

Mapping psychological distress, depression and anxiety measures to
AQoL-6D utility using data from a sample of young people
presenting to primary mental health services
Online Supplement

A Additional tables

Table A.1: 10-fold cross-validated model fitting index for different GLM and OLS models using PHQ-9 as predictor with the baseline data

Model	Training model fit			Testing model fit		
	R2	RMSE	MAE	R2	RMSE	MAE
OLS						
No transformation	0.551	0.157	0.124	0.545	0.157	0.124
Complementary log log transformation	0.542	0.159	0.123	0.536	0.159	0.124
Logit transformation	0.519	0.163	0.124	0.513	0.162	0.124
Log transformation	0.501	0.166	0.130	0.493	0.166	0.131
Log log transformation	0.484	0.169	0.128	0.477	0.168	0.128
GLM						
Gaussian distribution and log link	0.536	0.160	0.126	0.530	0.160	0.126
Beta distribution and complementary log log link	0.551	0.157	0.124	0.545	0.157	0.124
Beta distribution and logit link	0.548	0.158	0.124	0.543	0.158	0.124

Results are averaged over ten folds. RMSE: Root Mean Squared Error; MAE: Mean Absolute Error

Table A.2: 10-fold cross-validated model fitting index for different candidate predictors estimated using GLM with Gaussian distribution and log link with the baseline data

Model	Training model fit			Testing model fit		
	R2	RMSE	MAE	R2	RMSE	MAE
PHQ-9	0.536	0.160	0.126	0.530	0.160	0.126
OASIS	0.450	0.174	0.139	0.450	0.174	0.139
BADS	0.434	0.177	0.143	0.431	0.177	0.144
GAD-7	0.428	0.178	0.144	0.425	0.177	0.144
K6	0.397	0.182	0.143	0.395	0.182	0.143
SCARED	0.397	0.182	0.147	0.394	0.182	0.147

Results are averaged over ten folds. RMSE: Root Mean Squared Error; MAE: Mean Absolute Error

Table A.3: Estimated coefficients from utility mapping models based on individual candidate predictors with Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale using GLMM (Gaussian distribution and log link)

Parameter	Estimate	SE	CI (95%)	R2	Sigma
PHQ-9 SOFAS model				0.656	0.139
SD (Intercept)	0.107	0.014	0.08, 0.13		
Intercept	-0.291	0.058	-0.41, -0.17		
PHQ-9 baseline	-4.268	0.115	-4.50, -4.04		
PHQ-9 change	-3.570	0.167	-3.90, -3.24		
SOFAS baseline	0.421	0.078	0.27, 0.57		
SOFAS change	0.385	0.112	0.16, 0.61		
OASIS SOFAS model				0.684	0.133
SD (Intercept)	0.158	0.011	0.14, 0.18		
Intercept	-0.665	0.062	-0.78, -0.54		
OASIS baseline	-5.468	0.179	-5.82, -5.12		
OASIS change	-5.076	0.256	-5.59, -4.57		
SOFAS baseline	0.826	0.086	0.65, 0.99		
SOFAS change	0.655	0.106	0.45, 0.86		
BADS SOFAS model				0.642	0.141
SD (Intercept)	0.171	0.011	0.15, 0.19		
Intercept	-1.681	0.060	-1.80, -1.56		
BADS baseline	0.966	0.037	0.89, 1.04		
BADS change	0.736	0.046	0.64, 0.83		
SOFAS baseline	0.561	0.095	0.38, 0.75		
SOFAS change	0.597	0.120	0.36, 0.84		
K6 SOFAS model				0.590	0.152
SD (Intercept)	0.149	0.016	0.12, 0.18		
Intercept	-0.645	0.068	-0.78, -0.51		
K6 baseline	-3.742	0.150	-4.04, -3.45		
K6 change	-3.036	0.200	-3.43, -2.65		
SOFAS baseline	0.834	0.091	0.66, 1.01		
SOFAS change	0.765	0.122	0.53, 1.00		
SCARED SOFAS model				0.630	0.144
SD (Intercept)	0.162	0.012	0.14, 0.18		
Intercept	-0.782	0.065	-0.91, -0.65		
SCARED baseline	-1.238	0.048	-1.33, -1.14		
SCARED change	-1.214	0.081	-1.37, -1.06		
SOFAS baseline	0.995	0.089	0.82, 1.17		

Table A.3: Estimated coefficients from utility mapping models based on individual candidate predictors with Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale using GLMM (Gaussian distribution and log link) (*continued*)

Parameter	Estimate	SE	CI (95%)	R2	Sigma
SOFAS change	0.961	0.115	0.74, 1.19		
GAD-7 SOFAS model				0.625	0.145
SD (Intercept)	0.137	0.013	0.11, 0.16		
Intercept	-0.753	0.061	-0.88, -0.63		
GAD-7 baseline	-4.209	0.143	-4.49, -3.92		
GAD-7 change	-3.669	0.206	-4.07, -3.27		
SOFAS baseline	0.960	0.084	0.80, 1.13		
SOFAS change	0.739	0.116	0.51, 0.96		

Note: The BADS, GAD-7, K6, OASIS, PHQ-9, SCARED and SOFAS parameters were first multiplied by 0.01.

Table A.4: Estimated coefficients from utility mapping models based on individual candidate predictors with Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale using LMM (complementary log log transformation)

Parameter	Estimate	SE	CI (95%)	R2	Sigma
PHQ-9 SOFAS model				0.767	0.406
SD (Intercept)	0.348	0.017	0.31, 0.38		
Intercept	0.428	0.129	0.17, 0.69		
PHQ-9 baseline	-9.115	0.249	-9.60, -8.62		
PHQ-9 change	-7.331	0.339	-8.01, -6.66		
SOFAS baseline	0.960	0.172	0.62, 1.29		
SOFAS change	1.146	0.235	0.67, 1.61		
OASIS SOFAS model				0.773	0.401
SD (Intercept)	0.405	0.017	0.37, 0.44		
Intercept	-0.242	0.134	-0.50, 0.02		
OASIS baseline	-11.522	0.379	-12.28, -10.77		
OASIS change	-10.757	0.499	-11.75, -9.78		
SOFAS baseline	1.621	0.183	1.26, 1.98		
SOFAS change	1.693	0.229	1.25, 2.15		
BADS SOFAS model				0.744	0.427
SD (Intercept)	0.439	0.018	0.40, 0.47		
Intercept	-2.549	0.124	-2.79, -2.31		
BADS baseline	2.069	0.080	1.91, 2.22		
BADS change	1.606	0.092	1.43, 1.79		
SOFAS baseline	1.258	0.207	0.85, 1.66		
SOFAS change	1.528	0.243	1.05, 2.00		
K6 SOFAS model				0.731	0.436
SD (Intercept)	0.443	0.018	0.41, 0.48		
Intercept	-0.296	0.152	-0.60, 0.00		
K6 baseline	-8.161	0.333	-8.80, -7.52		
K6 change	-6.364	0.381	-7.12, -5.62		
SOFAS baseline	1.805	0.202	1.41, 2.21		
SOFAS change	1.990	0.244	1.51, 2.47		
SCARED SOFAS model				0.736	0.432
SD (Intercept)	0.419	0.018	0.38, 0.46		
Intercept	-0.615	0.137	-0.88, -0.35		
SCARED baseline	-2.654	0.101	-2.85, -2.45		
SCARED change	-2.774	0.162	-3.09, -2.46		
SOFAS baseline	2.169	0.188	1.80, 2.53		

Table A.4: Estimated coefficients from utility mapping models based on individual candidate predictors with Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale using LMM (complementary log log transformation) (*continued*)

Parameter	Estimate	SE	CI (95%)	R2	Sigma
SOFAS change	2.337	0.236	1.87, 2.80		
GAD-7 SOFAS model				0.745	0.425
SD (Intercept)	0.406	0.018	0.37, 0.44		
Intercept	-0.571	0.132	-0.83, -0.31		
GAD-7 baseline	-8.900	0.306	-9.49, -8.29		
GAD-7 change	-7.601	0.398	-8.37, -6.81		
SOFAS baseline	2.111	0.182	1.75, 2.47		
SOFAS change	1.866	0.237	1.41, 2.33		

Note: The BADS, GAD-7, K6, OASIS, PHQ-9, SCARED and SOFAS parameters were first multiplied by 0.01.