- ¹ Mobility and non-household environments:
- ² understanding dengue transmission patterns in
- 3 urban contexts
- 4
- 5 Víctor Hugo Peña-García^{1, †}, Bryson A. Ndenga², Francis M. Mutuku³, A. Desiree
- 6 LaBeaud⁴, Erin A. Mordecai¹
- 7
- 8 ¹ Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA
- 9 ² Kenya Medical Research Institute, Kisumu, Kenya
- 10 ³ Department of Environmental and Health Sciences, Technical University of Mombasa,
- 11 Mombasa, Kenya
- 12 ⁴ School of Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA
- 13
- 13
- 14 [†] Corresponding author:
- 15 email: vhpena@stanford.edu
- 16
- 17

18 Abstract

19 Households (HH) have been traditionally described as the main environments where 20 people are at risk of dengue (and other arbovirus) infection. Mounting entomological 21 evidence has suggested a larger role of environments other than HH in transmission. 22 Recently, an agent-based model estimated that over half of infections occur in non-23 household (NH) environments like workplaces, markets, and recreational sites. 24 However, the importance of human and vector mobility and the configurations of urban 25 spaces in mediating the effects of NH on dengue transmission remains understudied. 26 To improve our knowledge of the relevance of these spaces in transmission, we 27 expanded an agent-based model calibrated from field data in Kenya to examine 28 movement of people and vectors under different spatial configurations of buildings. In 29 this model, we assessed the number of people traveling between HH and NH, their distance, and the number of mosquitoes migrating. Those were studied on three 30 31 different urban configurations, on which the NH are spatially distributed either randomly 32 (scattered), centered (in a single center), or clustered (in more than one cluster). Across 33 simulations, the number of people moving is a major influential variable where higher 34 levels of movement between HH and NH increases the number of cases. In addition, 35 the number of cases is higher when NH are scattered. These results highlight the 36 importance of NH in transmission as a major spreader of infections between households. 37 In addition, we found an inverse relationship between mosquito migration and 38 population size and hence transmission, which underscores the high cost of migration 39 for mosquitoes. Intriguingly, the distance that people travel from HH to NH seems to 40 have little effect on dengue burden; however, it affects the level of spatial clustering of

cases. The results of this work support the relevance of NH in transmission and its
interaction with human movement in driving dengue dynamics.

43

44 Author summary

45 Recent evidence describes a major role of non-household (NH) environments in dengue 46 transmission. This new knowledge implies that spatial distribution of these locations and 47 the movement of both vectors and humans among them has implications in dynamics of 48 transmission. However, these haven't been evaluated neither together nor considering a 49 differential role in transmission of urban environments. We modified a previously 50 informed agent-based model to include spatial variables by assessing three different 51 urban conformations, i.e. when NH are randomly distributed (scattered), centered, or 52 grouped in differed clusters (clustered). On these, we also evaluated the movement of 53 both vectors and people from households (HH) to NH (both distance and number of 54 individuals). Our findings, which includes a higher burden of dengue when NH are 55 scattered and at higher levels of human movement and low level of vector migration, 56 are aligned with the idea that infections are mainly happening in NH mediated by human 57 mobilization. Infected people reach the households where local subpopulation of vector 58 spread the virus to remaining inhabitants. This work highlights the role of NH and 59 human mobility in dengue transmission.

60

61 Introduction

Dengue is a vector-borne disease prevalent and on the rise in most of the tropical and
subtropical regions around the globe. The main vector, *Aedes aegypti,* is highly
anthropophilic, found very close to human environments and impacting the public health
of urban environments [1, 2].

66 Historically, the main strategy to control the disease has been to reduce vector-human 67 contact by reducing the size of mosquito populations. Conventional wisdom is that for 68 effective control, these activities should be focused on households (HH) as the main 69 environment where transmission is happening [3, 4]. However, some studies have 70 suggested that locations other than households might have an important role because 71 of a significant presence of mosquitoes [5-8], and infected vectors [9]. Recently, a study 72 using agent-based modeling to quantify the number of infections in different types of 73 urban spaces estimated that over half of infections are happening in non-household 74 (NH) environments, where the main high-risk spaces are workplaces and 75 markets/shops [10].

These results have implications for dengue epidemiology since the high influx of people in NH suggests that these spaces can contribute to the spread of infections. In this way, the total number of infections can be affected by the distribution in space of NH and the movement of people between HH and NH. However, these intra-urban dynamics have never been described in the context of different roles among HH and different NH space types [11-14], though Massaro and colleagues used mobile phone data to get estimates for movement between workplaces [15].

83 Building on a previous result showing the major importance of NH for dengue 84 transmission, we now evaluate how levels of human and vector mobility as well as 85 different urban spatial configurations of NH affect dengue transmission. In particular, 86 what role does spatial configuration of NH spaces play, along with the extent to which 87 people and mosquitoes move between spaces, in determining dengue dynamics? To 88 address this, we modified a previously reported agent-based model [10] to make it 89 spatially explicit by assigning coordinates that mimic different urban conformations and 90 evaluated different scenarios of movement of people and vectors. We then assessed 91 how these variables affect the burden of dengue and the spatial patterns to understand 92 urban-level transmission dynamics.

93

94 Methods

95 Model overview

96 To achieve the aims of this study, we modified the agent-based model previously used 97 to describe the importance of HH and NH in transmission [10]. The model was 98 developed to quantify the relative contribution of five different types of NH (workplaces, 99 markets or shops, recreational, religious, and schools) and HH to dengue burden. The 100 model development and calibration were based on data from the two Kenyan cities of 101 Kisumu and Ukunda [10]. This paper's results focus on parameters calibrated to Kisumu, 102 although additional results including dynamics from Ukunda are found in supporting 103 information.

104 The model represents the movement of people to daily-commuting locations like 105 schools and workplaces as well as other locations, for which both the number and 106 identity of people who visit them is randomly defined every day. The latter include 107 spaces like markets or shops, recreational and religious spaces. Movement between 108 HH is also included in the model. Based on vector surveys conducted over two years of 109 fieldwork previously published [16], NH and HH environments were assigned to have 110 mosquito presence or absence based on observed prevalence of mosquitoes. 111 Population dynamics of vectors were modeled at the building level, whereby the sub-112 population dynamics are determined based on building-level conditions like the 113 presence of water containers and the total amount of water they can hold, again 114 informed by field vector surveillance data. These dynamics as well as infection 115 dynamics of vectors are also determined by temperature by using functions previously 116 described and widely used elsewhere [17-19].

117 Transmission events happen in those locations where infected vectors contact 118 susceptible humans or vice versa. Mosquitoes bite depending on both temperature-119 dependent biting rate and the probability of having a successful vector-human 120 encounter, which depends on the amount of time that humans spend in the location. 121 Infection status of mosquitoes can be susceptible, exposed, or infected while humans 122 can be either susceptible, exposed, infected, or recovered and (temporarily) immune. 123 Since the model does not explicitly represent dynamics of different serotypes, recovered 124 humans go back to susceptible status after three months in recovered status. The 125 number of infections in each location is recorded daily. Statistics about the total number 126 of infections and locations are provided weekly. The model simulates transmission

dynamics happening for 731 days (comprising temperature conditions between January
1st of 2020 until December 31st of 2021) and results are shown as a distribution of the
number of infections over 200 simulations. The model and modifications described in
this work were coded in Julia language (v1.10.0) and simulations were run on Sherlock
computational cluster (Stanford Research Computing Center).

132

133 Spatial variables

134 To include mobility-associated variables, we made the model spatially explicit. No actual 135 spatial coordinates were used so we could record the outcome when different urban 136 conformations are tested by using the same set of spatial coordinates. In this way, we 137 can assure that differences are due to the building designation as HH or NH and not by 138 the specific spatial location. Because the model considers populations of about 20,000 139 people, the total municipality areas were rescaled to fit the total number of structures of 140 the virtual populations while considering similar densities. Spatial coordinates were 141 randomly generated and assigned to each structure of the population. Coordinates 142 assignments were done based on either "Scattered" (randomly distributed), "Centered" 143 (majority of NH concentrated in the center of the city in a single cluster), or "Clustered" 144 (majority of NH concentrated in three clusters) configurations (Fig 1), so no new 145 coordinates were created for each spatial distribution, but spatial assignments of 146 building types to locations differed among them.

147



148

149 Fig 1: Spatial distribution of NH for three different urban conformations to be

tested: scattered (NH randomly distributed in space), centered (majority of NH are
clustered in the center), and clustered (majority of NH are grouped in three clusters).
Only NH are shown while HH are interspersed among NH. Religious and schools are
represented in the first row, markets/shops and recreational in middle row and more
frequent NH, workplaces, are represented in the lower row.

155

156 Movement of people

157 We included two movement-related variables: distance from HH to NH locations, and 158 number of people moving. To control the distance of people moving, we limited the

attendance of people to (1) those NH locations that are the closest to their respective
HH (categorized as distance zero, 0), (2) those locations that are at least 500 meters
away from the respective HH, or (3) locations at least 1000 meters away from the HH.
The three treatments were applied to each of the three urban conformations. However,
on the clustered or centered conformations, the closest distance treatment would favor
NH locations at the periphery of the cluster. To avoid this, as many close NH were
assigned to each HH as inhabitants it had.

166 The number of people visiting NH was simulated at three levels. First, we included the 167 same levels previously described in the model, categorized as 100% mobility [10]. This 168 treatment includes all students and workers attending their respective school and 169 workplace, and random-attendance locations (religious, markets/shops, and 170 recreational) with number of people uniformly distributed with parameters minimum = 10 171 and maximum = 70. The number of people visiting a given location is determined daily. 172 We also decreased the number of people moving to school and workplaces to 50% and 173 remaining NH locations to a uniform distribution with parameters minimum = 5 and 174 maximum = 35. Finally, we include a level of people movement of 20% for school and 175 workplaces and uniform distribution with parameters minimum = 2 and maximum = 14176 for random-attendance locations.

177

178 Movement of mosquitoes

- We consider two variables to estimate a baseline migration probability for the number of
 mosquitoes moving from given locations: availability of both breeding and blood-feeding
 resources.
- 182 The model includes a local density-dependent function that allows for the mosquito
- 183 population to grow in a location-specific way, allowing it to represent dynamics
- 184 previously described for a fragmented environment [20]. By using this function, the
- 185 number of mosquitoes in each location grows according to availability of water
- 186 containers, the density of immature mosquitoes in those containers, and temperature
- 187 (peaking at 29°C), as follows:

$$f(D) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{0.09D - 0.55}} d(T) \#(1)$$

188 Where

$$d(T) = -0.166 + 0.08T - 0.0014T^2 \#(2)$$

189

In the equation, *D* is the larval density expressed as the ratio of the number of larvae to liters of water available for breeding in the structure, and d(T) is the term describing the temperature-dependence of population growth. The equation allows the sub-population to grow when larvae density is low by assuming they occupy all the water containers inside or around the structure. As the mosquito sub-population grows, resource availability in the water declines, slowing population growth. By considering Nm_t as the mosquito subpopulation size at time *t*, when the ratio Nm_t/Nm_{t+1} approaches 1, breeding

197 site resources are reaching their carrying capacity and hence, the probability of a 198 mosquito migrating increases. Because there is a strong stochastic component in 199 estimating Nm_{t+1} , by running 20 simulations for every location every day, we estimated a 200 parameter M_P as the median of ratios Nm_t/Nm_{t+1} . 201 On the other hand, we also consider the probability that each female mosquito bites a

human within a given location. The rate of biting is temperature dependent (a),

according to previously published [17]. We coupled it into a binomial distribution to

204 define the number of biting mosquitoes as follows:

$$N_b \sim Bin(Nm_t, a) \#(3)$$

Where N_b is the number of females needing to bite on day t and Nm_t is the size of subpopulation mosquitoes that day. While most models assume that all females bite when they need to, here we consider the possibility that mosquitoes cannot find blood meal hosts in a given place and time as dependent on the human occupancy of a given location. We assume that there is a probability for N_b mosquitoes to have a successful biting human-mosquito encounter (P(bite)) based on the size of N_b and the amount of time that humans spend in the location, as follows:

$$P(bite) = 1 - e^{-\frac{hours}{24}Nh_t} \#(4)$$

Where Nh_t is the number of people attending a given location on day *t* for a structurespecific number of hours. Finally, the actual number females that fed (N_F) in each day, is estimated by

$$N_F \sim Bin(N_b, P(bite)) \#(5)$$

In this sense, when the number of people on a given day is low and the number of

- 216 hours they spend in the location are few, the probability of a female mosquito
- successfully biting is low. So, as the ratio N_F/N_b (later called M_F) approaches 0, the
- 218 probability of mosquitoes migrating increases. Again, for every location every day, 20
- 219 simulations were run to estimate a distribution of M_F .
- Finally, we estimated the migration probability as the product $Mp \cdot (1-M_F)$, which depends
- on both larval density conditions via M_p and adult biting conditions via M_F . The resulting
- probability was used in a binomial distribution to determine the number of migrating
- 223 mosquitoes each day. To evaluate the effect of different mosquito movement regimes
- on the burden of dengue, we considered migration rates of 100% (as unmodified
- product $Mp \cdot (1-M_F)$), 50%, and 10%. The total number of cases was quantified after 200
- simulations.
- 227 Once a mosquito migrates, a new location is assigned by considering a dispersal kernel 228 [21]. Following previous work [22], we used a lognormal function with the form

$$P_D = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}bd^2} e^{-\frac{\log(d/a)^2}{2b^2}} \#(6)$$

Where *d* is distance and both *a* and *b* are parameters to be estimated. We fitted a function by assuming a mean dispersal distance of 105.69 meters, as estimated for *Aedes aegypti* by [23].

232

233 Spatial autocorrelation

234 By taking advantage of spatial features introduced in the model, we performed a spatial 235 autocorrelation analysis to evaluate the level of clustering of dengue cases recorded in 236 each simulation as a function of urban configuration and human and mosquito mobility. 237 To do this, the household location of each infection is recorded so a Global Moran's I 238 index could be estimated at the end of the simulated period. Global Moran's I ranges 239 from -1 to +1 where -1 means totally dispersed location of cases while a value of 1 240 represents a total spatially clustered distribution (total separation between locations with 241 dengue cases and those without cases). In this sense, the null hypothesis of this 242 analysis is that dengue cases are randomly distributed in municipalities, represented by 243 Moran's *I* value of 0 [24]. The analysis was done for every simulation by using 1,000 244 permutations for inference in each of them. Analyses were done using the package 245 SpatialDependence.jl implemented in Julia language (v 1.10.0).

246

247 Results

²⁴⁸ Burden of dengue is strongly affected by number of people

visiting NH

250 We quantified the total number of cases after two years of transmission. When we

- simulated the epidemic under different human movement regimes, it was evident that
- the number of cases decreased as the number of people moving from HH decreased.
- 253 For 100% human movement, irrespective of the urban conformation, we estimated for a
- 254 median of 4,228 cases (IQR: 3,025 4,921), which decreased to a median of 764 (IQR:

255 349 – 1,626) and 154 (IQR: 108 – 232) cases for 50% and 20% human movement,

256 respectively (Fig 2 and S1 Table) (all results are derived from the model calibrated for

- 257 Kisumu, Kenya; see supporting information for further results for the Kenyan city of
- 258 Ukunda).



260

Fig 2: Increasing the number of people moving from HH to NH significantly
increases the burden of dengue under three NH spatial distribution scenarios.
Three levels of human movement were assessed (20%, 50%, and 100%) on three
urban conformations (scattered, centered, or clustered). Boxplots shows the distribution
of the total number of infections for 200 runs of two-year simulation where median is the
horizontal line, the filled box is the interguartile (IQR) range, the whiskers show the

values above and under the IQR and no more than 1.5-IQR, and dots are representingvalues beyond this range.

269

270 Additionally, the scenario where NH locations are spatially randomly distributed

271 produced more cases, though at all movement levels the interquartile ranges for

272 different spatial configurations overlapped (Fig 2). Thus, scattered conformation yielded

a median of 4,672 (IQR: 3,956 – 5,227) while the centered and clustered scenarios

274 produced, respectively, medians of 4,432 (IQR: 3,587 – 5,027) and 3,178 (IQR: 1,785 –

275 4,179) (S2 Table).

276 When we compared HH and NH environments, the number of infections is higher in NH

at higher levels of movement. However, at lower levels of movement and hence lower

transmission, the number of infections between HH and NH becomes roughly similar. In

this way, at 100% of movement irrespective of urban conformation, NH produced 67%

of the cases, but this proportion decreased to 58.8% and to 42.3% at 50% and 20% of

human movement, respectively (Fig 3 and S1 Table).



283

284 Fig 3: Number of infections are higher in NH than HH at high levels of human 285 movement but becomes more even when levels of transmission are low due to 286 lower movement. Three levels of human movement were assessed (20%, 50%, and 287 100%) on three urban conformations (scattered, centered, or clustered). Boxplots are 288 showing the distribution of total number of infections for 200 runs of two-year simulation 289 where median is the horizontal line, the filled box is the interquartile (IQR) range, the 290 whiskers show the values above and under the IQR and no more than 1.5-IQR, and 291 dots are representing values beyond this range.

293 Distance from HH to NH makes little difference in dengue burden

but defines level of spatial structure

- 295 Interestingly, when we evaluated the number of infections produced by different
- distance regimes from HH to NH, only slight differences were evident among them.
- 297 These are mainly related to an increased number of cases when NH are clustered (Fig
- 4 and S3 Table). Besides these slight changes, differences among urban conformations
- are still evident (Fig 4).

300



301



303 differences in cases. Distance 0 means people only visit the closest NH location from

HH. On the three urban configuration scenarios, three levels of distance from HH to NH
were assessed (the closest [categorized as 0], at least 500 meters, and at least 1000
meters). Boxplots are showing the total number of infections after two-year simulation
for 200 runs.

- 308 Intrigued by the apparent lower importance of human movement distance in
- 309 transmission, we wanted to explore further by assessing the spatial structure of cases.
- 310 Given that the number of people moving affects the number of cases, we evaluated the
- 311 spatial structuring when distance traveled is considered as well. In general, we found
- that irrespective of urban conformation, when people move short distances the level of
- 313 spatial structure is higher, as expected (Fig 5). Similarly, the spatial structuring levels
- are also modified by the number of people moving, where lower levels of movement
- 315 decrease Moran's *I*, thereby making cases more dispersed (Fig S6).



317



319 spatial structuring of cases. Distribution of Moran's I values for 200 simulations for

each of the three distance regimes is shown. Both significant ($\alpha = 0.05$) and non-

321 significant are displayed by color. Three levels of distance from HH to NH were

322 assessed (the closest [categorized as 0], at least 500 meters, and at least 1000 meters)

323 on three urban conformations (scattered, centered, or clustered).

325 Movement of mosquitoes is costly and reduces human dengue

- 326 burden
- 327 When we considered different regimes of mosquito movement, the model yielded a 328 lower number of cases when mosquito migration was higher. In this sense, the median 329 number of cases was 306 (IQR: 222 – 419) at 100% of mosquito movement and 330 increased to 1,090 (IQR: 533 - 1,714) and 4,670 (IQR: 4,005 - 5,229) when number of 331 mosquitoes was 50% and 10%, respectively (S4 Table). Still the proportion of infections 332 happening in HH compared to NH remained lower regardless of mosquito movement 333 (Fig 6). To better understand the mechanism by which mosquito movement was 334 reducing transmission, we studied the size of mosquito populations for each mosquito 335 movement regime, which resulted in smaller population sizes at higher movement rate 336 (Fig S9), indicating the importance of mosquito mortality during migration as a limit on 337 transmission.



340 Fig 6: Number of cases decreases when levels of mosquito migration increase.

Three levels of vector movement permissiveness were assessed (100%, 50%, and
20%). Human mobility was kept to 100% for all simulations, so differences are strictly
due to mosquito movement. Boxplots are showing the total number of infections after
two-year simulation for 200 runs.

345

339

346 Discussion

Recently, results of this model have described the important role of NH environments
for transmission, which is greater than previously recognized, accounting for over half of

349 infections and implying an important role of vector control in NH spaces [10]. Here, we 350 aimed to further understand how this differential role of NH environments interacts with 351 movement and spatial configurations of urban environments to drive dengue 352 transmission. We showed that human movement is a primary driver of dengue 353 dynamics, irrespective of urban spatial configuration, and that mosquito movement 354 tends to reduce transmission by increasing mosquito mortality. Further, qualitatively 355 similar, outcomes generated by simulating conditions from the Kenyan city of Ukunda 356 supports these results and can be found in supplemental material.

Among urban conformations, when NH spaces are scattered throughout the city it allows for closer connections to HH and therefore increased transmission. In this way, NH spaces serve as spreaders of infection since they are highly visited locations, which increases the chances of having a successful feeding encounter between humans and infected vectors. Once an individual is infected, the chances of infecting mosquitoes inside the household and in turn having another household inhabitant infected increases, generating local household chains of transmission.

364 For this reason, reducing the number of individuals visiting NH locations reduces the 365 burden of dengue (Fig 2). In this sense, when number of people visiting NH decreases, 366 the number of infections happening in these spaces is also decreased until becoming 367 roughly even with number of infections in HH. These results are supported by previous 368 reports that when COVID-19 pandemic lockdown forced people to stay at home most of 369 the time, the number of dengue cases was significantly reduced [14, 25, 26]. The idea 370 of human movement guiding different levels of transmission is not new and has been 371 explored previously, especially by Stoddard and colleagues (2009) who found that

human movement is even more important for transmission than vector abundance [11].
However, here we highlight how human mobility interacts with NH spaces as drivers of
transmission, which then disseminates within households. As a result, when control is
focused on households, it prevents the spread of disease to the remaining household
inhabitants. However, broader focuses of transmission remain active as long as NH
transmission is not under control.

Distance from HH to NH was not as important as overall levels of human movement for dengue burden (Fig 4). The lack of influence of this variable supports our previous result by suggesting that it is not how far people are traveling but the destination and total amount of movement. This is in line with previous work where a large, longitudinal study in Iquitos, Peru showed that human infection risk is mainly driven by individuals visiting locations with presence of infected vectors, irrespective of the distance [12].

It is important to note that our model does not account for movement times, which increase with distance. These spaces have been previously described to have mosquitoes [27] and hence represent some degree of risk for transmission when people are nearby. Unfortunately, we do not have data about the time people spend in those locations. We think that the number of infections happening while people are moving through these locations is likely to be negligible given the short duration of time people spend there, but this merits further work.

391 The distance that people travel to NH should not, however, be neglected when

392 understanding urban spatial dynamics of transmission. The results of this model

393 indicate that distance traveled is important to determine the level of clustering of cases,

394 which is a measure of the level of spatial dependence of cases and hence of how cases

are unevenly distributed in space (Fig 5) [24]. The clustering of cases considering the
major role in transmission of NH is something that has not been explored before and
deserves further exploration to understand its implication for disease control program
design.

399 In this model we also included estimates of mosquito movement, where we found that 400 high levels of mosquito migration induce high mosquito mortality, thereby reducing 401 mosquito abundance and transmission (Fig 6). These results suggest that mosquito 402 migration is carried out at a high cost for the individual and population, which supports 403 the empirical observation that mosquitoes tend to stay in or close to the same location 404 where they are breeding. Though our model has different parameterization and purpose 405 from that previously reported by Reiner and colleagues, they also included both 406 movement of people and mosquitoes and found that the former is the real force shaping 407 dengue transmission as opposed to movement of mosquitoes, which diffuse it. 408 Interestingly, they found that their model output matches real observations when 409 mosquito movement is decreased and, under certain scenarios, when mosquito 410 movement equals to zero [28].

In our model, a migrant mosquito travels to another location, irrespective of the suitability of that location (i.e., presence of water containers or established mosquito population), with a distance-based probability. If the new location is suitable for breeding, a new mosquito subpopulation is established, otherwise the migrant mosquito will die before reproducing. A migrant mosquito may be more attracted to travel to a location with water containers, as suggested by other studies [29], which is not included in the model. However, it is not known how likely it is for a migrating mosquito to travel longer

distances in search of containers during migration if the closest location does not have
any. This type of dynamics has never been studied before. Another variable not
considered is the number of buildings, roads, and other urban features that might
impose some limits to mosquito dispersal like those described previously, where all *Ae. aegypti* individuals were recaptured in the same block where they were released,
unable to cross roads [30].

Though there are several mark-release-recapture studies recording long travel
distances by *Ae. aegypti* [23], most of these studies are artificially releasing mosquitoes
where they are forced to travel looking for a place to settle. While these studies are
useful to evaluate the capacity of mosquitoes to fly in these conditions, they are not
indicative of the propensity for already settled mosquitoes to travel the distances
recorded in these studies.

According to results of this model, intra-urban dispersion of mosquitoes is not explained
by mosquito migration. A plausible explanation is that dispersion is actively driven by
human mobility. This would underscore the importance of human movement by
suggesting that it is mainly responsible for the burden and dispersal of dengue through
communities. Though the role of human mobility on intra-urban mosquito dispersion is
hard to measure, there are several studies explaining the importance of human
movement in dengue transmission at different space levels [11, 12, 28, 31-34].

Considering previous, novel results about the importance of NH environments in
dengue transmission, we aimed to describe the implications of them across different
urban spatial distributions and levels of human and mosquito movement, which has
never been studied before. According to our model, those municipalities with NH

441 locations clustered are slightly more protected from dengue spreading than those 442 municipalities with NH randomly distributed in space. However, the main variable 443 influencing dengue burden is the number of people moving between HH and NH. 444 Together, these results reflect the importance of NH and human mobility between NH 445 and HH spaces in dengue epidemiology. This underscores the importance of vector 446 control in NH spaces, which is not currently implemented in most places. Finally, though 447 people's travel distance did not have a large impact on the number of cases, it is 448 important for shaping spatial patterns, which can have implications for control activities 449 and for local herd immunity.

450

451 Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Dr. Jason R. Andrews for the valuable input in this work.
We would also acknowledge the fieldwork teams (in Kisumu: Joel Mbakaya, Samwel
Ndire and Charles Adipo; in Ukunda: Said Lipi Malumbo, Paul S. Mutuku, Charles M.

455 Ng'ang'a) who collected the data which this work was possible with.

457

458 **References**

459

460	1.	Scott TW, Amerasinghe PH, Morrison AC, Lorenz LH, Clark GG, Strickman D, et al.
-----	----	---

461 Longitudinal studies of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Thailand and Puerto Rico: blood

462 feeding frequency. J Med Entomol. 2000;37(1):89-101. PubMed PMID: 15218911.

463 2. Scott TW, Chow E, Strickman D, Kittayapong P, Wirtz RA, Lorenz LH, et al. Blood-

464 feeding patterns of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) collected in a rural Thai village. J Med

465 Entomol. 1993;30(5):922-7. PubMed PMID: 8254642.

466 3. Montenegro-Quiñonez CA, Louis VR, Horstick O, Velayudhan R, Dambach P, Runge-

467 Ranzinger S. Interventions against Aedes/dengue at the household level: a systematic review

468 and meta-analysis. EBioMedicine. 2023;93:104660. Epub 20230621. doi:

469 10.1016/j.ebiom.2023.104660. PubMed PMID: 37352828; PubMed Central PMCID:

470 PMCPMC10333437.

471 4. World Health Organization. Dengue guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, prevention and
472 control : new edition. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2009. x, 147 p. p.

473 5. Huang CH, Lin CY, Yang CY, Chan TC, Chiang PH, Chen YH. Relationship between the

474 Incidence of Dengue Virus Transmission in Traditional Market and Climatic Conditions in

475 Kaohsiung City. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol. 2021;2021:9916642. Epub 20210809. doi:

476 10.1155/2021/9916642. PubMed PMID: 34422144; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8371670.

477 6. Suwanbamrung C, Promsupa S, Doungsin T, Tongjan S. Risk factors related to dengue
478 infections in primary school students: exploring students' basic knowledge of dengue and
479 examining the larval indices in southern Thailand. J Infect Public Health. 2013;6(5):347-57.
480 Epub 20130621. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2013.04.006. PubMed PMID: 23999339.

481 7. Barrera R, Acevedo V, Amador M. Role of Abandoned and Vacant Houses on *Aedes*482 *aegypti* Productivity. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2021;104(1):145-50. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.20-0829.
483 PubMed PMID: 33021195; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7790113.

Kampango A, Furu P, Sarath DL, Haji KA, Konradsen F, Schiøler KL, et al. Risk factors
 for occurrence and abundance of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes bromeliae* at hotel compounds in
 Zanzibar. Parasit Vectors. 2021;14(1):544. Epub 20211022. doi: 10.1186/s13071-021-05005-9.
 PubMed PMID: 34686195; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8539800.

488 9. Pérez-Pérez J, Peña-García VH, Calle-Tobón A, Quimbayo-Forero M, Rojo R, Henao E,

489 et al. Entomovirological Surveillance in Schools: Are They a Source for Arboviral Diseases

490 Transmission? Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(11). Epub 20210606. doi:

491 10.3390/ijerph18116137. PubMed PMID: 34204166; PubMed Central PMCID:

492 PMCPMC8201003.

493 10. Peña-García VH, Desiree LaBeaud A, Ndenga BA, Mutuku FM, Bisanzio DA, Mordecai

494 EA, et al. Non-household environments make a major contribution to dengue transmission:

495 Implications for vector control. medRxiv. 2024. Epub 20240110. doi:

496 10.1101/2024.01.08.24301016. PubMed PMID: 38260355; PubMed Central PMCID:

497 PMCPMC10802645.

498 11. Stoddard ST, Morrison AC, Vazquez-Prokopec GM, Paz Soldan V, Kochel TJ, Kitron U,

499 et al. The role of human movement in the transmission of vector-borne pathogens. PLoS Negl

500 Trop Dis. 2009;3(7):e481. Epub 20090721. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0000481. PubMed PMID:

501 19621090; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2710008.

502 12. Stoddard ST, Forshey BM, Morrison AC, Paz-Soldan VA, Vazquez-Prokopec GM,

503 Astete H, et al. House-to-house human movement drives dengue virus transmission. Proc Natl

504 Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(3):994-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1213349110. PubMed PMID: 23277539;

505 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3549073.

506 13. Wesolowski A, Qureshi T, Boni MF, Sundsøy PR, Johansson MA, Rasheed SB, et al.

507 Impact of human mobility on the emergence of dengue epidemics in Pakistan. Proc Natl Acad

508 Sci U S A. 2015;112(38):11887-92. Epub 20150908. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1504964112. PubMed

509 PMID: 26351662; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4586847.

510 14. Liyanage P, Rocklöv J, Tissera HA. The impact of COVID-19 lockdown on dengue

511 transmission in Sri Lanka; A natural experiment for understanding the influence of human

512 mobility. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021;15(6):e0009420. Epub 20210610. doi:

513 10.1371/journal.pntd.0009420. PubMed PMID: 34111117; PubMed Central PMCID:

514 PMCPMC8192006.

515 15. Massaro E, Kondor D, Ratti C. Assessing the interplay between human mobility and
516 mosquito borne diseases in urban environments. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):16911. Epub 20191115.
517 doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-53127-z. PubMed PMID: 31729435; PubMed Central PMCID:
518 PMCPMC6858332.

519 16. Peña-García VH, Mutuku FM, Ndenga BA, Mbakaya JO, Ndire SO, Agola GA, et al. The

520 Importance of Including Non-Household Environments in Dengue Vector Control Activities.

521 Viruses. 2023;15(7). Epub 20230714. doi: 10.3390/v15071550. PubMed PMID: 37515236;

522 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC10384488.

523 17. Mordecai EA, Cohen JM, Evans MV, Gudapati P, Johnson LR, Lippi CA, et al. Detecting

524 the impact of temperature on transmission of Zika, dengue, and chikungunya using mechanistic

525 models. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11(4):e0005568. Epub 2017/04/27. doi:

526 10.1371/journal.pntd.0005568. PubMed PMID: 28448507; PubMed Central PMCID:

527 PMCPMC5423694.

528 18. Huber JH, Childs ML, Caldwell JM, Mordecai EA. Seasonal temperature variation

529 influences climate suitability for dengue, chikungunya, and Zika transmission. PLoS Negl Trop

530 Dis. 2018;12(5):e0006451. Epub 20180510. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0006451. PubMed PMID:

531 29746468; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5963813.

532 19. Caldwell JM, LaBeaud AD, Lambin EF, Stewart-Ibarra AM, Ndenga BA, Mutuku FM, et
533 al. Climate predicts geographic and temporal variation in mosquito-borne disease dynamics on
534 two continents. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):1233. Epub 20210223. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021535 21496-7. PubMed PMID: 33623008; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7902664.

536 20. McCormack CP, Ghani AC, Ferguson NM. Fine-scale modelling finds that breeding site
537 fragmentation can reduce mosquito population persistence. Commun Biol. 2019;2:273. Epub
538 20190725. doi: 10.1038/s42003-019-0525-0. PubMed PMID: 31372512; PubMed Central
539 PMCID: PMCPMC6658551.

540 21. Nathan R, Klein E, Robledo-Arnuncio JJ, Revilla E. Dispersal kernels: review. In: Clobert
541 J, Baguette M, Benton TG, Bullock JM, editors. Dispersal Ecology and Evolution: Oxford
542 University Press; 2012. p. 0.

543 22. Marcantonio M, Reyes T, Barker CM. Quantifying *Aedes aegypti* dispersal in space and 544 time: a modeling approach. Ecosphere. 2019;10(12):e02977. doi:

545 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2977</u>.

546 23. Moore TC, Brown HE. Estimating *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) Flight Distance:

547 Meta-Data Analysis. J Med Entomol. 2022;59(4):1164-70. doi: 10.1093/jme/tjac070. PubMed

548 PMID: 35640992.

549 24. Pfeiffer DU, Robinson TP, Stevenson M, Stevens KB, Rogers DJ, Clements ACA.

550 Spatial clustering of disease and global estimates of spatial clustering. Spatial Analysis in

551 Epidemiology: Oxford University Press; 2008. p. 0.

552 25. Wilder-Smith A. Dengue during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Travel Med. 2021;28(8). doi:

553 10.1093/jtm/taab183. PubMed PMID: 34850050; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8690170.

554 26. Sasmono RT, Santoso MS. Movement dynamics: reduced dengue cases during the

555 COVID-19 pandemic. Lancet Infect Dis. 2022;22(5):570-1. Epub 20220302. doi:

556 10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00062-7. PubMed PMID: 35247322; PubMed Central PMCID:

557 PMCPMC8890753.

558 27. Ngugi HN, Mutuku FM, Ndenga BA, Musunzaji PS, Mbakaya JO, Aswani P, et al.

559 Characterization and productivity profiles of *Aedes aegypti* (L.) breeding habitats across rural

and urban landscapes in western and coastal Kenya. Parasit Vectors. 2017;10(1):331. Epub

561 20170712. doi: 10.1186/s13071-017-2271-9. PubMed PMID: 28701194; PubMed Central
562 PMCID: PMCPMC5508769.

28. Reiner RC, Stoddard ST, Scott TW. Socially structured human movement shapes
dengue transmission despite the diffusive effect of mosquito dispersal. Epidemics. 2014;6:30-6.
Epub 20140108. doi: 10.1016/j.epidem.2013.12.003. PubMed PMID: 24593919; PubMed

566 Central PMCID: PMCPMC3971836.

567 29. Richter O, Nguyen A, Nguyen T. Application of reaction-diffusion equations for modeling
568 human and breeding site attraction movement behavior of *Aedes aegypti* mosquito. Math Biosci
569 Eng. 2022;19(12):12915-35. doi: 10.3934/mbe.2022603. PubMed PMID: 36654028.

Trewin BJ, Pagendam DE, Zalucki MP, Darbro JM, Devine GJ, Jansen CC, et al. Urban
Landscape Features Influence the Movement and Distribution of the Australian ContainerInhabiting Mosquito Vectors *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) and *Aedes notoscriptus*(Diptera: Culicidae). J Med Entomol. 2020;57(2):443-53. doi: 10.1093/jme/tjz187. PubMed
PMID: 31693154.

575 31. Phaijoo GR, Gurung DB. Modeling Impact of Temperature and Human Movement on the
576 Persistence of Dengue Disease. Comput Math Methods Med. 2017;2017:1747134. Epub
577 20170919. doi: 10.1155/2017/1747134. PubMed PMID: 29312458; PubMed Central PMCID:
578 PMCPMC5651158.

579 32. Tocto-Erazo MR, Olmos-Liceaga D, Montoya-Laos JA. Effect of daily human movement
580 on some characteristics of dengue dynamics. Math Biosci. 2021;332:108531. Epub 20210116.
581 doi: 10.1016/j.mbs.2020.108531. PubMed PMID: 33460675.

582 33. Ospina-Aguirre C, Soriano-Paños D, Olivar-Tost G, Galindo-González CC, Gómez-

- 583 Gardeñes J, Osorio G. Effects of human mobility on the spread of Dengue in the region of
- 584 Caldas, Colombia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2023;17(11):e0011087. Epub 20231127. doi:
- 585 10.1371/journal.pntd.0011087. PubMed PMID: 38011274; PubMed Central PMCID:

586 PMCPMC10703399.

- 587 34. Bomfim R, Pei S, Shaman J, Yamana T, Makse HA, Andrade JS, et al. Predicting
- 588 dengue outbreaks at neighbourhood level using human mobility in urban areas. J R Soc
- 589 Interface. 2020;17(171):20200691. Epub 20201028. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2020.0691. PubMed
- 590 PMID: 33109025; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7653379.



Workplace
 Market
 Religious
 Recreational
 School









