| 1 | Cost-Effectiveness of Platelet Function Testing in Dual Antiplatelet Therapy Decision- |
|----|--|
| 2 | Making after Intracranial Aneurysm Treatment with Flow Diversion |
| 3 | Aryan Wadhwa, B.A. ¹ , Felipe Ramirez-Velandia, M.D. ^{1,2} , Emmanuel Mensah M.D. ^{1,2} , Mira Salih, M.D. ¹ , |
| 4 | Alejandro Enriquez-Marulanda, M.D. ^{1,2} , Michael Young, D.O. ^{1,2} , Philipp Taussky, M.D. ^{1,2} , Christopher |
| 5 | S. Ogilvy, M.D. ^{1,2} |
| 6 | ¹ Neurosurgical Service, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, United States |
| 7 | ² Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Corresponding Author: |
| 10 | Christopher S. Ogilvy, M.D. |
| 11 | BIDMC Brain Aneurysm Institute, Department of Neurosurgery, Harvard Medical School, |
| 12 | 110 Francis St, |
| 13 | Boston, MA 02215, USA. |
| 14 | Email: cogilvy@bidmc.harvard.edu |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Abstract Word Count: 296 |
| 17 | Text Word Count: 2483 |
| 18 | Number of tables: 3 |
| 19 | Number of figures: 3 |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |

32 Abstract

33 Introduction:

34 Dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) use is the standard of practice after flow diversion (FD) for intracranial 35 aneurysms (IAs). Yet, no consensus exists in the literature regarding the optimal regimen. Certain 36 institutions utilize various platelet function testing (PFT) to asses patient responsiveness to DAPT. 37 Clopidogrel is the most commonly prescribed drug during DAPT, yet up to 52% of patients can be non-38 responders justifying PFT. Additionally, prices vary significantly among antiplatelet drugs, often further 39 complicated by insurance restrictions. We aimed to determine the most cost-effective strategy for 40 deciding DAPT regimens for patients after ICA treatment.

41 Methods:

42 A decision tree with Monte Carlo simulations was performed to simulate patients undergoing various 43 three-month postoperative DAPT regimens. Patients were either universally administered aspirin 44 alongside Clopidogrel, Ticagrelor, or Prasugrel without PFT, or administered one of the former 45 thienopyridine medications based on platelet reactivity unit (PRU) results after Clopidogrel. Input data for 46 the model were extracted from the current literature, and the willingness-to-pay threshold (WTP) was 47 defined as \$100,000 per QALY as per standard practice in the US. The baseline comparison was with 48 universal Clopidogrel DAPT without any PFT. Probabilistic and deterministic sensitivity analyses were 49 performed to evaluate the robustness of the model.

50 **Results:**

PFT-Prasugrel was the most cost-effective regimen compared to universal Clopidogrel, with a base-case incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) of \$-35,255 (cost \$2336.67, effectiveness 0.85). PFT-Ticagrelor (ICER \$-4,671; cost \$2,995.06, effectiveness 0.84), universal Prasugrel (ICER \$5,553; cost \$3,097.30, effectiveness 0.84), and universal Ticagrelor (ICER \$75,969; cost \$3,801.36, effectiveness 0.84) were all more cost-effective than treating patients with universal Clopidogrel (cost \$3,041.77, effectiveness 0.83). These conclusions remain robust in probabilistic and deterministic sensitivity analyses.

58 Conclusion:

The most cost-effective strategy for DAPT after FD for intracranial aneurysms is administering PFTPrasugrel alongside aspirin. The cost of PFT is strongly justified and recommended when deciding
patient-specific DAPT regimens.

- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

| 66 67 68 | INTRODUCTION |
|----------------|---|
| 69 | Over the past decade, the adoption of Flow Diversion (FD) for the management of intracranial aneurysms |
| 70 | (IAs) has shown consistent expansion. ¹ Flow diverters' elevated metal coverage ratio necessitates the |
| 71 | post-procedural application of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) to mitigate the risk of thromboembolic |
| 72 | complications (TECs) after stent placement. However, there is no consensus in the literature as to what |
| 73 | the optimal postoperative DAPT regimen should be. |
| 74 | |
| 75 | Across the US, the combination of Clopidogrel and aspirin represents the predominant choice for DAPT |
| 76 | following FD. ² However, 16 to 52% of patients exhibit Clopidogrel resistance, potentially elevating their |
| 77 | risk of TECs to as much as 17%. ³⁻⁵ To address those concerns, up to 90% of US institutions now |
| 78 | implement various platelet function testing (PFT) to determine patients' responsiveness to Clopidogrel |
| 79 | before FD stent placement. ² Currently, the VerifyNow test, which quantifies platelet reactivity units |
| 80 | (PRU), stands as the prevailing PFT in neurointervention due to its practicality, allowing for bedside |
| 81 | implementation. ³ |
| 82 | |
| 83 | However, not only does PFT bear a cost, but alternative antiplatelet medications such as Prasugrel or |
| 84 | Ticagrelor, which may be prescribed in cases of Clopidogrel resistance, are often more expensive for |
| 85 | patients, potentially affecting compliance to DAPT. ⁶ In some cases, health insurance companies are |
| 86 | reluctant to approve higher costs for these alternative antiplatelet therapies unless PFT has been done. |
| 87 | |
| 88 | This study aims to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of various strategies for determining the most |
| 89 | appropriate postoperative DAPT regimen following FD intervention for unruptured IAs, focusing on |
| 90 | comparing universally applied DAPT protocols and those guided by VerifyNow PFT outcomes. |
| 91 | |
| | |

| 92 | |
|-----|---|
| 93 | |
| 94 | METHODS |
| 95 | |
| 96 | Setting |
| 97 | All data and information were obtained from literature sources. Due to the nature of these sources, |
| 98 | approval from an institutional review board (IRB) was deemed unnecessary. This study did not receive |
| 99 | any financial support for its conduct. |
| 100 | |
| 101 | Decision Tree |
| 102 | A decision tree model was built using TreeAge Pro 2024 (TreeAge Software, Inc.) to estimate the cost- |
| 103 | effectiveness (measured by quality-adjusted life-years [QALYs]) of universal or PRU-driven use of |
| 104 | DAPT in patients post-endovascular treatment for unruptured IAs. Post-procedural DAPT regimens |
| 105 | reported in the included studies were analyzed, assuming all patients received appropriate preoperative |
| 106 | loading doses of Clopidogrel. Our model assessed five different strategies, comprising: (1) universal and |
| 107 | affordably priced Clopidogrel, control; (2) universal Ticagrelor; (3) universal Prasugrel; (4) PRU-guided |
| 108 | Ticagrelor; and (5) PRU-guided Prasugrel. Double-dose Clopidogrel (150 mg/day) was omitted due to a |
| 109 | lack of efficacy support from clinical trials. ⁷ The universal strategies were created, utilizing reference data |
| 110 | from cohorts with upfront use of thienopyridines without PFTs. ^{8,9} In the Ticagrelor and Prasugrel PRU- |
| 111 | guided treatment strategies, data was retrieved from meta-analyses and large cohorts, including patients |
| 112 | undergoing VerifyNow P2Y12 prior to the procedure, guiding the need and change in therapy where |
| 113 | appropriate. ¹⁰⁻¹² Patients included in these cohorts received Clopidogrel if documented to have adequate |
| 114 | platelet inhibition or were switched to Ticagrelor or Prasugrel, depending on the clinician criteria. |
| 115 | Maintenance dosages included in the studies were Clopidogrel 75 mg/day, Ticagrelor 90 mg twice daily, |
| 116 | and Prasugrel 10 mg/day, each combined with aspirin (dose ranging from 75 to 325 mg/day, as |
| 117 | appropriate). |

118

119 The outcomes of the model were three different health states: (1) well after a thromboembolic or 120 hemorrhagic complication (mRS of 0-2); (2) disabled after a thromboembolic or hemorrhagic 121 complication (mRS 3-5); and (3) deceased after a thromboembolic or hemorrhagic complication. Utilizing 122 a Monte Carlo simulation, parallel cohorts of 10,000 individual patients receiving DAPT after 123 endovascular treatment for IAs were tracked across various regimens. The model started with the decision 124 to initiate 1 of the 5 previously mentioned DAPT regimens. Each patient's clinical pathway was simulated 125 by sampling the probability distribution of relevant clinical parameters, including transition probabilities, 126 utilities, and costs sourced from the literature. Costs, denominated in United States dollars (USD), and 127 effectiveness, measured in QALYs, were then computed for comparison. The overall cost-effectiveness 128 was assessed using net monetary benefit (NMB), which integrates cost, effectiveness, and the incremental 129 cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) to determine the optimal strategy. The ICER is defined as (cost of strategy 130 1 – cost of reference strategy)/(utility of strategy 1 – utility of reference strategy).

131

132 *Clinical Parameters*

According to prior cost analyses, VerifyNow costs \$30, and the yearly cost of a DAPT regimen with
Clopidogrel is \$639, Ticagrelor is \$3,348, and Prasugrel is \$2,496.⁶ Costs from disability, hemorrhage,
and rates of thromboembolic complications (TECs) were retrieved from past cost analyses literature
(Table 1).¹³

137

All probability parameters were taken from the most up-to-date meta-analysis and large cohort studies (**Table 2**).⁷⁻¹² Since the model was simulated over a short period of time (3 months), a discount rate and half-cycle correction was not deemed necessary to be applied to all the competing strategies. The willingness-to-pay (WTP) threshold was set at \$100,000 per QALY gained as per the standard practice of cost-effectiveness analysis in the United States.

144 **Results**

145 Base Case Analysis

In the base case calculation, where mean values of the clinical parameters are utilized, PFT-Prasugrel yielded the highest cost-effectiveness of 0.85 QALYs with a total cost of \$2,336.67 (ICER \$-35,255; NMB \$82,700). All other strategies were considered cost-effective compared to universal Clopidogrel, given that their ICERs were lower than the WTP, and all had higher net monetary benefits than universal Clopidogrel. The total costs and quality-adjusted life-years for each strategy during the 3-month simulated period are listed in **Table 3**.

152

153 Deterministic Sensitivity Analysis

154 The deterministic sensitivity analysis involves adjusting the values of specific variables that may be 155 relevant to the outcome, conducting this adjustment individually for one-way sensitivity analysis and in 156 pairs for two-way sensitivity analysis, while maintaining the constancy of other variables. This process 157 evaluates if the preferred strategy shifts when these variable ranges are altered. The variables noted to 158 have the most considerable impact on incremental NMB were TEC and bleed mortality rates, along with 159 disability rates after a TEC or bleed (Figure 2A). Given that variation in complication rates is the primary 160 differentiating factor between regimens, other than cost, this comes as no surprise. An additional variable 161 that we considered for a sensitivity analysis was the cost of platelet function testing itself, given that this 162 would be a determining factor at many institutions (Figure 2B). Key parameters, such as treatment costs, 163 effectiveness, and discount rates, were individually altered within plausible limits to evaluate their impact 164 on the ICER. Threshold analyses identified the critical points at which parameter changes would alter the 165 preferred strategy.

166 Probabilistic Sensitivity Analyses

A probabilistic sensitivity analysis was conducted, simulating a cohort of 10,000 patients across various
iterations. Within this framework, five distinct follow-up strategies were evaluated using the Monte Carlo
simulation method. This analysis revealed that PFT-PSG continued to be the most economically viable

option, demonstrating the greatest NMB for any WTP threshold, as depicted in the cost-effectiveness
acceptability curve (Figure 3). Further, Monte Carlo acceptability analysis indicated that PFT-PSG was
identified as the most cost-effective strategy in approximately 70% of iterations, considering the standard
WTP threshold of \$100,000 per QALY (Figure 3).

174

175 Discussion

176 Cost-effective analyses, like our study utilizing a decision tree model, help understand the value of 177 medical interventions in terms of cost per quality-adjusted life year (QALY) gained. Our study 178 demonstrated that PFT-Prasugrel (Clopidogrel for responders and Prasugrel for non-responders detected 179 on PFT) is the most cost-effective DAPT strategy for post-FD treatment of UIAs. Universal Prasugrel, 180 PFT-Ticagrelor, and universal Ticagrelor were also considered cost-effective compared to universal 181 Clopidogrel, assuming a WTP threshold of \$100,000/QALY. Prasugrel and Ticagrelor are associated with 182 higher annual drug costs, compared to Clopidogrel. However, these higher costs were offset in 183 corresponding regimens by their better effectiveness in improving thromboembolic complications, which 184 is critical in patients treated with FD. These findings conclude that the use of PFT to guide the choice of 185 DAPT regimen following FD is not only clinically effective but also cost-effective.

186 Our study is the first of its kind in evaluating the cost-effectiveness of PFT to guide DAPT regimen 187 choice for patients post-FD treatment for unruptured IAs. Previous studies in cardiovascular literature, 188 however, have studied the cost-effectiveness of DAPT. Coleman et al. compared similar DAPT strategies 189 in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS), demonstrating that platelet reactivity assay (PRA) (e.g., 190 VerifyNow) driven Prasugrel and Ticagrelor were cost-effective to universal Clopidogrel.⁶ In this study, 191 universal Ticagrelor and Prasugrel were also found to be cost-effective compared to their respective PRA-192 driven regimens. These results were most sensitive to differences in drug costs and drug-specific relative 193 risks of death. It should be noted that whilst our study did not focus on a specific age group, Coleman et 194 al. focused on a defined cohort of 65-year-old ACS patients.⁶ Additionally, the group's economic analysis

195 was primarily based on model assumptions and hypothetical cohorts, which might limit the 196 generalizability of the results. Other cardiovascular studies have considered cost-effectiveness via 197 genotype testing-driven DAPT regimens, checking for loss-of-function (LOF) alleles, after ACS and 198 percutaneous coronary intervention. Limdi et al. found that utilizing both universal Ticagrelor and genotype-guided escalation of Ticagrelor was more cost-effective than universal Clopidogrel.¹⁵ A 199 200 secondary analysis in this study, which evaluated genotype-guided de-escalation, showed higher 201 effectiveness compared to nonguided de-escalation but was not cost-effective under most WTP 202 thresholds. In addition to drug prices, the cost-effectiveness of genotype-guided strategies in this study 203 could be sensitive to the prevalence of LOF genes. A second genotype-guided economic evaluation model 204 by Kazi et al. showed genotype-guided therapy using Ticagrelor or Prasugrel for patients with LOF alleles is cost-effective compared to universal application of these drugs.¹⁶ In this study, genotype-guided 205 206 Ticagrelor had an ICER of \$30,200 per QALY compared to Clopidogrel. Temporal differences exist 207 between our study and the evaluation by Kazi et al., who discuss lifetime costs and outcomes, compared 208 to the shorter 3-month focus we used which is the typical amount of time that DAPT regimens are 209 required post-FD.¹⁶ These studies evaluating the cost-effectiveness of DAPT regimens emphasize that 210 personalized approaches to managing DAPT, whether through genetic testing or PFT, can lead to better 211 economic and clinical outcomes. However, the specific focus on different patient populations, 212 interventions, and biomarkers for personalization suggests that findings from each study are best applied 213 within their respective contexts.

214 Despite our findings' clear benefit of PFT, there is no consensus on the cut-off point for defining 215 Clopidogrel hyporesponsiveness. Cut-off values of P2Y12 reaction units (PRU) of 85–208 have been 216 described in cardiac literature as adequate Clopidogrel response, with PRU < 85 reported to be associated 217 with a higher risk of bleeding events and PRU > 230 associated with significant morbidity and 218 mortality.^{6,17} PRU studies in neurosurgery literature report adequate Clopidogrel response to fall between 219 the ranges of PRU 60–240, with dose changes required at either end of the scale: for PRU < 60, lower

220 doses are advised; for PRU >240, increasing Clopidogrel dose or switching to either Prasugrel or 221 Ticagrelor is advised. To further reduce complication risk, additional Clopidogrel stratification has been 222 employed, with Clopidogrel response defined as extreme response (PRU \leq 15) and hyperresponse (PRU 16 - 60).¹⁸ In addition to Clopidogrel responsiveness, PRU responsiveness to Prasugrel has also been 223 224 studied, with dose changes effected according to cut-off values. Higashiguchi et al. and Suyama et al. 225 reported three different Prasugrel doses in patients post-FD treatment, with a 20 mg loading dose and daily 3.75mg for PRU > 210, daily 3.75mg for PRU 60 – 210, and daily 1.875 mg for PRU < $60^{-8.19}$ 226 227 Variability in pharmacodynamic response to antiplatelets is also seen with Ticagrelor, where the 228 established twice-daily 90 mg dose can lead to PRU values < 40, converting Clopidogrel hyporesponders to extreme responders.^{18,20} 229

230 Additionally, universal Prasugrel was a cost-effective strategy and commonly preferred regimen at 231 several institutions.² Resistance to Prasugrel, which has been reported in other cohorts, has been more linked to medication adherence and pharmacological interactions, rather than genetic variants.²¹ In 232 contrast to Clopidogrel, Prasugrel is a prodrug that requires activation through intestinal esterase and, to a 233 lesser ex- tent, CYP2C19 and CYP2C9.²² Additionally, unlike Clopidogrel, studies evaluating genetic 234 235 polymorphisms have not revealed any effects on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamic effects of 236 Prasugrel.²³ Possible explanations for this phenomenon include alternative activation through different hepatic CYP enzymes and higher potency as an irreversible ADP P2Y12 receptor antagonist.^{3,24,25} Due to 237 Prasugrel's high potency, some initial concerns arose regarding the heightened risk of intracranial 238 hemorrhage in patients with a history of ischemic stroke.^{26,27} For outpatient neurovascular procedures, as 239 240 unruptured IAs treated with FD, various cohorts have demonstrated a favorable safety profile for Prasugrel.²⁸⁻³¹ In the meta-analysis by Podlasek et al., comprising 49 studies and 2,526 patients 241 undergoing FD treatment for unruptured IAs, hemorrhagic events were negligible for Prasugrel.¹² 242 243 Similarly, in the cohort reported by Souvama et al., in which there was routine use of Prasugrel post-FD for 3-6 months, out of the 110 patients, only one had a hemorrhage after treatment.⁸ Overall, these 244

findings underscore Prasugrel's promising safety and efficacy profile in the context of FD, warrantingfurther exploration in prospective clinical trials.

247 While insightful, our study is not without limitations. The reliance on retrospective cohorts and model-248 based simulations may not capture the full complexity of individual patient responses in a real-world 249 setting. The heterogeneity of included studies also limits our retrospective approach in determining 250 thromboembolic and hemorrhagic rates. The paucity of data from meta-analyses also led to our reliance 251 on the best available retrospective cohorts, which has limitations. Retrospective analyses are subject to 252 selection bias and confounding. This, along with the heterogeneity in the sample set and variation in the 253 duration of DAPT, may produce findings that are not always generalizable. Moreover, we also omitted 254 double-dose Clopidogrel as a DAPT regimen, which may be efficacious in a subset of individuals with Clopidogrel hyporesponsiveness.³² An additional limitation that was difficult to circumvent due to the 255 256 lack of stratified reporting in the literature was the difference in the rates of various types of bleeding 257 events and the mortality rates of each of those types, which also varies by type of regimen. There were 258 not enough studies considering all these possible variations and elucidating each of these individual rates. 259 Finally, our use of the decision tree model for economic valuations, which is contingent on the accuracy 260 of the cost data and assumptions we embedded in the model, might not reflect the dynamic nature of 261 healthcare economics and patient management. Notably, our decision tree model did not account for the 262 influence of age, gender, or specific patient comorbidities. It also assumed that rates of thromboembolic 263 and hemorrhagic events would remain constant over the three-month study period. Thus, future studies 264 should aim to incorporate more diverse patient data and real-world outcomes, where available, to validate 265 and refine the proposed cost-effectiveness framework.

266

267 Conclusion

We have demonstrated that PFT-guided therapy, using Prasugrel for Clopidogrel hyporesponsiveness,was the most cost-effective strategy guiding DAPT post-FD treatment of unruptured IAs. Furthermore,

prescribing universal Prasugrel, PFT-guided Ticagrelor, or universal Ticagrelor were also cost-effective

| 271 | compared to prescribing universal Clopidogrel. Although this sheds light on the potential cost benefits of |
|-----|---|
| 272 | initiating Prasugrel DAPT upfront, future prospective, and ideally randomized clinical trials are necessary |
| 273 | to evaluate the safety of this intervention post-FD. |
| 274 | |
| 275 | Declarations: |
| 276 | Funding: The authors did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work. No funding |
| 277 | was received to assist with the preparation of this manuscript. No funding was received for conducting |
| 278 | this study. |
| 279 | Conflicts of interest: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or |
| 280 | within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. |
| 281 | All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have |
| 282 | influenced the submitted work. |
| 283 | Availability of data and material: All information in the study is publicly available |
| 284 | Code Availability: No code were used in this study |
| 285 | Ethics approval: Due to the nature of this study being a retrospective analysis of publicly available data, |
| 286 | IRB approval was not deemed necessary for this study. |
| 287 | Consent to participate: Informed consent not required due to the nature of this study. |
| 288 | Consent for publication: Authors provide consent for publication of all materials |
| 289 | |
| 290 | |
| 291 | Acknowledgements: The study team would like to thank the Brain Aneurysm Institute. Their invaluable |
| 292 | support has been crucial in providing comprehensive care to aneurysm patients and contributing to the |
| 293 | research of intracranial aneurysms. |
| | |

294

270

296 297 298 299 300 301 References 302 303 1. Mirpuri P, Khalid SI, McGuire LS, Alaraj A. Trends in Ruptured and Unruptured Aneurysmal 304 Treatment from 2010 to 2020: A Focus on Flow Diversion. World Neurosurg. 2023;178:e48-e56. 305 doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2023.06.093 306 2. Gupta R, Moore JM, Griessenauer CJ, et al. Assessment of Dual-Antiplatelet Regimen for Pipeline 307 Embolization Device Placement: A Survey of Major Academic Neurovascular Centers in the United 308 States. World Neurosurg. 2016;96:285-292. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2016.09.013 309 3. Taylor LI, Dickerson JC, Dambrino RJ, et al. Platelet testing in flow diversion: a review of the 310 evidence. Neurosurg Focus. 2017;42(6):E5. doi:10.3171/2017.3.FOCUS1746 311 4. Lim ST, Thijs V, Murphy SJX, et al. Platelet function/reactivity testing and prediction of risk of 312 recurrent vascular events and outcomes after TIA or ischaemic stroke: systematic review and meta-313 analysis. J Neurol. 2020;267(10):3021-3037. doi:10.1007/s00415-020-09932-y 314 5. Adeeb N, Griessenauer CJ, Foreman PM, et al. Use of Platelet Function Testing Before Pipeline 315 Embolization Device Placement: A Multicenter Cohort Study. Stroke. 2017;48(5):1322-1330. 316 doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.015308 317 6. Coleman CI, Limone BL. Cost-Effectiveness of Universal and Platelet Reactivity Assay-Driven 318 Antiplatelet Therapy in Acute Coronary Syndrome. The American Journal of Cardiology. 319 2013;112(3):355-362. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2013.03.036 320 7. Mehta SR, Tanguay JF, Eikelboom JW, et al. Double-dose versus standard-dose clopidogrel and high-321 dose versus low-dose aspirin in individuals undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for acute 322 coronary syndromes (CURRENT-OASIS 7): a randomised factorial trial. Lancet. 323 2010;376(9748);1233-1243. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61088-4 324 8. Suyama K, Nakahara I, Matsumoto S, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy with the 325 Routine Use of Prasugrel for Flow Diversion of Cerebral Unruptured Aneurysms. Clin Neuroradiol. 326 2024;34(1):201-208. doi:10.1007/s00062-023-01355-2 327 9. Papaxanthos J, Cagnazzo F, Collemiche FL, et al. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel dual antiplatelet 328 therapy for unruptured intracranial aneurysms treated with flowdiverter. J Neuroradiol. 329 2023;50(3):346-351. doi:10.1016/j.neurad.2022.11.010

- Flynn LM, Mohamed E, Dobbs N, et al. Safety of dual antiplatelet therapy using aspirin and low dose Prasugrel with platelet reactivity testing in flow diverter treatment of intracranial aneurysms.
 Interv Neuroradiol. Published online November 29, 2023:15910199231217142.
 doi:10.1177/15910199231217142
- 11. Neyens R, Donaldson C, Andrews C, Kellogg R, Spiotta A. Platelet Function Testing with a
 VerifyNow-Directed Personalized Antiplatelet Strategy and Associated Rates of Thromboembolic
 Complications After Pipeline Embolization for Complex Cerebral Aneurysms. *World Neurosurg*.
 2020;138:e674-e682. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2020.03.046
- Podlasek A, Al Sultan AA, Assis Z, Kashani N, Goyal M, Almekhlafi MA. Outcome of
 intracranial flow diversion according to the antiplatelet regimen used: a systematic review and meta-*J Neurointerv Surg.* 2020;12(2):148-155. doi:10.1136/neurintsurg-2019-014996
- 341 13. Salem MM, Salih M, Nwajei F, et al. Cost-Effectiveness Analytic Comparison of Neuroimaging
 342 Follow-Up Strategies After Pipeline Embolization Device Treatment of Unruptured Intracranial
 343 Aneurysms. *World Neurosurg*. 2022;158:e206-e213. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2021.10.154
- Arias E, Xu J, Kochanek K. United States Life Tables, 2021. *Natl Vital Stat Rep.* 2023;72(12):164.
- Limdi NA, Cavallari LH, Lee CR, et al. Cost-effectiveness of CYP2C19-guided antiplatelet
 therapy in patients with acute coronary syndrome and percutaneous coronary intervention informed by
 real-world data. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2020;20(5):724-735. doi:10.1038/s41397-020-0162-5
- Kazi DS, Garber AM, Shah RU, et al. Cost-effectiveness of genotype-guided and dual antiplatelet
 therapies in acute coronary syndrome. *Ann Intern Med.* 2014;160(4):221-232. doi:10.7326/M13-1999
- 351 17. Sibbing D, Aradi D, Alexopoulos D, et al. Updated Expert Consensus Statement on Platelet
 352 Function and Genetic Testing for Guiding P2Y12 Receptor Inhibitor Treatment in Percutaneous
 353 Coronary Intervention. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2019;12(16):1521-1537.
 354 doi:10.1016/j.jcin.2019.03.034
- 355 18. Young CC, Bass DI, Cruz MJ, et al. Clopidogrel hyper-response increases peripheral
 356 hemorrhagic complications without increasing intracranial complications in endovascular aneurysm
 357 treatments requiring dual antiplatelet therapy. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2022;105:66-72.
 358 doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2022.09.005
- Higashiguchi S, Sadato A, Nakahara I, et al. Reduction of thromboembolic complications during
 the endovascular treatment of unruptured aneurysms by employing a tailored dual antiplatelet regimen
 using aspirin and prasugrel. *J Neurointerv Surg.* 2021;13(11):1044-1048. doi:10.1136/neurintsurg 2020-016994
- 363 20. Kim KS, Fraser JF, Grupke S, Cook AM. Management of antiplatelet therapy in patients
 364 undergoing neuroendovascular procedures. *J Neurosurg*. 2018;129(4):890-905.
 365 doi:10.3171/2017.5.JNS162307
- 21. Lhermusier T, Lipinski MJ, Tantry US, et al. Meta-analysis of direct and indirect comparison of
 ticagrelor and prasugrel effects on platelet reactivity. *Am J Cardiol*. 2015;115(6):716-723.
 doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2014.12.029

- 369 22. Krishnan K, Nguyen TN, Appleton JP, et al. Antiplatelet Resistance: A Review of Concepts, 370 Mechanisms, and Implications for Management in Acute Ischemic Stroke and Transient Ischemic 371 Attack. Stroke: Vascular and Interventional Neurology. 2023;3(3):e000576.
- 372 doi:10.1161/SVIN.122.000576
- 373 23. Brandt JT, Close SL, Iturria SJ, et al. Common polymorphisms of CYP2C19 and CYP2C9 affect 374 the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic response to clopidogrel but not prasugrel. J Thromb 375 Haemost. 2007;5(12):2429-2436. doi:10.1111/j.1538-7836.2007.02775.x
- 376 24. Mega JL, Close SL, Wiviott SD, et al. Cytochrome P450 genetic polymorphisms and the response 377 to prasugrel: relationship to pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic, and clinical outcomes. Circulation. 378 2009;119(19):2553-2560. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.851949
- 379 25. Flechtenmacher N, Kämmerer F, Dittmer R, et al. Clopidogrel Resistance in Neurovascular 380 Stenting: Correlations between Light Transmission Aggregometry, VerifyNow, and the Multiplate. 381 AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2015;36(10):1953-1958. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A4388
- 382 Akbari SH, Reynolds MR, Kadkhodayan Y, Cross DT, Moran CJ. Hemorrhagic complications 26. 383 after prasugrel (Effient) therapy for vascular neurointerventional procedures. J Neurointerv Surg. 384 2013;5(4):337-343. doi:10.1136/neurintsurg-2012-010334
- 385 27. Kitazono T, Toyoda K, Kitagawa K, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Prasugrel by Stroke Subtype: A 386 Sub-Analysis of the PRASTRO-I Randomized Controlled Trial. J Atheroscler Thromb. 387 2021;28(2):169-180. doi:10.5551/jat.56093
- 388 28. Chalouhi N, Tjoumakaris S, Phillips JLH, et al. A single pipeline embolization device is 389 sufficient for treatment of intracranial aneurysms. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2014;35(8):1562-1566. 390 doi:10.3174/ajnr.A3957
- 391 29. Stetler WR, Chaudhary N, Thompson BG, Gemmete JJ, Maher CO, Pandey AS. Prasugrel is 392 effective and safe for neurointerventional procedures. J Neurointerv Surg. 2013;5(4):332-336. 393 doi:10.1136/neurintsurg-2012-010302
- 394 30. Daou B, Starke RM, Chalouhi N, et al. P2Y12 Reaction Units: Effect on Hemorrhagic and 395 Thromboembolic Complications in Patients With Cerebral Aneurysms Treated With the Pipeline 396 Embolization Device. Neurosurgery. 2016;78(1):27. doi:10.1227/NEU.000000000000978
- 397 31. Guédon A, Clarencon F, Maria FD, et al. Very late ischemic complications in flow-diverter 398 stents: a retrospective analysis of a single-center series. Journal of Neurosurgery. 2016;125(4):929-399 935. doi:10.3171/2015.10.JNS15703
- 400 Sardella G, Calcagno S, Mancone M, et al. Pharmacodynamic effect of switching therapy in 32. 401 patients with high on-treatment platelet reactivity and genotype variation with high clopidogrel Dose 402 versus prasugrel: the RESET GENE trial. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2012;5(5):698-704. 403 doi:10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.112.972463
- 404 33. Wali AR, Park CC, Santiago-Dieppa DR, Vaida F, Murphy JD, Khalessi AA. Pipeline 405 embolization device versus coiling for the treatment of large and giant unruptured intracranial 406 aneurysms: a cost-effectiveness analysis. Neurosurg Focus. 2017;42(6):E6.
- doi:10.3171/2017.3.FOCUS1749 407

34. Brinjikji W, Lanzino G, Cloft HJ, Siddiqui AH, Kallmes DF. Risk Factors for Hemorrhagic Complications following Pipeline Embolization Device Treatment of Intracranial Aneurysms: Results from the International Retrospective Study of the Pipeline Embolization Device. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2015;36(12):2308-2313. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A4443 35. Brinjikji W, Lanzino G, Cloft HJ, et al. Risk Factors for Ischemic Complications following Pipeline Embolization Device Treatment of Intracranial Aneurysms: Results from the IntrePED Study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2016;37(9):1673-1678. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A4807 36. Zhou Y, He S, Hu Y. One year clinical outcome of dual anti-platelet therapy with the Novel Ticagrelor plus Aspirin versus Clopidogrel plus Aspirin for Endovascular Intervention of patients with Intracranial Aneurysm: A meta-analysis. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2024;33(1):107491. doi:10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2023.107491

443 Figure Legends

- 444 Figure 1 Simplified decision tree used to model five different DAPT regimens after FD. TEC,
- thromboembolic complications. mRS, modified Rankin Scale.
- 446 Figure 2A Two-way sensitivity analysis varying the rate of mortality after a hemorrhagic complication
- 447 (x-axis) and the rate of mortality after an ischemic complication (y-axis).
- 448 Figure 2B One-way sensitivity analysis varying the cost of platelet function testing. A higher NMB is
- 449 more cost-effective. The values on the x-axis and y-axis are USD.
- 450 Figure 3 Probabilistic sensitivity analysis: cost-effectiveness acceptability curve at various WTP
- 451 values.

-

| 470 | Table 1. | Clinical | Parameters | and | Their | Distribut | ion |
|-----|----------|----------|------------|-----|-------|-----------|-----|
|-----|----------|----------|------------|-----|-------|-----------|-----|

| Variable | Value | Distribution Type | References |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Start age, yrs | 60 years | Gamma | ref |
| <u>Costs, \$</u> | | | |
| Clopidogrel, yearly | \$639 (48–1,160) | Gamma | ⁶ <u>5/26/2024 7:32:00 PM</u> |
| Prasugrel, yearly | \$2,496 (1,583-3,408) | Gamma | 6 |
| Ticagrelor, yearly | \$3,348 (1,982-4,014) | Gamma | 6 |
| VerifyNow testing | \$30 (14-60) | Gamma | 6 |
| Bleeding | \$7,970 (3,045–20,861) | Gamma | 6 |
| Chronic care for mRS 0-2 | \$1,251.43 (751–1752) | Gamma | 13 |
| Chronic care for mRS 3-5 | \$14,405.76 (8,643– 20,168) | Gamma | 13 |
| <u>Utilities</u> | | | |
| mRS 0-2 | 0.851 ± 0.18 | Triangular | 33 |
| mRS 3-5 | 0.478 ± 0.24 | Triangular | 33 |
| Death | 0 | Fixed | 13 |
| Probabilities | | | |
| Death after bleed | 0.45 | Beta | 34 |
| Death after TEC | 0.01215 | Beta | 35 |
| Disabled after bleed | 0.5 | Beta | 34 |

| | TEC | 0.033 | | Beta | 35 | |
|----------------|------------|------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Table 2. Rates | of outcome | es documented in | the litera | ture and used for th | te creation of | the Decision Mod |
| | 0.072 | 0.028 | 0.064 | 0.042 | 0.105 | Distributions |
| Bleed | 0.075 | 0.028 | 0.004 | 0.045 | 0.103 | Beta |
| Diccu | 36 | 10,12 | 8 | 36 | 9 | Deta |
| Reference | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

-

-

506 Table 3. Base-case estimates of treatment costs and QALYs

| Regimen | Costs | QALYs | ICER vs Universal Clopidogrel | NMB |
|-----------------------|------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Universal Clopidogrel | \$3,041.77 | 0.83 | NA | \$79,834.57 |
| PFT-Prasugrel | \$2,336.67 | 0.85 | -35,255 | \$82,700.07 |
| Universal Prasugrel | \$3,097.30 | 0.84 | 5,553 | \$80,898.70 |
| PFT-Ticagrelor | \$2,995.06 | 0.84 | -4,671 | \$81,135.85 |
| Universal Ticagrelor | \$3,801.36 | 0.84 | 75,969 | \$80,602.73 |





544 Figure 2A





Figure 2B





572 Figure 3

