±

1 Summer heat, historic redlining, and neighborhood walking among older adults: 2017

2 National Household Travel Survey

- 3 Diana Mitsova, PhD^a, Lilah M. Besser, PhD^b, Elaine T. Le, BA^b
- 4
- ^a Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, Florida,
- 6 dmitsova@fau.edu
- ⁷ ^b Comprehensive Center for Brain Health, Department of Neurology, University of Miami, Boca
- 8 Raton, FL; <u>lmb9767@miami.edu</u>
- 9 Corresponding author: Diana Mitsova; dmitsova@fau.edu
- 10
- 11

12 Abstract

No known studies have examined the relationships between urban heat islands, historic redlining, 13 14 and neighborhood walking in older adults. We assessed whether: 1) individual and neighborhood characteristics (including redlining score) differ by neighborhood summer land surface 15 temperature (LST); 2) higher LST is associated with less neighborhood walking, and whether 16 17 associations differ by historic redlining score; and 3) neighborhoods with discriminatory redlining scores have greater LSTs. We used data on $3,982 \ge 65$ -year olds from the 2017 National 18 19 Household Travel Survey. Multivariable negative binomial and linear regressions tested 20 associations between LST z-score (comparing participant's neighborhood LST to surrounding region's LST) and self-reported neighborhood walking, and the association between living in 21 neighborhoods redlined as "definitely declining" or "hazardous" (versus "still desirable"/"best") 22 and LST z-score. LSTs were higher for those in neighborhoods with higher area deprivation 23 scores and more African American/Black residents. Older adults living in neighborhoods with 24 25 higher summer LST z-scores had fewer minutes of neighborhood walking/day. This association seemed limited to individuals with neighborhood redlining scores of "still desirable"/"best". 26 Neighborhood redlining scores of "definitely declining" or "hazardous" (versus "still desirable" 27 28 and "best") were associated with greater neighborhood summer LSTs. Overall, these findings suggest that historically redlined neighborhoods may more often experience urban heat island 29 30 effects, and older adults living in hotter neighborhoods may less often engage in neighborhood 31 walking. Future work is needed to elucidate the impact of extreme heat on health promoting 32 behaviors such as walking and the types of interventions that can successfully counteract 33 negative impacts to historically disadvantaged communities.

35 Introduction

Engaging in regular low-intensity physical activity, including walking, offers numerous health 36 benefits for older adults.¹ A vast range of observational and interventional studies consistently 37 have documented that regular exercise helps improve cardiovascular and respiratory system 38 function, reducing the risk of heart disease and high blood pressure.² Regular moderate-intensity 39 40 exercise improves the functioning of the immune system and can enhance bone density, reducing the risk of osteoporosis.³ Studies also suggest an association between physical activity and cell 41 physiology linked to the genesis of cognitive decline.¹ Exercise is also associated with mental 42 health benefits.^{4,5} Recent meta-analyses provided evidence that walking can effectively reduce the 43 symptoms of anxiety and depression and improve sleep health.¹ In addition, exercise in a group 44 setting can provide opportunities for social interaction, easing feelings of isolation and loneliness 45 commonly associated with aging.⁶ 46

47

The walkability of a neighborhood is determined by several factors, including street connectivity and density^{7,8}, access to destinations and aesthetics^{9,10}, investment in walking and biking infrastructure¹¹, and the presence/absence of urban natural features, specifically tree cover.¹² Several recent studies have investigated the effects of greenspace on physical activity, providing consistent evidence of the beneficial effects of urban nature-based infrastructure on health outcomes.^{13,14} Tree canopy strongly influences urban air temperature¹² and can reduce land surface temperature by as much as 2.9°F.¹⁵

55

Despite the growing evidence of the cooling effect of vegetation and tree cover in urbanized areas,
many neighborhoods in impoverished and minority communities have been found to lack access

to these ecosystem services.¹² The patterns of inequality in greenspace distribution and 58 associations with hotter microclimates emerge in multiple incorporated and unincorporated urban 59 areas across the United States.^{12,16-21} These patterns contribute to the urban heat island effect first 60 described by Oke *et al.* in a study of sensible heat storage in the urban core of Mexico City.²² In 61 essence, the urban heat island effect is the persistence of higher temperatures in urban centers 62 compared to the surrounding rural or natural areas.²³ Land surface temperature (LST) derived from 63 Landsat 8 Thermal Infrared Sensors (TIRS) has been extensively used to demarcate the spatial 64 boundaries of the urban heat island effect.²⁴⁻²⁶ 65

66

Various studies have assessed the associations between urban heat island effects and exposure of 67 vulnerable populations to heat-related health risks.^{16,27-29} For instance, an in-depth analysis of the 68 causes of the high mortality rates of the 1995 Chicago heat wave study found that disproportionate 69 exposure of socially vulnerable groups, social isolation of seniors, and institutional neglect of poor 70 and racialized neighborhoods have contributed to the observed disastrous outcomes.²⁷ A study of 71 the urban heat island effect in 25 cities around the world observed that low-income neighborhoods 72 consistently exhibit lower vegetation density and are more likely to experience higher levels of 73 heat exposure.¹⁸ Mitchell & Chakraborty¹⁶ developed a composite "urban heat risk index" based 74 on the physical characteristics of the urban environment, such as LST, urban form and building 75 76 density, and amount of greenspace. The index was applied to the cities of Los Angeles, Chicago, 77 and New York in conjunction with indicators of social vulnerability. The study found that census 78 tracts with higher percentages of racial and ethnic minorities had a strong positive correlation with 79 heat exposure, but did not establish consistent evidence of an association between the urban heat risk index and disproportionate exposure of older adults.¹⁶ Similarly, another study²³ observed a 80

nonsignificant statistical association between heat exposure and isolation of older adults (percent 81 65 and older who live alone) in Portland, Oregon. A study that analyzed urban heat islands in 175 82 large US cities observed that racial and ethnic minorities (versus white) experience higher 83 temperatures in 97% of the studied cases, but found no evidence of higher UHI intensities for older 84 adults.²¹ Using remote sensing and census data, another study established widespread urban heat 85 disparities in 1000 counties in the continental US.²⁰ Lastly, a study that explored the association 86 between tree cover, land surface temperatures, and income in 5,723 US communities found that 87 poorer neighborhoods have, on average, 15% less vegetative cover and are more likely to 88 experience higher temperatures (as much as 1.5°C hotter) than more affluent subdivisions.¹² 89 Moreover, the study established a strong correlation between a higher percentage of tree cover and 90 higher income in 92% of the urbanized areas.¹² 91

92

Research suggests that historic policies of housing discrimination, such as redlining, have 93 contributed to the disproportionate exposure of low-income urban populations to extreme heat.^{14,28-} 94 ³⁰ Redlining, instituted in the US in the 1930s, was a lending practice that discriminated against 95 individuals living in minority, low-income, and migrant communities.²⁸⁻³¹ These neighborhoods 96 were redlined or color-coded red ("hazardous") on maps used for mortgage/insurance 97 98 considerations by the Home Owners' Loan Corporation (HOLC), resulting in the denial of mortgage and property insurance applications.²⁸⁻³⁰ One potential impact of historic redlining 99 policies is the lasting impact on the built environment³¹, including less greenspace/tree canopy and 100 more pavement, leading to an increased chance of urban heat island effects. A study examining 101 102 intra-urban LST anomalies in 108 urbanized areas in the US found that historically redlined neighborhoods, which remain predominantly communities of color, are experiencing higher levels 103 of intra-urban heat than their more affluent counterparts.²⁸ Another study examined LST derived 104

105 from remote sensing (as a measure of heat exposure) and the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) (as a measure of vegetative cover) in formerly redlined neighborhoods in Baltimore, 106 Dallas, and Kansas City.²⁹ The findings suggest that mean NDVI was lower while mean LST was 107 higher in nearly all historically redlined neighborhoods compared to higher-income communities. 108 109 An investigation of heat-related emergency room visits in 11 Texas cities found a significant 110 association between a greater percentage of redlined areas, elevated levels of heat exposure, and higher rates of outpatient visits and inpatient admissions.³⁰ Lastly, individuals ≥ 65 years old in the 111 112 2017 National Household Travel Survey who lived in historically redlined communities reported 113 spending less time walking in their neighborhoods.

114

115 Despite prior evidence suggesting that various health outcomes are affected by historic redlining 116 and heat exposure, few studies have examined how redlining and urban heat islands may impact 117 neighborhood walking in older adults. To address these gaps, in this study we assessed: 1) how 118 individual and neighborhood characteristics differ (including historic redlining score) depending 119 on neighborhood maximum summer LST; 2) whether higher LST is associated with less 120 neighborhood walking among older adults, and whether these associations differ by historic redlining score; and 3) whether neighborhoods with discriminatory historic redlining scores have 121 122 greater LSTs.

123

124 Methods

We used data from the 2017 National Household Travel Survey (NHTS), a cross-sectional survey of travel behavior (e.g., travel modes and destinations) completed by 264,234 individuals from 129,696 households. NHTS respondents completed online/phone surveys and recorded trip details during a single travel diary day (Monday-Sunday). The study was deemed not human subjects

129	research by University of Miami's Institutional Review Board. We restricted it to \geq 65-year-olds
130	living in US Census tracts with data available on historical redlining scores and summer LSTs.
131	
132	Land surface temperature
133	Aggregated daytime maximum LST data for a 40-day timespan from July to August 2013 were
134	downloaded from the Center for International Earth Science Information Network. Descriptive
135	statistics, including mean, min, max, range and standard deviation (SD) for LST, were derived
136	for both census tracts and core-based statistical areas (CBSA) using the zonal statistics algorithm
137	in ESRI's ArcMap 10.8.1. Standardized scores were used to compare LST values at the
138	respondent's Census tract level to the surrounding region/CBSA and represented the standardized
139	peak summertime LST. The LST z-scores used in our analyses were calculated by dividing the
140	difference between the mean LSTs at the census tract and CBSA levels by the SD of the LST for
141	the CBSA. More positive z-scores indicate that the participant's neighborhood (Census tract) had
142	a higher maximum LST than the surrounding region.
143	
144	Neighborhood walking
145	Self-reported time on walking trips was obtained from travel diaries that were completed by

146 NHTS participants on their assigned diary day. Any walking trip that began, ended, or originated

- 147 and terminated at the respondent's residence was included in the calculation of total minutes
- spent walking in the neighborhood for that day.

149

150

152 Neighborhood characteristics

153	We linked data on social and built environment characteristics, including redlining scores using
154	the respondents' US Census tract IDs provided by Federal Highway Administration. HOLC map
155	mortgage investment risk scores were downloaded from a publicly available website (scores: 1="
156	best", 2=" still desirable", 3=" definitely declining", and 4=" hazardous"). Meier et al ³²
157	previously derived these scores using equal intervals to assign the four redlining score categories
158	to each US Census tract.
159	
160	Population density (people/mi ²) and percentage of African American/Black and Hispanic/LatinX
161	residents at the census tract level were obtained from the NHTS dataset and the American
162	Community Survey (ACS, 2017 5-year estimates). The area deprivation index (ADI),
163	downloaded from University of Wisconsin's Neighborhood Atlas, ranks census block groups by
164	neighborhood disadvantage based on 2011-2015 ACS estimates of income, education,
165	employment, and housing (1%-lowest to 100%-highest disadvantage). ³³ We derived a tract-level
166	measure by averaging the block-group ADI values within respondents' Census tracts. We
167	downloaded 2011 National Land Cover Dataset (NLCD) data from the National Historic GIS
168	website (NHGIS). ³⁴ NHGIS processed NLCD data to determine the proportion of each tract
169	covered by 16 land cover types (e.g., high intensity development, developed open space, forest).
170	For this study, we calculated the percentage of the respondent's census tract composed of any
171	greenspace (i.e., developed open space, forest, shrub/scrub; grassland/herbaceous, farmland, and
172	wetlands). Further information on the NLCD classifications is published elsewhere ^{35,36} . We also
173	report the participants' Census regions as walking differences have been observed among older
174	adults based on Census region. ³⁷

176 *Covariates*

177	The respondent demographics included age (years), sex, education (<high degree,="" high<="" school="" th=""></high>
178	school degree, some college, bachelor's degree, graduate/professional degree), 4-category
179	household income (originally collected in \$10,000/year categories), race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic
180	White, African American/Black, Hispanic, Asian, other).
181	
182	Statistical methods
183	We calculated frequencies and percentages for the respondent demographics and neighborhood
184	built and social environment characteristics (including redlining score) by neighborhood LST z-
185	score quartile (Q1=coolest; Q4=hottest). Mean minutes of neighborhood walking per day was
186	calculated by redlining score and LST z-score quartile. We tested differences in sample
187	characteristics and minutes of neighborhood walking by LST quartile and redlining score using
188	unadjusted ordinal logistic regression and linear regression.
189	
190	Multivariable negative binomial regression models, accounting for clustering of participants
191	within households and within census tracts, tested associations between LST z-score and
192	neighborhood walking minutes/day. Negative binomial regression accounted for zero
193	inflated/skewed data (model fit confirmed via Pearson X ² goodness-of-fit test). The models
194	controlled for age, sex, education, income, race/ethnicity, and state of residence. Regression
195	estimates were exponentiated to determine prevalence ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CI).
196	The models were repeated after stratifying by historic redlining score ("definitely declining" or
197	"hazardous" versus "still desirable" or "best") to assess whether individuals in neighborhoods
198	with both discriminatory historic redlining scores and greater LST demonstrate the least amount

199	of neighborhood walking/day. Lastly, a linear regression model that controlled for state of
200	residence and used generalized estimating equations to account for clustering by household
201	tested the association between living in a neighborhood with a redline score of "definitely
202	declining" or "hazardous" (versus "still desirable" or "best") and LST z-score. All analyses were
203	conducted in SAS v9.4.

204

- 205 **Results**
- The analytic sample (n=3,982) was on average 73 years old (SD=7.2), 55.1% were women, and

207 70.2% had at least some college education (Table 1). Seventy-eight percent were non-Hispanic

208 White, 10% were African American/Black, 7% were Hispanic, 3% were Asian, and 2% were of

another race/ethnicity. Hispanic and Asian individuals and those with annual household incomes

210 >\$125,000/year were less frequently living in neighborhoods with higher peak summer LSTs.

211 [Insert Table 1 here]

Figure 1 depicts the variation in peak summer LST across the US in 2013. Individuals living in

the South and West but not the Northeast and Midwest were more likely to live in neighborhoods

214 with lower peak summer LSTs (Table 2). Individuals in neighborhoods with higher area

215 deprivation index scores and greater neighborhood percentage of African American/Black

- 216 residents were more likely to experience higher peak summer LSTs. Individuals living in
- 217 neighborhoods with redlining scores of "best" were more likely to live in areas with lower peak
- summer LSTs.

219 [Insert Figure 1 here]

220 [Insert Table 2 here]

221	Older adults living in neighborhoods with historic redlining scores of "still desirable", "definitely
222	declining" or "hazardous" reported fewer minutes of neighborhood walking/day compared to
223	those in neighborhoods with historic redlining scores of "best" (Table 3). Compared to
224	individuals living in neighborhoods at the bottom quartile of peak summer LSTs, those in the top
225	quartile walked significantly fewer minutes in the neighborhood per day.
226	[Insert Table 3 here]
227	In multivariable regression analyses, older adults living in neighborhoods with higher peak
228	summer LST z-scores reported fewer minutes of neighborhood walking/day (Table 4) (PR: 0.85,
229	95% CI: 0.74-0.98). Stratifying by redlining score showed no significant associations between
230	LST z-score and neighborhood walking in separately for those living in neighborhoods with
231	redlining scores of "definitely declining" or "hazardous" (PR: 0.99, 95% CI: 0.82-1.21) or for
232	those living in neighborhoods scored as "still desirable" and "best" (PR: 0.77, 95% CI: 0.58-
233	1.01). However, the latter association was borderline significant at p=0.059. Lastly,
234	neighborhood redlining scores of "definitely declining" or "hazardous" (versus "still desirable"
235	and "best") were associated with greater neighborhood peak LSTs (Table 5) (estimate: 0.103,
236	95% CI: 0.009-0.198).
237	[Insert Table 4 here]

- 238 [Insert Table 5 here]
- 239

240 Discussion

The number of empirical studies on the relationship between the historical policies of housing discrimination, urban greenspace availability, and exposure to urban heat anomalies in economically disadvantaged communities of color continues to grow, revealing consistent patterns

of inequality.²⁸⁻³¹ However, despite the attention given to the urban heat island effect and its implications for human health and well-being in recent years, relatively few studies have specifically addressed the exposure of older adults to peak summer LSTs in historically redlined neighborhoods and the extent to which this exposure affects daily physical activity. This study attempts to fill these knowledge gaps by leveraging data from travel diaries on daily walking trips, peak summer LSTs, neighborhood characteristics, and the historical legacy of residential segregation to assess the physical activity patterns of 3,982 adults 65 years and older.

251

252 We found evidence of significant peak summer warming in census tracts with higher area deprivation index scores. Heat exposure variation was also observed in relation to the 253 254 neighborhoods' racial and ethnic composition. A higher heat risk burden was associated with 255 communities characterized by a larger percentage of African American/Black residents. We found 256 that lower-income, Asian, and Hispanic individuals were more likely to live in neighborhoods with 257 higher peak summertime LST compared to White and higher-income residents. These outcomes corroborate the results of previous studies, which consistently found evidence of urban heat 258 exposure disparities associated with income and race/ethnicity.^{20,28} 259

260

Several recent studies highlighted the legacy of institutionalized residential segregation after the Great Depression and the impact of systematic targeting of communities of color, which ultimately led to disinvestment and profound socio-economic inequalities.^{29,30} We observed a greater neighborhood peak summertime LSTs in neighborhoods with redlining scores of "definitely declining" or "hazardous" (versus "still desirable" and "best"). Our findings confirm the results from previous studies. For example, Li et al. observed that the proportion of redlined areas is a

significant predictor of both daytime and nighttime LST.³⁰ We also found that older adults reported
fewer minutes of walking in historically redlined neighborhoods with Home Owners' Loan
Corporation (HOLC) scores of "definitely declining" and "hazardous."

Several limitations of this study warrant further consideration. Landsat data has a repeat interval 270 271 of approximately two weeks (with no more than two observations in any given month). Extensive 272 cloud cover, typical for wet summer months in certain regions, may obstruct the usability of Landsat data for extended periods of time.²⁹ To address this limitation, this study used aggregated 273 daytime maximum LST data for a 40-day timespan from July to August 2013. Previous studies 274 275 have also highlighted the fact that LST, as a proxy of thermal exposure, may not fully capture the heat stress experienced by individuals.^{18,30} These limitations suggest that more complex modeling 276 277 of urban microclimates that takes into account both LST and air temperature, as well as the cooling 278 effects of urban blue-green infrastructure, may improve our understanding of urban heat exposure.

279

280 Our results suggest that the association between higher neighborhood LSTs and less neighborhood 281 walking might be restricted to older adults living in neighborhoods with historic redlining scores of "still desirable" or "best" (i.e., advantaged communities). Prior work has demonstrated that 282 283 historically redlined neighborhoods remain more economically deprived, less walkable, have more 284 less greenspace and tree canopy, and have greater concentrations of African American/Black and Hispanic/LatinX residents.³¹ Conversely, neighborhoods with historic redlining scores of "still 285 286 desirable" and "best" have built and social environments today that are more conducive to neighborhood walking (i.e., higher SES, more greenspace, more pedestrian amenities and 287 288 destinations). Yet, we found that individuals in those more affluent neighborhoods walk less if 289 their neighborhoods experience higher LSTs. This may be explained by the lack of transportation

options and other sources of recreational physical activity for older adults living in more 290 disadvantaged communities that were historically redlined, and thus their neighborhood walking 291 does not decrease with higher neighborhood LSTs. Older adults in more affluent communities are 292 more likely to have alternative sources of transportation to nearby destinations other than walking, 293 as well as other places and opportunities for exercise other than neighborhood walking ³⁸, and thus 294 295 may be more likely to decrease neighborhood walking with increasing temperatures. If these findings are replicated in other studies, this suggests an even more pressing need to address urban 296 297 heat islands in historically disadvantaged communities because older adults (who are more 298 susceptible to heat) in these communities are more likely to be exposed to extreme heat in their neighborhoods and experience negative health effects (e.g., heat stroke and cardiorespiratory 299 symptoms).³⁹ 300

301

302 Conclusion

303 Overall, this study suggests that historically redlined neighborhoods may more often experience urban heat island effects and that older adults living in hotter neighborhoods may less often 304 engage in neighborhood walking. Discriminatory policies such as historic redlining have left 305 306 indelible marks on neighborhoods across the US, leaving communities more vulnerable to 307 detrimental environmental exposures, including extreme heat. Future work is needed to elucidate 308 the impact of extreme heat on health-promoting behaviors such as neighborhood walking and the 309 types of interventions and policies that can successfully counteract the negative health impacts of 310 extreme heat on these historically disadvantaged communities.

312 **References**

- 313 1. Ungvari Z, Fazekas-Pongor V, Csiszar A, Kunutsor SK. The multifaceted benefits of
- 314 walking for healthy aging: from Blue Zones to molecular mechanisms. *Geroscience*. Dec
- 315 2023;45(6):3211-3239. doi:10.1007/s11357-023-00873-8
- 2. Lee LL, Mulvaney CA, Wong YKY, Chan ESY, Watson MC, Lin HH. Walking for
- 317 hypertension. *Cochrane Db Syst Rev.* 2021;(2)doi:ARTN CD008823
- 318 10.1002/14651858.CD008823.pub2
- 319 3. Ma D, Wu L, He Z. Effects of walking on the preservation of bone mineral density in
- 320 perimenopausal and postmenopausal women: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
- 321 *Menopause*. Nov 2013;20(11):1216-26. doi:10.1097/GME.00000000000000100
- 322 4. Schuch FB, Vancampfort D, Firth J, et al. Physical Activity and Incident Depression: A
- 323 Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *Am J Psychiatry*. Jul 1 2018;175(7):631-
- 324 648. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.17111194
- 5. Pearce M, Garcia L, Abbas A, et al. Association Between Physical Activity and Risk of
- 326 Depression A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Jama Psychiat*. Jun 2022;79(6):550-
- 327 559. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.0609
- 328 6. Mehra S, Dadema T, Krose BJ, et al. Attitudes of Older Adults in a Group-Based Exercise
- 329 Program Toward a Blended Intervention; A Focus-Group Study. *Front Psychol*.
- 330 2016;7:1827. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01827
- 331 7. Rodríguez DA, Evenson KR, Roux AVD, Brines SJ. Land Use, Residential Density, and
- 332 Walking The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Am J Prev Med.* Nov
- 333 2009;37(5):397-404. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2009.07.008

- 8. Troped PJ, Wilson JS, Matthews CE, Cromley EK, Melly SJ. The built environment and
- location-based physical activity. *Am J Prev Med.* Apr 2010;38(4):429-38.
- doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2009.12.032
- 337 9. Lee IM, Ewing R, Sesso HD. The built environment and physical activity levels: the
- Harvard Alumni Health Study. *Am J Prev Med*. Oct 2009;37(4):293-8.
- doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2009.06.007
- 10. Chaix B, Simon C, Charreire H, et al. The environmental correlates of overall and
- neighborhood based recreational walking (a cross-sectional analysis of the RECORD
- 342 Study). Int J Behav Nutr Phy. Feb 21 2014;11doi:Artn 20
- 343 10.1186/1479-5868-11-20
- 11. Tcymbal A, Demetriou Y, Kelso A, et al. Effects of the built environment on physical
- 345 activity: a systematic review of longitudinal studies taking sex/gender into account.
- 346 Environ Health Prev. Dec 2020;25(1)doi:ARTN 75
- 347 10.1186/s12199-020-00915-z
- 12. McDonald RI, Biswas T, Sachar C, et al. The tree cover and temperature disparity in US
- 349 urbanized areas: Quantifying the association with income across 5,723 communities. *PLoS*
- 350 *One*. 2021;16(4):e0249715. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0249715
- 13. Besser LM, Mitsova DP. Neighborhood Green Land Cover and Neighborhood-Based
- 352 Walking in U.S. Older Adults. *Am J Prev Med.* Jul 2021;61(1):e13-e20.
- doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2021.01.013
- 14. Besser LM, Pescador Jimenez, M., Reimer, C., Adkins-Jackson, P.A.J., George, K.M.,
- 355 Meyer, O.L., Mitsova, D., and Galvin, J.E. Association between neighbohood greenspace
- and brain health by racialized/ ethnic group: A rapid review. *International Journal of*

357 *Environmental Research and Public Health.*

- 358 2023;20(9)(5666)doi:https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20095666
- 15. Knight T, Price S, Bowler D, et al. How effective is 'greening' of urban areas in reducing
- 360 human exposure to ground-level ozone concentrations, UV exposure and the 'urban heat
- island effect'? An updated systematic review. *Environ Evid*. Jun 5 2021;10(1)doi:ARTN
- 362 1210.1186/s13750-021-00226-y
- 16. Mitchell BC, Chakraborty J. Landscapes of thermal inequity: disproportionate exposure to
- urban heat in the three largest US cities. *Environ Res Lett*. Nov 2015;10(11)doi:Artn
- 365 11500510.1088/1748-9326/10/11/115005
- 17. Nesbitt L, Meitner MJ, Girling C, Sheppard SRJ, Lu YH. Who has access to urban
- vegetation? A spatial analysis of distributional green equity in 10 US cities. *Landscape*
- 368 Urban Plan. Jan 2019;181:51-79. doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2018.08.007
- 18. Chakraborty T, Hsu A, Manya D, Sheriff G. Disproportionately higher exposure to urban
- heat in lower-income neighborhoods: a multi-city perspective. *Environ Res Lett*. Oct
- 371 2019;14(10)doi:ARTN 10500310.1088/1748-9326/ab3b99
- 19. Eanes AM, Lookingbill TR, Hoffman JS, Saverino KC, Fong SS. Assessing Inequitable
- 373 Urban Heat Islands and Air Pollution Disparities with Low-Cost Sensors in Richmond,
- 374 Virginia. *Sustainability-Basel*. Dec 2020;12(23)doi:ARTN 1008910.3390/su122310089
- 20. Benz SA, Burney JA. Widespread Race and Class Disparities in Surface Urban Heat
- 376 Extremes Across the United States. *Earths Future*. Jul
- 377 2021;9(7)doi:ARTN2021EF00201610.1029/2021EF002016

- 21. Hsu A, Sheriff G, Chakraborty T, Manya D. Publisher Correction: Disproportionate
- exposure to urban heat island intensity across major US cities. *Nat Commun.* Jun 28
- 380 2021;12(1):4104. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-23972-6
- 381 22. Oke TR, Zeuner G, Jauregui E. The Surface-Energy Balance in Mexico-City. Atmos

382 Environ B-Urb. Dec 1992;26(4):433-444. doi:Doi 10.1016/0957-1272(92)90050-3

- 23. Voelkel J, Hellman D, Sakuma R, Shandas V. Assessing Vulnerability to Urban Heat: A
- 384 Study of Disproportionate Heat Exposure and Access to Refuge by Socio-Demographic
- 385 Status in Portland, Oregon. Int J Environ Res Public Health. Mar 30
- 386 2018;15(4)doi:10.3390/ijerph15040640
- 24. Voogt JA, Oke TR. Thermal remote sensing of urban climates. *Remote Sensing of*

388 Environment. Aug 15 2003;86(3):370-384. doi:10.1016/S0034-4257(03)00079-8

- 25. Ernest S, Nduganda, A. and Kashaigili, J. Urban Climate Analysis with Remote Sensing
- and Climate Observations: A Case of Morogoro Municipality in Tanzania. *Advances in*

391 *Remote Sensing*. 2017;6:120-131. doi:10.4236/ars.2017.62009

- 392 26. Xu X, Pei H, Wang C, et al. Long-term analysis of the urban heat island effect using
- 393 multisource Landsat images considering inter-class differences in land surface temperature
- 394 products. *Sci Total Environ*. Feb 1 2023;858(Pt 1):159777.
- doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159777
- 396 27. Klinenberg E. Review of heat wave: social autopsy of disaster in Chicago. *N Engl J Med.*
- 397 Feb 13 2003;348(7):666-7. doi:10.1056/NEJM200302133480721
- 28. Hoffman JS, Shandas V, Pendleton N. The Effects of Historical Housing Policies on
- Resident Exposure to Intra-Urban Heat: A Study of 108 US Urban Areas. *Climate*. Jan
- 400 2020;8(1):12.

- 401 29. Wilson B. Urban Heat Management and the Legacy of Redlining. *J Am Plann Assoc*. Oct
 402 1 2020;86(4):443-457. doi:10.1080/01944363.2020.1759127
- 403 30. Li DY, Newman GD, Wilson B, Zhang Y, Brown RD. Modeling the relationships
- 404 between historical redlining, urban heat, and heat-related emergency department visits: An
- 405 examination of 11 Texas cities. *Environ Plan B-Urban*. Mar 2022;49(3):933-952.
- 406 31. Besser LM, Mitsova D, Williams CL, Wiese L. Redlining and Neighborhood Walking in
- 407 Older Adults: The 2017 National Household Travel Survey. *Am J Prev Med.* Dec
- 408 2022;63(6):926-934. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2022.06.010
- 409 32. Meier Helen C.S. aMBC. Data from: Historic Redlining Scores for 2010 and 2020 US
- 410 Census Tracts: Historic Redlining Score 2010B. 2021. doi:10.18128/D050.V14.0
- 411 33. University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health. 2015. Accessed 9/3/2020,
 412 https://www.neighborhoodatlas.medicine.wisc.edu/
- 413 34. Manson S SJ, Van Riper D, Ruggles S. Data from: IPUMS National Historical
- 414 Geographic Information System. 2019; Version 14.0 doi:10.18128/D050.V14.0
- 415 35. Wickham J, Homer C, Vogelmann J, et al. The Multi-Resolution Land Characteristics
- 416 (MRLC) Consortium-20 Years of Development and Integration of USA National Land
- 417 Cover Data. *Remote Sens-Basel*. Aug 2014;6(8):7424-7441. doi:10.3390/rs6087424
- 418 36. Wickham J, Stehman SV, Gass L, et al. Thematic accuracy assessment of the 2011
- 419 National Land Cover Database (NLCD). *Remote Sens Environ*. 2017;191:328-341.
- 420 doi:10.1016/j.rse.2016.12.026
- 421 37. Besser L, Chang L-C, Kluttz J. Individual and neighborhood characteristics associated
- 422 with neighborhood walking among US older adults. *Preventive Medicine Reports*. 2020;

- 423 38. Procter-Gray E, Leveille SG, Hannan MT, Cheng J, Kane K, Li W. Variations in
- 424 Community Prevalence and Determinants of Recreational and Utilitarian Walking in
- 425 Older Age. J Aging Res. 2015;2015:382703. doi:10.1155/2015/382703
- 426 39. Faurie C, Varghese BM, Liu JW, Bi P. Association between high temperature and
- 427 heatwaves with heat-related illnesses: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Science of*
- 428 *the Total Environment*. Dec 15 2022;852doi:ARTN
- 429 15833210.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.158332



Figure 1. Averages Land Surface temperature for a 40-day timespan from July to August 2013 (*Data Source*: Center for International Earth Science Information Network (CIESIN)

		Neighborhood peak summer LST z-score				
	Total sample	Q1 (coolest)	Q2	Q3	Q4 (hottest)	-
Characteristic	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	p-value ^a
Sample, n	3982	992	998	994	998	N/A
Age group						
65-69 years old	1585 (39.8%)	385 (38.8%)	403 (40.4%)	389 (39.1%)	408 (40.9%)	Ref.
70-74 years old	989 (24.8%)	260 (26.2%)	246 (24.7%)	243 (24.5%)	240 (24.1%)	0.28
75-79 years old	615 (15.4%)	167 (16.8%)	157 (15.7%)	146 (14.7%)	145 (14.5%)	0.13
80 and older	793 (19.9%)	180 (18.2%)	192 (19.2%)	216 (21.7%)	205 (20.5%)	0.36
Male	1787 (44.9%)	440 (44.4%)	458 (45.9%)	443 (44.6%)	446 (44.7%)	0.96
Education level						
<hs degree<="" td=""><td>294 (7.4%)</td><td>76 (7.7%)</td><td>98 (9.8%)</td><td>53 (5.3%)</td><td>67 (6.7%)</td><td>Ref.</td></hs>	294 (7.4%)	76 (7.7%)	98 (9.8%)	53 (5.3%)	67 (6.7%)	Ref.
High school degree	895 (22.5%)	130 (13.1%)	213 (21.4%)	255 (25.7%)	297 (29.8%)	<.0001
Some college	998 (25.1%)	220 (22.2%)	228 (22.9%)	281 (28.3%)	269 (27.0%)	<.0001
Bachelor's degree	727 (18.3%)	202 (20.4%)	195 (19.6%)	188 (18.9%)	142 (14.2%)	<.0001
Graduate/professional	1064 (26.8%)	363 (36.6%)	262 (26.3%)	217 (21.8%)	222 (22.3%)	0.12
degree						
Annual household income						
0-34,999	1414 (37.5%)	300 (31.5%)	326 (34.4%)	376 (40.4%)	412 (43.9%)	Ref.
35,000-74,999	1130 (30.0%)	242 (25.4%)	268 (28.3%)	304 (32.7%)	316 (33.7%)	0.60
75,000-125,000	686 (18.2%)	169 (17.7%)	203 (21.4%)	172 (18.5%)	142 (15.1%)	<.0001
>125,000	540 (14.3%)	243 (25.5%)	151 (15.9%)	78 (8.4%)	68 (7.3%)	<.0001
Race/ethnicity						
Non-Hispanic White	3070 (77.5%)	653 (66.3%)	725 (72.9%)	853 (85.9%)	839 (84.9%)	Ref.
African	394 (10.0%)	89 (9.0%)	101 (10.2%)	99 (10.0%)	105 (10.6%)	0.36
American/Black ^b						
Hispanic	280 (7.1%)	130 (13.2%)	120 (12.1%)	16 (1.6%)	14 (1.4%)	<.0001
Asian	131 (3.3%)	89 (9.0%)	26 (2.6%)	8 (0.8%)	8 (0.8%)	<.0001
Other	85 (2.2%)	24 (2.4%)	22 (2.2%)	17 (1.7%)	22 (2.2%)	0.13

Table 1. Sample demographics by neighborhood land surface temperature

Abbreviation: LST = land surface temperature; Q1 to Q4 = Quartile 1 to Quartile 4; Ref=reference group; ^a Unadjusted ordinal logistic regression; ^b Includes Hispanic

	Neighborhood peak summer LST z-score					
Neighborhood characteristic	Total	Q1 (coolest)	Q2	Q3	Q4 (hottest)	p-value ^a
Census region, n (%)						
Northeast	1268 (31.8%)	34 (3.4%)	330 (33.1%)	427 (43.0%)	477 (47.8%)	Ref.
Midwest	1095 (27.5%)	80 (8.1%)	197 (19.7%)	445 (44.8%)	373 (37.4%)	0.40
South	733 (18.4%)	197 (19.9%)	291 (29.2%)	101 (10.2%)	144 (14.4%)	<.0001
West	886 (22.3%)	681 (68.7%)	180 (18.0%)	21 (2.1%)	4 (0.4%)	<.0001
Population density (people/mile ²), n (%)						
0-3,999	1127 (28.3%)	183 (18.5%)	280 (28.1%)	315 (31.7%)	349 (35.0%)	Ref.
4,000-9,999	1880 (47.2%)	428 (43.2%)	459 (46.0%)	481 (48.4%)	512 (51.3%)	0.0001
≥10,000	975 (24.5%)	381 (38.4%)	259 (26.0%)	198 (19.9%)	137 (13.7%)	<.0001
Area deprivation index, mean (SD)	27.5 (38.1)	10.8 (28.2)	24.7 (37.2)	30.8 (38.2)	43.8 (40.2)	<.0001
% greenspace, mean (SD)	3.2% (6.5)	3.3% (0.8)	2.9% (6.4)	3.9% (6.8)	2.5% (4.7)	0.10
% African American/Black, mean (SD)	14.7% (20.2)	9.0% (13.5)	13.5% (19.2)	17.8% (24.5)	18.6% (20.7)	<.0001
% Hispanic/LatinX, mean (SD)	16.9% (21.1)	25.2% (23.9)	23.7% (26.5)	9.1% (11.5)	9.4% (12.2)	<.0001
Redlining score, n (%)						
"Best"	651 (16.4%)	219 (22.1%)	280 (28.1%)	125 (12.6%)	112 (11.2%)	Ref.
"Still desirable"	1027 (25.8%)	259 (26.1%)	216 (21.6%)	269 (27.1%)	283 (28.4%)	<.0001
"Definitely declining"	1574 (39.5%)	356 (35.9%)	379 (38.0%)	414 (41.7%)	425 (42.6%)	<.0001
"Hazardous"	730 (18.3%)	158 (15.9%)	208 (20.8%)	186 (18.7%)	178 (17.8%)	<.0001

Table 2. Sample neighborhood characteristics by neighborhood land surface temperature

Abbreviation: LST = land surface temperature; Q1 to Q4 = Quartile 1 to Quartile 4; Ref=reference group; ^a Unadjusted ordinal logistic regression

		Neighborhood redlining score				
				"Definitely		
	Overall sample	"Best"	"Still desirable"	declining"	"Hazardous"	
Characteristic	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	
All participants	6.4 (19.5)	8.5 (26.0)	6.5 (18.1)*	5.7 (17.5)*	5.9 (18.6)*	
Neighborhood peak summer LST z-score						
Q1 (coolest)	7.7 (20.4)	10.0 (27.1)	7.4 (17.6)	6.6 (18.0)	7.3 (18.8)	
Q2	6.3 (18.0)	8.3 (24.4)	5.7 (14.5)	5.1 (14.1)*	7.1 (20.4)	
Q3	6.4 (21.5)	10.7 (31.2)	7.7 (23.4)	5.1 (17.5)*	4.9 (18.3)*	
Q4 (hottest)	5.3 (17.9)**	3.6 (18.8)**	5.3 (15.2)	6.0 (19.8)	4.5 (16.3)	

Table 3. Minutes of neighborhood walking by redlining score and neighborhood summer peak land surface temperature

Abbreviation: LST = land surface temperature; Q1 to Q4 = Quartile 1 to Quartile 4; SD=standard deviation

* significantly different than neighborhoods scored as "best" in same row at p<0.05 (unadjusted linear regression with walking minutes as outcome and redlining score as predictor); ** p<0.05 for association between categorical LST z-score and minutes of neighborhood walking (unadjusted ordinal logistic regression)

Model	\mathbf{PR}^{a}	(95% CI)	p-value
Overall sample	0.85	0.74-0.98	0.02
Neighborhood had historic redlining score of "Definitely Declining" or "Hazardous"	0.99	0.82-1.21	0.95
Neighborhood had historic redlining score of "Still Desirable" or "Best"	0.77	0.58-1.01	0.059
Controlling for any advantion income man/athricity state			

Table 4. Association between neighborhood summer peak land surface temperature and minutes of neighborhood walking per day

^a Controlling for age, sex, education, income, race/ethnicity, state

Table 5. Association between dichotomous neighborhood redlining score and Neighborhood peak summer land surface temperature

Variable	Estimate (95% CI) ^a	p-value
Neighborhood scored as "definitely	0.103 (0.009-0.198)	0.03
declining" or hazardous" ^b		
^a Controlled for state of residence		
^b versus "still desirable" or "best"		