SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

## **Supplementary methods**

Data from the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) were used. The NHIS, an annual survey of the United States' non-institutionalized population linked to the National Death Index (NDI), uses multistage probability sampling to generate nationally representative estimates. Details of NHIS and data access were described elsewhere.<sup>1–3</sup> All data underlying this study are publicly available.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, this study is exempt from ethics review.

Participants in NHIS 2013-2017 with mortality follow-up data were included – only these years/iterations contained variables necessary for quantifying SDOH. Those with missing SDOH or covariate data were excluded. All subjects were followed up from questionnaire administration to the end of 2019 or death, whichever occurred earlier, as detailed elsewhere.<sup>4</sup> The outcomes of interest were all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, and cancer mortality, ascertained through the NDI using death certificate information.

Cancer survivorship was self-reported<sup>1,2</sup>; per convention, individuals with only nonmelanotic skin cancer were not considered cancer survivors.<sup>1</sup> SDOH was quantified using a published, self-reported 38-point score, with higher scores indicating worse deprivation.<sup>1</sup> Covariates, including demographics (age, race, and sex) and comorbidities / risk factors (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia, active smoking, obesity, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or emphysema, stroke, weekly moderate/vigorous exercise duration, weekly number of alcoholic drinks, and cardiac and liver conditions), were ascertained from self-reported data as previously detailed.<sup>1,2</sup>

Survey-specific statistics with sampling weights were used via Stata's *svy* set of commands to produce nationally representative estimates. Due to the survey nature of the data, continuous variables were summarized as means and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), while categorical variable were summarized as proportions and 95% CIs. As individuals with missing data were excluded, there were no missing data in this study.

Due to right-skewing, the composite SDOH score was analysed as standardized continuous variables after log-transformation (i.e. ln[SDOH+1]; abbreviated as 'SDOH' hereafter). As non-cardiovascular-non-cancer mortality ('other-cause mortality') constituted a competing event for cardiovascular and cancer mortality, a cause-specific approach was adopted, modelling associations between SDOH and risks of each outcome and other-cause mortality using Cox regression. Log-log plots showed no violation of the proportional hazard assumption. Kaplan-Meier curves were used to visualize the cumulative incidence of each

outcome and other-cause mortality, with grouping by quartiles of the SDOH score – SDOH was quantitatively analysed as a continuous variable instead of quartiles as the latter reduced the sample size, event rate, and thereby the power of Cox regression substantially, which rendered quartile-based analysis infeasible given the already-low event rates.

Associations in cancer survivors and individuals without cancer were modelled separately. Two models were pre-specified for each outcome based on clinical knowledge: model 1 was adjusted for demographics, while model 2 was adjusted for demographics, comorbidities, and risk factors.

Two-sided p<0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using Stata v16.1 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, Texas, USA).

**Supplementary Table 1.** Characteristics of analysed individuals. Continuous variables were summarized as means and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Categorical variables were summarized as proportions and 95% CIs.

	Individuals without	Cancer
	cancer	survivors
Sample size	33,703	4179
Weighted sample size	51,934,278	5,762,493
<b>Demographic and comorbid characteristics</b> , mean [95% CI] or proportion (%) [95% CI (%)]		
Age, years old		
18-25	9.4 [8.8-9.9]	0.8 [0.4-1.3]
26-35	16.6 [16.1-17.2]	2.9 [2.3-3.6]
36-45	17.4 [16.9-17.9]	6.2 [5.3-7.3]
46-55	20.5 [19.9-21.1]	14.7 [13.2-16.3]
56-65	19.9 [19.3-20.5]	24.1 [22.4-25.9]
66-75	11.5 [11.1-12.0]	30.6 [28.8-32.3]
≥76	4.7 [4.4-5.0]	20.8 [19.3-22.3]
Male	48.8 [48.1-49.5]	49.6 [47.6-51.6]
Race		
White	85.4 [84.7-86.1]	92.2 [91.1-93.2]
Black / African American	9.2 [8.7-9.7]	5.7 [4.9-6.7]
American Indian / Alaskan native	0.8 [0.7-1.0]	0.5 [0.3-0.7]
Chinese	0.9 [0.7-1.0]	0.2 [0.1-0.7]
Filippino	1.1 [0.9-1.3]	0.4 [0.3-0.8]
Asian Indian	0.9 [0.7-1.1]	0.1 [0.0-0.2]
Other Asians	1.3 [1.1-1.5]	0.5 [0.3-0.8]
Other / multiple races	0.4 [0.4-0.5]	0.3 [0.2-0.6]
Hypertension	38.4 [37.7-39.1]	53.9 [51.8-56.0]
Diabetes mellitus	12.8 [12.3-13.2]	20.4 [18.8-22.1]
Hypercholesterolemia	36.1 [35.4-36.8]	51.6 [49.6-53.7]
Active smoking	42.4 [41.6-43.2]	55.0 [52.9-57.1]
Obesity	32.9 [32.2-33.6]	31.3 [29.4-33.1]

	Individuals without	Cancer
Cardiac condition	125[120-120]	$\frac{1}{25.8}$ [24.1-27.5]
Liver condition	22[2.0-12.0]	23.0[24.127.3] 4 4 [3 7-5 3]
Chronic obstructive nulmonary disease or emphysema	3 3 [3 0-3 5]	<u> </u>
Stroke	2 3 [2 1-2 5]	5 2 [4 4-6 2]
Weekly moderate/vigorous exercise duration minutes	262 [256-268]	238 [220-256]
Weekly number of alcoholic drink(s)	4 7 [4 4-4 9]	4 8 [4 4-5 2]
Composite social determinants of health score	6.0 [5.9-6.1]	5.3 [5.2-5.5]
Log-transformed composite social determinants of health score	1.75 [1.74-1.76]	1.62 [1.59-1.65]
<b>Domains of the composite social determinants of health score</b> , proportion (%) [95% CI (%)]		
Economic stability		
Never / previously employed	3.0 [2.7-3.2]	1.6 [1.2-2.2]
No paid sick leave	37.1 [36.4-37.9]	36.9 [34.9-38.9]
Low family income	18.1 [17.5-18.8]	16.4 [15.1-17.8]
Difficulty paying medical bills	12.9 [12.3-13.4]	11.9 [10.7-13.3]
Unable to pay medical bills	6.2 [5.8-6.5]	5.3 [4.5-6.2]
Cost-related medication non-adherence	8.7 [8.3-9.1]	8.9 [7.9-10.1]
Foregone / delayed medical care due to cost	9.2 [8.8-9.6]	7.4 [6.5-8.5]
Worried about money for retirement	47.5 [46.7-48.2]	37.9 [36.0-39.9]
Worried about medical costs of illness / accident	41.8 [41.0-42.6]	33.8 [32.0-35.7]
Worried about maintaining standard of living	37.4 [36.7-38.1]	33.5 [31.7-35.4]
Worried about medical costs of normal healthcare	25.3 [24.6-26.0]	21.8 [20.1-23.5]
Worried about paying monthly bills	24.3 [23.6-25.0]	21.8 [20.2-23.5]
Worried about paying rent / mortgage / housing costs	18.6 [18.0-19.2]	15.9 [14.5-17.5]
Neighborhood, physical environment, and social cohesion		
Housing was rental / from other arrangement	27.2 [26.4-28.0]	16.7 [15.4-18.2]
People in neighborhood did not help each other	14.4 [13.9-14.9]	11.7 [10.5-13.0]
There were not people that can be counted on in neighborhood	14.6 [14.1-15.2]	10.9 [9.7-12.1]
People neighborhood could not be trusted	33.6 [32.8-34.3]	31.0 [29.3-32.8]
Neighborhood was not close-knit	12.9 [12.3-13.4]	9.5 [8.3-10.7]

	Individuals without cancer	Cancer survivors
Psychological distress	3.3 [3.0-3.6]	3.3 [2.7-4.1]
Food insecurity	6.5 [6.1-6.8]	5.9 [5.0-6.8]
Education		
Could not speak English language well / at all	1.7 [1.5-1.9]	1.3 [0.9-1.8]
Did not look up health information on internet in the past 12 months	64.7 [63.9-65.4]	59.3 [57.3-61.3]
Did not fill a prescription on the internet in the past 12 months	16.4 [15.8-17.0]	17.3 [15.8-18.9]
Did not schedule medical appointment on the internet in the past 12 months	17.2 [16.5-18.0]	15.3 [13.8-16.9]
Did not communicate with healthcare provider by email in the past 12 months	19.0 [18.3-19.8]	21.0 [19.4-22.7]
Did not use chat groups to learn about health topics in the past 12 months	4.7 [4.4-5.0]	5.4 [4.5-6.5]
Less than high school education	25.9 [25.2-26.7]	27.5 [25.8-29.2]
Healthcare system		
Uninsured	5.3 [5.0-5.7]	2.5 [1.9-3.1]
No usual source of care	6.2 [5.8-6.5]	2.9 [2.4-3.7]
Trouble finding a doctor / healthcare provider	3.0 [2.8-3.3]	3.7 [3.0-4.6]
Not accepted by doctor's office as new patient	2.8 [2.6-3.1]	3.5 [2.8-4.3]
Insurance not accepted by doctor's office	3.8 [3.6-4.2]	4.3 [3.6-5.2]
Delayed medical care due to not being able to get through on the phone	3.1 [2.8-3.4]	3.2 [2.6-3.9]
Delayed medical care due to not being able to get an appointment soon enough	7.9 [7.5-8.4]	8.5 [7.4-9.7]
Delayed medical care due to waiting too long at the doctor's office	4.2 [3.9-4.5]	5.4 [4.6-6.4]
Delayed medical care due to the doctor's office not being open when there was time to visit	3.7 [3.4-4.0]	3.0 [2.4-3.8]
Delayed medical care due to a lack of transportation	1.5 [1.3-1.6]	2.1 [1.6-2.7]
Dissatisfied with the quality of care / no healthcare in the past year	6.6 [6.2-6.9]	5.1 [4.3-6.1]

CI, confidence interval.

	Individuals without cancer	Cancer survivors		
Follow-up duration, outcomes, and competing event, mean [95% CI] or proportion (%) [95% CI (%)]				
Follow-up duration, years	4.8 [4.8-4.8]	4.6 [4.6-4.7]		
All-cause mortality	2.4 [2.2-2.6]	9.9 [8.8-11.0]		
Cardiovascular mortality	0.7 [0.6-0.8]	2.2 [1.8-2.8]		
Cancer mortality	0.5 [0.4-0.6]	4.6 [3.9-5.5]		
Other-cause mortality	1.1 [1.0-1.2]	3.0 [2.4-3.7]		
Association between the standardized log-transformed composite SDOH score and risk of outcomes, adjusted hazard ratio [95% CI]*				
All-cause mortality	Model 1**: 1.24 [1.14-1.35], p<0.001	Model 1**: 1.25 [1.10-1.42], p=0.001		
	Model 2***: 1.08 [0.99-1.18], p=0.083	Model 2***: 1.16 [1.02-1.31], p=0.025		
Cardiovascular mortality	Model 1**: 1.28 [1.08-1.51], p=0.004	Model 1**: 1.57 [1.21-2.04], p=0.001		
	Model 2***: 1.09 [0.93-1.28], p=0.281	Model 2***: 1.31 [1.02-1.68], p=0.037		
Cancer mortality	Model 1**: 1.02 [0.87-1.19], p=0.837	Model 1**: 1.26 [1.06-1.50], p=0.007		
	Model 2***: 0.91 [0.78-1.06], p=0.207	Model 2***: 1.20 [1.01-1.42], p=0.041		
Other-cause mortality	Model 1**: 1.34 [1.17-1.54], p<0.001	Model 1**: 1.06 [0.80-1.39], p=0.697		
	Model 2***: 1.17 [1.01-1.36], p=0.034	Model 2***: 1.00 [0.76-1.30], p=0.983		

Supplementary Table 2. Summary of follow-up duration, outcomes, competing event, and analytic results.

CI, confidence interval.

\* Adjusted hazard ratios represented effects per standard deviation increase in the log-transformed composite social determinants of health score.

\*\* Model 1: adjusted for age, race, and sex.

\*\*\* Model 2: adjusted for age, race, sex, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidaemia, active smoking, weekly number of alcoholic drinks, cardiac condition(s), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or emphysema, liver disease, stroke, obesity, and weekly exercise duration.

**Supplementary Figure 1.** Participant flow diagram. Weighted N refers to the population represented by the respective sample cohort after applying sampling weights. NHIS, National Health Interview Survey. SDOH, social determinants of health.



## **References for supplementary material**

- Satti DI, Chan JSK, Dee EC, et al. Associations Between Social Determinants of Health and Cardiovascular Health of US Adult Cancer Survivors. *JACC CardioOncology*. 2024;0(0). doi:10.1016/j.jaccao.2023.07.010
- Chan JSK, Satti DI, Dee EC, et al. Association between psychological distress and cardiovascular health amongst cancer survivors in the United States: findings from nationally representative data. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2023;30(16):e74-e77. doi:10.1093/eurjpc/zwad162
- Blewett LA, Rivera Drew JA, King ML, Williams KCW, Del Ponte N, Convey P. IPUMS Health Surveys: National Health Interview Survey, Version 7.2. 2022.
- Maqsood MH, Nguyen R, Chang R, et al. Unfavorable social determinants of health and mortality risk by cardiovascular disease status: Findings from a National Study of United States Adults. *Am Heart J.* 2024;267:95-100. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2023.10.006