# Factors influencing uptake of COVID-19 diagnostics

# 2 in Sub-Saharan Africa: a rapid scoping review

- 3 Short title: COVID-19 diagnostics uptake in sub-Saharan Africa.
- 5 Mackwellings Maganizo Phiri<sup>1,2</sup>\*,
- 6 Yasmin Dunkley<sup>6</sup>
- 7 Elizabeth Di Giacomo<sup>5</sup>
- 8 Wezzie Lora<sup>1,2</sup>
- 9 Moses Kumwenda<sup>1</sup>
- 10 Itai Kabonga<sup>3</sup>
- 11 Elvis Isere<sup>7</sup>

1

4

18 19

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29 30

32

43

44

- 12 John Bimba<sup>7</sup>
- 13 Euphemia Sibanda<sup>3,4</sup>
- 14 Augustine Choko<sup>1,2</sup>
- 15 Karin Hatzold<sup>8</sup>
- 16 Liz Corbett<sup>6</sup>
- 17 Nicola Desmond<sup>1,2</sup>
- 20 Affiliations
  - 1. Malawi Liverpool Wellcome Programme
  - 2. Kamuzu University of Health Sciences
  - 3. Centre for Sexual Health and HIV/AIDS Research Zimbabwe
    - 4. Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine
  - 5. Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario
    - 6. London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine
    - 7. Zankli Research Centre, Bingham University
  - 8. Population Services International, Washington DC
    - \*Corresponding author
- 31 Email: mmphiri@mlw.mw/mackwellingsphiri@gmail.com
- 33 Abstract
- 34 Background
- 35 Diagnostics are critical for preventing COVID-19 transmission, enabling disease
- management and engagement with care. However, COVID-19 testing uptake
- 37 remained low in low- and middle- income countries in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA)
- during the recent pandemic, due to issues of supply, access and acceptability. Early
- 39 studies conducted outside of the region provide insight into uptake of COVID-19
- 40 testing, however there has been no systematic research within the region. The aim of
- 41 this scoping review is to investigate factors influencing uptake of COVID-19 testing
- 42 in different settings across SSA.
  - Methods

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

- 45 Inclusion criteria was any study employing qualitative or mixed methodologies,
- addressing uptake of COVID-19 testing conducted in SSA. MEDLINE, PubMed,
- 47 Google Scholar, Web of Science, and Africa-Wide Information were searched.
- 48 Thematic content analysis was conducted across all included articles until saturation
- 49 was attained.

51

66

67

74

75 76

90

#### Results

52 In total 2994 articles were identified and fourteen reviewed. Structural, social,

- epidemiological, informational, and political elements affected how publics interacted
- 54 with COVID-19 testing. Coverage was limited by insufficient diagnostic capabilities
- caused by a shortage of laboratory resources and trained personnel. False information
- spread through social media led to testing misperceptions and apprehension. Testing
- 57 hesitancy was ascribed to fear of restrictive measures and the possibility of social
- harms if positive. Facility-based testing was physically inaccessible and perceived as
- lacking privacy, whereas self-testing distributed by the community removed lengthy
- distances and prevented stigma. Perceptions that COVID-19 was not severe and low
- numbers of confirmed cases in comparison to other settings undermined public
- 62 urgency for testing. Low testing frequency led to low-rate assumptions, which in turn
- 63 generated denial and othering narratives. Politicians' acceptance or denial of COVID-
- 64 19 affected the mobilization of the health system, and their model actions—such as
- 65 testing openly—promoted public confidence and involvement in interventions.

### **Conclusions**

- This review emphasizes the necessity of strong political commitments to enhancing
- 69 health systems for future pandemic preparedness. Response plans should consider
- 70 contextual elements that affect how people react to interventions and perceive health
- 71 emergencies. Community-driven self-testing distribution could enhance the uptake of
- 72 diagnostics through addressing socio-economic constraints impacting facility-
- 73 delivered testing.

## Introduction

- Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) was declared a Public Health Emergency of
- 77 International Concern by the World Health Organization (WHO) on January 30, 2020
- 78 [1,2]. Increased availability of diagnostic interventions for COVID-19 (C-19) was
- 79 identified as a research priority, including delivering point-of-care (POC) testing
- within communities [2]. The WHO recommended integrating C-19 testing within
- 81 routine diagnostics for other respiratory illnesses including influenza and tuberculosis
- 82 to increase access [3]. Following these recommendations, different diagnostic
- 83 techniques, including rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs), were produced and implemented
- 84 [3]. These included genome sequencing, antigen or antibody detection, and molecular
- 85 testing using nucleic acids [4]. Antigen/antibody tests were recommended for
- pandemic monitoring since they allowed rapid, regular, and expanded testing with on-
- 87 site detection and immediate management [4]. Despite this potential, C-19 testing was
- 88 not widely adopted by the public, particularly in low- and middle-income countries
- 89 (LMIC) in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) [5].

- 91 Identification of infected individuals through diagnostics is essential for disease
- 92 prevention and control but testing-related challenges have been reported worldwide
- 93 [5–8]. As C-19 spread, demand for diagnostic tests outstripped global supply,
- 94 resulting in an inequitable access [5,6]. Although high-income countries had the
- means to produce or purchase technologies, access was limited in the LMIC [5,6].
- This has been due to political and supply-side issues, including issues of global
- 97 governance and health system-related factors such as resource limitations and
- 98 logistics, as well as social and community-level factors such as communication and
- 99 trust in delivery agents. The spread of misinformation undermined public confidence
- and restricted testing uptake globally [2]. Effective political leadership was
- demonstrated to impact engagement in preventive measures such as a sharp increase
- in people's trust and willingness to test for C-19 when the president of Ghana tested
- publicly [9]. Likewise, where the political leadership was unwilling to test and
- dismissive of C-19 threat the desire to test among the general public was also
- 105 correspondingly low.

112

119

120

121

129

- Although studies have shed light on factors influencing public testing uptake, there
- has been little research in SSA specifically. User focus in SSA has been on general
- knowledge, attitudes, perceptions, and practices towards C-19 and vaccination
- responses, whilst supply-side research has investigated healthcare system conditions
- necessary for deploying testing instruments such as RDTs [10,11].
- 113 This study formed part of the "STAR Africa, Asia, Americas COVID-19 Preparedness
- Project (3ACP)" funded through UNITAID, investigating COVID-19 professional use
- and self-testing rapid diagnostics in Nigeria, Zimbabwe, and Malawi. As part of this
- work, we conducted a scoping of the contextual factors influencing people's decisions
- regarding COVID-19 testing in various settings throughout SSA. This information
- would support the implementation of the main project.

## **Methods**

## Review scope

- We conducted the review between July and August 2023. The review methodology is
- available at https://osf.io and has been registered with the Open Science Framework
- 124 (OSF). Arksey and O'Malley's methodological approach was used to formulate the
- research question, find relevant studies, choose studies, chart the data, and compile,
- summarize, and present findings [12]. Papers were selected following the Preferred
- Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework
- 128 [13].
- 130 Inclusion criteria were any peer-reviewed study investigating factors influencing self-
- and provider-delivered COVID-19 testing uptake in sub-Saharan Africa with
- qualitative research methods described (i.e., focus groups, interviews, ethnography,
- and case studies), as well as mixed-methods studies including qualitative research
- 134 conducted in conjunction with clinical trials. The types of diagnostic tests being used
- were another area of focus for data extraction. Quantitative research, literature
- reviews, and duplicates were removed from analysis after title screening. The search

focused only on research from SSA from the onset of the C-19 pandemic (January 2020) to July 2023, when the review was conducted (**Table 1**).

#### Table 1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria

	Exclude	Include
Publication type	Non-peer reviewed content	Peer reviewed studies
Study design	Quantitative surveys, clinical studies with no qualitative element.	Qualitative research (results from focus groups / interviews), mixed-methods studies involving qualitative methodology.
Report types	Reviews, opinion pieces, letters to the editor	Primary research
Language	Non-English language	English language
Geographic area	Non-SSA countries	SSA countries
Topic	Non-COVID-19 testing, vaccine, vaccination	COVID-19 testing, COVID-19 diagnostics, and factors affecting their acceptability
Date range	Exclude studies before 2020	Studies from January 2020 to present date

We conducted the search through Google Scholar, PubMed, Web of Science, Medline, and Africa-Wide Information. Search terms including COVID-19, COVID 19, coronavirus, testing, screening, RDTs, diagnostics, diagnose, enablers, facilitators, motivation, influence, behaviour, attitude, perception, beliefs, cultural, political, sociocultural, economic, social science, qualitative, and mixed methods were used. We used them separately as well as in combination (using the Boolean operators "AND" and "OR") (Table 2). Filters were used to narrow the search to primary research abstracts and titles (**Table 2**).

#### Table 2: Search terms

Query	Filters
(COVID-19 OR COVID 19 OR coronavirus) AND (test*	Free full text, Journal Article,
OR screen* OR RDT OR diagnos*) AND (enabl* OR	English, SSA, 2020 to present
facilitat* OR motiv* OR influenc *) AND (behav* OR	day
attitude* OR perce* OR belie*) AND (cultur* OR	
politic* OR sociocult* OR econom*) AND ("social	
science" OR qualitative OR mixed methods)	

Studies were initially included for consideration based on the title and abstract. If the abstract did not contain relevant information, we searched the article for the keywords described above. We evaluated the quality and relevance of eligible studies using a research appraisal tool developed by Hawker et al., 2002, and colleagues [14] (S2). We developed a data charting form including details on the author, publication year, location, study design, sample size, and conclusions (S3).

# Data analysis

NVivo version 12 was used to import all the studies that satisfied the inclusion criteria. Codes and concepts were explored inductively and deductively. A preliminary

- 162 coding framework was created and modified inductively to incorporate emerging
- themes. The initial codes and concepts were later reclassified, summarised, and
- integrated into two broad thematic areas: COVID-19 testing facilitators; and COVID-
- 165 19 testing barriers. We present the data under these thematic categories, and Fig 2
- summarises the main and sub-themes under each category.
- 168 Fig 2: Graphical display of COVID-19 testing facilitators and barriers in sub-Saharan
- 169 Africa.

170

171

#### Results

- 172 A total of 2994 studies were identified through the initial search across all databases.
- 173 2870 studies were eliminated. We screened the abstracts of 124 articles: 104 were
- excluded, covering topics related to COVID-19 but not directly associated with testing
- uptake, for example, COVID-19 vaccination, knowledge, and beliefs. Other reasons
- 176 for exclusion included not focusing on the relevant disease area (HIV or tuberculosis
- diagnostics), while others were not conducted within SSA. We remained with 20
- articles for full-text screening. Of these two were systematic reviews, three did not
- include qualitative approaches, and one was not conducted in SSA. A total of 14
- articles remained for quality evaluation and data extraction (**Fig 1**).
- 182 **Fig 1.** Process flow diagram for the research selection using PRISMA methodology.
- 184 Six studies analysed patient and stakeholder perceptions and experiences with C-19
- testing and screening procedures [10, 16-20]. The remaining eight studies explored C-
- 186 19 responses generally as well as testing-related topics. Four studies reported COVID-
- 187 19 self-testing [9,15–17], five used facility-based RDTs [9,18–21], two used
- molecular tests [22,23], one used PCR tests [17], one used imaging [24], and two did
- not explicitly specify the diagnostic test used [25,26]. The studies had a total of 953
- participants, aged 17 to 77 (**Table 3**).

191 Table 3: Summary of included studies with key findings

Author and year	Country	Design & Population	Key findings	Testing modality: diagnostic test type/delivery mode
Amoo et al., 2020	Nigeria	Mixed-method: 27 indepth interviews with healthcare workers and 1030 participants in COVID-19 testing survey	Testing participation was based on understanding of COVID-19. Nasal swabbing, was unsettling for participants; travel expenses limited participation.	Facility-based testing using a drive-through sampling modality (nasal and oropharyngeal) targeting COVID-19 suspects invited via social media platforms and the Nigeria Centre for Disease Control website.
Oleribe et al., 2021	Nigeria	Qualitative: online semi- structured interviews with 495 respondents	Expanding testing was hampered by a shortage of test kits and competent medical personnel.  Politicising COVID-19 also negatively affected public behaviours regarding the health measures.	Facility-provided molecular and community-delivered rapid diagnostic testing
Nxumalo et al., 2021	South Africa	Qualitative: 15 semi- structured interviews with primary healthcare practitioners	Caretakers were uncomfortable due to lack of PPE and fear of contracting infection, which affected quality of services offered. Denial and othering led some to believe that testing wasn't necessary.	Facility-based provider- initiated symptomatic screening based on high body temperature. Details of diagnostic test used not described
Lewis et al., 2021	South Africa	Qualitative: online open-ended questionnaire with 60 diagnostic radiographers	Radiographers felt physical and mental pressure due to sample backlogs and limited testing capacity. This led to delays in providing test results and care. Radiographers felt overwhelmed and helpless in witnessing patient deaths while waiting for test results.	COVID-19 imaging (computed tomography) on referred patients
Rispel et al., 2021	South Africa	Qualitative: 36 interviews with key informants (incl. policy makers, healthcare workers, advocacy	Limited human and material resources impacted laboratory testing capacity. Lack of clarity on testing or screening guidelines caused confusion between testing teams. Poor working conditions impacted providers' willingness to perform.	Facility-provided testing using random community-based sampling strategy

		groups), and document analysis		
Schmidt et al., 2020	South Africa	Qualitative: 60 interviews with community members and key informants (civil society, private sector representatives)	Rumours on social media concerning faulty test kits led to misunderstandings about COVID-19 and bred public mistrust of the testing suspecting it was being used to infect people with COVID-19, which made people reluctant to test.	Door-to-door symptomatic screening and rapid diagnostic testing
Brumwell et al., 2022	South Africa	Qualitative: 52 semi- structured interviews with COVID-19 self- testing decision makers (health workers, civil society representatives, self-testing implementers	Access to facility services was hindered by long distances and high transportation expenses. Selftesting was viewed as private, allowing the freedom of testing at own convenience, preventing long waiting times for test results and social stigma associated with facility-based testing.	Facility-provided PCR tests, and rapid SARS-CoV-2 antigen self-testing intended for a prospective national mass testing campaign
Asare et al., 2023	Ghana	Qualitative: 6 focus group discussions with 39 COVID-19 contact tracers	Inadequate testing capacity resulting in sample backlogs and processing delays impacted case management. Clients requesting the medical teams conducting the screening to disclose their political affiliation before they could take the services since they mistrusted the government with COVID-19.	Facility-initiated screening and testing of index patients and community-level screening and sampling of contacts using RDTs
Ha et al., 2022	Ghana	Qualitative: 20 semi- structured interviews with testing key informants incl. policymakers, implementers, frontline health workers, and community members	People did not accept the test because of low-risk perceptions equating COVID-19 with common flu.	Mass testing through self-tests (using self-procured kits) and facility-provided tests (following a prescription or personal choice)
Asiimwe et al., 2021	Ghana	Qualitative: 27 semi- structured interviews	Processing test results took longer than expected due to insufficient testing capacity and laboratory	Facility-initiated community-level screening and sampling

Carlitz et	Tanzania	with COVID-19 contact tracers, supervisors, and case contacts  Qualitative: 40 in-depth	supply shortage. Few people underwent testing due to stigma.  People did not accept testing because the country's	involving facility-based testing as part of a national surveillance campaign.  Method of testing not
al., 2021		interviews with public healthcare workers, social welfare organisations, village leaders	president said there was no COVID-19 or it was not serious, test kits had been tampered with and were unreliable, laboratories were fabricating positive test results.	confirmed.
Yamanis et al., 2023	Tanzania	Qualitative: 56 in-depth interviews with healthcare workers, social welfare organisations, village leaders	No testing facilities were available. Healthcare workers just screened suspects based on body temperature, heart rate, and blood pressure.	Facility-delivered screening: body temperature, heart rate, blood pressure
Mohamme d et al., 2021	Ethiopia	Qualitative: Semi- structured interviews with COVID-19 prevention task force members, healthcare workers, community members	People disputed the validity of COVID-19. Belief that politicians were exploiting COVID-19 to distract the public from national political issues. Rumours that laboratory staff members were paid by politicians and fabricated test results to boost the number of cases and support the political narrative that COVID-19 was present. Because test kits weren't easily accessible, prospective testing clients went longer without being tested.	Door-to-door symptomatic sampling and screening implemented as part of the national community-based surveillance programme
Chabeda et al., 2022	Kenya	Qualitative: semi- structured interviews and focus group discussions with 50 self- testing stakeholders (providers, implementers, and advocacy groups)	Public facilities frequently ran out of test kits, whereas commercial test centres had better equipment but were more expensive. Because self-testing is private and could reduce demand on public healthcare facilities, informants believed that it would be well-accepted by the public.	Self-testing (using self- procured rapid SARS-CoV-2 antigen-detection kits accessed through private distributors)

193 COVID-19 diagnostic uptake in SSA was problematic across many settings and influenced by political, institutional/structural, social, and informational factors.

## **Facilitators of COVID-19 Testing**

#### Effective political leadership

Strong political leadership was critical in determining the direction of the national COVID-19 response. Ha et al., 2022 and Yamanis et al., 20233 described that in areas where government officials viewed COVID-19 as a threat to public health, there was a strong political commitment to develop and implement disease containment measures including diagnostics. For example, in Ghana, the government gathered financial and material support to increase its diagnostic capacity through multisectoral partnerships with development partners. This allowed the country's health system to expand the number of COVID-19 testing facilities nationwide, improving access and coverage of testing services, according to one of the nation's laboratory managers:

"We did not have enough testing centres and PPE at the beginning of the pandemic. But, now, we have enough facilities, adequate PPE, and other consumables supported by the Ghana government, international organizations, and other donors for COVID-19 testing." [9]

Yamanis et al., 2023 described Tanzania making a similar commitment to empowering the health system and acknowledging the existence of COVID-19 after a period of denial when the new president, Hassan, acknowledged COVID-19 as a public health emergency relying on collaboration with local and international partners to improve control measures, including promoting testing uptake.

Both Ha et al., 2022 and Yamanis et al., 2023 described the influence of government in public responses to COVID-19 services. Political leaders not only made investments in health system capacity for COVID-19 monitoring activities, but took on a pro-public health advocacy role, urging people to get tested as well as follow the rest of the controls set in place. Some government representatives underwent COVID-19 testing or vaccination in public to legitimize and encourage improved public response, motivating testing:

We received lots of hope from the government and the president. Our president was really keen on tackling the pandemic [...] We were highly encouraged to get tested by the president, and his leadership uplifted the motivation of getting tested." [9].

#### Public confidence in organizations providing testing

Public perceptions of the organizations tasked with carrying out testing activities were central to participation. In South Africa, Brumwell et al., found that people were more inclined to test for COVID-19 if they knew and trusted the providers:

"I think people do trust their pastors, their healthcare workers, nurses and general practitioners, pharmacists, principles...They generally don't trust politicians. So, I wouldn't include them there. But, generally, the community leaders, non-politically aligned, I think would be people that would be trustworthy." [17].

- C-19 was more acceptable when spearheaded by people that community members were used to and had some form of prior association or interaction.
  - Novel COVID-19 testing modalities.

253

261

262263

277278

279

280

281

282

283

284

- 244 Perspectives on self-testing were discussed in two studies by Brumwell et al., 2022
- and Chabeda et al., 2022, and participants expressed a preference for self-testing over
- facility-based testing in both cases. Brumwell et al., 2022 claimed that this was due to
- testing flexibility, privacy, and confidentiality for socially excluded groups such as the
- 248 homeless and drug users, whereas facility-based testing was felt to exacerbate stigma.
- 249 Participants in Kenya in Chabeda et al., 2022 study described decision-makers
- perspectives that self-testing was crucial for physically vulnerable populations such as
- 251 the elderly, the sick, those with impairments, and people living in isolated locations.
- 252 However, they did not interview end users of self-testing.
- 254 . Knowledge of COVID-19 and its risks preceded the public adoption of testing. Eight of the studies reflected the usefulness of public health communication in generating demand for testing [9,16–18,20,23,25,27]. The studies frequently ascribed the uptake of testing to ongoing public health communication, which participants said was helpful in dispelling initial pandemic myths in the communities. Asare et al., 2023 mentioned that in Ghana finding contacts to test early in the pandemic was difficult, and awareness campaigns were seen to help communities respond more effectively.

## **Barriers to COVID-19 Testing**

#### Health system capacity

264 Nine of the studies identified that healthcare systems in SSA lacked the diagnostic 265 tools and equipment necessary to identify COVID-19 patients, which impacted testing 266 availability [9,17,18,20–23,25,26]. There was common recognition that systemic 267 underfunding of healthcare systems, pre-existent to the pandemic, translated to a lack 268 of preparedness for COVID-19. Problems included the paucity of test kits, 269 disinfectants, and safety equipment in laboratories. Some hospitals lacked laboratory 270 facilities altogether. For instance, in Tanzania Yamanis et al., 2023 revealed there 271 was no laboratory to conduct testing, medical professionals merely checked suspects' 272 body temperatures, blood pressure, and heart rates. Inadequate laboratory readiness 273 led to frequent backlogs in testing COVID-19 samples. Five of the studies reported 274 protracted processing times ranging from several days [17,21,25,27] to more than a 275 month [20] from sample collection, affecting COVID-19 public health response such 276 as contact tracing.

#### **Human Resource constraints**

The availability of human capital also affected the availability of COVID-19 diagnostic testing in SSA. Four of the studies ([9,19,21,22] discussed the lack of skilled medical personnel to support testing and surveillance interventions. For example, a laboratory manager in Ghana described increased work burden due to staff shortages:

- "We have only one person at the lab who runs the test. Despite our support, he ran samples until late. I also feel too exhausted [...] when testing many people. The human personnel is fewer [...]." [9].
  - This shortage was also reported in South Africa and Nigeria [19,22]. Solutions suggested included retraining HIV service providers to reduce the supply-demand gap for COVID-19 testing.
  - Mental exhaustion and distress impacted staff capacity to respond to testing demands. Fears expressed included contracting COVID-19 through interaction with positive patients, where lack of access to personal protective equipment (PPE) and antiseptics for sanitizing surfaces was sub-optimal. Participants also felt underappreciated without support to address these issues. Fears were exacerbated when colleagues died of COVID-19. These factors all contributed to mental exhaustion.

#### Supply-Chain Issues

 Five of the studies identified supply problems that impacted the availability and distribution of COVID-19 diagnostic services [9,17,20,21,23]. Mohammed et al. 2021 drew attention to budgetary constraints that impacted the purchase of medical necessities in Ethiopia, leading to inconsistent supply and frequent stockouts of test kits. Ha et al., 2022 described similar supply challenges such as irregular provision of personal protective equipment (PPE), making it difficult for surveillance teams to effectively conduct contact tracing:

"When COVID-19 [] came, we [the Ghana Health Service] were not prepared, which is why we faced a lot of challenges with contact tracing in the beginning. The PPEs were not there, yet we had to work. So, if the authorities could learn their lessons, I think we will be better prepared for the future." [9]

#### Accessibility of testing

Access to COVID-19 testing was geographically unevenly distributed across urban and rural settings. In one study, supply was better in urban centres than in rural ones, even when testing was supposedly available. Asare et al., 2023 in Ghana, for instance, described participants feeling that metropolitan facilities had more resources than their rural counterparts, making it simpler to receive services there. In this context, Brumwell et al., 2022 and Chabeda et al., 2022 demonstrated that self-testing could increase testing accessibility, helping to solve the issue of people failing to test because of large distances to facilities, which had an impact on both supply and demand. However, since patients had to travel to pick up the test kits, the supply was constrained by the central distribution of test kits through healthcare facilities. Participants in Brumwell et al., 2022 and Chabeda et al., 2022 believed that this posed the same challenges as facility-based testing.

#### Psycho-social and economic obstacles

- Testing decisions were also shaped by risk perceptions and the economic and
- psychosocial ramifications of undergoing a test and being diagnosed with the disease.
- Seven studies [9,16–18,21,24,25] reported prevalent pandemic-related dread among

- community members, worrying about contracting and developing problems. Both
- Asiimwe et al., 2021 and Nxumalo et al., 2021 described these worries as stemming
- from social media rumours claiming that foreign locations had a high death rate.
- 336 Carlitz et al., 2021 described that COVID-19 fatalities were being buried as Ebola
- victims, stories that increased fears of the pandemic and the social repercussions of
- receiving a COVID-19-positive diagnosis. In Brumwell et al., 2022 South African
- study, participants claimed that clients who tested positive experienced stigma
- because neighbours thought they were spreading the disease and held them
- responsible for new infections or fatalities.

352353

361

370

377

- Relating to economic costs, the two self-testing studies by Brumwell et al., 2022 and
- Chabeda et al., 2022 demonstrated that testing uptake was discouraged by the negative
- financial consequences of being diagnosed with COVID-19 and disclosure
- requirements. For the majority of those who tested positive, isolation requirements
- meant missing work. Failure to report for duty would also result in pay loss for jobs
- without sick days and participants believed that people's fear of losing their income
- prevented them from testing and disclosing their status to prevent isolation. As
- 350 mentioned earlier, transport costs incurred when accessing self-testing centrally
- distributed through facilities also dissuaded uptake [15,17].

## False claims and beliefs

- Nine studies reported how misinformation fuelled through social media encouraged
- negative perceptions of COVID-19, with a detrimental impact on demand for testing
- 356 (Amoo et al., 2020; Asare et al., 2023; Brumwell et al., 2022; Carlitz et al., 2021;
- 357 Ekohm et al., 2021; Ha et al., 2022; Mohammed et al., 2021; Nxumalo et al., 2021 and
- 358 Schmidt et al., 2020). Mohammed et al., 2021 described a prevalent false claim that
- hospitals fabricated test results to increase the number of verified cases to demonstrate
- the reality of COVID-19.
- Following the introduction of vaccination, rumours related to vaccines also impacted
- 363 C-19 testing uptake. For example, Schmidt et al., 2020 highlighted refusal to uptake
- door-to-door screening and testing by medical personnel due to beliefs around
- vaccination in South Africa: "Like as clinic staff we go in door-to-door, there are
- incidences where a house owner would refuse for us to go in, saying we don't want
- 367 your vaccines because they have Corona. Then we had to explain that we are not
- 368 injecting people, we are just screening and asking questions. People are really scared,
- 369 because of what they heard..." [16]
- Carlitz et al., 2021; Chabeda et al., 2022 and Schmidt et al., 2020 all described
- 372 spiritual beliefs and religious beliefs that prevented the public from using tests and
- other interventions, compounding misconceptions spreading through social media.
- Chabeda et al., 2022 described belief in COVID-19 as a sign of devil worship in
- Kenya. Schmidt et al., 2020 described how COVID-19 was seen as testament that God
- was angry with humanity in South Africa.

#### 378 Political exploitation of COVID-19 in SSA

- 379 Seven studies demonstrated how COVID-19 testing was highly politicized (Asare et
- 380 al., 2023; Asiimwe et al., 2021; Carlitz et al., 2021; Mohammed et al., 2021; Oleribe

- et al., 2021; Yamanis et al., 2023). Studies in Tanzania by both Carlitz et al., 2021 and
- Yamanis et al., 2023 described political figures explicitly denouncing the pandemic's
- existence, encouraging the public to seek herbal remedies. This, alongside the
- Tanzanian government's decision to remove the country's laboratory manager and end
- its monitoring program influenced willingness to adopt public health strategies
- including testing (Carlitz et al 2021).
- The strength of perceived association between political agendas and C-19, fuelled through social media contributed to public mistrust of organizations providing health
- responses. In Ghana, Asare et al., 2023 highlighted the relationship between political
- affiliation and testing engagement where the public 'screened' providers of testing
- 392 according to political views: "Politicising the disease is a challenge to us [contact
- 393 tracers]. This is because you will get to a contact's home, and they start to politicise
- 394 the entire process [of contact tracing] and they begin to ask you which party you
- 395 belong to."

396397

414

424

#### **Discussion**

- 398 The findings of this review point to several structural, political, informational,
- 399 economic, testing modality, and psychosocial elements that impacted directly on both
- 400 provision and uptake of COVID-19 testing across SSA. Countries were unable to
- 401 increase COVID-19 screening and testing because public healthcare systems lacked
- adequate laboratory and diagnostic equipment. The delivery of screening and testing
- 403 was also influenced by safety worries and low morale among healthcare professionals
- because of a lack of protective equipment and compensation for additional work
- burdens. Demand and supply were both heavily impacted by political leadership.
- When effective this promoted resource mobilization, cultivated public trust, and
- 407 encouraged participation in health interventions. In contrast, when government
- 408 officials made COVID-19 a political issue, this bred mistrust and discouraged
- 409 engagement. Willingness to test was influenced by perceptions of the professionalism
- of providers. Misinformation spread through social media related to vaccinations,
- politics, and testing outcomes, coupled with a lack of awareness about COVID-19 in
- general and the belief that this was a disease from elsewhere, were factors that tended
- 413 to negatively influence views toward control measures.
- Public testing choices were also affected by the nature of the test, the health dangers it
- posed, as well as its economic and psychosocial ramifications. For instance, people
- 417 favoured self-testing over facility-based testing because the former required less travel
- 418 time, offered testing liberty, ensured privacy, and lessened social stigma. The latter
- was unaffordable due to the great distance, expensive cost, and risk of disease
- 420 transmission from traffic. Healthcare workers also preferred the self-testing modality
- because it helped to relieve health system burdens. However, COVID-19 self-testing
- was not key in most of the studies as only two examined perspectives on its
- 423 acceptability.
- Our findings are consistent with previous research, particularly relating to the factors
- 426 that promote or impede the implementation and uptake of point-of-care diagnostic
- interventions for pandemics in SSA, including for HIV and Ebola. For instance,

- 428 several studies have demonstrated limited public engagement with facility-based HIV
- 429 testing because people felt the model involved long travels and was inconvenient,
- lacked privacy, caused stigma and discrimination, and limited their autonomy [28,29].
- Relating to supply chain issues, a systematic study on HIV diagnostics in low-and
- 432 middle-income settings including SSA identified the lack of laboratory equipment as
- one of the key factors undermining HIV testing programmes [30]. Similarly, shortages
- of medical equipment and resources hampered public health efforts during the 2014
- Ebola outbreak in West Africa to identify those who were infected with the virus
- 436 [31,32]. Agreeing with our results, a review of HIV testing enablers and barriers in
- Africa showed that self-care options such as HIV self-testing granted users the
- freedom and convenience of testing at the place and time of choice, reduced the
- 439 stigma and discrimination associated with facility-based testing, and boosted HIV
- testing uptake [30].

449

450

- The laboratory and diagnostic challenges highlighted by this research have significant
- effects on country-level ability to control infectious disease outbreaks.
- 444 Epidemiological surveillance is also challenged when affected individuals go
- undetected, raising the risk of transmission, and making it more difficult to implement
- interventions in response to epidemics [33]. Governments may become more self-
- sufficient and better equipped for upcoming pandemics if domestic resource revenue
- is maximized under strong political leadership [31].

# Strengths and limitations

- The study was enhanced by the systematic searching of several databases to find all
- relevant studies that satisfied the predefined inclusion criteria. Understanding of the
- variables influencing COVID-19 testing uptake was enriched through the inclusion of
- papers employing a variety of methodological techniques, including mixed-methods
- studies. Regarding limitations, restricting the inclusion of studies only to those
- 456 published in English due to language barriers entailed a possibility of missing other
- relevant studies. The reviewed papers were written at specific time points, raising the
- 458 possibility of the findings not reflecting the rapid changes in pandemic responses and
- how people reacted to them overtime. Primary studies addressing the research
- question were also scarce at the time of the review, and the few that we analysed
- examined COVID-19 testing largely from the viewpoints of decision-makers as
- opposed to actual testers. This remains a knowledge gap regarding the actual testing
- experiences, which would have deepened the analysis of the demand-side facilitators
- and barriers. To better understand uptake drivers and match testing outcomes with
- social contextual needs, future pandemic diagnostic testing research should prioritize
- 466 end users.

467 468

469

#### **Conclusion**

- 470 The COVID-19 pandemic response in SSA was dynamic and testing provision and
- 471 uptake changed over time. Initially, many SSA countries lacked the resources to
- identify all COVID-19 cases [32] and it may be likely that cases were consequently
- underreported [33]. Healthcare systems had received little funding and lacked the
- 474 equipment and personnel needed to efficiently prepare for and conduct testing. This

- emphasizes the necessity of a strong political commitment to enhancing health
- 476 systems for pandemic preparedness in the future. Future pandemic response plans
- should consider contextual elements that affect how people react to interventions and
- 478 perceive health emergencies. Self-testing solutions that are distributed by the
- 479 community could remove socioeconomic constraints frequently associated with
- 480 facility-delivered testing and increase access to pandemic diagnostic services. To
- ensure proper lay use of these self-care devices and linkage to care, user-friendly
- instructions and community-based psychosocial support networks are crucial factors.

#### **Ethics**

483

484

490

491

494

498

499

- This investigation did not seek specific ethics approval because it analysed secondary
- data without involving primary data collection with human subjects. However, all the
- country-specific projects that it was part of received individual ethical approvals from
- 488 in-country, the London School of Tropical Hygiene and Medicine, the Liverpool
- 489 School of Tropical Medicine, and the WHO (S1).

# Acknowledgments

- The authors would like to thank the entire 3ACP research group for supporting this
- 493 work.

# 495 Funding

- 496 Funding for this study was received under the STAR COVID-19 grant by UNITAID
- 497 through Population Services International (grant ref/code: 2017-16-PSI-STAR).

# **Competing interests**

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## References

- 1. Lenharo M. WHO declares end to COVID-19's emergency phase. Nature.
- 503 2023;882.

501

- 2. WHO. COVID-19 Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC)
- Global research and innovation forum [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2023 Jun 14]. Available
- from: https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/covid-19-public-health-emergency-of-
- international-concern-(pheic)-global-research-and-innovation-forum
- 3. AACC. A Peek Inside the SARS-CoV-2 Diagnostic Pipeline | AACC.org [Internet].
- 509 2023 [cited 2023 Jun 15]. Available from: https://www.aacc.org/cln/cln-
- stat/2020/november/5/a-peek-inside-the-sars-cov-2-diagnostic-pipeline
- 4. Rong G, Zheng Y, Chen Y, Zhang Y, Zhu P, Sawan M. COVID-19 diagnostic
- methods and detection techniques. Encyclopedia of sensors and biosensors. 2023;17.
- 5. Harvie A. Barriers to mass testing for COVID-19 in Africa [Internet]. Atlantic
- Council. 2020 [cited 2023 Aug 12]. Available from:
- 515 https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/africasource/barriers-to-mass-testing-for-covid-
- 516 19-in-africa/
- 6. Mulu A, Bekele A, Abdissa A, Balcha TT, Habtamu M, Mihret A, et al. The
- 518 challenges of COVID-19 testing in Africa: the Ethiopian experience. The Pan African
- 519 Medical Journal. 2021;38.
- 7. Yangchen S, Ha S, Assan A, Tobgay T. Factors influencing COVID-19 testing: a
- 521 qualitative study in Bhutan. Global Health Research and Policy. 2022;7:10.
- 8. Africa CDC. Africa CDC, FIND partner to build capacity for COVID-19 rapid
- 523 diagnostic tests in Africa [Internet]. 2022 Nov. Available from:
- 524 https://africacdc.org/news-item/africa-cdc-find-partner-to-build-capacity-for-covid-
- 525 19-rapid-diagnostic-tests-in-africa/
- 9. Ha S, Yangchen S, Assan A. COVID-19 Testing: A Qualitative Study Exploring
- 527 Enablers and Barriers in the Greater Accra Region, Ghana. Frontiers in Public Health
- 528 [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2022 Nov 19];10. Available from:
- 529 https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2022.908410
- 10. Nwagbara UI, Osual EC, Chireshe R, Bolarinwa OA, Saeed BQ, Khuzwayo N, et
- al. Knowledge, attitude, perception, and preventative practices towards COVID-19 in
- sub-Saharan Africa: A scoping review. PLOS ONE. 2021;16:e0249853.
- 533 11. Jacobs J, Kühne V, Lunguya O, Affolabi D, Hardy L, Vandenberg O.
- 534 Implementing COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) Rapid Diagnostic Tests in Sub-Saharan
- Africa: A Review. Frontiers in Medicine [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2022 Dec 8];7.
- Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2020.557797

- 12. Arksey H. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. International
- journal of social research methodology. 2005;8:19–32.
- 13. Moher D. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses:
- The PRISMA Statement. Ann Intern Med. 2009;151:264.
- 14. Hawker S, Payne S, Kerr C, Hardey M, Powell J. Appraising the Evidence:
- Reviewing Disparate Data Systematically. Qual Health Res. 2002;12:1284–99.
- 543 15. Chabeda S, Shilton S, Manguro G, Omenda S, Owira P, Martínez-Pérez, et al.
- 544 Decision-takers' Attitudes Towards SARS-CoV-2 Self-Testing in Kenya: A
- 545 Qualitative Inquiry. 2022;
- 16. Schmidt T, Cloete A, Davids A, Makola L, Zondi N, Jantjies M. Myths,
- misconceptions, othering and stigmatizing responses to Covid-19 in South Africa: A
- rapid qualitative assessment. PLOS ONE. 2020;15:e0244420.
- 17. Brumwell AN, Babatunde GB, Shilton S, Tso J, Wilson MW, Xulu N, et al. Self-
- testing for COVID-19 in Durban and Eastern Cape, South Africa: a qualitative inquiry
- targeting decision-takers. Contemporary Social Science. 2022;17:450–67.
- 18. Nxumalo CT. A qualitative study to explore primary health care practitioners'
- perceptions and understanding regarding the COVID-19 pandemic in KwaZulu-Natal,
- South Africa. African Journal of Primary Health Care & Family Medicine. 2021;13.
- 19. Rispel LC, Marshall C, Matiwane B, Tenza IS. Innovations, contestations and
- fragilities of the health system response to COVID-19 in the Gauteng Province of
- 557 South Africa. Plos one. 2021;16:e0261339.
- 558 20. Asare IT, Douglas M, Kye-Duodu G, Manu E. Challenges and opportunities for
- improved contact tracing in Ghana: experiences from Coronavirus disease-2019-
- related contact tracing in the Bono region. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2023;23:335.
- 21. Asiimwe N, Tabong PT-N, Iro SA, Noora CL, Opoku-Mensah K, Asampong E.
- 562 Stakeholders perspective of, and experience with contact tracing for COVID-19 in
- 563 Ghana: A qualitative study among contact tracers, supervisors, and contacts. PLOS
- 564 ONE. 2021;16:e0247038.
- 22. Oleribe OO, Idigbe IE, Osita-Oleribe P, Olawepo O, Musa ZA, Aikhuomogbe S,
- et al. Perceptions and opinions of Nigerians to the management and response to
- 567 COVID-19 in Nigeria. Pan African Medical Journal. 2021;40.
- 568 23. Mohammed AA. Preparedness and response to covid-19 in Woreta Town, North
- West Ethiopia. Scientific African. 2021;14:e01037.
- 570 24. Lewis S. Diagnostic radiographers' experience of COVID-19, gauteng south
- 571 africa. Radiography. 2021;27:346–51.

- 572 25. Carlitz R, Yamanis T, Mollel H. Coping with denialism: how street-level
- 573 bureaucrats adapted and responded to COVID-19 in Tanzania. Journal of Health
- 574 Politics, Policy and Law. 2021;46:989–1017.
- 575 26. Yamanis T, Carlitz R, Gonyea O, Skaff S, Kisanga N, Mollel H. Confronting
- 576 'chaos': a qualitative study assessing public health officials' perceptions of the factors
- affecting Tanzania's COVID-19 vaccine rollout. BMJ open. 2023;13:e065081.
- 578 27. Amoo OS, Ohihoin AG, Musa AZ, Idighe I, Ige F, Giwa-Tubosun T, et al.
- 579 Implementation of a modified drive-through sampling strategy for SARS-CoV-2-the
- Nigerian experience. The Pan African Medical Journal. 2020;35.
- 581 28. Bogart LM, Kgotlaetsile K, Phaladze N, Mosepele M. HIV self-testing may
- overcome stigma and other barriers to HIV testing among higher-socioeconomic
- status men in Botswana: A qualitative study. African Journal of AIDS Research.
- 584 2021;20:297–306.
- 585 29. Musheke M, Ntalasha H, Gari S, Mckenzie O, Bond V, Martin-Hilber A, et al. A
- 586 systematic review of qualitative findings on factors enabling and deterring uptake of
- 587 HIV testing in Sub-Saharan Africa. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:220.
- 30. Njau B, Covin C, Lisasi E, Damian D, Mushi D, Boulle A, et al. A systematic
- review of qualitative evidence on factors enabling and deterring uptake of HIV self-
- testing in Africa. BMC Public Health. 2019;19:1289.
- 31. Yamashiro T. Recovering from COVID-19: How to enhance domestic revenue
- mobilisation in small island developing states. OECD [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2024 Mar
- 593 5]; Available from: https://www.oecd.org/coronavirus/policy-responses/recovering-
- from-covid-19-how-to-enhance-domestic-revenue-mobilisation-in-small-island-
- developing-states-45f29680/
- 596 32. Kavanagh MM, Erondu NA, Tomori O, Dzau VJ, Okiro EA, Maleche A, et al.
- 597 Access to lifesaving medical resources for African countries: COVID-19 testing and
- response, ethics, and politics. The Lancet. 2020;395:1735–8.
- 33. Jambo K, Swarthout T, M'baya B, Heyderman R, Jere K, French N, et al.
- 600 Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in Malawi blood donors. 2020 [cited 2024]
- Mar 5]; Available from: http://rscarchive.kuhes.ac.mw/handle/20.500.12988/320

# **Supporting Information**

- S1. Ethical approval numbers for in country 3ACP studies, informed through this
- scoping review.

602

- 606 **S2**. Completed study quality and relevance form.
- 607 **S3**: Completed data charting form

print doi: https://doi.org/10.140./2024.06.03.24308387; this version posted June 3, 2024. The copyright hos not certified by near new law is the author/funder who has drawfunded by the literase to display the present is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Searching databases

(n=2994)

Studies excluded (n=2870): duplicates (n=20); not addressing topic of interest (n=2850)

Studies after title screening and duplicate removal (n=124)

Studies screened for abstract evaluation (n=124)

Studies excluded (n=104)

Articles included for full-text screening (n=20)

Articles included for full-text screening (n=14)

Studies excluded with reasons (n=6)

- 1 conducted outside SSA
  - 1 focused on COVID-19 vaccine
- 2 did not involve qualitative methods
  - 2 not primary studies

Figure 2: Graphical display of COVID-19 testing enablers and hinderers in sub-Saharan Africa

# Figure

Barriers