

A global comparison of the Lifetime Risk of Maternal Near Miss morbidity

Supplementary Material

Table of Contents

1. Search strategy	2
2. Maternal near miss prevalence studies included in meta-analysis	3
3. Meta-analysis results	10
4. Lifetime risk of maternal near miss vs. death	13
5. Sensitivity Analyses	15
6. Uncertainty	18

Tables

Table S1 Search terms for eligible maternal near miss prevalence studies.....	2
Table 2 Maternal near miss prevalence studies included in meta-analysis	3
Table 3 Meta-analysis results for estimation of the maternal near miss ratio	10
Table 4 Sensitivity of lifetime risk of maternal near miss to adjustment of facility-based studies	15
Table 5 Uncertainty in the estimates of the lifetime risk of maternal near miss	18

Figures

Figure S1 Lifetime risk of maternal near miss versus lifetime risk of maternal death	14
--	----

1. Search strategy

Table S1 includes the search terms used to identify eligible records in Embase, Global Health, and MEDLINE reporting multi-facility, regional, or national-level estimates of the prevalence of maternal near miss. This search was supplemented by also searching the included records of several recent meta-analyses on the prevalence of maternal near miss.

Table S1 Search terms for eligible maternal near miss prevalence studies

Item number	Search term	Records retrieved
1	(prevalence or incidence or ratio or burden or surveillance).ti,ab.	8558945
2	("population-based" or "region" or "regional" or "national").ti,ab.	5257648
3	("maternal near miss maternal near-miss" or "severe acute maternal morbidity" or "SAMM" or "severe maternal morbidity" or "life-threatening complication" or "life-threatening maternal morbidity" or "life-threatening condition" or "life threatening complication" or "life threatening maternal morbidity" or "life threatening condition").ti,ab.	40825
4	1 and 2 and 3	1315
5	limit 4 to yr="2010 -Current"	1202
6	Limit 5 to English language	1176
7	Remove duplicates from 6	709 Embase: 661 Global Health: 27 MEDLINE: 21

2. Maternal near miss prevalence studies included in meta-analysis

Table S2 (below) includes all studies included in our meta-analysis to estimate a single maternal near miss ratio (MNM ratio) per country with available multi-facility, regional, or national-level data. Studies were included only if (i) they used the World Health Organization (WHO) organ dysfunction criteria, or a modified version of the WHO criteria for low-income contexts; (ii) the reference period was from 2010 onwards. Where studies estimated the prevalence according to multiple WHO/modified organ dysfunction criteria, each estimate is included as a separate row of the meta-analyses.

Table 2 Maternal near miss prevalence studies included in meta-analysis

Country	Author (Year)	Year of observation	Study design	Location	MNM criteria	MNM cases	Denominator or	Type of denominator
Central and Southern Asia								
Afghanistan	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	421	25,227	livebirths
India	Goldenberg et al. (2017)	2014-2016	Regional	Surveillance area Belagavi - 18 primary health centers, 3 tertiary hospitals and 8 secondary hospitals Belagavi	Global Network	615	21,548	livebirths
India	Goldenberg et al. (2017)	2014-2016	Regional	Surveillance area Nagpur - 20 primary health centers, 10 tertiary hospitals and 129 secondary hospitals, Nagpur	Global Network	79	17,541	livebirths
India	Mansuri et al. (2019)	2015-2016	Regional	Four hospitals Ahmedabad	WHO	247	21,491	livebirths
India	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	174	30,094	livebirths
Iran	Ghazivakili et al. (2016)	2012	Regional	All 13 public and private hospitals Alborz	WHO	192	38,663	livebirths
Iran	Hashemi et al. (2020)	2016	Regional	Five hospitals in Ahvaz	WHO	81	3,002	livebirths
Iran	Naderi et al. (2015)	2013	Regional	Eight hospitals in Southeast Iran	WHO	501	19,908	livebirths
Nepal	Rana et al. (2013)	2012	Regional	9 facilities Kathmandu valley	WHO	157	41,676	livebirths
Nepal	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	65	10,999	livebirths
Pakistan	Goldenberg et al. (2017)	2014-2016	Regional	Surveillance area - 47 primary health clinics, 25 secondary care facilities and 3 referral hospitals, Thatta district	Global Network	1,830	21,604	livebirths
Pakistan	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	94	12,729	livebirths

Country	Author (Year)	Year of observation	Study design	Location	MNM criteria	MNM cases	Denominator	Type of denominator
Sri Lanka	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	73	17,988	livebirths
Eastern and South-Eastern Asia								
Cambodia	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	59	4,635	livebirths
China	Carmen et al. (2023)	2019	Regional	Three tertiary centres in Hong Kong	WHO	61	11,075	livebirths
China	Li et al. (2022)	2017-2018	Regional	18 hospitals southern china	WHO but unclear	417	138,556	pregnant women
China	Ma et al. (2020)	2012-2017	Regional	18 hospitals in Zhejiang province	WHO	3,208	543,109	livebirths
China	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	34	13,242	livebirths
China	Xiong et al. (2020)	2012-2018	Regional	17 hospitals in Hunan province	WHO	489	511,793	livebirths
China	Yi Mu et al. (2019)	2012-2017	National	NA	WHO	37,060	90,522,716	livebirths
Japan	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	21	3,527	livebirths
Laos	Luexay et al. (2014)	2010	Regional	11 districts in Sayaboury province	Global Network	11	1,122	livebirths
Malaysia	Norhayati et al. (2016)	2014	Regional	2 facilities in Kelantan	WHO	47	21,579	livebirths
Mongolia	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	61	7,303	livebirths
Philippines	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	29	10,609	livebirths
Thailand	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	51	8,894	livebirths
Vietnam	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	33	15,411	livebirths
Latin America and the Caribbean								
Argentina	Abalos et al. (2014)	2012	Regional	NA	WHO	28	6,024	livebirths
Argentina	De Mucio et al. (2016)	2013-2014	Regional	3 hospitals with >3000 deliveries a year	WHO	2	762	livebirths
Argentina	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	51	9,729	livebirths

Country	Author (Year)	Year of observation	Study design	Location	MNM criteria	MNM cases	Denominator	Type of denominator
Brazil	Dias et al. (2014)	2011-2012	National	NA	WHO	23,737	2,325,394	livebirths
Brazil	Galvao et al. (2014)	2011-2012	Regional	The two only maternity hospitals for the entire state: Santa Izabel Hospital and Nossa Senhora de Lourdes Maternity.	WHO	77	16,243	livebirths
Brazil	Menezes et al. (2015)	2011-2012	Regional	2 hospitals in Aracaju	WHO	77	20,435	admissions
Brazil	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	17	7,019	livebirths
Ecuador	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	30	10,108	livebirths
Guatemala	Goldenberg et al. (2017)	2014-2016	Regional	Surveillance area - 1 referral hospital, 30 health centers, and 42 health posts, Chimaltenango region	Global Network	1,221	19,712	livebirths
Honduras	De Mucio et al. (2016)	2013	Regional	2 hospitals with >3000 annual deliveries	WHO	10	613	livebirths
Mexico	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	153	13,167	livebirths
Nicaragua	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	119	6,426	livebirths
Paraguay	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	8	3,595	livebirths
Peru	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	169	15,021	livebirths
Suriname	Verschueren et al. (2020)	2017-2018	National	NA	WHO	71	9,114	livebirths
Suriname	Verschueren et al. (2020)	2017-2018	National	NA	WHO modification for Namibia	118	9,114	livebirths

Country	Author (Year)	Year of observation	Study design	Location	MNM criteria	MNM cases	Denominator	Type of denominator
Suriname	Verschuere et al. (2020)	2017-2018	National	NA	WHO modification for Sub-Saharan Africa	242	9,114	livebirths
Northern Africa and Western Asia								
Iraq	Jabir et al. (2012)	2010	Regional	6 hospitals in Baghdad	WHO	128	25,472	livebirths
Lebanon	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	18	4,008	livebirths
Sub-Saharan Africa								
Angola	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	57	9,966	livebirths
Democratic Republic of Congo	Goldenberg et al. (2017)	2014-2016	Regional	Surveillance area	Global Network	521	13,637	livebirths
Democratic Republic of Congo	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	88	8,395	livebirths
Ethiopia	Beyene et al. (2022)	2018	Regional	Three hospitals in southern Ethiopia	WHO	90	2,880	livebirths
Ethiopia	Gebremariam et al. (2022)	2012-2017	Regional	Three selected hospitals in North Shewa Zone, Central Ethiopia	WHO	129	905	pregnant women
Ethiopia	Kusheta et al. (2023)	2019	Regional	All public hospitals in Hadiya zone, southern Ethiopia	sub-Saharan Africa criteria	70	2,724	livebirths
Ethiopia	Tenaw et al. (2021)	2019-2020	Regional	Two major private hospitals in Harar and Dire Dawa	WHO modification for Sub-Saharan Africa	108	1,173	livebirths
Ethiopia	Tura et al. (2018)	2016-2017	Regional	Two hospitals in Eastern Ethiopia	sub-Saharan Africa criteria	594	7,404	livebirths
Ethiopia	Tura et al. (2018)	2016-2017	Regional	Two hospitals in Eastern Ethiopia	WHO	128	7,404	livebirths
Ethiopia	Wakgar et al. (2019)	2014-2016	Regional	Hawassa University comprehensive specialized and Yirgalem hospital.	WHO	501	15,059	admissions

Country	Author (Year)	Year of observation	Study design	Location	MNM criteria	MNM cases	Denominator	Type of denominator
Ethiopia	Worke et al. (2019)	2018	Regional	Three out of five referral hospitals in Amhara chosen randomly	WHO	152	572	admissions
Ethiopia	Yemane et al. (2020)	2017	Regional	Three randomly selected public hospitals in south western Ethiopia	WHO	210	5,530	livebirths
Ghana	Oppong et al. (2018)	2015	Regional	Three tertiary hospitals in Southern Ghana	Modified WHO (Ghana)	288	8,433	livebirths
Kenya	Golden berg et al. (2017)	2014-2016	Regional	Surveillance area	Global Network	433	13,724	livebirths
Kenya	Owolabi et al. (2020)	2018	National	NA	WHO	5,116	708,459	livebirths
Kenya	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	77	19,658	livebirths
Namibia	Heemel aar et al. (2019)	2018	Regional	Four representative hospitals	WHO	61	5,772	livebirths
Namibia	Heemel aar et al. (2019)	2018	Regional	Four representative hospitals	Modified WHO (Namibia)	184	5,772	livebirths
Namibia	Heemel aar et al. (2020)	2018-2019	National	NA	Modified WHO (Namibia)	298	37,106	livebirths
Niger	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	196	10,714	livebirths
Nigeria	Adanikin et al. (2019)	2012-2013	National	NA	WHO	1,451	91,724	livebirths
Nigeria	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	298	11,775	livebirths
Nigeria	Tukur et al. (2022)	2019-2020	National	NA	WHO	5,678	69,055	livebirths
South Africa	Heitkamp et al. (2021)	2014-2015	Regional	Metro east cape town	WHO	268	32,161	livebirths
South Africa	Iwuh et al et al. (2018)	2014	Regional	Metro west cape town	WHO	112	19,222	livebirths

Country	Author (Year)	Year of observation	Study design	Location	MNM criteria	MNM cases	Denominator	Type of denominator
South Africa	Somappillay et al. (2017)	2013-2014	Regional	Tshwane SA	WHO	117	26,614	pregnant women
Tanzania	Litorp et al. (2014)	2012	Regional	Two hospitals, dar es Salaam	WHO	467	13,121	livebirths
Uganda	Nakimuli et al. (2016)	2013-2014	Regional	Two referral hospitals	WHO	695	25,840	livebirths
Uganda	Souza et al. (2013)	2010-11	National	WHO Multicountry survey	WHO	120	10,467	livebirths
Zambia	Goldenberg et al. (2017)	2014-2016	Regional	Surveillance area	Global Network	167	12,827	livebirths
Zimbabwe	Chikadaya et al. (2018)	2016	Regional	Two referral hospitals for all of Harare	WHO	110	11,871	livebirths

3. Meta-analysis results

We used a random effects only meta-analysis to calculate a single maternal near miss ratio for each country with available multi-facility, regional, or national-level data on the prevalence of near miss. The full results can be found in Table S3 below. Adjusted results present the pooled MNM ratio used in our calculation of the lifetime risk of maternal near miss (LTR-MNM) and lifetime risk of severe maternal outcome (LTR-SMO). For these estimates, we have adjusted the denominator for facility-based studies (see Table S2) using the institutional delivery rate, to better account for total births, including those occurring outside of facilities.

To highlight the effect of our adjustment, the unadjusted results present the meta-analysis pooled MNM ratio where facility-based studies have not been adjusted by the institutional delivery rate.

Table 3 Meta-analysis results for estimation of the maternal near miss ratio

	ISO	Country	Year midpoint	No. of studies	Unadjusted MNM ratio	Adjusted MNM ratio
Central and Southern Asia						
	AFG	Afghanistan	2010	1	16.69	7.14
	IND	India	2014	4	9.48	6.71
	IRN	Iran	2014	3	9.11	8.68
	NPL	Nepal	2012	2	4.14	2.06
	PAK	Pakistan	2013	2	34.28	14.82
	LKA	Sri Lanka	2010	1	4.06	4.04
Mean	—	—	—	—	13.0	7.2
Eastern and South-Eastern Asia						
	KHM	Cambodia	2010	1	12.73	10.59
	CHN	China	2015	6	0.42	0.42
	JPN	Japan	2010	1	5.95	5.94
	LAO	Laos	2020	1	9.80	9.80
	MYS	Malaysia	2014	1	2.18	2.18
	MNG	Mongolia	2010	1	8.35	8.23
	PHL	Philippines	2010	1	2.73	1.67
	THA	Thailand	2010	1	5.73	5.71
	VNM	Vietnam	2010	1	2.14	1.98
Mean	—	—	—	—	5.6	5.2
Latin America and the Caribbean						
	ARG	Argentina	2014	3	4.86	4.83
	BRA	Brazil	2012	4	10.06	9.96
	ECU	Ecuador	2010	1	2.97	2.58
	GTM	Guatemala	2016	1	61.94	61.94
	HND	Honduras	2014	1	16.31	11.75
	MEX	Mexico	2010	1	11.62	11.11
	NIC	Nicaragua	2010	1	18.52	13.15
	PRY	Paraguay	2010	1	2.23	2.13
	PER	Peru	2010	1	11.25	9.97
	SUR	Suriname	2018	3	13.89	12.90
Mean	—	—	—	—	15.4	14.0
Northern Africa and Western Asia						
	IRQ	Iraq	2010	1	5.03	3.85
	LBN	Lebanon	2010	1	4.49	4.34
Mean	—	—	—	—	4.8	4.1
Sub-Saharan Africa						
	AGO	Angola	2010	1	5.72	2.61
	COD	Democratic Republic of Congo	2013	2	23.34	19.74
	ETH	Ethiopia	2018	8	42.81	13.52
	GHA	Ghana	2016	1	34.15	26.88
	KEN	Kenya	2015	3	7.30	4.45
	NAM	Namibia	2018	2	8.33	8.20

	ISO	Country	Year midpoint	No. of studies	Unadjusted MNM ratio	Adjusted MNM ratio
	NER	Niger	2010	1	18.29	5.45
	NGA	Nigeria	2014	3	31.59	11.31
	ZAF	South Africa	2014	3	6.14	6.14
	TZA	Tanzania	2012	1	35.59	22.28
	UGA	Uganda	2012	2	21.03	13.57
	ZMB	Zambia	2016	1	13.02	13.02
	ZWE	Zimbabwe	2016	1	9.27	9.27
Mean	—	—	—	—	19.7	12.0

4. Lifetime risk of maternal near miss vs. death

Figure S1 plots the lifetime risk of maternal near miss against the lifetime risk of maternal death, on a log-log scale. A log-log scale was chosen due to the data spans several orders of magnitude between the lowest observed LTR-MNM or LTR-MD and the highest values. There is a positive association between these two indicators: the higher the LTR-MNM (smaller number), the higher the LTR-MD (smaller number). Some exceptions exist, indicating morbidity underperformers for their LTR-MD (e.g. Guatemala).

5. Sensitivity Analyses

Table S4 (below) presents our calculation of the LTR-MNM where the denominator of facility-based studies has or has not been adjusted by the institutional delivery rate prior to meta-analysis pooled MNM ratio estimation (see Table S3). We also show the percentage difference in the resulting LTR-MNM estimate with and without facility-based denominator adjustment. The effect of our adjustment is large and heterogeneous by region. It has a much greater effect in regions such as sub-Saharan Africa where institutional delivery rates are low and population-based surveillance data are scarce.

This results in a reduction of the estimated level of obstetric risk for many studies conducted in low resource settings, and consequently, results in a lower estimate of the LTR-MNM than would be the case if this adjustment was not applied.

However, despite the substantial effect of our adjustment, this is preferable to using the unadjusted MNM ratio in our meta-analyses and LTR-MNM calculation. For countries with low institutional delivery rate, the number of births recorded in a tertiary facility is not representative of the number of births in the population, and therefore results in an overestimation of the MNM ratio and hence also the LTR-MNM. Since we can only adjust for the institutional delivery rate, and not according to the level of facility (primary, secondary, tertiary), this is an imperfect adjustment, but preferable to no adjustment at all.

Table 4 Sensitivity of lifetime risk of maternal near miss to adjustment of facility-based studies

	Country	Year midpoint	LTR-MNM Denom. Adjusted	LTR-MNM Denom. Unadjusted	Difference in LTR-MNM (%)
Central and Southern Asia					
	Afghanistan	2010	24	10	57.2
	India	2014	66	46	29.2
	Iran	2014	57	54	4.7
	Nepal	2012	206	103	50.2
	Pakistan	2013	17	7	56.8
	Sri Lanka	2010	114	113	0.5
Mean	—	—	—	—	33.1
Eastern and South-Eastern Asia					
	Cambodia	2010	35	29	16.8
	China	2015	1,436	1,436	0.0
	Japan	2010	122	122	0.2
	Laos	2020	41	41	0.0
	Malaysia	2014	222	222	0.0
	Mongolia	2010	49	48	1.4
	Philippines	2010	186	114	38.8
	Thailand	2010	112	112	0.3
	Vietnam	2010	269	249	7.5
Mean	—	—	—	—	7.2
Latin America and the Caribbean					
	Argentina	2012	89	89	0.6
	Brazil	2011	56	56	1.0
	Ecuador	2010	150	130	13.1
	Guatemala	2016	6	6	0.0
	Honduras	2014	33	24	28.0
	Mexico	2010	39	37	4.4
	Nicaragua	2010	30	21	29.0
	Paraguay	2010	174	166	4.5
	Peru	2010	40	35	11.4
	Suriname	2018	32	30	7.1
Mean	—	—	—	—	9.9
Northern Africa and Western Asia					
	Iraq	2010	60	46	23.5
	Lebanon	2010	109	105	3.3
Mean	—	—	—	—	13.4
Sub-Saharan Africa					
	Angola	2010	65	30	54.4
	Democratic Republic of Congo	2013	8	7	15.4
	Ethiopia	2018	18	6	68.4
	Ghana	2016	10	8	21.3
	Kenya	2015	62	38	39.0

	Country	Year midpoint	LTR-MNM Denom. Adjusted	LTR-MNM Denom. Unadjusted	Difference in LTR-MNM (%)
	Namibia	2018	37	36	1.6
	Niger	2010	26	8	70.2
	Nigeria	2014	17	6	64.2
	South Africa	2014	70	70	0.0
	Tanzania	2012	9	6	37.4
	Uganda	2012	13	9	35.5
	Zambia	2016	17	17	0.0
	Zimbabwe	2016	30	30	0.0
Mean	—	—	—	—	31.3

6. Uncertainty

We estimated uncertainty in the LTR-MNM that derive from underlying uncertainty in the estimate of the MNM ratio only. This is to understand the contribution of uncertainty in the prevalence of MNM to the resulting estimates of the LTR-MNM and does not account for additional potential uncertainty that derives from the fertility and mortality estimates. World Population Prospects do not publish the uncertainty in their lifetable estimates.

Table S5 presents the MNM ratio and LTR-MNM with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals in parentheses. For countries where there is a large degree of variability in the MNM ratio estimates across studies, this corresponds to substantial uncertainty in the pooled MNM ratio estimate, and hence also in the LTR-MNM estimate. This emphasises the heterogeneity in MNM prevalence study design and measurement.

Table 5 Uncertainty in the estimates of the lifetime risk of maternal near miss

Country	Year midpoint	No. of studies in MNM ratio meta-analysis	MNM ratio (95% CI)	LTR-MNM 1 in N (95% CI)
Central and Southern Asia				
Afghanistan	2010	1	7.1 (6.5, 7.9)	24 (26, 22)
India	2014	4	6.7 (2.5, 18.3)	66 (179, 24)
Iran	2014	3	8.7 (2.3, 32.7)	57 (215, 15)
Nepal	2012	2	2.1 (1.8, 2.4)	207 (235, 181)
Pakistan	2013	2	14.8 (0.7, 336.2)	17 (381, 1)
Sri Lanka	2010	1	4.0 (3.2, 5.1)	114 (143, 91)
Eastern and South-Eastern Asia				
Cambodia	2010	1	10.6 (8.2, 13.7)	35 (45, 27)
China	2015	6	0.4 (0.1, 3.2)	1436 (10049, 191)
Japan	2010	1	5.9 (3.9, 9.1)	122 (186, 79)
Laos	2020	1	9.8 (5.4, 17.6)	41 (73, 23)
Malaysia	2014	1	2.2 (1.6, 2.9)	222 (295, 167)
Mongolia	2010	1	8.2 (6.4, 10.6)	49 (63, 38)
Philippines	2010	1	1.7 (1.2, 2.4)	186 (267, 129)
Thailand	2010	1	5.7 (4.3, 7.5)	112 (147, 85)
Vietnam	2010	1	2.0 (1.4, 2.8)	269 (378, 192)
Latin America and the Caribbean				
Argentina	2012	3	4.8 (3.9, 6.0)	89 (111, 72)
Brazil	2011	4	10.0 (3.4, 29.4)	56 (166, 19)
Ecuador	2010	1	2.6 (1.8, 3.7)	150 (213, 105)
Guatemala	2016	1	61.9 (58.7, 65.4)	6 (6, 5)
Honduras	2014	1	11.8 (6.3, 21.8)	33 (60, 18)
Mexico	2010	1	11.1 (9.5, 13.0)	39 (45, 33)
Nicaragua	2010	1	13.2 (11.0, 15.7)	30 (35, 25)
Paraguay	2010	1	2.1 (1.1, 4.2)	174 (350, 87)
Peru	2010	1	10.0 (8.6, 11.6)	39 (46, 34)
Suriname	2018	3	12.9 (6.4, 25.9)	32 (65, 16)
Northern Africa and Western Asia				
Iraq	2010	1	3.9 (3.2, 4.6)	60 (71, 50)
Lebanon	2010	1	4.3 (2.7, 6.9)	109 (173, 69)
Sub-Saharan Africa				
Angola	2010	1	2.6 (2.0, 3.4)	65 (85, 50)
Democratic Republic of Congo	2013	2	19.7 (4.4, 88.4)	8 (37, 2)
Ethiopia	2018	8	13.5 (4.7, 38.5)	18 (50, 6)
Ghana	2016	1	26.9 (24.0, 30.1)	10 (11, 9)
Kenya	2015	3	4.5 (0.3, 56.1)	62 (784, 5)
Namibia	2018	2	8.2 (7.4, 9.1)	37 (41, 33)
Niger	2010	1	5.5 (4.7, 6.3)	26 (30, 22)
Nigeria	2014	3	11.3 (3.4, 37.2)	17 (56, 5)
South Africa	2014	3	6.1 (4.2, 8.9)	70 (101, 48)
Tanzania	2012	1	22.3 (20.4, 24.4)	9 (10, 8)

Country	Year midpoint	No. of studies in MNM ratio meta-analysis	MNM ratio (95% CI)	LTR-MNM 1 in N (95% CI)
Uganda	2012	2	13.6 (4.4, 41.9)	13 (41, 4)
Zambia	2016	1	13.0 (11.2, 15.1)	17 (20, 15)
Zimbabwe	2016	1	9.3 (7.7, 11.2)	30 (36, 25)