

Accidental Exposure to Body Fluids Among Healthcare Workers in a Referral Hospital in the Security-Challenged Region of South West Cameroon

Innocent Takougang^{1*}, Fabrice Zobel Lekeumo Cheuyem¹, Blessing Asongu Changeh², Ngati Denetria Nyonga¹, Hortense Mengong Moneboulou²

¹Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine & Biomedical Sciences, The University of Yaoundé I, Yaoundé, Cameroon

²Department of Oral Surgery, Maxillo-facial Surgery & Periodontology, Faculty of Medicine & Biomedical Sciences, The University of Yaounde I, Yaoundé, Cameroon

***Correspondence:** Innocent Takougang, Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine & Biomedical Sciences, The University of Yaoundé I, PO Box 8526, Yaoundé, Cameroon. Email: itakougang@gmail.com; Tel: +237 699 652 808

Abstract

Introduction: Accidental exposure to body fluids (AEBs) increases the risk of blood-borne infections among susceptible HCWs. While 90% of the AEB reported occur in developed nations, developing countries bear 90% of the burden of healthcare associated infections, especially those of sub-Saharan Africa. Social insecurity may contribute further to the vulnerability of HCWs. Our study sought to determine the prevalence, reporting and management of AEBs among HCWs in the security-challenged Region of South-West Cameroon.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was carried out from February 2023 to April 2023, at the Buea Regional Hospital. Following informed consent, a 28-item interviewer-administered questionnaire to HCW was used. Data was entered and analyzed using R Statistics version 4.3.1.

Results: Out of the 230 HCWs that were approached, 200 were responded for a participation rate of >85%. The prevalence of AEB was high (93%). Exposures occurred while administering injections (37%), during blood sample collection (16%), delivery (11%), surgery (10.2%) and washing. The main risk factors for AEB included female gender (aOR=2.86) and those exercising in the medical (aOR=5.95), pediatrics (aOR=10.5), obstetrical (aOR=22.6), dental (aOR=26.3) units. Only 46.8% of AEBs were reported. Post-exposure management was carried out for 67.2% of the reported cases. Most HCW were unaware of the existence of an Infection Control Committee within the study setting, corroborating gaps in the observance of Standard Precautions.

Conclusions: Most HCWs experienced AEBs over the last year. There is a need to sensitize and enforce the observance of universal precautions among HCW of the Buea Regional Hospital. Such measures should be extended to other health facilities in related settings.

Keywords: Accidental-Exposure, Healthcare workers, Blood, Body fluids

Background

Healthcare associated infections (HAIs) are contracted during a stay or visit in a hospital environment, as a result of an unintended contact with blood or other body fluids (BBFs)[1–4]. Factors favoring accidental exposure to BBFs among healthcare workers (HCWs) include host and institutional determinants [4]. Such exposures are a burden to society increasing the costs of treatment, absence from work, distress, anxiety and early retirement [5–8]. Their financial burden and mortality are related to the virulence of the pathogenic agents involved and their antibiotic resistance [9–12]. Although the phenomenon of HAI is global, Africa is disproportionately affected [13–16]. HAIs result from failures in the implementation of hygiene and adequate infection transmission precautions in healthcare facilities. Such measures are essential in the promotion and delivery of quality healthcare [15]. More than 3 million HCWs million healthcare workers experience an accidental needle stick and sharp injuries (NSIs) every year, thus getting exposed to as many as twenty blood-borne pathogens, resulting in 170,000 to HIV infections, 2 million to HBV infections and 0.9 million to HCV infections [18,19]. While 90% of accidental exposures occur in the developing world, more than 90% of infections occur in developing countries, particularly in Africa where the prevalence of the underlying infections is high and adherence to standard precautions are poor [18,19]. Such situations are exacerbated by conflicts, insecurity and social unrest [20]. Given their socio-economic burden, some countries have established surveillance systems to manage exposures to blood-borne infections in healthcare settings [21]. In many African countries, such systems are plagued by underreporting and poor documentation [22–24]. The lack of training is a major hinderer to the observance of standard precautions [25]. Study reports shown that splashes were the most reported AEB and most victims worked in the surgical department [26,27]. Risk perceptions and trust in post-exposure management affected the reporting patterns among HCWs [28,29]. The implementation of Infection control and prevention measures (ICP) is typically coordinated by the Infection Control Committee (ICC) where operational data are used to steer interventions [31-33]. In the Cameroon healthcare pyramid, the Regional Hospitals (RH) typically offers surgery, internal medicine, obstetrics & gynecology, laboratory, pediatrics and emergency services; assuming capacities building roles for their satellite and district health facilities. Such roles include infection prevention and quality of healthcare services. Our study sought to investigate the determinants of Accidental Exposures to Blood and Body fluids among healthcare workers within the security-challenged Region of South-West Cameroon.

Methods

We conducted a hospital-based cross-sectional study with descriptive and analytical aims from February to April 2023.

An exhaustive sample of HCWs of the Buea Regional Hospital were targeted for inclusion. The Regional Hospitals are the second level of reference. This facility has a capacity of 110 beds and a catchment population of over 200,000 inhabitants. The clinical services include surgery, emergency, hemodialysis, anesthesia-reanimation, ophthalmology, radiology, pediatric, cardiology, gynecology and obstetrics, internal medicine, odonto-stomatology, ear, nose and throat, laboratory, cleaning and sterilization departments. The study population included HCWs. These were nurses, laboratory technicians, midwives, assistant nurses, general practitioners, cleaners, dental surgeons and medical specialists, who had the potential to be exposed to BBFs in the execution of their duties and who gave their informed consent. A self-administered pre-tested 28 item-questionnaire was used, covering socio-professional characteristics, history of exposure, adherence to standard precautions, reporting and management of AEBs.

Following collection, data were entered, cross-checked and analyzed using R Statistics version 4.3.1. Simple and multiple binary logistic regressions were used to assess the strength of the association between variables. The selection of predictors that best fit the model was assessed using the stepwise Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). A p -value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Confidence intervals (CI) were estimated at the 95% level.

The dependent variables included experience of exposure (NSIs and splashes) over the past 12 months and the reporting of the last exposure. Independent variables included age, sex, education level, socio-professional status and healthcare related practices.

The study protocol was approved by Institutional Review Board of the Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences – The University of Yaoundé I, under the ethical clearance: N°0344/UY1/FMSB/VDRC/DAASR/CSD. Informed consent was obtained from participants prior to inclusion in the study. All methods were performed in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki on research involving human subjects.

Results

Out of 230 HCWs that were contacted, 200 completed and returned the questionnaires for a response rate of 87%.

Occupational Exposure Experience

Over 83.5% (CI: 77.6-88.4%) of the study participants experienced an occupational exposure over the last 12 months. Exposure involved NSI (48.5%; CI: 41.4-55.7%) or splash (35.5%; CI: 28.9-42.6%).

Factors Associated with Occupational Exposure to Body Fluids

Participants working in the internal medicine (aOR=5.95; *p*-value=0.006) and pediatric (aOR=10.9; *p*-value=0.008) units were more likely to experience NSI. The pattern of exposure revealed a gender difference as male HCWs were 2 times more like to experience a NSI (Table 1).

Table 1. Factors Associated with Needle Stick Injuries (NSI) Among Healthcare Workers of the Buea Regional Hospital, 2023 (*n*=200)

Risk Factor	NSI <i>n</i> (%)		Total (100%)	cOR	<i>p</i> -value	aOR	95%CI		<i>p</i> -value
	Yes <i>n</i> =97	No <i>n</i> =103					Lower	Upper	
Sex									
Female	69 (46.0)	81 (54.0)	150	1		1	—	—	
Male	28 (56.0)	22 (44.0)	50	1.49	0.2218	2.05	1.01	4.40	0.0517
Age group (years)									
18-24	12 (44.4)	15 (55.6)	27	1					
25-34	60 (48.8)	63 (51.2)	123	1.19	0.6832				
35-44	19 (50.0)	19 (50.0)	38	1.25	0.6587				
45 +	6 (50.0)	6 (50.0)	18	1.25	0.7482				
Educational level									
University	90 (52.9)	80 (47.1)	180	1					
Secondary or Primary School	13 (43.3)	17 (56.7)	20	1.47	0.3335				
Unit									
Laboratory	4 (22.2)	14 (77.8)	18	1		1	—	—	
Medical ward	37 (59.7)	25 (40.3)	62	5.18	0.0083	5.95	1.77	24.3	0.0066
Surgical ward	19 (48.7)	20 (51.3)	39	3.33	0.0650	3.74	1.06	15.7	0.0505
Obstetrics and gynecology	5 (22.7)	17 (77.3)	22	1.03	0.9696	1.07	0.23	5.29	0.9296
Pediatrics	9 (75.0)	3 (25.0)	12	10.5	0.0072	10.9	2.07	74.3	0.0079
Dental service	2 (33.3)	4 (66.7)	6	1.75	0.5888	1.27	0.13	10.1	0.8210
Intensive care unit	3 (50.0)	3 (50.0)	6	3.50	0.2076	4.22	0.56	34.5	0.1602
Emergency	9 (56.3)	7 (43.8)	16	4.50	0.0474	4.24	0.96	21.7	0.0657
Out - patient consultation	9 (47.4)	10 (52.6)	19	3.15	0.1159	2.87	0.69	13.6	0.1594
Professional status									
Laboratory technician	4 (23.5%)	13 (76.5%)	17	1					
Physician	11 (57.9%)	8 (42.1%)	19	4.47	0.0422				
Midwife & Nurse	70 (48.6%)	74 (51.4%)	144	3.07	0.0593				
Assistant nurse & Cleaner	12 (60.0%)	8 (40.0%)	20	4.88	0.0304				

Years of experience 1									
<5	63 (48.1)	68 (51.9)	131	1					
5+	34 (49.3)	35 (50.7)	69	1.05	0.8735				
Years of experience 2									
<10	81 (48.5)	86 (51.5)	167						
10+	16 (48.5)	17 (51.5)	33	1.00	0.9985				
Glove always available									
Yes	58 (46.0)	68 (54.0)	126	1					
No	39 (52.7)	35 (47.3)	74	1.31	0.3625				
Awareness of ICC									
Yes	18 (32.1)	38 (67.9)	56	1					
No	2 (40.0)	3 (60.0)	5	1.41	0.7209				
I don't know	77 (55.4)	62 (44.6)	139	2.62	0.0038				
Recapping needle practice									
No	10 (38.5)	16 (61.5)	26	1					
Yes	87 (50.0)	87 (50.0)	174	1.60	0.2751				
ICP refresher training received									
Yes	17 (35.4)	31 (64.6)	48	1		1	—	—	
No	80 (52.6)	72 (47.4)	152	2.03	0.0394	2.06	1.01	4.30	0.0506
Poster on AEB available									
Yes	88 (47.8)	96 (52.2)	184	1					
No	7 (53.8)	6 (46.2)	13	1.27	0.6752				
I don't know	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	3	2.18	0.5271				

cOR: Crude Odds Ratio; aOR: Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval, ICP: Infection Control and Prevention, ICC: Infection Control and Prevention Committee, AEB: Accidental Exposure to Body fluids; NSI: Needlestick and Sharp Injury

Female HCWs were 2.9 times more likely to experience a splash mediated exposure to BBFs (p -value=0.019). The work was associated with splashes. Participants working in the laboratory (aOR=13.3; p -value=0.004), obstetric (aOR=23.3; p -value=0.0008) and dental (aOR=26.3; p -value=0.006) services experienced the most splash exposures (Table 2).

Table 2. Factors Associated with Splash Exposure Among Healthcare Workers at the Buea Regional Hospital, 2023 ($n=200$)

Risk Factor	Splash Exposure n (%)		Total (100%)	cOR	p-value	aOR	95%CI		p-value
	Yes n=71	No n=129					Lower	Upper	
Sex									
Male	13 (26.0)	37 (74.0)	50	1		1	—	—	
Female	58 (38.7)	92 (61.3)	150	1.79	0.1077	2.86	1.23	7.18	0.0188
Age group (years)									
18-24	11 (40.7)	16 (59.3)	27	1					
25-34	42 (34.1)	81 (65.9)	123	0.75	0.5171				
35-44	15 (39.5)	23 (60.5)	38	0.95	0.9182				
45 +	3 (25.0)	9 (75.0)	12	0.48	0.3491				
Educational level									
University	64 (37.6)	106 (62.4)	170	1					
Secondary or Primary School	7 (23.3)	23 (76.7)	30	0.50	0.1365	0.35	0.011	1.01	0.0638
Unit/Service									
Emergency	2 (12.5)	14 (87.5)	16	1		1	—	—	
Laboratory	11 (61.1)	7 (38.9)	18	11.0	0.0075	13.3	2.51	109	0.0043
Medical ward	18 (29.0)	44 (71.0)	39	2.86	0.1918	2.58	0.61	18.0	0.2492
Surgical ward	9 (23.1)	30 (76.9)	22	2.10	0.3805	1.92	0.41	14.0	0.4493
Obstetrics and gynecology	17 (77.3)	5 (22.7)	12	23.8	0.0005	22.6	4.30	186	0.0008
Pediatrics	3 (25.0)	9 (75.0)	6	2.33	0.4005	1.66	0.21	15.5	0.6278
Dental service	4 (66.7)	2 (33.3)	6	14.0	0.0217	26.3	2.91	361	0.0063
Intensive care unit	0 (0.0)	6 (100.0)	6	—		—	—	—	0.9879
Out - patient consultation	7 (36.8)	12 (63.2)	19	4.08	0.1152	4.65	0.87	36.7	0.0937
Professional status									
Assistant nurse & Cleaner	15 (75.0)	5 (25.0)	20	1					
Laboratory technician	6 (35.3)	11 (64.7)	17	5.50	0.0185				
Physician	12 (63.2)	7 (36.8)	19	1.75	0.4254				
Midwife & Nurse	96 (66.7)	48 (33.3)	144	1.50	0.4576				
Years of experience 1									
<5	46 (35.1)	85 (64.9)	131	1					
5+	25 (36.2)	44 (63.8)	69	1.05	0.8753				
Years of experience 2									
<10	58 (34.7)	109 (65.3)	167	1		1	—	—	
10+	13 (39.4)	20 (60.6)	33	1.22	0.6093	2.08	0.79	5.60	0.1399
Permanent availability of PPE									
Glove									
Yes	58 (46.0)	68 (54.0)	126	1					
No	39 (52.7)	35 (47.3)	74	1.31	0.3625				
Facial mask									
Yes	30 (33.0)	61 (67.0)	91						
No	41 (37.6)	68 (62.4)	109	1.01	0.9694				
Face shield/goggle									
Yes	3 (50.0)	3 (50.0)	6	1					
No	68 (35.1)	126 (64.9)	194	0.54	0.4576				
Gown									
Yes	33 (42.3)	45 (57.7)	78	1					
No	38 (31.1)	84 (68.9)	122	0.62	0.1088				
Awareness of ICC									
Yes	24 (42.9)	32 (57.1)	56	1					
No	2 (40.0)	3 (60.0)	5	0.89	0.9015				
I don't know	45 (32.4)	94 (67.6)	139	0.64	0.1675				
ICP refresher training received									
Yes	17 (35.4)	31 (64.6)	48	1					
No	54 (35.5)	98 (64.5)	152	1.00	0.9890				
Poster on AEB available									
Yes	68 (37.0)	116 (63.0)	184	1					
No	3 (23.1)	10 (76.9)	13	0.51	0.3215				
I don't know	0 (0.0)	3 (100.0)	3	—					

cOR: Crude Odds Ratio; aOR: Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval, ICP: Infection Control and Prevention, ICC: Infection Control and Prevention Committee, AEB: Accidental Exposure to Body fluid; PPE: Personal Protective Equipment

Blood was the most reported body fluid involved in AEBs (87.10%).

Scissors, hollow and suture needles were the instruments involved in >80% of exposures (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Instruments Involved in Accidental Exposures to Body Fluids among Healthcare Workers of the Buea Regional Hospital, 2023 ($n=200$)

Circumstances of Occurrence of AEBs

Most accidental exposures (>80%) occurred while administering injections, blood sample collection, deliveries, surgery and cleaning of used equipments (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Circumstances of Occurrence of AEBs Among Healthcare Workers at the Buea Regional Hospital, 2023 ($n=200$)

Adherence to Standard Precautions

Most of participants (54%) washed their hands after every procedure. Participants justified their non-compliance with hand-washing prescription by the tight schedule and unavailability of water (88.2%) (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Determinants of Non-compliance with Systematic Hand washing Among Healthcare Workers at the Buea Regional Hospital, 2023 ($n=200$)

Availability of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)

Gloves were available in 63% of cases. Face shields and goggles were the least available PPE (3%) (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Reported Availability of PPE Among Healthcare Workers at the Buea Regional Hospital, 2023 ($n=200$)

Reporting and post exposure Management

More than half (56.5%) of occupational exposure were not reported. Participants who had not received training on ICP (p -value=0.015) were more likely under declared AEB (Table 3).

The main reasons for not reporting exposure were lack of awareness of the reporting system (44.4%) and the perception that the risk of contamination was low (30.3%). Most participants (76.0%) were of the opinion that there was a post-exposure unit for case management, where PEP (post exposure prophylaxis) could be administered (Figure 5).

Participants who experienced exposure washed the affected area with soap and water (52.8%) and 4% took a course of post-exposure prophylaxis.

Table 3. Factors Associated with Reporting of Occupational Exposure to Body Fluids Among Healthcare Workers at the Buea Regional Hospital, 2023 (n=200)

Risk Factor	Underreporting n (%)		Total (100%)	cOR	p-value	aOR	95%CI		p-value
	Yes n=113	No n=87					Lower	Upper	
Sex									
Female	83 (55.3)	67 (44.7)	150	1					
Male	30 (60.0)	20 (40.0)	50	1.21	0.5646				
Age group (years)									
18-24	15 (55.6)	12 (44.4)	27	1					
25-34	67 (54.5)	56 (45.5)	123	0.96	0.9184				
35-44	24 (63.2)	14 (36.8)	38	1.37	0.5380				
45 +	7 (58.3)	5 (41.7)	12	1.12	0.8718				
Educational level									
University	76 (44.7)	94 (55.3)		1					
Secondary or Primary School	11 (36.7)	19 (63.3)		1.40	0.4142				
Unit									
Medical ward	28 (45.2)	34 (54.8)	62	1					
Emergency	9 (56.3)	7 (43.8)	16	1.56	0.4303				
Laboratory	13 (72.2)	5 (27.8)	38	3.16	0.0493				
Surgical ward	23 (59.0)	16 (41.0)	39	1.75	0.1781				
Obstetrics and gynecology	12 (54.5)	10 (45.5)	22	1.46	0.4501				
Pediatrics	6 (50.0)	6 (50.0)	12	1.21	0.7584				
Dental service	6 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	6	0.00	0.9863				
Intensive care unit	3 (50.0)	3 (50.0)	8	1.21	0.8205				
Out - patient consultation	13 (68.4)	6 (31.6)	19	2.63	0.0817				
Professional status									
Assistant nurse & Cleaner	10 (50.0)	10 (50.0)	20	1					
Laboratory technician	12 (70.6)	5 (29.4)	17	2.40	0.2079				
Physician	9 (47.4)	10 (52.6)	19	0.90	0.8695				
Midwife & Nurse	82 (56.9)	62 (43.1)	144	1.32	0.5585				
Years of experience 1									
<5	74 (56.5)	57 (43.5)	131	1					
5+	39 (56.5)	30 (43.5)	69	1.00	0.9964				
Years of experience 2									
<10	90 (53.9)	77 (46.1)	167	1		1	—	—	
10+	23 (69.7)	10 (30.3)	33	1.97	0.0982	2.18	0.99	5.12	0.0603
ICC available									
Yes	36 (64.3)	20 (35.7)	56	1					
No	3 (60.0)	2 (40.0)	5	0.83	0.8485				
I don't know	74 (53.2)	65 (46.8)	139	0.63	0.1607				
ICP refresher training received									
Yes	34 (70.8)	14 (29.2)	152	1		1	—	—	
No	79 (52.0)	73 (48.0)	48	2.22	0.0234	2.41	1.21	5.01	0.0150
Poster on AEB available									
Yes	101 (54.9)	83 (45.1)	184	1					
No	10 (76.9)	3 (23.1)	13	2.74	0.1353				
I don't know	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	3	1.64	0.6871				

cOR: Crude Odds Ratio; aOR: Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval ICP: Infection Control and Prevention, ICC: Infection Control and Prevention Committee, AEB: Accidental Exposure to Body fluids




Figure 5. Reported Availability of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) Among Healthcare Workers at the Buea Regional Hospital, 2023 ($n=113$)

Observance of Post-exposure Management Procedures

Most participants (92%) reported that post-exposure management posters were available in clinical departments. The rules guiding ICP team membership could not be enumerated by participants. Such structures were not fully functional. Cases of exposure were directed to the vaccination unit who occasionally conducted sensitization on occupational exposures within the hospital. Furthermore, there was no registry for accidental exposures to BBFs.

Discussion

The rather high prevalence of AEB (83.5%) reported in the present investigations is consistent with the global situation of vulnerability among HCW [31] and the trends observed in Yaoundé District Hospitals where more than 50% of HCWs had experienced AEB over a one-year period [29].

The study was conducted in Buea, where several armed groups pose security challenges since 2016 in the two historically Anglophone regions of Cameroon [20]. Social unrest and conflicts are major constraints to the performance of the healthcare system, including the quality of services delivered, as attacks on HCWs and destruction of health facilities. Common problems such as lockdowns disrupt hospital-based activities exacerbating the burden on an already fragile healthcare system. The perception of insecurity and uncertainty is an impediment to HCWs working environment leading to physical and mental fatigue. The pre-existing problem of HCWs shortage worsens the situation [32] leading to an increase in the incidence of AEB [27,29,36,37].

Most AEB occurred through NSIs (48.5%) and splashes (35.5%), corroborating findings in Uganda (55%) [38]. However, disparities in the triggers of exposures were reported in Cameroon and Ethiopia [26,29,39]. The high rate of exposures through NSIs and splashes call into question the effectiveness of training on infection prevention and control, highlighting the need to strengthen educational activities, the need to scale up the supply of PPE and adherence to standard precautions.

HCWs from medical (aOR=5.95) and pediatric (aOR=10.9) services were more likely to experience NSI. Settings findings differ from observations in other district level settings in Cameroon. Such differences may indicate varied approaches to the implementation and enforcement of IPC measures [27].

Females were 2.9 times more likely to experience a splash exposure than male HCWs. Exposure was higher among HCW operating in the obstetric unit. Therein, the splashes involved the amniotic fluids which were described as being common in the delivery room [39].

Standard precautions are designed to reduce the risk of transmission of bloodborne pathogens. They are a minimum set of precautions in the delivery of care to all patients. Key precautions include hand washing, use of personal protective equipment, respiratory etiquette, prevention of

needlestick and sharp injuries [43,44]. Ideally, universal precautions are followed by all workers. However, the present study revealed that only 54% of HCWs systematically washed their hands after a health care procedure. The most common reasons for poor adherence to hand washing were a busy schedule and unavailability of water. Hand hygiene is a major component of standard precautions and the most effective method of preventing the transmission of hand-associated pathogens [36,45,46]. Failure to follow this measure increases the spread of infectious agents, including life threatening pathogens such as hepatitis B [47].

Recapping used needles was practiced by over 85% of HCWs. Recapping needle is a risky practice that often results in the accidental puncture of the fingers or hand, leading to exposure to hazardous chemicals, drugs or infectious agents. Even though needle recapping is not recommended, the scoop-cap method of placing the cap on a hard surface and using one hand for recapping is advised when necessary in resources-limited settings where equipment with safety shield is not available [48].

Gloves were always available only in 63% of cases and face shields/goggles were the least available PPE. This increases the risk of splash into eye, nose or mouth [49]. The availability of hand-washing facilities and the provision of PPE are measures aimed at creating a safe environment for patients and caregivers. Sub-optimal adherence to universal precautions may reflect flaws in supervision at intermediate and central levels. In that regards, emphasis on the role of the ICC, internal and external monitoring guidelines is needed to promote compliance [36].

Less than a third of HCWs (24%) had received training in infection control and prevention. Training with updating is associated with improved knowledge, positive changes in attitudes and practices and a reduction of occupational exposure.

Most exposures occurred during the administration of injections, blood collection and delivery, involving hollow and suture needles. Most exposures occurred while performing surgical procedures, recapping needle and in the disposal of used syringes [50,51]. Other factors favoring exposure include handling an uncooperative patient, the long working hours...[52].

More than half of the exposures were not declared (56.5%) and HCWs who had not received refresher training on ICP were most likely to avoid reporting. This can be explained by the lack of knowledge of the reporting channels and the underestimation of the health risks associated with

exposure [28,53]. There is a need to involve all cadres in the training, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of ICP measures. Underreporting may be related to the fact that the ICC at the Buea Regional Hospital was scantily known to HCWs. In addition, there was no dedicated registry for AEBs [26,53].

Despite the low level of reporting, two-thirds (67.2%) of those who experienced AEB received post-exposure prophylaxis. Most of the HCW exposed knew about the management requirements, and proceeded with emergency post-exposure treatment without filing the notification [28].

Conclusions

Most HCW of the Buea Regional Hospital had experienced an accidental exposure to blood and body fluids over the last year. Accidental exposure to body fluids occurred in a context of poor adherence to universal precautions resulting from an inadequate supply of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and inadequate hand washing facilities. There is an urgent need to establish an effective Infection Control & Prevention team to pilot educational activities, monitoring and management system for the prevention of AEB.

Abbreviations

AEB: Accidental Exposure to Body fluids

AIC: Akaike Information Criterion

aOR: Adjusted Odds Ratio

BBF: Blood and Body Fluid

CI: Confidence Interval

cOR: Crude Odds Ratio

HBV: Hepatitis B Virus

HCW: Healthcare Worker

HIV/AIDS: Human Immunodeficiency Virus/ Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome

HVC: Hepatitis C Virus

ICC: Infection Control and Prevention Committee

ICP: Infection Control and Prevention

NSI: Needlestick and Sharp Injury

PPE: Personal Protective Equipment

Declaration

Authors' Contribution: Drafting of the study protocol, data collection, analysis and interpretation: B.A.C & F.Z.L.C; Drafting, data analysis and editing of manuscript: N.D.L & F.Z.L.C.; Critical revision of protocol and manuscript: F.Z.L.C and I.T.; Conception, design and supervision of research protocol and implementation, data analysis plan, revision, editing and final validation of the manuscript: I.T.

Ethical Approval Statement: The protocol was approved by Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences of Yaoundé and the ethical clearance: N°0344/UY1/FMSB/VDRC/DAASR/CSD granted. Informed consent was obtained from participants prior to inclusion in the study. All methods were performed according to relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials: All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Competing interests: All authors declare no conflict of interest and have approved the final version of the article.

Funding Source: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgements: Our gratitude goes to the health staff who agreed to participate in this study and to the manager of the Buea Regional Hospital who gave an authorization to conduct the study.

References

1. Bardi T, Pintado V, Gomez-Rojo M, Escudero-Sanchez R, Azzam Lopez A, Diez-Remesal Y, et al. Nosocomial infections associated to COVID-19 in the intensive care unit: clinical characteristics and outcome. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis Off Publ Eur Soc Clin Microbiol*. 2021;40(3):495–502.
2. Liu Y, Zhang M, Yang M, Chen Q. Adverse Events of Vaccination against Hepatitis B Virus in Post-Marketing Surveillance from 2005 to 2017 in Guangdong Province, China. *Vaccines*. 2022;10(7):1087.
3. Neog N, Phukan U, Puzari M, Sharma M, Chetia P. *Klebsiella oxytoca* and Emerging Nosocomial Infections. *Curr Microbiol*. 2021;78(4):1115–23.
4. Auta A, Adewuyi EO, Tor-Anyiin A, Edor JP, Kureh GT, Khanal V, et al. Global prevalence of percutaneous injuries among healthcare workers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2018;47(6):1972–80.
5. Vanessa V. La prévention des risques professionnels a l'hôpital : pour une politique de promotion de la santé au travail. Ecole National de ls sante publique, Paris. 2006. <https://documentation.ehesp.fr/memoires/2006/edh/villafranca.pdf>. Accessed 2024 Feb 16.
6. Shapiro CN. Occupational risk of infection with hepatitis B and hepatitis C virus. *Surg Clin North Am*. 1995;75(6):1047–56.
7. David HT, David YM. Living with needlestick injuries. *J Can Dent Assoc*. 1997;63(4):283–6.
8. Salihović H, Puvacić S. Occupational exposure and prevention of viral hepatitis B in health care personnel in the Canton of Sarajevo. *Med Arh*. 2004;58(1):27–30.
9. Zingg W, Storr J, Park BJ, Ahmad R, Tarrant C, Castro-Sanchez E, et al. Implementation research for the prevention of antimicrobial resistance and healthcare-associated infections; 2017 Geneva infection prevention and control (IPC)-think tank (part 1). *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*. 2019 ;8(1):87.
10. Amponsah OKO, Owusu-Ofori A, Ayisi-Boateng NK, Attakorah J, Opare-Addo MNA, Buabeng KO. Antimicrobial stewardship capacity and infection prevention and control assessment of three health facilities in the Ashanti Region of Ghana. *JAC-Antimicrob Resist*. 2022;4(2): dlac034.
11. Müller SA, N'Guessan M, Wood R, Landsmann L, Rocha C, Kouame BJ, et al. Effectiveness and sustainability of the WHO multimodal hand hygiene improvement strategy in the University Hospital Bouaké, Republic of Côte d'Ivoire in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*. 2022;11(1):36.

12. Rayson D, Basinda N, Pius RA, Seni J. Comparison of hand hygiene compliance self-assessment and microbiological hand contamination among healthcare workers in Mwanza region, Tanzania. *Infect Prev Pract*. 2021;3(4):100181.
13. Fraser JL, Mwatondo A, Alimi YH, Varma JK, Vilas VJDR. Healthcare-associated outbreaks of bacterial infections in Africa, 2009-2018: A review. *Int J Infect Dis IJID Off Publ Int Soc Infect Dis*. 2021;103:469–77.
14. Abdoul-latif FM, Bello DMT, Abdoul-latif HM, Wambua J, Abdoul-latif TM, Ahmed NM. Nosocomial pulmonary infections at Peltier Hospital, Djibouti. *Afr J Microbiol Res*. 2020;14(11):625–8.
15. Ango PD, Konan KD, Kouamé KA, Sai SS, Tchimou AY, Adingra SC, et al. Écologie Microbienne des Surfaces et Dispositifs Médicaux au Service de Réanimation du Centre Hospitalier et Universitaire (CHU) de Treichville. *Health Sci Dis*. 2020;21(1):23–7.
16. Loevinsohn G, Hardrick J, Mehoke T, Sinywimaanzi P, Hamahuwa M, Fenstermacher K, et al. Nosocomial Respiratory Infections in a Rural Zambian Hospital. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2021 Jul 19;105(3):810–21.
17. De Laune S. Risk reduction through testing, screening and infection control precautions--with special emphasis on needlestick injuries. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 1990;11(10 Suppl):563–5.
18. Wilburn SQ, Eijkemans G. Preventing Needlestick Injuries among Healthcare Workers: A WHO-ICN Collaboration. *Int J Occup Environ Health*. 2004;10(4):451–6.
19. WHO. World health report: 2002-Reducing risks, promoting healthy life. WHO, Geneva. 2002. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9241562072>. Accessed 2023 Oct 26.
20. Njoh AA, Saidu Y, Bachir HB, Ndoula ST, Mboke E, Nembot R, et al. Impact of periodic intensification of routine immunization within an armed conflict setting and COVID-19 outbreak in Cameroon in 2020. *Confl Health*. 2022;16(1):29.
21. Dement JM, Epling C, Ostbye T, Pompeii LA, Hunt DL. Blood and body fluid exposure risks among health care workers: results from the Duke Health and Safety Surveillance System. *Am J Ind Med*. 2004;46(6):637–48.
22. Ibekwe RC, Ibeziako N. Hepatitis B vaccination status among health workers in Enugu, Nigeria. *Niger J Clin Pract*. 2006 ;9(1):7–10.
23. Le Pont F, Hatungimana V, Guiguet M, Ndayiragije A, Ndoricimpa J, Niyongabo T, et al. Assessment of occupational exposure to human immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis C virus in a referral hospital in Burundi, Central Africa. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2003;24(10):717–8.
24. Ngatu NR, Phillips EK, Wembonyama OS, Hirota R, Kaunge NJ, Mbutshu LH, et al. Practice of universal precautions and risk of occupational blood-borne viral infection among Congolese health care workers. *Am J Infect Control*. 2012;40(1):68-70.e1.
25. Auta A, Adewuyi EO, Tor-Anyiin A, Aziz D, Ogbole E, Ogbonna BO, et al. Health-care workers' occupational exposures to body fluids in 21 countries in Africa: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2017 Dec 1;95(12):831-841F.

26. Nouetchognou JS, Ateudjieu J, Jemea B, Mbanya D. Accidental exposures to blood and body fluids among health care workers in a Referral Hospital of Cameroon. *BMC Res Notes*. 2016;9(1):94.
27. Cheuyem FZL, Ndungo JH, Lyonga EE, Mbopi-Keou FX, Takougang I. Circumstances of Occurrence and Factors Associated with Occupational Exposure to Body Fluids in District Hospitals (Yaounde, Cameroon). *Int J Prev Med Care*. 2023;1(2):64–72.
28. Takougang I, Cheuyem FZL, Ndungo JH, Lyonga EE, Mbopi-Keou FX. Infection Risk Perception, Reporting and Post-Exposure Management of Occupational Injuries among Healthcare Workers in District Hospitals. *J Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2023;7(4):1–7.
29. Cheuyem FZL, Lyonga EE, Kamga HG, Mbopi-Keou FX, Takougang I. Needlestick and Sharp Injuries and Hepatitis B Vaccination among Healthcare Workers: A Cross Sectional Study in Six District Hospitals in Yaounde (Cameroon). *J Community Med Public Health*. 2023;7(3):1–9.
30. Centers for Disease Control and prevention. Workbook for Designing, Implementing, and Evaluating a Sharp Injury Prevention Program. http://www.cdc.gov/sharpsafety/pdf/sharpsworkbook_2008.pdf. Accessed 2024 Feb 16.
31. Tarantola A, Abiteboul D, Rachline A. Infection risks following accidental exposure to blood or body fluids in health care workers: a review of pathogens transmitted in published cases. *Am J Infect Control*. 2006;34(6):367–75.
32. Tandji TE, Cho Y, Akam AJC, Afoh CO, Ryu SH, Choi MS, et al. Cameroon public health sector: shortage and inequalities in geographic distribution of health personnel. *Int J Equity Health*. 2015;14:43.
33. Niba JO, Ngasa SN, Chang N, Sanji E, Awa AM, Dingana TN, et al. Conflict, healthcare and professional perseverance: A qualitative study in a remote hospital in an Anglophone Region of Cameroon. *PLOS Glob Public Health*. 2022;2(11):e0001145.
34. Hard to Reach: Providing Healthcare in Armed Conflict. World | ReliefWeb, USA. 2018. <https://reliefweb.int/report/world/hard-reach-providing-healthcare-armed-conflict>. Accessed 2024 Feb 16.
35. Tazoacha F, KIBU DO, KIBU FT and DO. Implications of Armed Conflict on Healthcare Interventions in Cameroon. *ON POLICY Africa*. 2022. <https://onpolicy.org/implications-of-armed-conflict-on-healthcare-interventions-in-cameroon/>. Accessed 2024 Feb 16.
36. Takougang I, Cheuyem FZL, Lyonga EE, Ndungo JH, Mbopi-Keou FX. Observance of Standard Precautions for Infection Prevention in The Covid-19 Era: A Cross Sectional Study in Six District Hospitals in Yaounde, Cameroon. *Am J Biomed Sci Res*. 2023;19(5):590–8.
37. Hassanipour S, Sepandi M, Tavakkol R, Jabbari M, Rabiei H, Malakoutikhah M, et al. Epidemiology and risk factors of needlestick injuries among healthcare workers in Iran: a systematic reviews and meta-analysis. *Environ Health Prev Med*. 2021;26(1):43.
38. Newsom DH, Kiwanuka JP. Needle-stick injuries in an Ugandan teaching hospital. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol*. 2002;96(5):517–22.

39. Yasin J, Fisseha R, Mekonnen F, Yirdaw K. Occupational exposure to blood and body fluids and associated factors among health care workers at the University of Gondar Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. *Environ Health Prev Med.* 2019;24(1):18.
40. Gondo D, Effoh N, Adjoby R, Konan J, Koffi S, Diomande F, et al. Connaissances, attitudes et pratiques (CAP) du personnel soignant sur les accidents d'exposition au sang (AES) dans 4 maternités d'Abidjan. *Rev Afr Anesth Med Urgences.* 2016;21(1):16–20.
41. Singhal V, Bora D, Singh S. Hepatitis B in health care workers: Indian scenario. *J Lab Physicians.* 2009;1(2):41–8.
42. Vincent A, Cohen M, Bernet C, Parneix P, L'Hériteau F, Branger B, et al. Accidental exposure to blood by midwives in French maternity units: results of the national surveillance 2003. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris).* 2006;35(3):247–56.
43. Broussard IM, Kahwaji CI. *Universal Precautions.* Stat Pearls Publishing. 2023. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK470223/>. Accessed 2023 Dec 26.
44. *Standard precautions in health care.* WHO, Geneva.2008. <https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/standard-precautions-in-health-care>. Accessed 2024 Feb 1.
45. *WHO Guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care: First Global Patient Safety Challenge Clean Care Is Safer Care.* World Health Organization, Geneva. 2009. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK144013/>. Accessed 2023 Dec 24.
46. Calmejane A. *Hygiene Guidelines for Health Care Facilities.* Humanitarian Library. 2021. <https://www.humanitarianlibrary.org/resource/hygiene-guidelines-health-care-facilities>. Accessed 2024 Jan 2.
47. Takata K, Yamauchi E, Shakado S, Uehara Y, Fukuda H, Yamauchi R, et al. Horizontal Transmission of Hepatitis B Virus Genotype C Among Members of a Wrestling Club in Japan. *Am J Case Rep.* 2020;21: e925044-1-e925044-6.
48. *Nursing Fundamentals.* Open Resources for Nursing (Open RN), USA.2021. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK591823/>. Accessed 2024 Feb 3.
49. *Health care workers health and safety; preventing needle stick injury and occupational exposure to bloodborne pathogens.* World Health Organization, Geneva. 2016. <https://www.who.int/publications/journals>. Accessed 2024 Feb 3.
50. Alfulayw KH, Al-Otaibi ST, Alqahtani HA. Factors associated with needlestick injuries among healthcare workers: implications for prevention. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2021;21(1):1–8.
51. Musharrafieh UM, Bizri ARN, Nassar NT, Rahi AC, Shoukair AM, Doudakian RM, et al. Health care workers' exposure to blood-borne pathogens in Lebanon. *Occup Med.* 2008;58(2):94–8.
52. Mbaisi EM, Ng'ang'a Z, Wanzala P, Omolo J. Prevalence and factors associated with percutaneous injuries and splash exposures among health-care workers in a provincial hospital, Kenya, 2010. *Pan Afr Med J.* 2013;14(10):1–8.

53. Elabor ZB, Omole OB, Mbah CCE. Occupational exposure to blood and body fluids among primary healthcare workers in Johannesburg health district : high rate of underreporting. South Afr Fam Pract. 2020;62(1):1–7.