Supplementary information

A. Is elimination necessary to ensure health care provision?

⁷¹⁷ Illustrative model of peak incidence and hospital occupancy

The SIR-type model that we describe in this section can be used between the periods of elimination to model the spread of community infections, when a community outbreak is occurring. Regions with low testing capacity, low contact tracing capacity, or low hospital capacity may need to implement strict community NPIs as soon as community cases are detected because capacity is already close to being exceeded. After strict community NPIs are implemented, at time I_{start} , the peak number of active cases I_{max} , will occur a few days later (Figure A1, grey lines). Consider the dynamics of incubation, infection, and hospital occupancy:

$$\frac{dE(t)}{dt} = \beta(A(t) + I(t)) - \sigma E(t), \qquad (1)$$

$$\frac{dA(t)}{dt} = \rho\sigma E(t) - \gamma A(t), \qquad (2)$$

⁷²⁸
$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = (1-\rho)\sigma E(t) - (1-h)\gamma I(t) - h\eta I(t),$$
(3)

$$\frac{dH(t)}{dt} = h\eta I(t) - \delta H(t), \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = (1-\rho)\sigma E(t), \qquad (5)$$

where E(t) are individuals exposed to the virus, A(t) are infected asymptomatic individuals, I(t) are infected symptomatic individuals (or 'active cases'), H(t) are hospitalized individuals and C(t) corresponds to the cumulative number of symptomatic infections. We assume that strict community NPIs are implemented at time $t = t_{\text{start}}$, and this is reflected as an instantaneous reduction in the transmission rate to $\beta = \beta_{\text{strict}}$ at $t = t_{\text{start}}$ that lasts until strict NPIs are relaxed. For an elimination strategy to be necessary it is required that

740

$$\beta_{\text{mild}}(A(t_0) + I(t_0)) - \gamma((1-h)I(t_0) + A(t_0)) - h\eta I(t_0) > 0, \tag{6}$$

such that when the disease establishes at $t = t_0$, it spreads, and for an elimination strategy to be feasible it is required that

$$\beta_{\text{strict}}(A(t_{\text{start}}) + I(t_{\text{start}})) - \gamma((1-h)I(t_{\text{start}}) + A(t_{\text{start}})) - h\eta I(t_{\text{start}}) < 0, \tag{7}$$

which is necessary for cases to decrease, where $\beta = \beta_{\text{mild}}$ is the transmission rate prior to the implementation of strict NPIs. The variable C(t) is cumulative symptomatic cases, and the daily change in C(t) is incidence, also referred to as new cases (Figure A1(A), blue lines).

After the implementation of strict NPIs at time t_{start} there is a delay until active cases, I(t), 744 decline which is due to the time it takes for individuals infected just before t_{start} to develop 745 symptoms, and the average duration of this incubation period is $1/\sigma$ (see Fig. A1). A fraction, 746 ρ of individuals exposed do not develop symptoms, but also become infective $1/\sigma$ days after 747 exposure. Of individuals that are active cases, a fraction h will require hospitalization, and this 748 occurs, on average, $1/\eta$ days after becoming an active case. The average duration of hospital 749 occupancy is $1/\delta$ days and the average duration of infectiousness for both symptomatic and 750 asymptomatic individuals is $1/\gamma$ days. 751



Fig. A1: The relationship between peak incidence, prevalence, and hospital occupancy. (A-D) are scenarios (Eqs. 1-5) where strict NPIs (red bars) are implemented after $t_{\text{start}} = 10$ days of mild restrictions (green bars), and are either very effective (top row, $\beta_{\text{strict}} = 0.01$) or less effective (middle row, $\beta_{\text{strict}} = 0.12$). (E-F) Data from an outbreak of the Alpha variant on the Avalon Peninsula, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada (bottom row), with strict NPIs (red bars) implemented from February 12 - March 12, 2021 (Alert level 5 on the Avalon peninsula), moderate NPIs (orange bars) implemented from March 13 - March 26, 2021 (Alert level 4 on the Avalon peninsula), and with mild NPIs (Alert level 2 for the whole province) shown with green bars. Active cases (prevalence), I(t), is scaled to have the same maximum value as incidence or hospital occupancy (A-F; grey shaded area). Maximum prevalence is $I_{\text{max}} = 547,603$, and 434 for each of: A and B; C and D; and E and F, respectively. Peak incidence (blue lines) occurs at the same time as the implementation of strict NPIs for the scenarios (A and C), and occurs one day before the implementation of strict NPIs for the Avalon peninsula outbreak (E and F). Prevalence (grey shaded region) peaks 4, 6 and 8 days after the implementation of strict NPIs in: A and B; C and D; and E and F, respectively. For the scenarios, peak hospital occupancy (purple lines) occurs 19 (B) and 22 (D) days after the implementation of strict NPIs, and is substantially greater at 10.4 (B) and 12.7 (D) individuals relative to hospital occupancy when strict restrictions are initially implemented (2.7 individuals in both B and D). For the Alpha variant outbreak on the Avalon peninsula, hospital occupancy peaks twice with 11 people hospitalized 14 and 17 days after the implementation of strict NPIs, and with 0 people hospitalized when strict restrictions were initially implemented (F). Parameter values are: $\beta_{\text{mild}} = 2, \sigma = \gamma = \delta = 1/5 \text{ days}^{-1}$. $\rho = 0.3$, and $\eta = 1/2$ days⁻¹. Initial values of the variables are: $E(t_0) = 10$, $A(t_0) = I(t_0) = C(t_0) = 5$ and $H(t_0) = 0$, with $t_0 = 0$.

The model is designed to investigate the timing and magnitude of peak incidence and peak hospital occupancy relative to the timing of the implementation of strict NPIs and incidence

and hospital occupancy when strict NPIs are implemented. The formulation assumes that the 754 fraction of individuals susceptible to infection does not change, and this quantity is understood 755 to be included in the definition of β . Further assumptions include that asymptomatic and 756 symptomatic individuals are equally infectious for the same duration, symptoms and infec-757 tiousness occur concurrently, hospitalized individuals are not infectious, and there is a general 758 lack of detail regarding contact tracing, testing, case reporting, and population heterogeneity 759 and movement in the model. Any or all of these assumptions might be relaxed, and doing 760 so is unlikely to change the main conclusions, for example, that peak incidence occurs at the 761 time when strict NPIs are implemented, peak prevalence occurs a few days later, and peak 762 hospitalization occurs a few weeks later. 763

In Melbourne, Australia, frequently peak incidence did not coincide with the implemen-764 tation of strict NPIs [53, 108]. In considering Melbourne, Australia, there may be a violation 765 of our requirement that elimination is feasible (Eq. 7) as defined above. 766

The code to produce Fig. A1 is available at https://github.com/ahurford/elimination-767 or-mitigation. 768

B. Frequency of community outbreaks and disease severity 769 for different COVID-19 variants of concern 770

B.1 Estimating the proportion of days with mild restrictions when im-771 plementing an elimination strategy 772

When an elimination approach to SARS-CoV-2 is applied, strict restrictions are implemented 773 to bring the number of cases back to zero whenever a community outbreak occurs, and restric-774 tions are relaxed afterwards. We are interested in broadly estimating the expected percentage 775 of days when mild restrictions are implemented for different viral variants (i.e., the percentage 776 of 'green' zones during the time period characterised by T_i in Fig. 1).

777

792

We know that the SARS-CoV-2 Alpha variant was 1.5 more transmissible than the original 778 variant [65], that the Delta variant is 1.6 more transmissible than Alpha [129], and that the 779 Omicron variant is 3.3 more transmissible than Delta [80]. The Alpha variant arrived in 780 Canada at the end of December 2020 [114]. Newfoundland and Labrador experienced a 781 community outbreak of Alpha variant in February 2021, and no successive outbreak until 782 the end of April 2021 [27]. Therefore, we use 120 days as an estimate for the expected time 783 between community outbreaks (T_i) due to the Alpha variant. Respective estimates for other 784 variants are provided in Table B1. 785

Additionally, we consider an elimination strategy that is implemented when I_{max} active 786 cases are already present in the community, and we calculate the time needed to reduce the 787 number of active cases from I_{max} to I_{end} . This time interval is shown as T_e in Fig. 1, where 788 $I_{\rm max}$ and $I_{\rm end}$ represent, respectively, the number of active cases when the outbreak is detected 789 and when strict restrictions are released. Variation in the number of active cases over time is 790 based on the infection transmission rate β and recovery rate γ , and can be formulated as: 791

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = I(t)(\beta - \gamma), \tag{8}$$

with $\beta/\gamma = R_c$ being the control reproductive number. We base our calculation on the 793 assumption that once the outbreak is detected, the number of cases decays exponentially 794 over time, where $I(t) = I_{\max} e^{\gamma (R_c - 1)t}$ and $R_c < 1$ when strict measures are implemented. 795 We also assume that no other outbreak occurs during this time, and we do not account for 796

⁷⁹⁷ travel-related cases that do not cause community cases. We find that

$$I_{\text{end}} = I_{\text{start}} e^{\gamma(R_c - 1)T_e} = I_{\text{end}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad T_e = \frac{\ln(I_{\text{start}}/I_{\text{end}})}{\gamma(1 - R_c)}.$$
(9)

We consider that the control reproduction number with strict restrictions implemented is $R_c = 0.1$ for the original strain, $I_{\text{max}} = 50$ and $I_{\text{end}} = 1$ and calculate the corresponding control reproduction number for different variants of concern taking into account their increased transmissibility [65, 129, 80]. We consider a recovery rate of 1/7 days⁻¹. Finally, we calculate the expected percentage of days for when mild restrictions can be implemented, given an elimination strategy, as

805

% of days with mild restrictions =
$$\begin{cases} \frac{T_i - T_e}{T_i} \times 100 & \text{for } T_i > T_e \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(10)

⁸⁰⁶ Estimates are provided in Table B1. Results are shown in Fig. 3.

B.2 Estimating disease severity

Using the estimates of hospitalization rates for different viral variants in vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals [87], and Newfoundland and Labrador vaccination rates [28], we estimate the average number of hospitalizations per 1000 infections in Newfoundland and Labrador when variants were established in the province [114]. Estimates are provided in Table B1 and Fig. 3.

Table B1:	Estimates	of SARS-CoV-	2 variants	epidemiological	characteristics	in	Newfoundland	and
Labrador ((NL) used t	o produce Fig. 3	3.					

	Original	Alpha	Delta	Omicron
Data of establishment in NL [7]	Mar 14, 2020	Feb 12, 2021	Apr 28, 2021	Dec 15, 2021
Percent of NL population vac-				
cinated with one dose when the	_	0.93%	26.46%	6.41%
variant was established [6]				
Percent of NL population vac-				
cinated with two doses when	-	0.78%	1.86%	85.33%
the variant was established [6]				
Average probability of hospi-				
talization for unvaccinated in-	2.3%	3.84%	5.50%	1.82%
dividuals [5]				
Average probability of hospi-				
talization for individuals vacci-	-	1.79%	2.14%	1.27%
nated with one dose [5]				
Average probability of hospi-			~	
talization for individuals vacci-	-	_	1.73%	1.01%
nated with two doses [5]				
Average hospitalizations per				
1000 cases, given NL vaccina-	23	38	34	11
tion rates when the variant was				
established				
Estimated time between com-	100.1	100 1		
munity outbreaks (parameter	180 days	120 days	75 days	23 days
T_i				
Estimated duration of periods				
of strict restrictions to bring	30 days	32 days	36 days	132 days
the number of cases from 50 to				
1 (i.e. parameter T_e in Eq. (9))				
If elimination is implemented,				
estimated % of days during	83.1%	73.2%	52.0%	0%
which mild restriction are im-				
plemented				