


## Supplementary Information




**Fig S1.** QQ plot of distribution of p values for all cases vs controls. The distribution was as expected under the null hypothesis of a uniform distribution of p values and the lambda of 1.0012 shows negligible evidence of genomic inflation.

Contrast	Lambda
All Case Control	1.0012
Tbg Case Control	1.0003
Tbr Case Control	1.0042
WAF Case Latent	1.0071
WAF Control Latent	1.0059

**Table S1.** Coefficients of genomic inflation (lambda) for the contrasts tested.

Rs id	Location	TGEN_AF	AF	AFR_AF	AMR_AF	EAS_AF	EUR_AF	SAS_AF
rs12031824	1 107894555	0.162	0.1352	0.1339	0.2161	0.1448	0.0557	0.1513
rs12948820	17 827031	0.225	0.3217	0.1997	0.2925	0.2857	0.4463	0.4162
rs759790101	2:134019861	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
rs76826738	6:168963478	0.108	0.0326	0.1157	0.0144	0	0	0

**Table S2** Minor allele frequencies observed in the current study (TGEN\_AF) compared with allele frequencies observed in 1000 genomes data. Frequencies were very similar in the two data sets. AF global MAF, AFR\_AF African MAF; EAS\_AF East Asian MAF; EUR\_AF European AF; SAS\_AF South Asian MAF. Note rs759790101 is not represented in 1000 genomes data and the MAF at the SMOC2 locus on chr 6 rs76826738 is < 2% in all populations except Africans.



**Figure S2.** The number of participants with each phenotype for each genotype of each candidate SNP. The counts were obtained for the contrasts shown in Table 4.