

## Appendix 1 - Details of assessment of VSLY in Nouna

The figure consists of two side-by-side population pyramids. Each pyramid has a vertical axis representing age groups from 0 to 100 and a horizontal axis representing gender (male on the left, female on the right). The left pyramid is titled "Communauté où 5 sur 100 personnes mourront" and features five red male icons at the top of the male section. The right pyramid is titled "Communauté où 3 sur 100 personnes mourront" and features three red male icons at the top of the male section. The remaining population is represented by black male and female icons.

**Appendix Fig. 1** Graphical representation of health risks, from ‘Value of statistical life year in extreme poverty: a randomized experiment of measurement methods in rural Burkina Faso’, Popul Health Metrics 19, 45 (2021) [1]

Given the very low literacy levels in Nouna, risk changes were chosen to allow meaningful communication; a feasible design for very low-income contexts that was first developed by Patenaude et al. (2019) [2]. During data collection, interviewers described two identical communities (with 100 people in each) which only differed in terms of the probability that each of its members may suffer a sudden and painless death in each year (Appendix Fig. 1). Respondents were told to imagine being one of these 100 people in one of these communities (lower risk community for WTA; larger-risk community for WTP), without knowing whether they will be one of those who live or die. They were then asked to specify the annual price they would pay to move to the lower-risk community (WTP), or the payment they require to move to the larger risk community (WTA).

## References

- 1.Trautmann ST, Xu Y, König-Kersting C, Patenaude BN, Harling G, Sié A, et al. Value of statistical life year in extreme poverty: a randomized experiment of measurement methods in rural Burkina Faso. Population Health Metrics. 2021;19(1):45.
  - 2.Patenaude BN, Semali I, Killewo J, Bärnighausen T. The Value of a Statistical Life-Year in Sub-Saharan Africa: Evidence From a Large Population-Based Survey in Tanzania. Value Health Reg Issues. 2019;19:151-6.

## Appendix 2 - Derivation of frailty scores

Weight loss was defined as self-reported loss of >4 kg over the last 12 months, low activity levels were defined as the highest quintile of self-reported hours of sitting per week for each sex. Self-reported exhaustion was taken as a positive response was to either statement, as above, from the CES-D instrument applying for at least 3–4 days per week. Low grip strength was the lowest quintile of BMI-adjusted grip for each sex and low walk speed was the lowest quintile of height-adjusted walk speed. Each domain (low grip strength, low walk speed, or self-reported weight loss, exhaustion, or low activity levels) scored 1 point giving a final total between 0 and 5 points. Participants with missing data on one or more of the physical assessment measures were categorised as ‘Frail’ based on previous work in South Africa.

**Appendix Table<sup>\*</sup> 1** Equations and cut-off values used to derive Fried frailty score

	<b>Men</b>	<b>Women</b>
Mean grip strength (kg)	44.9	31.1
Equation for adjusted grip strength	= maximum grip - ((BMI - 21.6) * 1.17).	= maximum grip - ((BMI – 22.4) * 0.44).
Low BMI-adjusted grip strength	<37.1kg	<25.0kg
Mean walk speed (m/s)	1.045	0.888
Equation for adjusted walk speed	= walk speed - ((height in cm - 172) * 0.00579)	= walk speed - ((height in cm - 162) * 0.00849)
Low height adjusted walk speed	<0.821m/s	<0.720m/s
Self-reported weight loss	>4kg in last year	>4kg in last year
Self-reported exhaustion (2 questions)	Response of ‘3 to 4 days a week’ or more often to either question	Response of ‘3 to 4 days a week’ or more often to either question
Self-reported low activity levels (denoted by hours sitting per week)	>=34 hours	>=36 hours


BMI, Body Mass Index.

\* Table taken with permission from: ‘Epidemiology of multimorbidity in conditions of extreme poverty: a population-based study of older adults in rural Burkina Faso’, BMJ Global Health 2020; 5:e002096 [1].

## References

1.Odlund ML, Payne C, Witham MD, Siedner MJ, Barnighausen T, Bountogo M, et al. Epidemiology of multimorbidity in conditions of extreme poverty: a population-based study of older adults in rural Burkina Faso. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2020;5(3):e002096.

## Appendix 3 – Details on sequential models of the relationship between frailty and VSLY



**Appendix Fig. 2** Forest plots of relationships between VSLY and frailty for each set of quintiles comparisons in each sequential binary logistic model. DAS, Disability Assessment Schedule; MM, MultiMorbidity; QoL, Quality of Life; SD, Sociodemographic variables; VSLY, Value of a Statistical Life Year

**Appendix Table 2** Results of binary logistic regression comparing each VSLY quintile with the first one in sequential models

Compared Quintiles	Model 1 (frailty)			Model 2 (Socio-demographic variables)			Model 3 (Socio-demographic variables aand QoL )			Model 4 (Socio-demographic variables, QoL, and Multimorbidity)			Model 5 (Socio-demographic variables, QoL, MM, and DAS)		
	OR	CI (95%)	Sig.	OR	CI (95%)	Sig.	OR	CI (95%)	Sig.	OR	CI (95%)	Sig.	OR	CI (95%)	Sig.
Q5 vs Q1 (1113 observations included in analysis)															
<b>Frailty</b> (ref. Non-frail)	0.49	0.38-0.62	<0.001	0.49	0.38-0.64	<0.001	0.46	0.35-0.60	<0.001	0.47	0.36-0.62	<0.001	0.48	0.37-0.64	<0.001
Age	-	-	-	1.00	0.99-1.02	0.699	1.00	0.98-1.01	0.590	1.00	0.98-1.01	0.612	1.00	0.99-1.02	0.847
Gender (ref. Male)	-	-	-	0.55	0.43-0.72	<0.001	0.52	0.39-0.67	<0.001	0.52	0.40-0.68	<.001	0.54	0.41-0.70	<0.001
Marital Status (ref. Married)	-	-	-	0.70	0.50-0.99	0.041	0.64	0.46-0.91	0.012	0.65	0.46-0.92	0.014	0.67	0.47-0.95	0.023
Education (ref. No education)	-	-	-	1.34	0.95-1.90	0.092	1.32	0.93-1.87	0.118	1.32	0.93-1.88	0.114	1.30	0.92-1.84	0.141
Wealth Quintile (ref. Q1)	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	
Q2	-	-	-	1.06	0.72-1.55	0.775	1.14	0.77-1.69	0.504	1.15	0.78-1.69	0.493	1.16	0.78-1.71	0.458
Q3	-	-	-	1.17	0.79-1.73	0.425	1.34	0.90-2.00	0.151	1.35	0.91-2.02	0.138	1.39	0.93-2.08	0.105
Q4	-	-	-	1.14	0.77-1.69	0.512	1.34	0.90-2.00	0.153	1.35	0.90-2.02	0.142	1.40	0.93-2.10	0.103
Q5	-	-	-	0.89	0.59-1.32	0.558	1.08	0.71-1.63	0.719	1.11	0.73-1.69	0.617	1.15	0.76-1.75	0.507
WHO QOL Score (normalised)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.98	0.97-0.99	<0.001	0.98	0.97-0.99	<0.001	0.98	0.97-0.99	<.001
Multimorbidity (ref. No MM)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.84	0.61-1.16	0.281	0.92	0.66-1.28	0.613



<b>Frailty</b> (ref. Non-frail)	0.77	0.61-0.99	0.039	0.77	0.59-0.99	0.045	0.74	0.57-0.97	0.028	0.76	0.59-0.99	0.046	0.77	0.59-1.01	0.056
Age	-	-	-	1.00	0.99-1.01	0.835	1.00	0.98-1.01	0.661	1.00	0.99-1.01	0.794	1.00	0.99-1.01	0.979
Gender (ref. Male)	-	-	-	0.98	0.76-1.27	0.907	0.96	0.74-1.24	0.750	0.97	0.75-1.26	0.824	0.98	0.76-1.27	0.886
Marital Status (ref. Married)	-	-	-	0.94	0.69-1.28	0.709	0.91	0.67-1.24	0.569	0.92	0.67-1.25	0.601	0.93	0.68-1.27	0.661
Education (ref. No education)	-	-	-	1.07	0.750-1.52	0.713	1.07	0.750-1.53	0.713	1.08	0.75-1.54	0.683	1.06	0.74-1.52	0.741
Wealth Q (ref. Q1)	-	-	-	-	-										
Q2	-	-	-	0.76	0.52-1.13	0.178	0.81	0.54-1.19	0.283	0.82	0.55-1.22	0.202	0.83	0.56-1.22	0.356
Q3	-	-	-	1.32	0.91-1.92	0.146	1.43	0.97-2.09	0.067	1.46	1.00-2.14	0.133	1.48	1.01-2.17	0.045
Q4	-	-	-	1.24	0.85-1.80	0.267	1.34	0.93-2.01	0.111	1.41	0.95-2.07	0.215	1.43	0.97-2.12	0.071
Q5	-	-	-	1.07	0.73-1.57	0.726	1.21	0.81-1.79	0.347	1.27	0.85-1.89	0.559	1.30	0.87-1.95	0.194
WHO QOLScore (normalised)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.99	0.98-1.00	0.008	0.99	0.98-1.00	0.004	0.98	0.97-0.99	0.002
Multimorbidity (ref. No MM)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.77	0.57-1.04	0.084	0.80	0.59-1.09	0.164
WHO DAS Score (normalised)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	0.99-1.00	0.340
Q2 vs Q1 (1118 observations included in analysis)															
<b>Frailty</b> (ref. Non-frail)	0.86	0.67-1.10	0.225	0.89	0.68-1.15	0.367	0.86	0.66-1.12	0.276	0.85	0.65-1.11	0.229	0.86	0.66-1.12	0.263
Age	-	-	-	1.00	0.99-1.01	0.716	1.00	0.99-1.01	0.963	1.00	0.99-1.01	0.941	1.00	0.99-1.01	0.759
Gender (ref. Male)	-	-	-	0.97	0.75-1.25	0.826	0.96	0.74-1.24	0.744	0.95	0.74-1.23	0.714	0.96	0.74-1.24	0.769

Marital Status (ref. Married)	-	-	-	0.88	0.65-1.20	0.416	0.87	0.64-1.18	0.359	0.86	0.63-1.17	0.335	0.87	0.64-1.19	0.395
Education (ref. No education)	-	-	-	1.03	0.72-1.47	0.880	1.02	0.72-1.46	0.898	1.03	0.72-1.47	0.880	1.02	0.71-1.46	0.924
Wealth Q (ref. Q1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q2	-	-	-	1.15	0.79-1.67	0.463	1.18	0.81-1.73	0.377	1.18	0.81-1.72	0.394	1.20	0.82-1.75	0.341
Q3	-	-	-	1.11	0.75-1.64	0.597	1.16	0.78-1.72	0.462	1.14	0.77-1.70	0.511	1.17	0.78-1.74	0.443
Q4	-	-	-	1.37	0.94-2.00	0.101	1.44	0.98-2.12	0.062	1.43	0.97-2.10	0.071	1.47	1.00-2.16	0.052
Q5	-	-	-	1.27	0.86-1.85	0.226	1.35	0.91-2.00	0.134	1.30	0.88-1.94	0.191	1.35	0.90-2.03	0.140
WHO QOL Score (normalised)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.99	0.98-1.00	0.166	0.99	0.98-1.00	0.211	0.99	0.98-1.00	0.128
Multimorbidity (ref. No MM)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.16	0.88-1.55	0.296	1.22	0.91-1.65	0.187
WHO DAS Score (normalised)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.99	0.99-1.00	0.295


DAS, Disability Assessment schedule; MM, multimorbidity; Q is quintile; QOL, Quality of Life

**Appendix Table 3** Results of fully adjusted multinomial model

Compared Quintile	OR	CI (95%)	Sig.
Q5			
<b>Frailty</b> (ref. Non-frail)	0.51	0.39-0.66	<0.001
Age	1.00	0.99-1.01	0.999
Gender (ref. Male)	0.55	0.42-0.72	<0.001
Marital Status (ref. Married)	0.72	0.52-1.01	0.058
Education (ref. No education)	1.28	0.91-1.81	0.150
Q1	0.85	0.56-1.28	0.425
Q2	0.99	0.67-1.46	0.952
Q3	1.16	0.78-1.72	0.468
Q4	1.18	0.80-1.74	0.400
Wealth Q (ref. Q5)	-	-	-
WHO QOL Score (normalised)	0.98	0.97-0.99	<0.001
Multimorbidity (ref. No MM)	0.91	0.66-1.26	0.571
WHO DAS Score (normalised)	0.99	0.98-1.00	0.017
Q4			
<b>Frailty</b> (ref. Robust)	0.63	0.49-0.83	<0.001
Age	1.00	0.99-1.01	0.876
Gender (ref. Male)	0.74	0.57-0.96	0.026
Marital Status (ref. Married)	0.87	0.63-1.19	0.374
Education (ref. No education)	0.91	0.63-1.31	0.609
Q1	0.83	0.55-1.24	0.355
Q2	1.04	0.71-1.54	0.829
Q3	1.14	0.77-1.69	0.503
Q4	1.03	0.70-1.53	0.863
Wealth Q (ref. Q5)	-	-	-
WHO QOL Score (normalised)	0.98	0.97-0.99	<0.001
Multimorbidity (ref. No MM)	0.97	0.71-1.32	0.833
WHO DAS Score (normalised)	0.99	0.98-1.00	0.160
Q3			
<b>Frailty</b> (ref. Non-frail)	0.78	0.60-1.01	0.058

Age	1.00	0.99-1.01	0.953
Gender (ref. Male)	0.96	0.74-1.25	0.785
Marital Status (ref. Married)	0.94	0.69-1.29	0.717
Education (ref. No education)	1.05	0.73-1.49	0.795
Q1	0.75	0.50-1.11	0.154
Q2	0.63	0.42-0.95	0.026
Q3	1.10	0.75-1.62	0.610
Q4	1.08	0.74-1.58	0.689
Wealth Q (ref. Q5)	-	-	-
WHO QOLScore (normalised)	0.98	0.97-0.99	0.002
Multimorbidity (ref. No MM)	0.80	0.59-1.09	0.162
WHO DAS Score (normalised)	1.00	0.99-1.00	0.355
Q2			
<b>Frailty</b> (ref. Non-frail)	0.86	0.66-1.12	0.262
Age	1.00	0.99-1.02	0.778
Gender (ref. Male)	0.95	0.73-1.23	0.709
Marital Status (ref. Married)	0.87	0.64-1.18	0.370
Education (ref. No education)	1.02	0.72-1.45	0.912
Q1	0.74	0.50-1.10	0.132
Q2	0.89	0.61-1.31	0.561
Q3	0.86	0.58-1.27	0.444
Q4	1.08	0.75-1.57	0.673
Wealth Q (ref. Q5)	-	-	-
WHO QOLScore (normalised)	0.99	0.98-1.00	0.165
Multimorbidity (ref. No MM)	1.20	0.89-1.61	0.232
WHO DAS Score (normalised)	1.00	0.99-1.00	0.385

DAS, Disability Assessment schedule; MM, multimorbidity; Q is quintile; QOL, Quality of Life



**Appendix Fig. 3** Forest plots of relationships between VSLY and frailty for each set of quintiles comparisons in multinomial regression model (sensitivity analyses)

