.5% were from
219 cardiovascular diseases, mental disorders, endocrine diseases, and digestive diseases (Fig. 3B;
220 Table S3).

221

222 Association between long-term smoke PM_{2.5} and monthly mortality rate by subgroup

223 We examined the association between 12-month moving average of smoke $PM_{2.5}$ 224 concentrations and monthly non-accidental mortality rates across different sex, age, and race and 225 ethnicity groups (Table 1). No significant difference in effect estimates was observed between 226 males and females. Compared with people aged 0 to 64, greater increases in mortality rates were 227 observed among people who aged above 65 across all smoke PM2.5 concentration bins. Among 228 different racial and ethnic groups, compared with non-Hispanic White people, significantly higher 229 associations were observed for non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic people when smoke PM25 230 concentration was from 1 to 5 µg/m³. After considering multiple comparisons, the difference 231 between racial and ethnic minorities and non-Hispanic White people became insignificant, but the 232 significant differences between age groups remained.

Subgroup analyses were also performed for major categories of mortality that showed consistent and significant sensitivity to long-term exposure to smoke PM_{2.5}: cardiovascular, endocrine, digestive, and mental mortality (**Table S4**). In general, no significant difference between sex or race and ethnicity groups was detected for these four outcomes after considering multiple comparisons. Consistent with the findings for non-accidental mortality, greater smoke PM_{2.5}-related increases in mortality rates from cardiovascular diseases and mental disorders were observed among people who aged above 65.

240

241 Interaction between long-term smoke PM_{2.5} and extreme heat days

242 Extreme heat commonly co-occurred with wildland fire smoke PM2.5 in the contiguous 243 U.S. Here, we examined the interaction effects of long-term smoke PM_{2.5} and current-month 244 extreme heat days. For each county, we defined extreme heat days as days with daily mean air temperature higher than the county's 90th percentile warm season air temperature (May to 245 246 September, 2007-2020). From 2007 to 2020, a total of 657,402 extreme heat days were identified 247 in the counties studied, spanning 126,237 county-months. We calculated the number of extreme 248 heat days in each county for each month to represent monthly extreme heat exposure. Based on 249 the spatial distribution of the 12-month moving average of smoke PM2.5 concentrations and the 250 current-month number of extreme heat days (Fig. 4A), the North Central, South, Southeast, and 251 West regions experienced higher co-exposure to smoke PM_{2.5} and extreme heat than other 252 regions. 253 By including an interaction term for the current-month number of extreme heat days and 254 12-month moving average of smoke PM_{2.5} concentration bins in our model, we found a 255 significantly positive interaction between extreme heat and smoke PM_{2.5} at levels ranging from 256 0.1 to 5 µg/m³ (Fig. 4B). The interaction results in general remained robust when we used

257 alternative temperature thresholds in the definition of extreme heat days (85th or 95th percentile of

local warm-season temperature distribution). Among mortality of specific causes, positive

259 interactions were found for cardiovascular and mental disorder mortality (Fig. S5).

260

261 Sensitivity analyses, placebo tests, and stratification by distance to fire

Our results generally remained robust when we included different combinations of fixed effects, additionally adjusted for dew point temperature, did not adjust for non-smoke PM_{2.5} or current-month air temperature, adjust for 12-month moving average of air temperature instead of current-month temperature, or used alternative degrees of freedoms in the natural cubic spline of air temperature in the model (**Fig. S6**). The estimated non-linear pattern also remained consistent when we used a quasi-Poisson model and used finer bins or a natural cubic spline for smoke PM_{2.5} in the model (**Fig. S7-S9**). The results of the spatial and temporal randomization tests

269 indicated that the estimates in our study were unlikely driven by spatial or temporal dependence 270 due to model misspecification (Fig. S10). When the exposure period to smoke PM_{2.5} was 271 extended from 12 months to 24 and 36 months, the model coefficients decreased and eventually 272 becoming null at a 36-month exposure window in most bins (Fig. S11). 273 In a stratified analysis by distance to fire, we observed that the association between long-274 term smoke PM_{2.5} exposure and non-accidental mortality were significantly higher in counties far 275 away from the fire point (≥ 150 km) in the current and past 11 months compared with those close 276 to an active fire (< 150 km) when the 12-month moving average of smoke PM_{2.5} concentrations 277 was from 0.2 to 0.4 μ g/m³ and from 1 to 5 μ g/m³ (**Fig. S12**). However, when the smoke PM_{2.5} 278 concentrations were above 5 µg/m³, the estimate was only significantly positive in counties close 279 to fire. This result suggests that being close to a fire was unlikely to be a main driver of the

280 estimated results in our study.

281 Discussion

282 To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study comprehensively examining the 283 associations between long-term exposure to wildland fire smoke PM2.5 and mortality from a wide 284 range of specific causes for all ages in the whole contiguous U.S. We found that average 285 exposure to wildland fire smoke PM_{2.5} in the past one year was associated with increases in non-286 accidental, cardiovascular, ischemic heart disease, digestive, endocrine, diabetes, mental, and 287 chronic kidney disease mortality. In addition to the well-documented mortality burden from non-288 smoke PM_{2.5}, in total, we estimated that smoke PM_{2.5} contributed to over 10,000 non-accidental 289 deaths in the contiguous U.S. each year. Higher smoke PM_{2.5}-related increases in mortality rates 290 were found for people aged 65 above. In addition, positive interaction effects between 12-month 291 moving average of smoke PM_{2.5} concentrations and current-month number of extreme heat days 292 on non-accidental, cardiovascular, and mental disorder mortality were observed.

293 The impacts of long-term wildland fire smoke exposures on mortality are understudied in 294 existing literature. However, our results are in general consistent with a few previous findings that 295 long-term exposure to wildland fire smoke is associated with increased premature mortality (21). 296 For example, a study in Brazil observed a significant association between long-term exposure to 297 forest fire PM_{2.5} (measured by percentage hours of PM_{2.5} concentrations > 25 μ g/m³ divided by 298 the total number of estimated hours of PM2.5 in 2005) and increases in cardiovascular disease 299 mortality rates in older adults (\geq 65 years) (34). Controlling for non-fire-sourced PM_{2.5}, a case-300 control study in low-income and middle-income countries reported that each 1 µg/m³ increment of 301 monthly mean fire-sourced PM2.5 concentration was associated with a 2.31% increased risk of 302 child mortality, but this estimate became statistically insignificant after extending the exposure 303 time window from 1 month to 12 months (35). However, given the differences in study population 304 and location, exposures (e.g., the intensity, frequency, and duration of wildfire smoke), study 305 design, and outcome measures, estimates are not directly comparable across studies. More 306 studies investigating the long-term impacts of wildland fire smoke exposure on mortality from a 307 comprehensive spectrum of specific causes and conditions are needed in the future.

308 Although the health impacts of wildland fire smoke PM_{2.5} could be different from urban 309 background PM_{2.5} due to differences in chemical composition and particle size, and the episodic 310 nature of smoke (7, 36), the biological mechanisms are likely to align with those documented for 311 all-source (i.e., total mass) PM_{2.5}. PM_{2.5} can travel into the respiratory tract and bloodstream and 312 trigger oxidative stress and inflammation, leading to impaired lung and vascular function (37). 313 PM_{2.5} may deposit in the kidney, contributing to the development of kidney diseases (38). PM_{2.5} 314 can also enter the gastrointestinal tract, causing imbalances in the intestinal microecology (39). In 315 addition, PM_{2.5} exposure has been associated with insulin resistance, which may progress to 316 diabetes and other endocrine diseases (40). Furthermore, the oxidative stress, systemic and 317 neuroinflammation, and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis dysregulation triggered by PM_{2.5} have 318 been linked to psychological diseases (41). The aggravation of physical health conditions could 319 also worsen mental health (42). A multi-level conceptual framework has also been proposed for 320 understanding the pathways connecting wildland fire smoke with mental health and well-being, 321 which involves loss of nature, reduced access to livelihoods, reduced outdoor activities, and 322 many other social and behavioral factors (17). More research is needed in the future to better 323 understand the underlying mechanisms of the health impacts of wildland fire smoke. 324 In our study, higher effect estimates were found for older adults compared with people 325 aged 0-64, which is consistent with the literature of all-source PM_{2.5}. The greater increases in 326 mortality rates associated with smoke PM_{2.5} among older adults reflect both higher baseline 327 mortality rates and higher susceptibility to air pollution in the older population as found in previous 328 studies, due to decreased physiological, metabolic and compensatory processes, and a higher 329 prevalence of comorbidities (43). In addition, we found higher effect estimates in counties far 330 away from the fire point compared with those close to an active fire when the 12-month moving 331 average of smoke PM_{2.5} concentrations was below 5 μ g/m³. This finding suggests a substantial 332 public health burden from smoke, given that the population residing near the fires is likely much 333 smaller compared to the larger number of people affected downwind due to smoke transport.

334 Furthermore, we observed a positive interaction effect between long-term exposure to 335 wildland fire smoke PM_{2.5} and current-month extreme heat. To date, although research has highlighted the synergistic health impacts of heat and air pollution (44), only a limited number of 336 337 studies have specifically examined the interaction with PM2.5 from wildland fire smoke. A recent 338 study in California found evidence of synergistic effects between extreme heat and wildland fire 339 smoke (31); however, since this study focused on short-term smoke PM_{2.5} exposure, its results 340 are not directly comparable to those of our study. The positive interaction between wildland fire 341 smoke PM_{2.5} and extreme heat indicates an increasing mortality burden for U.S. populations in 342 the future given that the co-exposure to both hazards is expected to increase under the changing 343 climate (28). Further studies on the compounding effects of wildland fires and other climate-344 related events, such as heatwaves and droughts, are warranted.

345 Our study estimated that over 10,000 non-accidental deaths per year resulted from 346 wildland fire smoke PM_{2.5} in the contiguous U.S., which is over 1,000 times higher than the 347 recorded wildfire deaths in the U.S. Billion-dollar Weather and Climate Disasters report by the 348 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Centers for Environmental 349 Information (10 deaths/year due to the fire itself) (45). This indicates a great number of deaths 350 brought by wildland fires that could not be captured by official tolls. According to the Global 351 Burden of Disease Study, ambient particulate matter pollution contributed to an average of 352 approximately 67,800 deaths annually in the contiguous U.S. from 2007 to 2020 (46, 47). Using 353 this number as a reference, our results indicate that smoke PM2.5-related deaths account for 354 about 16.8% of the deaths associated with all-source PM_{2.5}. In addition, the U.S. Billion-dollar 355 Weather and Climate Disasters report estimated that wildfire events cost about 3.1 billion dollars 356 per year in the U.S. (45), but this estimate does not take into account the health care related 357 losses or values associated with loss of life due to the fire itself or smoke (48). A recent study 358 reported that the economic value of the health impacts of wildland fire smoke could be in the tens 359 to hundreds of billions of U.S. dollars, but the exposure-response function for the PM_{2.5}-mortality 360 relationship they used was for all-source PM_{2.5}, not wildland fire-specific PM_{2.5} (49). Our study

361 suggests a tremendous wildland fire smoke-related mortality burden, and our effect estimates for 362 the relationship between wildland fire PM_{2.5} and mortality could be applied in future estimates of 363 the costs of wildland fires to more fully account for the fire and smoke impacts.

364 The findings of our study have several key implications. First, wildland fire smoke is a 365 national concern in the U.S. Its health effects extend beyond the western regions where wildland 366 fires mostly occur, impacting the entire country. Second, the health impacts of wildland fire smoke 367 are not limited to those in response to short-term (daily) exposures. Long-term exposure to 368 smoke PM_{2.5} contributed to substantial mortality burden in the U.S. and will become increasingly 369 important in the future due to the prolonged wildland fire seasons under climate change. Third, 370 the health impacts of wildland fire smoke cover a wide spectrum of causes of death ranging from 371 cardiovascular and endocrine diseases to mental disorders and digestive diseases. Furthermore, 372 in addition to the well-recognized detrimental effects of extremely high concentrations of smoke 373 PM_{2.5}, even relatively low levels can be harmful. Finally, the relationship between wildland fire 374 smoke and mortality appears to be non-linear, underscoring the necessity for further research into 375 the modifying factors of this association and highlighting the importance of utilizing concentration-376 specific exposure-response functions in future health impact assessments. 377 Some limitations of this study should be noted. First, the wildland fire smoke PM2.5 378 concentrations were modelled and subject to uncertainty. Because direct measurements of the 379 smoke contribution to PM_{2.5} pollution are not available, the smoke PM_{2.5} prediction was based on 380 $PM_{2.5}$ anomalies at monitoring stations, which may be an imprecise estimate of the 381 concentrations of smoke (33). PM_{2.5} from prescribed fire smoke is under-represented in the model 382 output; therefore, the exposure data we used does not fully capture the total smoke exposure 383 people experience from wildland fires (i.e., both wildfire and prescribed fire). Additionally, wildland

using average concentrations may overlook its episodic nature. Average smoke PM_{2.5}

384

concentrations primarily reflect intensity but do not adequately capture the frequency and duration
 aspects of long-term smoke PM_{2.5} exposure (50). Future studies using different exposure metrics

fire smoke has a unique spatiotemporal pattern and measuring long-term smoke PM_{2.5} exposure

that better reflect various aspects of long-term smoke PM_{2.5} exposure are warranted.

389 Furthermore, we assumed that the non-smoke PM_{2.5} was the difference between the all-source

390 PM_{2.5} and the smoke PM_{2.5} concentrations, but they were generated using different methods and

391 may introduce measurement errors.

392 Second, lacking detailed location information, this county-level ecological study is 393 susceptible to ecological fallacy. We were unable to capture the within-county heterogeneity of 394 smoke PM_{2.5} exposure among different subgroups or analyze the influence of wildfire evacuation 395 in this study. Besides, the estimates of smoke $PM_{2.5}$ in our study may partially capture the health 396 effects of other pollutants within the smoke mixture. Due to the absence of publicly available, full-397 spatial coverage data updated to 2020, we were unable to adjust for other wildland fire-related air 398 pollutants, such as nitrogen dioxide, ozone, carbon monoxide, and polycyclic aromatic 399 hydrocarbons. In addition, we used the smoke $PM_{2.5}$ concentration range of 0-0.1 μ g/m³ as the 400 reference due to the limited number of county-months with 0 µg/m³ long-term exposure. This 401 choice of reference could result in an underestimation of both the smoke PM2.5-related mortality 402 changes and the attributable mortality burden. Furthermore, although we examined mortality from 403 a wide range of plausible specific causes, we did not cover all possible causes of death (e.g., 404 infectious diseases and cancer were excluded). Future studies are warranted to explore the 405 association between wildland fire smoke and these diseases. Finally, the calculation of 406 attributable mortality burden was based on the assumptions of homogeneity and causality of the 407 estimated smoke PM_{2.5}-mortality relationships (see details in Methods). Violation of these 408 assumptions may bias the results. 409 In conclusion, our study identified the detrimental effects of long-term wildland fire smoke

PM_{2.5} exposure on a wide range of mortality outcomes in the U.S. With wildland fire intensity and frequency anticipated to increase in the future driven by climate change (5), more effective public health actions and communications that span the health risks of short- and long-term exposure are urgently needed both in and outside the areas where the wildland fires occur.

414 Materials and Methods

415 Mortality and population data

416 We obtained mortality data for all 3,108 counties or county equivalents in the contiguous 417 U.S. from 2007 to 2020 from the National Center for Health Statistics. The mortality dataset 418 includes the year and month of death, the primary cause of death (International Statistical 419 Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision [ICD-10] codes), and the 420 sex, age, race, and ethnicity of each deceased person. This study covered non-accidental 421 mortality (ICD-10 code: A00-R99) and cause-specific mortality from major categories: 422 cardiovascular diseases (100-199), respiratory diseases (J00-J99), endocrine diseases (E00-E90), 423 genitourinary diseases (N00-N99), nervous diseases (G00-G99), mental and behavioral disorders 424 (F00-F99), and digestive diseases (K00-K93). Deaths from more specific causes, including 425 ischemic heart disease (I20-I25), myocardial infarction (I20-I23), stroke (I60-I69), hypertensive 426 disease (I10-I15), hypertensive heart disease (I11), COPD (J41-J44), diabetes (E10-E14), 427 chronic kidney disease (N18), and Alzheimer's disease and related dementias (F00-F03, G30) 428 were also examined in this study. 429 County-level population data was collected from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End 430 Results Program, National Cancer Institute (51). The total population and population estimate by 431 sex, age, race, and Hispanic origin were extracted for each county, 2007-2020. We calculated 432 the monthly county-level cause-specific mortality rates for different sex (male, female), age (0 to 433 64, 65 and above), and race and ethnicity (non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, and 434 Hispanic) groups. All mortality rates, except those specific to age groups, were age adjusted by 435 direct standardization using the 2000 U.S. Census population as the standard population. Using 436 anonymized monthly county-level mortality data, this study was determined as a Not Human 437 Subject research by the Yale Institutional Review Boards (protocol ID: 2000026808). 438

439 Wildland fire smoke PM_{2.5} and non-smoke PM_{2.5}

440

Ambient wildland fire smoke PM_{2.5} estimates for the contiguous U.S. was provided by a

441 recent study by Childs et al. (33). In brief, smoke days were identified as days when smoke was 442 overhead based on satellite imagery, and station-based ground smoke PM_{2.5} on those days was 443 calculated as anomalies above the median on non-smoke days. Then, a model was trained to 444 predict the station-based smoke PM_{2.5} using meteorological factors, fire variables, aerosol 445 measurements, and land use and elevation data. Finally, the trained model was applied to 446 produce daily estimates of smoke PM_{2.5} over the contiguous U.S. at a resolution of 10×10 km² 447 (33). This model performed well over the entire range of observed smoke $PM_{2.5}$ ($R^2 = 0.67$), but 448 the model performance was lower on days with station-based smoke PM_{2.5} concentrations above 449 50 μ g/m³ compared to days with concentrations below 50 μ g/m³ (33). We additionally validated 450 this model against a recently published wildland fire-specific PM_{2.5} model in California, which 451 applied a novel ensemble-based statistical approach to isolate wildland fire-specific PM_{2.5} from 452 other sources of emissions (52). This external validation showed a great consistency between the 453 monthly county-level predictions from these two models in California, 2006-2020, with an R-454 squared (R²) value of 0.92 and a root-mean-square error (RMSE) of 1.14 µg/m³ (Fig. S13). The 455 daily smoke PM_{2.5} concentrations were aggregated into monthly county-level average using 456 population-weighted averaging to match with the mortality data. For months from January 2007 to 457 December 2020, we calculated the 12-month moving average of smoke PM_{2.5} concentration for 458 each county to represent the average exposure to smoke PM2.5 in the previous year. To account 459 for the potentially non-linear effects, we divided the 12-month moving average smoke PM_{2.5} 460 concentrations into 9 bins: 0-0.1 (reference), 0.1-0.2, 0.2-0.3, 0.3-0.4, 0.4-0.5, 0.5-0.7, 0.7-1, 1-5 461 and 5+ μ g/m³. The distribution of samples across the bins is shown in **Fig. S2**. 462 Data of daily total all-source PM_{2.5} concentrations at 1×1 km² resolution were obtained 463 from the USHighAirPollutants (USHAP) dataset (53). This daily surface PM_{2.5} concentration data 464 was derived via a deep learning model that integrated big data from satellites, models, and 465 surface observations (53). Similar to smoke PM_{2.5}, we averaged the daily all-source PM_{2.5} 466 concentrations into monthly county-level data. Non-smoke PM_{2.5} concentrations were then 467 calculated by subtracting the smoke PM_{2.5} from the all-source PM_{2.5} concentrations. For negative

468	values produced by this subtraction (0.07% of the total observations), the non-smoke $PM_{2.5}$
469	concentrations were recoded as 0. We calculated the moving average non-smoke $PM_{2.5}$
470	concentrations of the current and previous 11 months for months from January 2007 to
471	December 2020. The cartographic boundary for counties in the contiguous U.S. was downloaded
472	from the U.S. Census Bureau's TIGER/Line geodatabase (54).
473	
474	Meteorological factors
475	Daily mean air temperature and mean dew point temperature data at 4×4 km ² were
476	obtained from the PRISM Climate Group (55). Similar to the air pollution data, we generated
477	monthly averages for these two variables for each county.
478	We also utilized the daily mean air temperature data from the PRISM Climate Group to
479	detect extreme heat days (55). In each county, extreme heat was defined as days with daily
480	mean air temperature higher than the county's 90 th percentile warm season air temperature (May
481	to September, 2007-2020). The monthly number of extreme heat days in each county were
482	calculated. In sensitivity analysis, temperature thresholds of 85 th and 95 th percentiles were used
483	as alternative definitions of extreme heat.
484	
485	Distance to fire
486	Daily active fire location data from 2006 to 2020 were obtained from the Hazard Mapping
487	System (HMS). This system combines near real-time satellite observations into a common
488	framework in which trained satellite analysts perform quality control of automated fire detections
489	(56). For each county, we calculated the distance from its population centroid to the nearest
490	active fire point each day and aggregated the daily data to monthly level using the median value.
491	Then, we calculated the 12-month moving average of this distance for each county to represent
492	the average distance to active fires in the previous year. We classified the distance to fire into two
493	categories: close (< 150 km) and far (≥ 150 km).
494	

495 Statistical analysis

To estimate the association between long-term exposure to wildland fire smoke PM_{2.5} and monthly mortality rates, we applied a panel fixed effects model which exploits local temporal variation in both exposure and outcome. Panel fixed effects models have been increasingly applied in environmental epidemiology in recent years (57, 58). In our study, the main model can be expressed as

501

 $MortalityRate_{c,y,m}$

$$= \alpha_c + \theta_{y,m} + \delta_{s,y} + \eta_{c,m}$$

503
$$+ \sum_{b=1}^{B} (\beta_b SmokePM2.5Bins_{c,y,m}^b) + \beta NonSmokePM2.5_{c,y,m}$$

504
$$+ ns(Temperature_{c,y,m}, df = 5) + \varepsilon_{c,y,m}$$

505 where *MortalityRate_{cym}* represents the non-accidental or other cause-specific age-adjusted mortality rates in county c, year y, and month m. SmokePM2.5Bins_{c,y,m}^{b} is a dummy for whether 506 507 the moving average of smoke PM_{2.5} concentration of the current and previous 11 months in 508 county c, year y, and month m falls into the concentration range of bin b. α_c refers to time-509 invariant county effects and θ_{vm} refers to time-varying effects that are common in all counties. By 510 introducing the indicators for each county and each year-month, this model can potentially control 511 for all spatial confounders that only vary across counties (e.g., urbanicity) and all temporal 512 confounders that only vary by time (e.g., seasonality), either measured or unmeasured (59). 513 Fixed effects at the state by year level ($\delta_{s,v}$) and at the county by month of year level ($\eta_{c,m}$) 514 account for regional long-term trend and seasonality in exposure and outcomes. 515 *NonSmokePM2.5_{c.v.m}* is the 12-month moving average of non-smoke PM2.5 concentrations in 516 county c, year y, and month m. Current-month air temperature was controlled by a flexible natural

517 cubic spline with five degrees of freedom (df). $\varepsilon_{c,y,m}$ is the error term. We weighted models using

518 the population size in each county to improve the precision of our estimates (60).

519 Heteroskedasticity-robust standard-errors were used to compute 95% confidence intervals.

520 Associations were considered statistically significant at α of 0.05 with a Bonferroni correction

521 given as α/m , where m is the number of examined specific causes of death (17 specific causes). 522 Only specific causes with estimates consistent in direction across smoke PM_{2.5} concentration bins 523 and statistically significant after Bonferroni correction were included in further analysis. 524 In subgroup analyses, to estimate the association between long-term smoke PM_{2.5} 525 exposure and monthly mortality rates by sex, age, and race and ethnicity, we used an expanded 526 dataset nesting the subgroups, interacted the subgroup variable with all terms in the model, and 527 reported the statistical significance of the interaction term between the subgroup variable and 528 smoke PM_{2.5}. To account for multiple comparison, Bonferroni correction was also performed in 529 subgroup analyses (m = 3 subgroups $\times 5$ major causes of mortality). Furthermore, we 530 explored the interaction between extreme heat and smoke PM_{2.5} by interacting the current-month 531 number of extreme heat days and bins of 12-month moving average concentration of smoke 532 PM_{2.5} in the model. In addition, we also conducted stratified analysis by distance to fire (close, 533 far) to investigate its potential modification effect. We tested the lag pattern in the effects of 534 smoke $PM_{2.5}$ by extending the 12-month moving average of smoke $PM_{2.5}$ concentration to 24 and 535 36, representing longer-term smoke $PM_{2.5}$ in the past up to 3 years. 536 Based on the estimated coefficients of smoke PM_{2.5} bins (β_b) for each cause, which can 537 be interpreted as the changes in mortality rate associated with being in those bins of smoke PM_{2.5} 538 concentration compared to the reference bin $(0-0.1 \,\mu g/m^3)$, we calculated the number of deaths 539 attributable to smoke PM_{2.5} (attributable number, AN) in each county in each month by $AN_{cm} =$ 540 $\beta_b \times Population_{c,m}$, where β_b is the estimated coefficient of the corresponding smoke PM_{2.5} bin 541 (b) in that month and $Population_{cm}$ is the total population in county c, month m. This calculation 542 relied on two key assumptions. First, this approach assumes homogeneity within each smoke 543 $PM_{2.5}$ bin, disregarding the variation within the bin. In this study, the 12-month moving average 544 concentrations of smoke PM_{2.5} were divided into nine narrowly defined bins, each with a relatively 545 small concentration range. Therefore, the estimate of each bin is likely to well represent the 546 average effects of smoke $PM_{2.5}$ within that specific concentration range. This assumption was 547 further tested by using finer bins and a non-linear curve to smoke PM_{2.5} in sensitivity analyses

548 (see below; Fig. S8-S9). In addition, by using the regression coefficients to estimate attributable 549 deaths, we assume a causal relationship between smoke PM_{2.5} concentration and mortality rates. 550 Traditionally, health impact assessments for long-term exposure use exposure-response 551 functions estimated from cohort studies. However, to the best of our knowledge, no published 552 cohort studies have reported the association between long-term wildland fire smoke PM_{2.5} 553 exposure and cause-specific mortality for the total population across the entire contiguous U.S. 554 Similar to a previous study (61), we applied the estimates from a panel fixed effects model to the 555 calculation of attributable mortality burden. Our statistical model accounted for unmeasured 556 temporal and spatial confounders by the year-month and county fixed effects and unmeasured confounders that vary both over time and space by the space-time interaction terms. Therefore, 557 558 the observed association is unlikely to be primarily driven by unmeasured confounding factors. 559 Spatial and temporal randomization tests were performed to test this assumption (see below; Fig. 560 **\$10**). In addition, unlike cohort studies that commonly focus on people identified by specific 561 characteristics (e.g., older adults), our study design allows us to cover the entire population 562 across all age groups. This makes our estimates more suitable for health impact assessments of 563 the general population.

564 Several sensitivity analyses were performed to test the robustness of our results: (a) we 565 used different choices of fixed effects in the model; (b) we additionally adjusted for dew point 566 temperature in the model; (c) we removed non-smoke PM_{2.5} or current-month air temperature 567 from the model; (d) an alternative four or six dfs was used in the natural cubic spline of air 568 temperature; (e) we adjusted for 12-month moving average of air temperature instead of current-569 month air temperature; (f) we used mortality count as the outcome variable and performed a 570 quasi-Poisson model; (g) we used finer bins of smoke PM_{2.5} concentration; and (h) we used a 571 natural cubic spline with knots at 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 1, and 5 µg/m³ to model wildland fire smoke 572 PM_{2.5} concentration.

573 In addition, to assess the likelihood of model misspecification influencing our main 574 results, we performed a spatial randomization test and a temporal randomization test. In the

- 575 spatial randomization test, we randomized the smoke PM_{2.5} exposure for 2,000 times across
- 576 county while keeping their de facto year-month; in the temporal randomization test, we
- 577 randomized the exposure variable for 2,000 times across year-month while keeping the
- 578 corresponding counties. Such placebo tests are commonly used to detect spatial and temporal
- 579 dependence due to model misspecification in panel models (62, 63).

580 Acknowledgments

- 581 Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Heart, Lung, And Blood
- 582 Institute of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01HL169171. Dr. E. Zang
- received support from the National Institute on Aging (R21AG074238-01), the Research
- 584 Education Core of the Claude D. Pepper Older Americans Independence Center at Yale School
- 585 of Medicine (P30AG021342), and the Institution for Social and Policy Studies at Yale University.
- 586 Y. Ma, Dr. K. Chen, and Dr. Y. Lu acknowledge support from the Yale Planetary Solutions seed
- 587 grant. Dr. Y. Liu received support from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
- 588 (1R01ES034175). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily
- 589 represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

590 References

591 592	1.	Abatzoglou JT & Williams AP, Impact of anthropogenic climate change on wildfire across western US forests. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 113(42):11770-11775 (2016)
593	2	Boisramé GE Brown T.L & Bachelet DM Trends in western USA fire fuels using
594		historical data and modeling. <i>Fire Ecol</i> 18(1):8 (2022)
595	3.	Balch JK. et al., Human-started wildfires expand the fire niche across the United States.
596	•	Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114(11):2946-2951 (2017).
597	4	Burke M. et al., The changing risk and burden of wildfire in the United States. Proc Natl
598		Acad Sci U S A 118(2):e2011048118 (2021).
599	5.	Spracklen DV, et al., Impacts of climate change from 2000 to 2050 on wildfire activity and
600	-	carbonaceous aerosol concentrations in the western United States. J Geophys Res
601		Atmos 114(D20)2009).
602	6.	Kim K-H, Kabir É, & Kabir S, A review on the human health impact of airborne particulate
603		matter. Environ Int 74:136-143 (2015).
604	7.	Liu JC, et al., Wildfire-specific fine particulate matter and risk of hospital admissions in
605		urban and rural counties. Epidemiology 28(1):77 (2017).
606	8.	Heft-Neal S. Driscoll A. Yang W. Shaw G. & Burke M. Associations between wildfire
607		smoke exposure during pregnancy and risk of preterm birth in California. Environ Res
608		203:111872 (2022).
609	9.	Reid CE, et al., Critical review of health impacts of wildfire smoke exposure. Environ
610		Health Perspect 124(9):1334-1343 (2016).
611	10.	O'Dell K, et al., Estimated mortality and morbidity attributable to smoke plumes in the
612		United States: Not just a western US problem. GeoHealth 5(9):e2021GH000457 (2021).
613	11.	Ye T, et al., Short-term exposure to wildfire-related PM2.5 increases mortality risks and
614		burdens in Brazil. Nat Commun 13(1):1-9 (2022).
615	12.	Chen G, et al., Mortality risk attributable to wildfire-related PM2.5 pollution: a global time
616		series study in 749 locations. Lancet Planet Health 5(9):e579-e587 (2021).
617	13.	Gould CF, et al., Health effects of wildfire smoke exposure. Annu Rev Med 752023).
618	14.	Chen H, Samet JM, Bromberg PA, & Tong H, Cardiovascular health impacts of wildfire
619		smoke exposure. Part Fibre Toxicol 18(1):1-22 (2021).
620	15.	Yao J, et al., Sub-daily exposure to fine particulate matter and ambulance dispatches
621		during wildfire seasons: a case-crossover study in British Columbia, Canada. Environ
622		Health Perspect 128(6):067006 (2020).
623	16.	Xi Y, et al., Mortality in US hemodialysis patients following exposure to wildfire smoke. J
624		Am Soc Nephrol 31(8):1824 (2020).
625	17.	Eisenman DP & Galway LP, The mental health and well-being effects of wildfire smoke: a
626		scoping review. BMC Public Health 22(1):1-17 (2022).
627	18.	Molitor D, Mullins JT, & White C, Air pollution and suicide in rural and urban America:
628		Evidence from wildfire smoke. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 120(38):e2221621120 (2023).
629	19.	Grant E & Runkle JD, Long-term health effects of wildfire exposure: a scoping review. J
630		Clim Chang Health 6:100110 (2022).
631	20.	USGCRP (U.S. Global Change Research Program), Impacts, risks, and adaptation in the
632		United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, volume II. 2018).
633	21.	Gao Y, et al., Long-term impacts of non-occupational wildfire exposure on human health:
634		A systematic review. <i>Environ Pollut</i> :121041 (2023).
635	22.	Cowlishaw S, et al., Anger dimensions and mental health following a disaster: Distribution
636		and implications after a major bushfire. J Trauma Stress 34(1):46-55 (2021).
637	23.	Bryant RA, et al., The dynamic course of psychological outcomes following the Victorian
638	<u>.</u>	Black Saturday bushfires. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 55(7):666-677 (2021).
639	24.	Bryant RA, et al., Psychological outcomes following the Victorian Black Saturday
640		bushtires. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 48(7):634-643 (2014).

641 642 643	25.	Brown MR, <i>et al.</i> , After the Fort McMurray wildfire there are significant increases in mental health symptoms in grade 7–12 students compared to controls. <i>BMC psychiatry</i> 19:1-11 (2019).
644 645	26.	Kondo MC, <i>et al.</i> , Meta-analysis of heterogeneity in the effects of wildfire smoke exposure on respiratory health in North America. <i>Int J Environ Res Public Health</i>
646		16(6):960 (2019).
647	27.	Liu JC, et al., Who among the elderly is most vulnerable to exposure to and health risks
648 649	28.	of fine particulate matter from wildfire smoke? <i>Am J Epidemiol</i> 186(6):730-735 (2017). Hanberry BB, Compounded heat and fire risk for future US populations. <i>Sustainability</i>
650		12(8):3277 (2020).
651	29.	Zafeiratou S, et al., A systematic review on the association between total and
652		cardiopulmonary mortality/morbidity or cardiovascular risk factors with long-term
653		exposure to increased or decreased ambient temperature. <i>Sci Total Environ</i> 772:145383
654		(2021).
655	30.	De Marco A, Amoatey P, Khaniabadi YO, Sicard P, & Hopke PK, Mortality and morbidity
656		for cardiopulmonary diseases attributed to PM2. 5 exposure in the metropolis of Rome,
657		Italy. <i>Eur J Intern Med</i> 57:49-57 (2018).
658	31.	Chen C, Schwarz L, Rosenthal N, Marlier ME, & Benmarhnia T, Exploring spatial
659		heterogeneity in synergistic effects of compound climate hazards: Extreme heat and
660		wildfire smoke on cardiorespiratory hospitalizations in California. Sci Adv 10(5):eadj/264
661		(2024).
662	32.	Liu JC, Pereira G, Uhl SA, Bravo MA, & Bell ML, A systematic review of the physical
663		nealth impacts from non-occupational exposure to wildfire smoke. <i>Environ Res</i> 136:120-
664	00	132 (2015). Okita Milanda (Dailada da dina tangén (da dina tani di Siranga da DMO E (da di
665	33.	Childs ML, et al., Daily local-level estimates of ambient wildfire smoke PM2.5 for the
666	24	Conliguous US. Environ Sci Technol 56(19): 13607-13621 (2022).
669	34.	Nulles KVR, Igholli E, & Hacon SuS, Circulatory disease mortality rates in the eldeny and
660		Cadernes de saude publica 20:580, 508 (2013)
670	35	Yue T et al. Associations between exposure to landscape fire smoke and child mortality
671	55.	in low-income and middle-income countries: a matched case-control study. Lancet Planet
672		Health 5(0):e588-e598 (2021)
673	36	Xu R et al. Wildfires global climate change and human health N Engl. I Med
674	00.	383(22)·2173-2181 (2020)
675	37.	Hadley MB. Henderson SB. Brauer M. & Vedanthan R. Protecting cardiovascular health
676	•••	from wildfire smoke. <i>Circulation</i> 146(10):788-801 (2022).
677	38.	Shubham S. <i>et al.</i> . Role of air pollution in chronic kidney disease: an update on evidence.
678		mechanisms and mitigation strategies. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 95(5):897-908
679		(2022).
680	39.	Mutlu EA, et al., Inhalational exposure to particulate matter air pollution alters the
681		composition of the gut microbiome. Environ Pollut 240:817-830 (2018).
682	40.	Dang J, et al., Associations of exposure to air pollution with insulin resistance: a
683		systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Environ Res Public Health 15(11):2593
684		(2018).
685	41.	Braithwaite I, Zhang S, Kirkbride JB, Osborn DPJ, & Hayes JF, Air Pollution (Particulate
686		Matter) Exposure and Associations with Depression, Anxiety, Bipolar, Psychosis and
687		Suicide Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Environ Health Perspect
688		127(12):126002 (2019).
689	42.	Doherty AM & Gaughran F, The interface of physical and mental health. Soc Psychiatry
690		Psychiatr Epidemiol 49:673-682 (2014).
691	43.	Snumake KL, Sacks JD, Lee JS, & Johns DO, Susceptibility of older adults to health
692		effects induced by ambient air pollutants regulated by the European Union and the United
693		States. Aging Clin Exp Res 25:3-8 (2013).

694	44.	Anenberg SC, Haines S, Wang E, Nassikas N, & Kinney PL, Synergistic health effects of
695		air pollution, temperature, and pollen exposure: a systematic review of epidemiological
696		evidence. <i>Environ Health</i> 19:1-19 (2020).
697	45.	NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI), U.S. Billion-Dollar
698		Weather and Climate Disasters. (2023).
699	46.	Brauer M, et al., Global burden and strength of evidence for 88 risk factors in 204
700		countries and 811 subnational locations, 1990–2021: a systematic analysis for the Global
701	47	Burden of Disease Study 2021. Lancet 403(10440):2162-2203 (2024).
702	47.	Murray CJ, Findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. Lancet
703	10	403(10440):2239-2202 (2024). Smith AB & Katz BW/ LIS billion dollar weather and alimate dispaters: data sources
704	40.	trande accuracy and biases. Not Hazards 67(2):387 410 (2012)
705	40	Eann N, at al. The health impacts and economic value of wildland fire onisodes in the
707	49.	U.S.: 2008–2012. Sci Total Environ 610-611:802-809 (2018).
708	50.	Casey JA, et al., Measuring long-term exposure to wildfire PM2. 5 in California: Time-
709		varying inequities in environmental burden. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
710		121(8):e2306729121 (2024).
711	51.	The SEER Program, U.S. County Population Data - 1969-2020. (2022).
712	52.	Aguilera R, et al., A novel ensemble-based statistical approach to estimate daily wildfire-
713		specific PM2.5 in California (2006-2020). <i>Environ Int</i> 171:107719 (2023).
714	53.	Wei J <i>, et al.</i> , Long-term mortality burden trends attributed to black carbon and PM2 [.] 5
715		from wildfire emissions across the continental USA from 2000 to 2020: a deep learning
716		modelling study. Lancet Planet Health 7(12):e963-e975 (2023).
717	54.	United States Census Bureau, Cartographic Boundary Files. (2019).
/18	55.	PRISM Climate Group, PRISM Climate Data Recent Years (Jan 1981 - Jan 2022). 2016).
719	56.	Brey SJ, Ruminski M, Atwood SA, & Fischer EV, Connecting smoke plumes to sources
720		Using Hazard Mapping System (HMS) smoke and fire location data over North America.
/21	57	Atmos Chem Phys 18(3):1745-1761 (2018).
722	57.	Name Jarson Environ Hoolth Decenced 124(9):1192 1199 (2016)
723	50	New Jeisey. Environ realin Perspect 124(0), 1102-1100 (2010).
724	56.	Renzi M, et al., Long-term FM to exposure and cause-specific montainty in the Latium Pogion (Italy): a difference in differences appreach. Environ Health Perspect
725		127(6)·067004 (2010)
720	50	Coodman-Bacon A Difference-in-differences with variation in treatment timing / Econom
728	00.	225(2)·254-277 (2021)
729	60	Zang F & Kim N. Intergenerational unward mobility and racial differences in mortality
730	00.	among young adults: Evidence from county-level analyses. Health Place 70:102628
731		(2021).
732	61.	Heft-Neal S. Burney J. Bendavid E. & Burke M. Robust relationship between air quality
733		and infant mortality in Africa. <i>Nature</i> 559(7713):254-258 (2018).
734	62.	Lam PH, Zang E, Chen D, Liu R, & Chen K, Long-Term Exposure to Fine Particulate
735		Matter and Academic Performance Among Children in North Carolina. JAMA Netw Open
736		6(10):e2340928-e2340928 (2023).
737	63.	Benshaul-Tolonen A, Local industrial shocks and infant mortality. <i>Econ J</i> 129(620):1561-
738		1592 (2019).



7420.09-0.150.15-0.250.25-0.350.35-2.74743Fig. 1. Spatial distribution of 12-month moving average of smoke PM_{2.5} concentration in
the contiguous U.S., 2007–2020. A: The distribution of the mean 12-month moving average
concentration of smoke PM_{2.5} in the contiguous U.S. counties (μ g/m³). B: The distribution of the
standard deviation (SD) of the 12-month moving average concentration of smoke PM_{2.5} in the
contiguous U.S. counties (μ g/m³).



750 751 Fig. 2. Association between 12-month moving average concentration of smoke PM_{2.5} and 752 monthly mortality rate. This figure displays the estimated changes in monthly cause-specific 753 mortality rate (per 100,000 people) associated with each bin of 12-month moving average of 754 smoke $PM_{2.5}$ concentration, relative to a month in the same county with the long-term smoke 755 PM_{2.5} exposure below 0.1 µg/m³. The error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

749



- Fig. 3. Average annual non-accidental mortality burden attributable to long-term smoke
- 760 PM2.5 exposure. A: The spatial distribution of average annual non-accidental deaths (per

761 100,000 people) attributable to long-term smoke PM_{2.5} exposure (12-month moving average). B:

762 Estimated average annual cause-specific deaths attributable to long-term smoke PM_{2.5} exposure.





777 Table 1. Associations between 12-month moving average of smoke PM_{2.5} concentration

bins and monthly non-accidental mortality rate (per 100,000 people) in population

779 subgroups

Subgroup	Smoke PM _{2.5}	Associated change in monthly	<i>P</i> value [*]
<u> </u>	concentration bin (µg/m ³)	mortality rate (95% CI)	
By sex			
	0.1-0.2	0.11 (-0.05, 0.26)	Reference
	0.2-0.3	0.41 (0.22, 0.61)	Reference
	0.3-0.4	0.32 (0.11, 0.53)	Reference
Mala	0.4-0.5	0.41 (0.18, 0.65)	Reference
Iviale	0.5-0.7	0.49 (0.25, 0.74)	Reference
	0.7-1	0.74 (0.45, 1.04)	Reference
	1-5	0.38 (0.02, 0.74)	Reference
	5+	2.25 (1.09, 3.41)	Reference
	0.1-0.2	0.19 (0.08, 0.31)	0.386
	0.2-0.3	0.38 (0.24, 0.53)	0.795
	0.3-0.4	0.35 (0.20, 0.50)	0.788
Female	0.4-0.5	0.27 (0.09, 0.44)	0.311
i ontaio	0.5-0.7	0.45 (0.27, 0.63)	0.784
	0.7-1	0.51 (0.29, 0.72)	0.203
	1-5	0.28 (0.03, 0.54)	0.652
_	5+	1.90 (0.91, 2.90)	0.657
By age	0.4.0.0		
	0.1-0.2	0.05(-0.01, 0.10)	Reference
	0.2-0.3	0.10(0.04, 0.17)	Reference
	0.3-0.4	0.13(0.06, 0.20)	Reference
0-64	0.4-0.5	0.10(0.02, 0.18)	Reference
	0.5-0.7	0.22(0.14, 0.31)	Reference
	0.7-1	0.30(0.20, 0.40)	Deference
	1-5	0.07 (-0.05, 0.19)	Reference
	0.1-0.2	0.55 (0.05, 1.05)	
	0.1-0.2	2 20 (1 27 3 13)	< 0.003
	0.2-0.0	1 33 (0 41 2 26)	0.001
	0.4-0.5	0.77 (-0.25, 1.80)	0.198
65+	0.5-0.7	1 18 (0 13 2 24)	0.076
	0.7-1	2.34 (1.06, 3.61)	0.002
	1-5	1.36 (-0.23, 2.96)	0.112
	5+	7.08 (2.22, 11.93)	0.009
By race and e	thnicity		
-	0.1-0.2	0.11 (-0.00, 0.22)	Reference
	0.2-0.3	0.27 (0.14, 0.39)	Reference
	0.3-0.4	0.23 (0.09, 0.38)	Reference
Non-Hispanic	0.4-0.5	0.27 (0.11, 0.42)	Reference
White	0.5-0.7	0.36 (0.19, 0.53)	Reference
	0.7-1	0.50 (0.30, 0.70)	Reference
	1-5	0.01 (-0.23, 0.24)	Reference
	5+	1.36 (0.51, 2.21)	Reference
	0.1-0.2	0.20 (-0.15, 0.54)	0.631
	0.2-0.3	0.49 (0.06, 0.92)	0.327
Non-Hispanic	0.3-0.4	0.45 (-0.01, 0.91)	0.377
Black	0.4-0.5	0.29 (-0.22, 0.81)	0.922
	0.5-0.7	0.74 (0.20, 1.28)	0.190
	0.7-1	0.72 (0.05, 1.40)	0.536

	1-5	0.93 (0.11, 1.75)	0.035
	5+	3.91 (-0.31, 8.13)	0.245
	0.1-0.2	0.26 (-0.01, 0.52)	0.304
	0.2-0.3	0.60 (0.27, 0.93)	0.062
	0.3-0.4	0.39 (0.03, 0.75)	0.431
Hisponia	0.4-0.5	0.36 (-0.06, 0.77)	0.682
nispanic	0.5-0.7	0.38 (-0.05, 0.81)	0.945
	0.7-1	0.35 (-0.20, 0.90)	0.617
	1-5	0.96 (0.33, 1.59)	0.005
	5+	2.12 (-0.42, 4.66)	0.578

*The *P* value indicates the statistical significance of between-group difference, with males, people aged 0-64, and non-Hispanic White people as the reference group. We added an interaction term of the subgroup variable and smoke $PM_{2.5}$ variable into the main model and reported the *P* value of this interaction term.