#### 1 Unveiling the role of mosquito and human diel activity patterns in the 2 risk of mosquito-borne disease infection

3

4 Paulo C. Ventura<sup>1</sup>, Andre B.B. Wilke<sup>1</sup>, Jagadeesh Chitturi<sup>1</sup>, Allisandra G. Kummer<sup>1</sup>,

- Snigdha Agrawal<sup>1</sup>, Chalmers Vasquez<sup>2</sup>, Yaziri Gonzalez<sup>3</sup>, Maria Litvinova<sup>4</sup>, John-Paul
   Mutebi<sup>2</sup>, Marco Ajelli<sup>1,\*</sup>
- 7

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory for Computational Epidemiology and Public Health, Department of
 <sup>9</sup> Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Indiana University School of Public Health, Bloomington,
 <sup>10</sup> Indiana, USA

- <sup>2</sup> Miami-Dade County Mosquito Control Division, Miami, Florida, USA
- 12 <sup>3</sup> City of Brownsville, Department of Health, Wellness, and Animal Services, Brownsville,
- 13 Texas, USA
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Indiana University School of Public
- 15 Health, Bloomington, Indiana, USA
- 16 \* Corresponding author: majelli@iu.edu

## 17 Abstract

### 18 Background

Mosquito-borne pathogens are transmitted through bites of female mosquito vectors that
 are actively seeking hosts for a blood meal and hosts, when either of them is infectious.
 Different mosquito species have different preferences for the time of the day/night when

- they actively seek blood meals. In the United States, the encounters between mosquito
- 23 vectors and human hosts primarily take place outdoors. Socioeconomic factors such as
- 24 occupation and income are major determinants of the hour of the day and total amount
- of time spent outdoors by different population groups. The aim of this study is to quantify:
- i) diel variations in the level of human exposure to mosquito vectors, and ii) exposure
- 27 heterogeneities by human population group.

# 28 Methods

- 29 We collected both diel activity data for two mosquito vector species (Aedes aegypti and
- 30 *Culex quinquefasciatus*) and time-use data for the United States. Then, we analyzed the
- 31 diel overlap between the two at the population level and by human population group.

### 32 Results

33 For both mosquito species, we found a substantial heterogeneity in their diel overlap with 34 human outdoors activities. We estimated that the time periods with the highest risk of 35 exposure to bites of Ae. aegypti are 7am-11am and 5pm-8pm, while the highest risk for 36 Cx. Quinquefasciatus is 6am-7am and 6pm-9pm. Moreover, we found disparities in the 37 exposure to mosquito vector species across different demographic groups. Workers with 38 primarily outdoor occupations, males, and Hispanics/Latinos were shown to have higher 39 levels of exposure as compared to the general population. In particular, we estimated that 40 workers with primarily outdoor occupations were 7.50-fold (95%CI: 7.18-7.84) and 6.63-41 fold (95%CI: 6.09-7.35) more exposed to Ae. aegypti and Cx. guinguefasciatus than the 42 general population, respectively.

## 43 Conclusion

44 This study serves as a steppingstone to quantify the risk of exposure to mosquito vector

- species in the United States. The obtained results can be instrumental for the design of
- 46 public health interventions such as education campaigns, which could contribute to
- 47 improve health and health equity.
- 48
- 49 **Keywords**: *Aedes aegypti*; *Culex quinquefasciatus*; dengue; West Nile virus; health disparities.

### 51 Introduction

With millions of cases and thousands of deaths reported every year, mosquito-borne 52 53 diseases represent a major global public health threat [1,2]. Over the past fifty years, 54 there has been a significant increase in global dengue incidence [3], with estimates 55 pointing to 300 million infections every year [4]. The introduction of Zika in the Americas 56 in 2015 resulted in an epidemic exceeding 1 million reported cases [5,6]. Malaria remains 57 a substantial public health burden, causing over 500,000 deaths annually [7]. Albeit on a 58 smaller scale, the United States has witnessed a surge in local outbreaks of mosquito-59 borne diseases in recent years [8,9]. For instance, Miami-Dade County, Florida, has 60 experienced local dengue outbreaks for the last three consecutive years (i.e., 2022-2024) 61 [9]. For the first time in the last 20 years, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 62 (CDC) reported locally acquired cases of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria in Florida and Texas 63 in 2023 [10]. Moreover, between 1999 and 2022, the United States reported 56,575 cases of West Nile virus infection, resulting in 25,777 hospitalizations and 2,776 fatalities, with 64 65 the largest outbreak ever reported taking place in Maricopa County, Arizona, in 2021. [11].

66 Mosquito-borne pathogens are transmitted through bites of female mosquito vectors that 67 are actively seeking hosts for a blood meal and hosts, when either of them is infectious 68 [12]. Different mosquito species have different preferences for the time of the day/night 69 when they actively seek blood meals. For example, Aedes aegypti (a primary vector for 70 dengue, Zika, and chikungunya viruses) is a crepuscular species [13,14]; on the other hand, Culex quinquefasciatus (a primary vector for West Nile virus and St. Louis 71 72 Encephalitis Virus) is mostly active at night [13,14]. In the United States, the encounters 73 between mosquito vectors and human hosts primarily occur outdoors [10,14–16]. 74 Socioeconomic factors such as occupation and income are major determinants of the 75 hour of the day and total amount of time spent outdoors leading to differences between 76 population groups (e.g., gender, racial/ethnical) [17,18].

77 The aim of this study is to quantify: i) diel variations in the level of human exposure to 78 mosquito vectors, and ii) exposure heterogeneities by human population group. To this 79 aim, we collected both diel activity data for two mosquito vector species (Ae. aegvpti and 80 *Cx. guinguefasciatus*) and (human) time-use data for the United Stated. These data are 81 used to quantify the diel overlap between the two mosquito vector species and the human 82 population both at the population level and by human population group. By shedding light 83 on the overlap between human and mosquito vector activities, our study could be 84 instrumental for the design of public health interventions such as education campaigns, 85 which could contribute to improve health and health equity.

86

## 87 Methods

#### 88 Mosquito diel activity data

Diel activity data for *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* was retrieved from our previous
studies [13,14]. Briefly, in those studies, mosquitoes were collected by BG-Sentinel 2
traps (Biogents AG, Regensburg, Germany) baited with BG Lures and dry ice. Mosquitoes
were collected once a month every hour for 96 consecutive hours in four locations within
two study sites: Miami-Dade County, Florida, and Brownsville, Texas. Collected

94 mosquitoes were kept under refrigeration until identified to species on chill tables 95 according to entomological keys [19]. For details on the data collection, we refer the 96 reader to the two original studies [13,14].

97

### 98 Human diel activity data

99 To infer human outdoor diel activity patterns, we used data from the American Time Use 100 Survey (ATUS) [20]. The ATUS data is collected from a sample of the US resident 101 population that is representative by race/ethnicity, gender, and occupation. Each 102 participant reports their activities according to a list of 17 first-tier categories, which is 103 further subdivided into two additional tier categories. For each participant, the data is 104 collected for a 24-hour period with minute resolution. The participants can optionally 105 inform the location type of the activity from a list of 24 single-tier categories. This data is 106 openly available along with detailed socio-demographic information for each participant 107 [20]. We collected time-used data for every participant between 2014 and 2019. For each 108 collected year of data, we restricted our analysis to the period between May and 109 September, as it corresponds to the period when the majority of locally acquired infections 110 of mosquito-borne diseases in the contiguous United States take place [9,11].

111

#### 112 Estimation of the time spent outdoors

113 Following the methodology of Hoehne et al. [21], we classified their activities as taking

114 place indoors, outdoors, or at an unknown/mixed location. When the participant reported

the location where the activity took place, we coded the time spent in that location as either indoors or outdoors depending on the location type. Instead, when a respondent

reported to be working, the activity was classified based on the respondent's occupation

- 118 (see Supplementary Material for details).
- 119

## 120 Estimation of the exposure to mosquito vectors

121 To estimate the risk of exposure to bites of mosquito vectors by hour of the day relative 122 to 6pm (which is used as reference hour), we used the following equation:

123 
$$risk_{s,g}(h) = \frac{\alpha_g(h)}{\alpha_g(6pm)} \cdot A_s(h)$$

- 124 where:
- *s* represents the analyzed mosquito species, namely *Aedes aegypti* or *Culex quinquefasciatus*.
- *g* represents the human population group, namely the general population, occupation (outdoor; indoor/mixed), race/ethnicity (Hispanic; Non-Hispanic White; Non-Hispanic Black; Non-Hispanic Other), gender (female; male), or income (low; middle; high).
- 130 h represents the hour of the day.
- 131  $\alpha_g(h) = \frac{\sum_{i \in g} w_i \cdot o_i(h)/60}{\sum_{i \in g} w_i}$  is the probability that an individual of group *g* is outdoor at hour 132 of the day *h*. In the equation for  $\alpha_a(h)$ , *i* represents a survey participant that belongs

- to population group g;  $w_i$  represents the weight of participant i provided by the ATUS survey to obtain a US-representative population;  $O_i(h)$  represents the number of minutes that participant i spent outdoors during hour h.
- 136  $A_s(h) = \frac{n_s(h)}{n_s(6pm)}$  is the proportion of female mosquitoes of species *s* that are actively

137 seek hosts for blood meal at hour *h* relative to 6pm. In the equation for  $A_s(h)$ ,  $n_s(h)$ 138 represents the number of collected mosquitoes of species *s* at hour *h*.

139 Note that as reference hour, we used 6pm since at that time both the focus mosquito 140 species show some level of host seeking behavior and human hosts show some level of 141 outdoor activity.

142 To estimate the risk of exposure to bites of mosquito vectors by human population group 143 relative to the general population, we used the following equation:

144 
$$\overline{risk}_{s}(g) = \frac{\sum_{h=1,\dots,24} risk_{s,g}(h)}{\sum_{h=1,\dots,24} risk_{s,[general population]}(h)}$$

145

## 146 Estimation of the uncertainty

To estimate uncertainty in mosquito diel activity, we model the collection of specimens as a binomial process. Each specimen in the entire mosquito population can be trapped and collected with a certain probability. Assuming that the actual number of specimens in the population is much larger than the number of collected ones (i.e., that the probability of collection is small), we can approximate this to a Poisson process. Under this assumption, the uncertainty for each number of collected specimens was estimated as the 95% IQR of a Poisson distribution whose average is the value observed in the field.
To estimate uncertainty on human diel activity, we performed a bootstrap sampling. In

To estimate uncertainty on human diel activity, we performed a bootstrap sampling. In each iteration, we resampled the set of individuals and performed the classification analysis with the new ensemble.

The uncertainty on the estimates presented in this study are the result of 1,000 samplesfrom a Poisson distribution and 1,000 independent bootstrap iterations.

# 159 **Results**

# 160 Mosquito and human activity patterns by hour of the day

Both analyzed mosquito species show well-defined species-specific diel activity patterns (Fig. 1A, 1B). Females of *Ae. aegypti* are highly active in seeking hosts for blood meals between 7am and 10am, and between 6pm and 9pm (Fig. 1A). We found the hour of maximum activity to be 8 pm, when we estimated a proportion of active female mosquitoes of 2.49 (95%CI: 2.39-2.57) as compared to 6pm; the hour of lowest activity was 12 am, when the proportion of active female mosquitoes as compared to 6pm was of 0.21 (95%CI: 0.17-0.24).

Females of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* were primarily active in seeking hosts for blood meals between 6pm and 7am (Fig. 1B). The hour of maximum activity was 3 am, with an estimated proportion of active female mosquitoes of 2.97 (95%CI: 2.65-3.24) as compared to 6pm; no substantial activity was estimated between 8 am and 5pm.

172 We found that human activity patterns follow a diurnal cycle, with daytime hours marked

by heightened outdoor activity levels due to work, education, and social engagements

174 (Fig. 1C). We found the period with highest outdoor activity level to span from 9 am to 4

175 pm when 6-7% of the population spends time outdoors.

176



Figure 1. Mosquito and human diel activity patterns. A Proportion of female *Ae. aegypti* that
are actively seek hosts for blood meal at a given hour of the day relative to 6pm. B Same as A
but for *Cx. quinquefasciatus*. C Probability that a US resident is conducting an outdoor activity at
a given hour of the day.

182

177

#### 183 Risk of exposure to mosquito vectors by hour of the day

184 By studying the overlap between human and mosquito activities, we found a well-defined 185 pattern with two peaks per day of high level of exposure (Fig. 2A-B). However, these 186 peaks take place at different time for the two analyzed mosquito species. Specifically, we 187 found high exposure levels to Ae. aegypti between 7am-11am and 5pm-8pm (Fig. 2A), 188 with maximum exposure taking place at 8 am (exposure risk: 2.05, 95%CI: 1.91-2.20, 189 relative to 6 pm). For Cx. quinquefasciatus (Fig. 2B), the periods of highest exposure 190 found between 6am-7am and 6pm-9pm, with maximum exposure taking place at 7 pm 191 (exposure risk: 1.02, 95%CI: 0.86-1.16, relative to 6 pm).

192



193

Figure 2. Human exposure to mosquito vector species by hour of the day. A Human risk of
 exposure to bites of *Ae. aegypti* by hour of the day relative to 6pm. B Same as A but for *Cx.* quinquefasciatus.

197

#### 198 Human population heterogeneities in the amount of time spent outdoors

199 The amount of outdoor activity of the US population is highly heterogeneous, with 75% of 200 the population spending less than 1 hour per day outdoors, while 22% spending at least 201 one hour and 2% spending more than 8 hours (Fig. 3A). This high heterogeneity is 202 associated with socio-economic characteristics of the population (Fig. 3B). Occupation 203 type was the largest source of heterogeneity, where primarily outdoors workers such as people employed in the landscaping and construction sectors spent a substantially larger 204 205 amount of time outdoors as compared to other occupation types: 6.23 hours per day 206 (95%CI: 5.97-6.44) vs. 0.62 hours per day (95%CI: 0.61-0.63). By dividing the US 207 population in different racial/ethnical groups, we found that Hispanics/Latinos spent the 208 highest amount of time outdoors (1.07 hours per day, 95%CI: 0.98-1.15), followed by Non-209 Hispanic (NH) Whites (0.85 hours per day, 95%CI: 0.83-0.87), NH others (0.57 hours per 210 day, 95%CI: 0.50-0.63), and NH Blacks (0.46 hours per day, 95%CI: 0.42-0.50). When 211 considering gender, we estimated that males spent more time outdoors (1.20 hours per 212 day. 95%CI: 1.16-1.24) than females (0.47 hours per day. 95%CI: 0.45-0.48), possibly 213 associated with difference in occupation. Finally, we did not find substantial differences 214 when dividing the population by income level.





216

Figure 3. Heterogeneity in the amount of time spent outdoors by the US population. A Distribution of the amount of time spent outdoors per day by the US population. B Mean number of hours spent outdoors per day for different population groups. Outdoor occupations include: Farming, fishing, forestry, construction and extraction occupations. Respondents whose family income was under the 33% quantile of their state were classified as low income, while those over the 67% quantile were classified as high income, and all others were classified as middle income.

223

#### Human population heterogeneities in the risk of exposure to mosquito vectors

The highest levels of exposure to mosquito vector species were found to be associated with the type of occupation. Workers with primarily outdoor occupations yielded the highest levels of exposure being 7.50 times (95%CI: 7.18-7.84) more exposed to *Ae. aegypti* and 6.63 times (95%CI: 6.09-7.35) more exposed to *Cx. quinquefasciatus* in

229 comparison to the general population. Occupations that are primarily indoors have a 230 substantially lower risk of exposure to both mosquito species (Fig. 4). The level of human 231 exposure to mosquito vectors varied greatly by race/ethnicity. Hispanics had the highest 232 exposure to mosquitoes being 1.32 times (95%CI: 1.22-1.44) more exposed to Ae. 233 aegypti and 1.36 times (95%CI: 1.17-1.56) more exposed to Cx. guinguefasciatus in 234 comparison to the general population. NH Black bore the lowest exposure to mosquitoes 235 to both mosquito species as compared to the general population: 0.55 (95%CI: 0.50-0.61) 236 for Ae. aegypti and 0.63 (95%CI: 0.56-0.71) for Cx. quinquefasciatus (Fig. 4). Males are estimated to be 1.45 (95%CI: 1.40-1.50) times more exposed to Ae. aegypti and 1.39 237 238 times (95%CI: 1.30-1.48) more exposed to Cx. guinguefasciatus in comparison to the 239 general population, whereas we found a reduced exposure for females (0.58, 95%CI: 240 0.56-0.60 for Ae. aegypti and 0.64, 95%CI 0.60-0.69 for Cx. quinquefasciatus) (Fig 4). Finally, no significant difference in the risk of exposure to both analyzed mosquito vectors 241 242 species was found between income level groups (Fig. 4). While the risk of exposure to 243 the two analyzed vector species is highly heterogenous with the US population, the time 244 of the day at which different population groups have higher exposure to mosquito vectors 245 is consistent between human population group (see Supplementary Material, Figs. S1 246 and S2).





248

#### Figure 4. Human population heterogeneities in the risk of exposure to mosquito vectors.

A Risk of exposure to *Ae. aegypti* by human population group relative to the general population.
 B As A but for *Cx. quinquefasciatus*.

- 252
- 253

### 254 **Discussion**

255 Our results show substantial heterogeneity in the diel activity patterns of both mosquitoes 256 and humans, encompassing periods of low activity as well as peaks of heightened activity. 257 Furthermore, mosquito and human diel activity peaks overlap at specific hours during the day shedding light on when the risk of being exposed to mosquito vector species is higher. 258 The heterogeneity in the amount of time spent outdoors by different human population 259 260 groups is associated with substantial disparities in the exposure to mosquito vector 261 species across different demographic groups. We found that workers with primarily 262 outdoor occupations, Hispanics/Latinos, and males have higher levels of exposure to 263 mosquito vector species. This nuanced understanding underscores the complexity of 264 human exposure to mosquitoes and the risk of mosquito-borne infection.

265 Mitigating outbreaks of mosquito-borne diseases is resource-intensive and entails social 266 and environmental costs [22,23]. Thus, determining the influence of diel activity patterns 267 on the risk of mosquito-borne infection and the effectiveness of public health interventions 268 are essential to plan resource allocation to maximize the effectiveness of outbreak 269 preparedness and response and minimize their side effects [24]. For example, 270 implementing adulticide spraying interventions aimed at controlling Ae. aegypti 271 populations during peak activity periods can yield entomological outcomes that are 272 fivefold more effective compared to conducting the same intervention during periods of 273 low activity [13]. This highlights that mosquito diel activity patterns have a strong impact 274 on the entomological outcome of adulticide spraying. Additionally, the likelihood and 275 magnitude of outbreak occurrences were shown to substantially diminish by when 276 interventions align with peak mosquito activity periods [25]. The findings presented in this 277 study can further our understanding of the effectiveness of alternative public health 278 interventions including educational campaigns on the hours of the day with highest 279 exposure to mosquito vector species. Moreover, our findings can be instrumental to identify the audience of educational campaigns to maximize their effectiveness. 280

281 In this study, we analyzed the daily activity of mosquitoes from May to September when 282 humans are most active outdoors in the US, at the national level, exposed to mosquito 283 bites. This allowed us to gain insights into their behavioral patterns during periods of peak 284 activity [9,11]. We acknowledge that different areas may have distinct climate and 285 environmental cues that can affect human and mosquito diel activity patterns differently. 286 Moreover, mosquito and human diel activity patterns often fluctuate throughout the year 287 following shifts in sunrise and sunset times. Future studies should focus on furthering our 288 understanding of how behavioral traits change through the year and by geographical 289 areas. Another limitation of our study is outdoor activities were inferred from time use 290 data, which was not purposedly designed for this objective. For this reason, some of the 291 activities were classified as taking place in a mixed or unknown environment. Future

studies should address this limitation and extensive sensitivity analyses showing the impact of different time-use classification are warranted.

In conclusion, this study serves as a steppingstone to quantify the risk of exposure to mosquito-borne pathogens and their transmission dynamics. Ultimately, this framework based on the analysis of the overlap between human and mosquito activities has the potential to inform the development of targeted public health interventions, ultimately improving health and health equity.

- 299
- 300
- 301 **Declarations**
- 302 Ethical Approval

The Institutional Review Board at Indiana University has considered this research to be exempt from review (IRB #23194).

- 305 Competing interests
- 306 The authors declare no competing interests.

#### 307 **References**

- 3081.WorldHealthOrganization.Vector-bornediseases.2020.Availableat:309https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/dengue-and-severe-dengue
- 3102.World Health Organization.World Malaria Report 2022.World Health311Organization, 2022.Available at: https://www.who.int/teams/global-malaria-312programme/reports/world-malaria-report-2022
- 313 3. Pan American Health Organization. Dengue. 2024. Available:
  314 https://www.paho.org/data/index.php/en/mnu-topics/indicadores-dengue315 en/dengue-nacional-en/252-dengue-pais-ano-en.html
- Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, Drake JM, Brownstein JS, Hoen AG, Sankoh O, Myers MF, George DB, Jaenisch T, Wint GR, Simmons CP, Scott TW, Farrar JJ, Hay SI. The global distribution and burden of dengue. Nature. 2013 Apr 25;496(7446):504-7. doi: 10.1038/nature12060. Epub 2013 Apr 7. PMID: 23563266; PMCID: PMC3651993.
- Likos A, Griffin I, Bingham AM, Stanek D, Fischer M, White S, Hamilton J, Eisenstein L, Atrubin D, Mulay P, Scott B, Jenkins P, Fernandez D, Rico E, Gillis L, Jean R, Cone M, Blackmore C, McAllister J, Vasquez C, Rivera L, Philip C. Local Mosquito-Borne Transmission of Zika Virus - Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, Florida, June-August 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2016 Sep 30;65(38):1032-8. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6538e1. PMID: 27684886.
- 327 6. Grubaugh ND, Ladner JT, Kraemer MUG, Dudas G, Tan AL, Gangavarapu K, Wiley 328 MR, White S, Thézé J, Magnani DM, Prieto K, Reyes D, Bingham AM, Paul LM, 329 Robles-Sikisaka R, Oliveira G, Pronty D, Barcellona CM, Metsky HC, Baniecki ML, 330 Barnes KG, Chak B, Freije CA, Gladden-Young A, Gnirke A, Luo C, MacInnis B, Matranga CB, Park DJ, Qu J, Schaffner SF, Tomkins-Tinch C, West KL, Winnicki 331 332 SM, Wohl S, Yozwiak NL, Quick J, Fauver JR, Khan K, Brent SE, Reiner RC Jr, 333 Lichtenberger PN, Ricciardi MJ, Bailey VK, Watkins DI, Cone MR, Kopp EW 4th, 334 Hogan KN, Cannons AC, Jean R, Monaghan AJ, Garry RF, Loman NJ, Faria NR, 335 Porcelli MC, Vasquez C, Nagle ER, Cummings DAT, Stanek D, Rambaut A, 336 Sanchez-Lockhart M, Sabeti PC, Gillis LD, Michael SF, Bedford T, Pybus OG, Isern 337 S, Palacios G, Andersen KG. Genomic epidemiology reveals multiple introductions 338 of Zika virus into the United States. Nature. 2017 Jun 15;546(7658):401-405. doi: 339 10.1038/nature22400. Epub 2017 May 24. PMID: 28538723; PMCID: 340 PMC5536180.
- 341 7. World Health Organization. Malaria Report 2023. Available at:
  342 https://www.who.int/teams/global-malaria-programme/reports/world-malaria343 report-2023.
- 3448.Pan American Health Organization. Zika cases and congenital syndrome345associated with Zika virus reported by countries and territories in the Americas346(Cumulative Cases), 2015–2017. Pan American Health Organization/World Health347Organization, Washington, D.C. April 6, 2017. 2017; 2018. Available at:348http://www2.paho.org/hq/index.php?option=com\_docman&task=doc\_view&Itemid349=270&gid=39029&lang=en

- 350 9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Dengue in the US States and
   351 Territories. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/dengue/areaswithrisk/in-the-us.html.
- 352 10. Blackburn D, Drennon M, Broussard K, Morrison AM, Stanek D, Sarney E, Ferracci 353 C, Huard S, Brennan W, Eaton J, Nealeigh S, Barber N, Zimler RA, Adams JN, 354 Blackmore C, Gordillo M, Mercado R, Vore H, Scanlan K, Motie I, Stanfield L, 355 Faroog A, Widel K, Tomson K, Kerr N, Nasir J, Cone M, Rice C, Larkin T, 356 Hernandez E, Bencie J, Lesser CR, Dersch M, Ramirez-Lachmann S, Clark M, 357 Rollo S, Bashadi A, Tyler R, Bolling B, Moore B, Sullivan B, Fonken E, Castillo R, 358 Gonzalez Y, Olivares G, Mace KE, Sayre D, Lenhart A, Sutcliffe A, Dotson E, 359 Corredor C, Rogers E, Raphael BH, Sapp SGH, Qvarnstrom Y, Ridpath AD, 360 McElroy PD. Outbreak of Locally Acquired Mosquito-Transmitted (Autochthonous) 361 Malaria - Florida and Texas, May-July 2023. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2023 362 Sep 8;72(36):973-978. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7236a1. PMID: 37676839; 363 PMCID: PMC10495185.
- 364 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention CDC. West Nile virus disease cases 11. 365 reported to CDC state residence. Available bv of at: 366 https://www.cdc.gov/westnile/resources/pdfs/data/West-Nile-virus-disease-cases-367 by-state 1999-2020-P.pdf
- 368 12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. About Dengue. Available at:
   369 https://www.cdc.gov/dengue/about/index.html.
- Wilke ABB, Mhlanga A, Kummer AG, Vasquez C, Moreno M, Petrie WD, Rodriguez
   A, Vitek C, Hamer GL, Mutebi JP, Ajelli M. Diel activity patterns of vector mosquito
   species in the urban environment: Implications for vector control strategies. PLoS
   Negl Trop Dis. 2023 Jan 26;17(1):e0011074. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0011074.
   PMID: 36701264; PMCID: PMC9879453.
- Mutebi JP, Wilke ABB, Ostrum E, Vasquez C, Cardenas G, Carvajal A, Moreno M, Petrie WD, Rodriguez A, Presas H, Rodriguez J, Barnes F, Hamer GL, Juarez JG, Carbajal E, Vitek CJ, Estrada X, Rios T, Marshall J, Beier JC. Diel activity patterns of two distinct populations of Aedes aegypti in Miami, FL and Brownsville, TX. Sci Rep. 2022 Mar 29;12(1):5315. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-06586-w. PMID: 35351905; PMCID: PMC8964714.
- 381 15. Smith M, Dixon D, Bibbs C, Autry D, Xue RD. Diel patterns of Aedes aegypti
  382 (Diptera: Culicidae) after resurgence in St. Augustine, Florida as collected by a
  383 mechanical rotator trap. J Vector Ecol. 2018 Jun;43(1):201-204. doi:
  384 10.1111/jvec.12302. PMID: 29757509.
- Montgomery RR, Murray KO. Risk factors for West Nile virus infection and disease
  in populations and individuals. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2015 Mar;13(3):31725. doi: 10.1586/14787210.2015.1007043. Epub 2015 Jan 30. PMID: 25637260;
  PMCID: PMC4939899.
- Delisle Nyström C, Barnes JD, Blanchette S, Faulkner G, Leduc G, Riazi NA,
   Tremblay MS, Trudeau F, Larouche R. Relationships between area-level
   socioeconomic status and urbanization with active transportation, independent
   mobility, outdoor time, and physical activity among Canadian children. BMC Public

393Health. 2019 Aug 9;19(1):1082. doi: 10.1186/s12889-019-7420-y. PMID:39431399049; PMCID: PMC6688238.

- 395 18. Gao F, Guo Q, Wang B, Cao S, Qin N, Zhao L, Jia C, Duan X. Distributions and determinants of time spent outdoors among school-age children in China. J Expo 397 Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2022 Mar;32(2):223-231. doi: 10.1038/s41370-021-00401-398 w. Epub 2022 Jan 4. PMID: 34980893.
- 399 19. Darsie, Jr. RF, Morris CD. Keys to the Adult Females and Fourth Instar Larvae of
  400 the Mosquitoes of Florida (Diptera, Culicidae). 1st ed. Technical Bulletin of the
  401 Florida Mosquito Control Association. Bulletin of the Florida Mosquito Control
  402 Association; 2000.
- 403 20. United States Bureau of Labor Statistics. American Time Use Survey. Available at:
   404 https://www.bls.gov/tus/.
- 405 21. Hoehne CG, Hondula DM, Chester MV, Eisenman DP, Middel A, Fraser AM,
  406 Watkins L, Gerster K. Heat exposure during outdoor activities in the US varies
  407 significantly by city, demography, and activity. Health Place. 2018 Nov;54:1-10. doi:
  408 10.1016/j.healthplace.2018.08.014. Epub 2018 Sep 7. PMID: 30199773.
- Lizzi KM, Qualls WA, Brown SC, Beier JC. Expanding Integrated Vector
  Management to promote healthy environments. Trends Parasitol. 2014
  Aug;30(8):394-400. doi: 10.1016/j.pt.2014.06.001. Epub 2014 Jul 12. PMID:
  25028090; PMCID: PMC4112142.
- Wilke ABB, Benelli G, Beier JC. Anthropogenic changes and associated impacts
  on vector-borne diseases. Trends Parasitol. 2021 Dec;37(12):1027-1030. doi:
  10.1016/j.pt.2021.09.013. Epub 2021 Oct 19. PMID: 34686421.
- 41624.World Health Organization. Handbook for integrated vector management.417Outlooks on Pest Management. 2013;24: 142–143. doi:10.1564/v24\_jun\_14
- 418 25. Kummer AG, Wilke AB, Ventura PC, Vasquez C, Medina J, Unlu I, Gonzalez Y,
  419 Mhlanga A, Benelli G, Ejima K, Mutebi JP, Ajelli M. Comparing the effectiveness of
  420 adulticide application interventions on mitigating local transmission of dengue
  421 virus. J Pest Sci. 2024. doi:10.1007/s10340-024-01787-w

422