#### Piloting wastewater-based surveillance of norovirus in England 1

- 2 David I. Walkera, Jessica Witta, Wayne Rostanta, Robert Burtonc, Vicki Davisonc, Jackie Ditchburnc,
- Nicholas Evens<sup>c</sup>, Reg Godwin<sup>c</sup>, Jane Heywood<sup>a</sup>, James Lowther<sup>a</sup>, Nancy Peters<sup>c</sup>, Jonathan Porter<sup>c</sup>, 3
- 4 Paulette Posena, Tyler Wickensc, Matthew J. Wadeb

9

- 5 <sup>a</sup> Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, Weymouth, Dorset, UK
- 6 <sup>b</sup> Data Analytics & Surveillance Group, UK Health Security Agency, 10 South Colonnade, London, UK
- 7 <sup>c</sup> Environment Agency, National Monitoring Laboratories, Staplake Mount, Starcross, Devon, UK
- 8 Corresponding author: David I. Walker (david.walker@cefas.gov.uk)

Abstract

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) gained widespread use as a tool for supporting clinical disease surveillance during the COVID-19 pandemic. There is now significant interest in the continued development of WBE for other pathogens of clinical significance. In this study, approximately 3,200 samples of wastewater from across England, previously collected for quantification of SARS-CoV-2, were re-analysed for the quantification of norovirus genogroup I (GI) and II (GII). Overall, GI and GII were detected in 93% and 98% of samples respectively, and at least one of the genogroups was detected in 99% of samples. GI was found at significantly lower concentrations than GII, but the proportion of each genogroup varied over time, with GI becoming more prevalent than GII in some areas towards the end of the study period (May 2021 – March 2022). Using relative strength indices (RSI), it was possible to study the trends of each genogroup, and total norovirus over time. Increases in norovirus levels appeared to coincide with the removal of COVID-19 related lockdown restrictions within England. Local Moran's I analyses indicated several localised outbreaks of both GI and GII across England, notably the possible GI outbreak in the north of England in early 2022. Comparisons of national average norovirus concentrations in wastewater against concomitant norovirus reported case numbers showed a significant linear relationship. This highlights the potential for wastewater-based monitoring of norovirus as a valuable approach to support surveillance of norovirus in communities. Introduction Wastewater-based epidemiological (WBE) has been documented as an approach for monitoring disease since at least the 1930s. Early studies demonstrated that poliovirus could be detected in urban sewage and this could be used to indicate presence of polio in communities (Paul, Trask and Gard, 1940). With the advent of analytical techniques such as PCR, sequencing, and mass spectrometry, quantifying and characterising biological and chemical signatures in wastewater has become simpler, faster, and more precise (Gracia-Lor et al., 2017; Sims and Kasprzyk-Hordern, 2020;

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

Kasprzyk-Hordern et al., 2023). This has greatly expanded the capacity to investigate and respond to public health threats through the analysis of wastewater samples, which established WBE as a complementary source of data during the COVID-19 pandemic (Diamond et al., 2022). The rapid expansion of the use of WBE tools from the start of the COVID-19 pandemic in early 2020 resulted in wide-scale implementation of wastewater monitoring networks across many countries (COVIDPoops19, 2023; Keshaviah et al., 2023). From 2020 to 2022, the Environmental Monitoring for Health Protection (EMHP) programme in England was one of the largest of such networks, with over 500 sites being monitored for SARS-CoV-2 several times per week at its peak (UKHSA, 2023a), covering approximately 74% of the English population (UKHSA, 2023c). Following WBE for monitoring human health threats such as SARS-CoV-2 and poliovirus (Klapsa et al., 2022; Link-Gelles et al., 2022), there has been significant interest in its application for monitoring other pathogens, including the spread of Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) (Aarestrup and Woolhouse, 2020), human respiratory viruses (Boehm et al., 2023) and MPox (Wolfe et al., 2023). For WBE to be an effective system for disease surveillance, the target pathogens must enter human wastewater. This is predominantly due to the presence of the pathogens in the faeces and urine of infected individuals, although they may also enter the sewage via other bodily excreta (Jones et al., 2020). For respiratory diseases such as COVID-19, the pathogens may be present in faeces due to replication of virus within the gastrointestinal tract or through ingestion of mucus from the upper respiratory tract (Hirose et al., 2017). This may result in a relatively low abundance of respiratory pathogens, leading to limited detectability where cases within communities are low, where faecal shedding occurs in a small proportion of infected individuals, or where the target pathogen has limited environmental stability. In contrast, enteric pathogens such as norovirus (NoV) are often shed in very high numbers in the faeces of infected individuals and tend to be relatively stable in the environment (Bosch, Pintó and Abad, 2006; Gholipour et al., 2022). NoV are a major global cause of acute gastroenteritis (AGE).

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

With transmission of NoV through contaminated food and water being an important pathway, and the high viral loads shed by infected individuals (8 - 10  $\log_{10}$  gene copies (NoV)/ml versus 2 - 7  $\log_{10}$ gene copies (SARS-CoV-2)/ml, (Jones et al., 2020)), monitoring of wastewater presents an opportunity for collecting data on temporospatial dynamics of the disease in populations. NoV specific studies from wastewater samples have demonstrated the value in employing WBE to provide epidemiological information on the disease. Several studies have shown that molecular methods, such as RT-qPCR (Zhou et al., 2016) and high-throughput sequencing (Kazama et al., 2016; Fumian et al., 2019), are able to quantify and characterise the diversity of NoV present in wastewater, with genogroups I (GI), II (GII) and the less common IV (GIV) being those associated with human infection. In the UK and other developed countries, NoV outbreaks tend to exhibit well-defined seasonality, with peak case numbers occurring in the winter months. However, during the COVID-19 pandemic, there was evidence of decreased NoV prevalence due to the introduction of COVID-19-related nonpharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) in many countries. For example, a study from oyster production areas in Ireland showed that while NoV was detected in 94.3 and 96.6% of oyster samples in the two winters preceding the COVID-19 pandemic, this value decreased to 63.2% in the winter of 2020 to 2021 (Keaveney et al., 2022). Wang et al. (2023), showed that the transmission of NoV GII was significantly reduced by the introduction of public restrictions on movement and good hygiene practice in Sweden. After these restrictions were lifted in February 2022, peak NoV GII cases were observed at five times the magnitude of those recorded in 2017. This phenomenon was replicated in other countries, such as the UK, where the reduction in NoV reporting was attributed to multiple factors including changes in ascertainment, testing capacity, access to healthcare, and the impact of NPIs (UKHSA, 2023b). This study contributed to the Pathogen Surveillance in Agriculture, Food and the Environment (PATH-SAFE) Programme, the overall aims of which were to pilot a improved national surveillance

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

systems for the monitoring foodborne disease (FBD) and antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in the UK. We aimed to increase our understanding of how wastewater-based methods can give insights into the prevalence of NoV in communities to aid epidemiological investigations. Using an existing set of samples previously collected for the EMHP programme, we used a 'one sample, many analyses' approach to pilot a more cost effective, non-invasive, multi-agency national surveillance system. Using RT-qPCR assays, we quantified NoV genogroup I (GI) and II (GII) as the most prevalent NoV genogroups known to cause disease in humans. Methods Site and Sample Selection Samples of screened, untreated sewage were collected as part of the Environmental Monitoring for Health Protection (EMHP) programme, which was to monitor SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater in England as described by Wade et al. (2022) and Morvan et al. (2022). A subset of 3,232 samples, collected between 27<sup>th</sup> May 2021 and 30<sup>th</sup> March 2022 from 152 sewage treatment works (STW) across England, were selected (Figure 1). The number of STWs in each of the nine regions of England (ONS, 2017) are shown in Table 1. STWs that served the largest populations (based on population data from the Office for National Statistics) were prioritised so that a greater proportion of the population was covered with the minimum number of samples.




Figure 1: Map showing the sampling sites for this study and the regions of England (ONS, 2017) in which the sites were located.

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

The STWs selected for this study were estimated to serve a population of approximately 34 million people, equivalent to approximately 60% of the population of England in mid-2021 according to ONS data (ONS, 2022).

We aimed to analyse one sample per STW every two weeks to maximise both the temporal and spatial coverage that could be achieved within the resource limitations of the project. The samples used for this study are summarised in Table 1. Full details of the samples are available from (David I. Walker et al., 2024).

#### Table 1 Summary of the wastewater samples analysed for norovirus by English region.

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

Region	Number of sites	Total samples tested	Earliest sampling date	Latest sampling date	Average sampling interval (days)	Average samples tested per site
East Midlands	10	221	31/05/2021	25/03/2022	14.2	22.1
East of England	20	440	31/05/2021	21/03/2022	13.9	22.0
London	8	177	30/05/2021	25/03/2022	13.9	22.1
North East	9	172	31/05/2021	28/03/2022	13.9	19.1
North West	24	480	27/05/2021	30/03/2022	13.9	20.0
South East	28	617	28/05/2021	30/03/2022	13.9	22.0
South West	20	429	30/05/2021	30/03/2022	14.0	21.5
West Midlands	17	375	30/05/2021	30/03/2022	13.9	22.1
Yorkshire and The Humber	16	321	30/05/2021	25/03/2022	14.1	20.1
Total	152	3232	27/05/2021	30/03/2022	14.0	21.2

# Ammonia and Orthophosphate Quantification

Ammonia and orthophosphate concentrations (mg/l) were measured in wastewater samples within 24 hours of collection according to the Environment Agency's in-house methods. These methods were based on the relevant Standing Committee of Analysts methods (Standing Committee of Analysts, 1981, 1992) and adapted for use with the Gallery Plus Discrete Analyser (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK).

# Viral concentration and RNA extraction

Viruses were concentrated and the RNA extracted from wastewater samples according to Walker et al. (2024). Briefly, 200 ml of wastewater was clarified by centrifugation before a phi6 process control and 60 g ammonium sulphate was added to 150 ml of the supernatant and incubated at 4°C for at least 1 hour. These were then further centrifuged, and lysis buffer was added directly to the viral pellet before purification using BioMerieux's (France) NucliSENS magnetic silica reagents on a KingFisher™ Flex Purification system (Thermofisher).

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

RT-qPCR As part of the COVID-19 monitoring programme, the phi6 process control was used to determine viral recovery as described by Walker et al. (2024) . The RNA extracts were subsequently refrozen at -80°C until required for NoV RT-qPCR. NoV GI and GII were quantified in each sample using a duplex RT-qPCR adapted from Alex-Sanders et al. (2023) in duplicate for each sample. The NoV RT-qPCR followed the same procedures as described by Alex-Sanders et al., except the GI probe was synthesised by Eurogentec (Belgium) and used an MGB-Eclipse quencher. The GII probe was synthesised by Integrated DNA Technologies (UK) and used a HEX™ reporter dye and was double-quenched by ZEN™ and IB®FQ quenchers. The reactions were carried out on an Aria MX real-time PCR machine (Agilent). Samples were quantified relative to an RNA standard dilution series that was included on each RT-qPCR plate. A duplicate standard curve was constructed from a four point, ten-fold dilution series of RNA Ultramers (Integrated DNA Technology) containing the RT-qPCR target sequences and diluted in Tris-EDTA containing 1% Triton-X (TEX buffer). RT-qPCR results were accepted if the standard curve had an R<sup>2</sup> of >0.98 and a slope of between -3.6 and -3.1. The Limit of Detection (LOD) and Limit of Quantification (LOQ) for GI and GII RT-qPCRs were measured based on the methods described by Walker (2022). Previous studies had indicated low RT-qPCR inhibition using this system, so inhibition was not tested in this study (Alex-Sanders et al., 2023; Scott et al., 2023). Data analysis Comparability of nutrient data A Spearman's ranked correlation was used to determine whether the log<sub>10</sub> ammonia and log<sub>10</sub> orthophosphate concentrations data followed similar trends and could therefore be used in parallel for flow normalisation. Additionally, a Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to determine whether

perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

there were significant pairwise differences between log<sub>10</sub> ammonia and log<sub>10</sub> orthophosphate concentrations. Norovirus data censoring and normalisation Following RT-qPCR, GI and GII concentrations below LOQ or LOD were censored by assigning a value of LOQ/2 to positive samples with concentrations <LOQ and a value of LOD/2 to samples with no GI or GII detected. The GI and GII concentrations in the original wastewater samples were calculated according to Walker et al. (2024). The GI and GII concentrations were normalised for flow and population according to nutrient concentrations, using a method adapted from Roberts et al. (2022). The normalisation method applied in this study differed from the Roberts et al. method by including both ammonia and orthophosphate as determinants for flow. For each sampling site, anomalous ammonia and phosphate concentrations were removed using a three-sigma outlier approach. Mean ammonia and orthophosphate levels were calculated for each sampling site with anomalous data removed. For each sample, flow was calculated separately with log<sub>10</sub> ammonia and orthophosphate concentration according to Roberts et al. (2022), and the mean of the two values was used as the overall flow measurement for normalisation. Where data were missing for both nutrients, the sample was excluded from further analysis. The normalised NoV concentrations were presented as gene copies per 100,000 capita (copies/100k capita). Total NoV concentration was calculated as the sum of GI and GII concentrations after censoring. Analyses were carried out using log<sub>10</sub> flow and population-normalised GI and GII and total NoV data. Differences in genogroup concentrations ( $\Delta G$ ) Differences in GI and GII concentrations were modelled by comparing fitted smooths in factorsmooth interactions of simple Generalized Additive Models (GAMs) (Simpson, 2017). The models may be represented as:

$$E(y_{i,i}) = \alpha + \beta_{Geno} + f(Time_i) + f(Time_i) \times Geno$$

where  $y_{i,j}$  is the log<sub>10</sub> concentration at time point i of genogroup j,  $\alpha$  is the parametric effect of genogroup II (i.e. the reference level),  $\beta_{Geno}$  is the parametric effect of genogroup I,  $f(Time_i)$  is the smooth function of Time on genogroup II and  $f(Time_i) \times Geno$  is the smooth function representing the trend in difference between  $\log_{10}$  GI and GII concentrations. From these models the trends in  $\log_{10}$  difference between GI and GII concentrations ( $\Delta$ G) were obtained using the difference smooth term  $f(Time_i) \times Geno$  offset by the  $\beta_{Geno}$  coefficient. Thin-plate regression splines were used to parametrise the smooth functions, f() (Wood, 2003) and models were fit in R using the mgcv package, version 1.8-41 (Wood, 2017). Plotting of modelled trends in  $\Delta$ G was implemented with the gratia package, version 0.8.2 (Simpson, 2024).

### Temporal trends in the national and regional datasets

To analyse temporal trends in NoV concentrations and  $\Delta G$  in wastewater across England, data were aggregated by two-weekly periods starting on 27<sup>th</sup> May 2021. All periods with fewer than 100 data points in the national dataset were removed from further analysis to ensure robustness of the data analyses. The periods were further split by region, and all regional aggregates with fewer than 8 data points were removed from further analysis. The  $\log_{10}$  mean averages were calculated for GI, GII, total NoV and  $\Delta G$  from all sites for each of the national and regional two-weekly aggregates. Upward and downward trends in NoV levels were calculated using a relative strength index (RSI) with the TTR package (Ulrich, 2021) for R (R Core Team, 2023) according to Chan et al. (2023), using a rolling 6-week look-back period. Each RSI value was assigned a descriptive trend category according to Table 2.

perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Table 2: Descriptive categories for trend analysis based on a relative strength index for a rolling 6-week look back period for norovirus concentrations in wastewater.

Category	Criteria
Very likely upward	RSI ≥90
Likely upward	RSI ≥80 and <90
Upward	RSI ≥ 70 and <80
No trend	RSI <70 and >30
Downward	RSI ≤30 and >20
Likely downward	RSI ≤20 and >10
Very likely downward	RSI ≤10

## Site to site analysis of norovirus data

Due to variability in the timing of sample collection dates, results were aggregated by calendar month for site specific spatiotemporal analysis. The mean  $\log_{10}$  GI and GII concentrations were calculated per site and per month for those sites with multiple sample dates within the same month. Sites that did not have at least one sample result per genogroup per month were removed from the analysis. This left 140 sites with sample results for NoV GI and GII from June 2021 to March 2022, yielding a total of 2,800 sample results.

The minimum distance to ensure each site would have at least one neighbour (and, therefore, be included in the local indicators of spatial association (LISA) assessment), was determined, and site locations were evaluated for spatial autocorrelation at that distance using a modified Ripley's K analysis (Besag, 1977; Ripley, 1977). LISA assessment of the site Log<sub>10</sub> results was carried out using a Local Moran's I analysis (Anselin, 1995) to identify both clusters and outliers of high or low values. All of these analyses were carried out using tools available within ArcGIS Pro version 2.9.5 (ESRI, 2022).

### Comparison of wastewater data against clinical case numbers

National weekly NoV case numbers were taken from the UKHSA National NoV and rotavirus bulletin reports (UKHSA, 2023b). The weekly case numbers were aggregated into two-weekly time periods

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

starting on 24<sup>th</sup> May 2021, and the sum of the cases was calculated for each period. Total NoV concentrations in wastewater were aggregated by two-weekly periods starting on 24<sup>th</sup> May 2021. The distributions of the aggregated two-weekly case numbers and log<sub>10</sub> average NoV concentrations were checked for normality with the Shapiro-Wilk test and then compared by linear regression in R. Results The data from this study can be freely accessed from (David I. Walker et al., 2024). Ammonia and Orthophosphate Concentrations Figure 2 shows the distribution and comparisons of the concentrations of the nutrients ammonia and orthophosphate in wastewater samples. Of the 3,232 samples, 3,220 had associated ammonia or orthophosphate data and were used in further analysis. Three additional samples were removed from further analysis following 3 $\sigma$  rule outlier analysis for ammonia and orthophosphate. According to Shapiro-Wilk tests, the nutrient concentrations were not normally distributed (p<0.001 in both cases). A non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test showed that the pairwise ammonia and orthophosphate concentrations for samples were significantly different (p<0.001), with ammonia concentrations exceeding the orthophosphate by a mean average of 1 log<sub>10</sub> mg/l with a standard deviation of 0.16 log<sub>10</sub> mg/l. A Spearman's rank correlation test between log<sub>10</sub> ammonia and log<sub>10</sub> orthophosphate concentrations showed a significant correlation with  $\rho$  = 0.811 and p<0.001.




Figure 2: (A) Violin and box plots on a  $\log_{10}$  scale, showing the distributions of the ammonia and orthophosphate data for 3,220 wastewater samples collected across England. Grey shaded areas are frequency of data. White boxes are the interquartile range, horizontal lines represent the median. (B) Scatter plot showing ammonia concentrations vs orthophosphate concentrations on a  $log_{10}$  scale. Black line represents a line of best fit on a  $log_{10}$ - $log_{10}$  scale.

# Norovirus concentrations

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

The LOD<sub>95</sub> and LOQ for the duplex RT-qPCR assay were calculated as 9.5 gc/reaction and 11.4 gc/reaction respectively for GI and 6.7 and 16.3 gc/reaction respectively for GII. Table 3 shows the number of NoV positive samples and number of samples that were either non-detects or <LOQ for each genogroup.

Table 3: A summary of the number of samples that had no norovirus genogroup I and II detected or were below the limit of quantification (LOQ). This is expressed, in brackets, as a percentage of the 3,232 samples.

Genogroup	Number of positive samples (%)	Number <loq (%)<="" th=""><th>Number of non-detects (%)</th></loq>	Number of non-detects (%)
GI	3,020 (93.4)	639 (19.8)	212 (6.6)
GII	3,181 (98.4)	185 (5.7)	51 (1.6)
GI or GII	3,190 (98.7)	153 (4.7)	42 (1.3)

248

249

250

251

252

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

Figure 3 shows the distributions of the GI and GII concentrations following censoring and normalisation. Shapiro-Wilk tests indicated that the GI and GII data were not normally distributed. A non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test indicated that there were significant differences in pairwise GI and GII concentrations across the national dataset (P<0.001). The median log<sub>10</sub> GI and GII gene copies per 100k capita were 4.27 and 4.96, respectively.




Figure 3: Violin and box plots showing the distributions of the  $log_{10}$  concentrations of norovirus genogroups I (GI) and II (GII) for 3,220 wastewater samples collected across England. The grey shaded areas represent the frequency of data. The white boxes represent the interquartile range, and the horizontal lines represent the median.

### Temporal Tends in NoV Concentrations in wastewater

Figure 4 shows the overall trend in NoV concentrations in wastewater samples across England throughout the sampling period (27th May 2021 and 30th March 2022) as two-weekly mean concentrations for GI, GII and total NoV with the RSI trends for those periods. During the summer of

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

271

272

273

274

2021, there was an upward trend in both GI, GII and total NoV levels within wastewater across England. This appeared to coincide with the staggered removal of NPIs (lockdown measures) for COVID-19, which mostly ended on 19th July 2021 (UK Government, 2021b). This was followed by a period up to late December 2021 where the GII and total NoV levels showed little overall change. However, there was a downward trend in GI in September 2021. In January 2022, there was a downward trend in GII and total NoV, which appeared to coincide with the introduction of further NPIs for COVID-19, referred to as "Plan B" (UK Government, 2021a). This was followed by a marked upward trend in GI levels in February and March 2022, which was reflected by the upward trend in total NoV. During this period, the GII levels also trended upward.




Figure 4: The log<sub>10</sub> national two-weekly national average concentrations of norovirus genogroups I and II, and total norovirus (GI + GII) from May 2021 to March 2022. The grey shaded areas show periods of non-pharmaceutical interventions for controlling outbreaks of COVID-19 in England. The relative strength index (RSI) is also shown for each measure, indicating the strength of the change in concentrations over a 6-week rolling look-back period.

Temporal Trends in Differences Between Genogroup Concentrations ( $\Delta G$ )

Figure 5 shows the national average  $\log_{10}$  differences in GI and GII concentrations measured in the wastewater samples ( $\Delta G$ ) in two-weekly sampling periods. In this case, a value below zero indicates a higher concentration of GII relative to GI. While  $\Delta G$  appeared to decrease in the first half of the study,  $\Delta G$  increased over the later period (from October 2021). This indicates an increase in the proportion of GI relative to GII. Figure 6 shows the regional average  $\log_{10}$  differences in GI and GII concentrations measured in the wastewater samples ( $\Delta G$ ) in two-weekly sampling periods. A trend towards higher GI accounting for a higher proportion of the total NoV was particularly apparent in the north of England towards the end of the sampling period. All three northern regions (Yorkshire and Humber, North West and North East) had a  $\Delta G$  exceeding 0 for several weeks in 2022.




Figure 5: Two-weekly average  $\log_{10}$  differences between norovirus genogroups I and II ( $\Delta G$ ) across England from May 2021 to March 2022. The grey area represents approximate, 95% pointwise confidence intervals.

286

287

perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .




Figure 6: Two-weekly average  $log_{10}$  differences between norovirus genogroups I and II ( $\Delta G$ ) across the nine regions of England from May 2021 to March 2022. The grey area represents approximate, 95% pointwise confidence intervals.

# Site to Site Analysis of Norovirus Data

The minimum distance to ensure each site would have at least one neighbour was approximately 90 km. The modified Ripley's K analysis found no significant spatial autocorrelation at this distance.

Monthly results of the Local Moran's I analysis for June 2021, September 2021, December 2021 and March 2022 are shown in Figure 7 for GI and Figure 8 for GII. Significant clusters and outliers were identified for both GI and GII, but these varied between genogroups and over time. High-high

clusters were seen for GI in the south of England in June 2021. In September 2021, high-high clusters of GI were prevalent in the South West, and were no longer seen in the South East. During this time, there were several GI high-low outliers in the north of England as well as several low-low GI clusters. In December 2021, there were high-high clusters of GI in the North West. By March 2022, there were high-high clusters of GI across the north of England. For GII, there were low-low clusters in and around London in June 2021, with some high-low outliers. Low-low GII clusters and high-low GII outliers persisted in and around London and the South East in June 2021, and by March 2022, these were no longer present. In June 2021 and March 2022, there were low-low GI clusters and high-low GII outliers in the north of England. High-high GII clustering was seen at several sites in the South West in September 2021, but were no longer present by March 2022.




Figure 7: The location of the sampled sewage treatment works and the results of Local Moran's I analysis on  $\log_{10}$ concentrations of norovirus genogroup I from these locations in June 2021, September 2021, December 2021, and March 2022

310




Figure 8: The location of the sampled sewage treatment works and the results of Local Moran's I analysis on  $\log_{10}$ concentrations of norovirus genogroup II from these locations in June 2021, September 2021, December 2021, and March 2022

314

## Comparison of wastewater data against clinical case numbers

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

Linear regression analysis showed a significant (p<0.001) linear relationship between wastewater data vs. clinical case numbers, with a R<sup>2</sup> of 0.614. This relationship was stronger when both measures were compared on a log<sub>10</sub> scale (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.720, p<0.001). To determine the effect of flow normalisation on the relationship between log<sub>10</sub> NoV concentration in wastewater and log<sub>10</sub> reported case numbers, the linear model was repeated using NoV concentrations not adjusted for flow. The linear relationship remained significant (p<0.001) but was weaker (R<sup>2</sup>=0.634). All further results presented are for flow and population-normalised data.

Figure 9 shows the relationship between the two-weekly log<sub>10</sub> NoV case numbers and the twoweekly national average log<sub>10</sub> NoV concentrations in wastewater. In Figure 10, the NoV concentrations in wastewater are compared with case numbers over the sampling period, showing a general consensus between concentrations in wastewater and case numbers over time, but also indicating times where that relationship was weaker.




Figure 9: Comparison of log<sub>10</sub> national average norovirus concentrations in wastewater against the log<sub>10</sub> number of confirmed norovirus case numbers as reported by UKHSA. Black line represents the linear model, with the grey shaded area representing 95% confidence intervals.




Figure 10: Time series comparison of  $\log_{10}$  national average norovirus concentrations in wastewater and the  $\log_{10}$  number of confirmed norovirus case numbers as reported by UKHSA. The black line is the concentrations in wastewater and the grey bars are the number of cases. Note the different scales of the two y-axes.

#### Discussion

330

331

332

333

334 335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

In this study, the trends in NoV concentrations in wastewater across England were assessed. As in other studies on viruses in wastewater (Morvan et al., 2022; Scorza et al., 2022), an estimate of flow and population size was applied as a normalisation factor on the NoV concentration data. For these samples, ammonia and orthophosphate data were previously collected as part of the Environmental Monitoring for Health Protection (EMHP) programme for wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in England (Sweetapple et al., 2023). These measures were found to correlate significantly, which supports their use in parallel with each other. It should be noted, however, that potential infiltration of land runoff and other sources of non-human faecal material into the sewerage network, which

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

may itself be influenced by variations in rainfall and other environmental factors, may also cause variability in nutrients (Murphy et al., 2015; Beheshti and Sægrov, 2018). Such factors could lead to misrepresentation of flow, thereby leading to errors in normalisation of the norovirus concentration data. Other studies have used different factors to normalise viral loads in wastewater, including concentrations of faecal indicator organisms (Zhan et al., 2022; Langeveld et al., 2023), electrical conductivity (Langeveld et al., 2023), and other chemicals (Hsu et al., 2022). However, there is currently no consensus on the most reliable measure, and it is likely that the best indicator will vary across locations with different geographies and different sewerage infrastructures. Nevertheless, reanalysis of virus data from this study without the nutrient-based adjustment for estimated flow, showed a diminished correlation between wastewater NoV concentration and case numbers, as reflected by a reduction in the strength of their linear relationship. This indicates that while the nutrient-based normalisation may not be perfect, such adjustments may help to improve the insights gained from virus concentrations in wastewater. The very high prevalence of NoV in wastewater (99% of samples) was not unexpected given the high prevalence of norovirus in communities (Tam et al., 2012). According to UKHSA reports from the same period (UKHSA, 2023b) GII accounted for 90% of the reported clinical cases in 2020 and 2021, so this approximately 1 log<sub>10</sub> difference between GI and GII might be expected to be reflected to in wastewater, assuming similar faecal shedding rates for each genogroup. This study did not find this degree of overall difference between GI and GII concentrations, the average GII concentrations being approximately 0.7 log<sub>10</sub> greater than GI, and this figure varied considerably across the sampling period. Similarly, studies of NoV prevalence in bivalve molluscan shellfish (BMS) have shown GII to be present at higher concentrations in most cases (Lowther et al., 2018; EFSA, 2019). However, this difference found in BMS may be confounded by differences in binding kinetics of NoV genogroups and genotypes in BMS digestive tracts (Maalouf et al., 2011; Morozov et al., 2018)

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

A systematic review and meta-analysis of 26 studies by Huang et al. (2022) found that NoV concentrations in wastewater were typically higher in spring and winter than in summer and autumn. A similar trend has been shown in both clinical case numbers (UKHSA, 2023b) and BMS (Lowther et al., 2018; EFSA, 2019). Unlike these studies, the data presented here do not show these normal seasonal trends. The sampling timeframe for this study encompassed two periods of highly unusual national restrictions in England due to the Covid-19 pandemic. As such the data and trends found here were likely not reflective of a more typical period without these restrictions. Despite this, analysis of the trends under these unusual circumstances revealed some patterns of potential interest. For example, an upward trend in NoV concentrations during the first half of 2021 suggests increased person-to-person transmission as national restrictions were lifted during this period. During late autumn and early winter of 2021, when NoV levels would usually be expected to increase, no such increase was found and in fact, a likely downward trend in GII and overall NoV concentrations was observed during the winter period. That may have been a result of further national restrictions, known as "Plan B", that were put in place to limit the spread of the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2. Notably, levels of NoV in wastewater increased again as these restrictions were lifted. This may have led to a delay in the usual winter peak in NoV that was otherwise limited. Overall, GII remained higher than GI over the sampling period as reflected by the higher average concentration of GII as previously discussed. However, investigation of the log<sub>10</sub> differences in GI and GII over time revealed that this relationship did not remain consistent. The first half of the sampling period was characterised by a general decrease in the concentrations of GI relative to GII. But from October 2021 onwards, GI concentrations consistently increased relative to GII at a national level. Further investigation of this pattern at a regional level showed that this was markedly different across the country. In the northern regions of Yorkshire and The Humber, North West and North East, GI became the dominant genogroup by the end of the study period, suggesting a localised spread of GI at that time. This was in contrast to South West, East Midlands and West Midlands regions, where no strong changing trends in GI and GII levels were evident.

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

Local Moran's I analyses indicated potential localised outbreaks of both GI and GII across England. The high-high GI clusters seen in the north of England in December 2021 and March 2022 may show that there was an outbreak of GI in the North West, in the Morecambe Bay area. By March 2022 this had spread eastward to cover a large part of the north of England. Also during March 2022, there were several low-low clusters of GII in the north of England that may indicate that GI largely replaced GII as the dominant genogroup of norovirus in human populations in these regions at that time. The large number of high-low outliers seen for both GI and GII may be an indication of localised outbreaks that were contained due to the nature of the communities or the potential start of a wider outbreak. This shows that Local Moran's I analysis, when used in wastewater surveillance, can be useful in investigating the spread of pathogens through communities. It should be noted however, that in the context of the current study, sampling sites were selected with a bias towards larger population sizes, and primarily in densely populated areas. It therefore cannot be assumed that the observed trends hold true for the whole population. To determine whether NoV concentrations in wastewater could predict a disease burden from NoV, the national average log<sub>10</sub> total (GI+GII) concentrations in wastewater were compared with national total log<sub>10</sub> reported case numbers for two-weekly periods throughout the survey period. The significant linear relationship between these measures indicates that concentrations of NoV in wastewater are at least somewhat representative of the disease burden. However, it should be noted that neither wastewater surveillance nor clinical case numbers are likely to give a completely accurate picture of the true number of national cases of NoV for various reasons, including sampling strategy, environmental factors and reporting of cases. The second Infectious Intestinal Diseases Study (IID2) indicated that NoV cases are currently underestimated at a rate of approximately 300 community incidences per one reported incidence (Tam et al., 2012). Additionally, Ondrikova et al. (2021) found substantial variability in NoV reporting between English regions and age groups, and Douglas et al. (2021) found that NoV reporting rates were significantly impacted by national

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

lockdown measures. These factors are likely to result in significant bias in data sets when attempting to analyse trends. Wastewater-based surveillance of pathogens attempts to minimise this bias by introducing a noninvasive system and reducing the likelihood of demographic variation in estimates of disease burden. However, the use of wastewater-based surveillance introduces its own biases. Such biases were discussed in detail in the context of SARS-CoV-2 by Wade et al. (2022), and include factors such as population characteristics, sewerage network characteristics, sampling strategy and sample analysis. While biases arising from sample analysis methods may be at least partially resolved by optimising bench methods, the other factors will be more difficult or impossible to resolve. Population statistics, and environmental and infrastructure data should, therefore, be considered for their value in supporting, validating, and optimising surveillance programmes that use wastewater-based data sources. Using variations in sewerage network characteristics as an example, it is common to normalise pathogen load by flow rate and population size (Hsu et al., 2022; Zhan et al., 2022; Langeveld et al., 2023), as applied in this study, where relative flow was estimated using deviations from average nutrient concentrations on a sample-by-sample basis. As discussed previously, the inclusion of this type of normalisation strengthened the relationship between clinical case numbers and NoV concentrations in wastewater. This indicates however, that discrepancies between reported case numbers and viral concentrations in wastewater may, in part, be a result of imperfect normalisation methods. Due to the likelihood of biases in both reported case data and wastewaterbased data, it is important to recognise that data derived from wastewater are likely to have the greatest value as part of a multi-faceted approach to pathogen surveillance, rather than as the sole data source. Despite the different biases inherent in both data sources, it is reassuring that there was a strong correlation between norovirus levels in wastewater and reported clinical cases. The results of this study show the value of wastewater monitoring as a non-invasive tool for surveillance of enteric diseases such as NoV in human populations. This will be particularly valuable

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

where reporting of cases is low relative to the estimated number of cases in the community, and where other factors that may bias reporting are dominant. Further optimisation of the sampling programme is required for long-term surveillance systems. This must include consideration of the most cost-effective number and location of surveillance sites within any programme, further development of tools for normalisation of viral loadings, improved characterisation and optimisation of sample analysis methods, and continued work to develop models for the integration of wastewater data within existing clinical datasets. Conclusions The unusual circumstances due to COVID-19 related national restrictions appear to have reduced the levels of norovirus circulating in communities and therefore wastewater. Variations in the national, regional, and local levels of norovirus genogroups I and II indicated the potential for use of wastewater-based methods for detecting outbreaks. The high level of conformity between norovirus levels in wastewater and reported clinical cases suggests that wastewater-based monitoring could provide a valuable source of information for understanding the epidemiology of norovirus in England. Continued optimisation of sampling programs, considering cost-effective surveillance sites, improved normalization methods, and integration with clinical datasets, is necessary for long-term effectiveness. Acknowledgements We would like to thank all the members of the EMHP programme for the collection and processing of all of the samples during the COVID-19 pandemic. We would like to thank Dr Irene Bossano for her work to provide the sub-set of samples required for this study. We would also like to thank Dr Sarah Alewijnse for maintaining the data holdings for this project. Funding: This work was funded by His Majesty's Treasury Shared Outcome Fund via the PATH-SAFE programme.

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

References Aarestrup, F.M. and Woolhouse, M.E.J. (2020) 'Using sewage for surveillance of antimicrobial resistance.', *Science*, 367(6478), pp. 630–632. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba3432. Alex-Sanders, N. et al. (2023) 'Development and validation of a duplex RT-qPCR assay for norovirus quantification in wastewater samples', Journal of Virological Methods, p. 114804. Available at: https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2023.114804. Anselin, L. (1995) 'Local Indicators of Spatial Association—LISA', Geographical Analysis, 27(2), pp. 93–115. Available at: https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-4632.1995.tb00338.x. Beheshti, M. and Sægrov, S. (2018) 'Quantification Assessment of Extraneous Water Infiltration and Inflow by Analysis of the Thermal Behavior of the Sewer Network', Water. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/w10081070. Besag, J. (1977) 'Contribution to the Discussion on Dr. Ripley's Paper', Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series A, B39, pp. 193-195. Boehm, A.B. et al. (2023) 'Wastewater concentrations of human influenza, metapneumovirus, parainfluenza, respiratory syncytial virus, rhinovirus, and seasonal coronavirus nucleic-acids during the COVID-19 pandemic: a surveillance study', The Lancet Microbe, 4(5), pp. e340-e348. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(22)00386-X. Bosch, A., Pintó, R.M. and Abad, F.X. (2006) 'Survival and Transport of Enteric Viruses in the Environment.', Viruses in Foods, pp. 151–187. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-29251-9\_6. Chan, E.M.G. et al. (2023) 'Identifying trends in SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater to infer changing COVID-19 incidence: Effect of sampling frequency', PLOS Water, 2(4), p. e0000088. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pwat.0000088.

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

COVIDPoops19 (2023) Summary of global SARS-CoV-2 wastewater monitoring efforts by UC Merced researchers. Available at: https://www.arcgis.com/apps/dashboards/c778145ea5bb4daeb58d31afee389082 (Accessed: 12 December 2023). Diamond, M.B. et al. (2022) 'Wastewater surveillance of pathogens can inform public health responses.', Nature medicine, 28(10), pp. 1992–1995. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-022-01940-x. Douglas, A. et al. (2021) 'Impact of COVID-19 on national surveillance of norovirus in England and potential risk of increased disease activity in 2021.', The Journal of hospital infection. England, pp. 124–126. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2021.03.006. EFSA (2019) 'Analysis of the European baseline survey of norovirus in oysters', EFSA Journal, 17(July), pp. 1–86. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5762. ESRI (2022) 'ArcGIS Pro: Version 2.9.5.' Environmental Systems Research Institute., Redlands, California, USA. Fumian, T.M. et al. (2019) 'Detection of norovirus epidemic genotypes in raw sewage using next generation sequencing', Environment International, 123, pp. 282–291. Available at: https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.11.054. Gholipour, S. et al. (2022) 'Occurrence of viruses in sewage sludge: A systematic review.', The Science of the total environment, 824, p. 153886. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153886. Gracia-Lor, E. et al. (2017) 'Measuring biomarkers in wastewater as a new source of epidemiological information: Current state and future perspectives', Environment International, 99, pp. 131–150. Available at: https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2016.12.016. Hirose, R. et al. (2017) 'Mechanism of Human Influenza Virus RNA Persistence and Virion Survival in

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

Feces: Mucus Protects Virions From Acid and Digestive Juices', The Journal of Infectious Diseases, 216(1), pp. 105–109. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jix224. Hsu, S.-Y. et al. (2022) 'Biomarkers Selection for Population Normalization in SARS-CoV-2 Wastewater-based Epidemiology.', medRxiv: the preprint server for health sciences [Preprint]. United States. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.14.22272359. Huang, Y. et al. (2022) 'Norovirus detection in wastewater and its correlation with human gastroenteritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis.', Environmental science and pollution research international, 29(16), pp. 22829-22842. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-18202-x. Jones, D.L. et al. (2020) 'Shedding of SARS-CoV-2 in feces and urine and its potential role in personto-person transmission and the environment-based spread of COVID-19.', The Science of the total environment, 749, p. 141364. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141364. Kasprzyk-Hordern, B. et al. (2023) 'Wastewater-based epidemiology for comprehensive community health diagnostics in a national surveillance study: Mining biochemical markers in wastewater', Journal of Hazardous Materials, 450, p. 130989. Available at: https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2023.130989. Kazama, S. et al. (2016) 'Temporal dynamics of norovirus determined through monitoring of municipal wastewater by pyrosequencing and virological surveillance of gastroenteritis cases.', Water research, 92, pp. 244–253. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.10.024. Keaveney, S. et al. (2022) 'Impact of COVID-19 Nonpharmaceutical Interventions on the Extent of Norovirus Contamination in Oyster Production Areas in Ireland during Winter 2020 to 2021', Journal of Food Protection, 85(10), pp. 1397–1403. Available at: https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4315/JFP-22-031. Keshaviah, A. et al. (2023) 'Wastewater monitoring can anchor global disease surveillance systems.',

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

The Lancet. Global health, 11(6), pp. e976-e981. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(23)00170-5. Klapsa, D. et al. (2022) 'Sustained detection of type 2 poliovirus in London sewage between February and July, 2022, by enhanced environmental surveillance', The Lancet, 400(10362), pp. 1531–1538. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)01804-9. Langeveld, J. et al. (2023) 'Normalisation of SARS-CoV-2 concentrations in wastewater: The use of flow, electrical conductivity and crAssphage', Science of The Total Environment, 865, p. 161196. Available at: https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.161196. Link-Gelles, R. et al. (2022) 'Public Health Response to a Case of Paralytic Poliomyelitis in an Unvaccinated Person and Detection of Poliovirus in Wastewater - New York, June-August 2022.', MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 71(33), pp. 1065–1068. Available at: https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7133e2. Lowther, J.A. et al. (2018) 'A One-Year Survey of Norovirus in UK Oysters Collected at the Point of Sale.', Food and environmental virology, 10(3), pp. 278–287. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-018-9338-4. Maalouf, H. et al. (2011) 'Strain-Dependent Norovirus Bioaccumulation in Oysters', Applied and *Environmental Microbiology*, 77(10), pp. 3189–3196. Available at: https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03010-10. Morozov, V. et al. (2018) 'Pandemic GII . 4 Sydney and Epidemic Display Distinct Specificities for Histo-Blood Group Antigens Leading to Different Transmission Vector Dynamics in Pacific Oysters', 9(November), pp. 1–9. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02826. Morvan, M. et al. (2022) 'An analysis of 45 large-scale wastewater sites in England to estimate SARS-CoV-2 community prevalence', Nature Communications, 13(1), p. 4313. Murphy, S. et al. (2015) 'Quantifying faecal indicator organism hydrological transfer pathways and

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

phases in agricultural catchments.', The Science of the total environment, 520, pp. 286–299. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.02.017. Ondrikova, N. et al. (2021) 'Understanding norovirus reporting patterns in England: a mixed model approach', BMC Public Health, 21(1), p. 1245. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11317-3. ONS (2017) Regions (December 2015) Full Clipped Boundaries in England. Available at: https://www.data.gov.uk/dataset/daf9a3e6-6713-462b-9465-a2dd8a3309e8/regions-december-2015-full-clipped-boundaries-in-england (Accessed: 1 October 2023). ONS (2022) Population estimates for the UK, England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland: mid-2021, Data and analysis from Census 2021. Available at: https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationesti mates/bulletins/annualmidyearpopulationestimates/mid2021 (Accessed: 12 December 2023). Paul, J.R., Trask, J.D. and Gard, S. (1940) 'Poliomyelitic virus in urban sewage', The Journal of experimental medicine, 71(6), pp. 765–777. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.71.6.765. R Core Team (2023) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Available at: https://www.r-project.org/ (Accessed: 4 September 2023). Ripley, B.D. (1977) 'Modelling spatial patterns', Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B, (39), pp. 172-192. Roberts, A. et al. (2022) Data normalisation of RT-qPCR data for detection of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater V.1. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.b4eqqtdw (Accessed: 4 September 2023). Scorza, L.C.T. et al. (2022) 'SARS-CoV-2 RNA levels in Scotland's wastewater.', Scientific data, 9(1), p. 713. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01788-3.

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

Scott, G. et al. (2023) 'The Inhibition and Variability of Two Different RT-qPCR Assays Used for Quantifying SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater', Food and Environmental Virology, pp. 1–11. Available at: https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-022-09542-z. Simpson, G. (2017) Comparing smooths in factor-smooth interactions II ordered factors. From the bottom of the heap. Available at: https://fromthebottomoftheheap.net/2017/12/14/differencesplines-ii/ (Accessed: 6 February 2024). Simpson, G. (2024) gratia: Graceful 'ggplot'-Based Graphics and Other Functions for GAMs Fitted Using 'mgcv' R package version 0.8.2. Available at: https://gavinsimpson.github.io/gratia/ (Accessed: 6 February 2024). Sims, N. and Kasprzyk-Hordern, B. (2020) 'Future perspectives of wastewater-based epidemiology: Monitoring infectious disease spread and resistance to the community level', Environment International, 139, p. 105689. Available at: https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105689. Standing Committee of Analysts (1981) Ammonia in Waters. Available at: https://standingcommitteeofanalysts.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/Blue Book Library/48.pdf (Accessed: 4 September 2023). Standing Committee of Analysts (1992) Phosphorus and Silicon in Waters, Effluents and Sludges. Available at: https://standingcommitteeofanalysts.co.uk/wpcontent/uploads/Blue Book Library/147.pdf (Accessed: 4 September 2023). Sweetapple, C. et al. (2023) 'Dynamic population normalisation in wastewater-based epidemiology for improved understanding of the SARS-CoV-2 prevalence: a multi-site study', Journal of Water and Health, 21(5), pp. 625–642. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2166/wh.2023.318. Tam, C. et al. (2012) The Second Study of Infectious Intestinal Disease in the Community (IID2 Study) Final Report. Available at: https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/media/document/711-1-

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

1393\_IID2\_FINAL\_REPORT.pdf (Accessed: 1 September 2023). UK Government (2021a) Prime Minister confirms move to Plan B in England, Gov.uk. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/news/prime-minister-confirms-move-to-plan-b-in-england (Accessed: 25 November 2023). UK Government (2021b) Prime Minister urges caution as England moves to Step 4, Gov.uk. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/news/prime-minister-urges-caution-as-england-moves-to-step-4 (Accessed: 24 November 2023). UKHSA (2023a) EMHP wastewater monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 in England: 15 July 2020 to 30 March 2022. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/monitoring-of-sars-cov-2-rna-inengland-wastewater-monthly-statistics-15-july-2020-to-30-march-2022/emhp-wastewatermonitoring-of-sars-cov-2-in-england-15-july-2020-to-30-march-2022 (Accessed: 1 November 2023). UKHSA (2023b) National norovirus and rotavirus report, week 28 report: data up to week 26 (2 July 2023). Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-norovirus-and-rotavirussurveillance-reports-2022-to-2023-season/national-norovirus-and-rotavirus-report-week-28-reportdata-up-to-week-26-2-july-2023 (Accessed: 1 November 2023). UKHSA (2023c) Wastewater testing coverage data for the Environmental Monitoring for Health *Protection (EMHP) programme*. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/wastewater-testing-coverage-data-for-23-february-2022-emhp-programme/wastewater-testing-coverage-data-for-the-environmental-monitoring-forhealth-protection-emhp-programme (Accessed: 1 November 2023). Ulrich, J. (2021) TTR: Technical Trading Rules, CRAN. Available at: https://cran.rproject.org/package=TTR (Accessed: 4 September 2023). Wade, M.J. et al. (2022) 'Understanding and managing uncertainty and variability for wastewater monitoring beyond the pandemic: Lessons learned from the United Kingdom national COVID-19

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

surveillance programmes', Journal of hazardous materials, 424, p. 127456. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.127456. Walker, D.I. (2022) Protocol for validation of methods for quantifying micro-organisms in wastewater using nucleic acid amplification-based techniques. Available at: https://www.cefas.co.uk/media/p1rjheos/cv019-p002-protocol-for-validation-of-methods-forquantifying-micro-organisms-in-wastewater-v1-1-final.pdf (Accessed: 4 September 2023). Walker, D.I. et al. (2024) Norovirus, ammonia and orthophosphate in wastewater samples collected from across England 2021 - 2022. V1, Cefas, UK. Available at: https://doi.org/10.14466/CefasDataHub.148 (Accessed: 6 February 2024). Walker, D.I. et al. (2024) Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater, protocols.io. Available at: dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.81wgbx39ylpk/v1 (Accessed: 6 March 2024). Wang, H. et al. (2023) 'Measures against COVID-19 affected the spread of human enteric viruses in a Swedish community, as found when monitoring wastewater', Science of The Total Environment, 895, p. 165012. Available at: https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.165012. Wolfe, M.K. et al. (2023) 'Use of Wastewater for Mpox Outbreak Surveillance in California', New England Journal of Medicine, 388(6), pp. 570–572. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2213882. Wood, S.N. (2003) 'Thin Plate Regression Splines', Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Statistical Methodology), 65(1), pp. 95-114. Available at: http://www.jstor.org/stable/3088828. Wood, S.N. (2017) Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R. Chapman and Hall/CRC. Zhan, Q. et al. (2022) 'Relationships between SARS-CoV-2 in Wastewater and COVID-19 Clinical Cases and Hospitalizations, with and without Normalization against Indicators of Human Waste.', ACS ES&T water, 2(11), pp. 1992–2003. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1021/acsestwater.2c00045.

Zhou, N. et al. (2016) 'Molecular epidemiology of GI and GII noroviruses in sewage: 1-year surveillance in eastern China.', Journal of applied microbiology, 121(4), pp. 1172–1179. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.13218.

663

664

665

666