

Why didn't people get vaccinated against COVID-19? Results from a nationwide survey among Mexican adults

Dagmara Wrzecionkowska, Christopher R. Stephens, Juan Pablo Gutiérrez Reyes

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM)

Abstract

Objective: To explore the reasons for not getting vaccinated against COVID-19.

Material and methods: In October 2021, a nationwide structured telephone survey (disproportionate stratified sampling) was conducted regarding COVID-19 pandemics, including vaccination experience. To examine associations between inoculation and other characteristics, the chi-square test and logistic regression analysis were applied.

Results: Out of 3 126 adults, 68% reported complete vaccination and 21% only the first dose, while 11% remained unvaccinated. Non-vaccination was associated with being younger, male, without a partner, low socioeconomic level, and no previous diagnosis of hypertension, obesity or diabetes. Furthermore, the non-vaccinated were less likely to have tested for COVID-19, and more likely to consider COVID-19 as low severe and not real compared with the vaccinated. Using logistic regression models: place of residence, marital status, educational level, age, BMI, testing for COVID-19, and the perception of COVID-19 (severe and real) were significant predictors of non-vaccination. The predominant reasons for not getting vaccinated were: 63% "external barriers" (e.g., not being able to attend an appointment), and 37% "internal motives" (e.g., "vaccine does not work").

Conclusions: The causes of non-vaccination against COVID-19 are related to both social and geographical determinants. Addressing external barriers is necessary in order to promote equity in vaccination. Reviewing the results in the context of earlier studies on the willingness to vaccinate, the gap between intention and vaccination is notable.

Keywords: COVID-19, unvaccinated, reasons for non-vaccination.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has accounted for 6.7 million deaths worldwide up to January 2023. Mexico is one of the most affected countries, with the highest case-fatality ratio (deaths per 100 confirmed cases).¹ In the case of epidemics, vaccination is considered one of the most effective ways to combat a disease at the population level.² In Mexico, the SARS-CoV-2 vaccination program began in December 2020. In October 2021, 78% of adults had received at least one dose (the period of data collection for this study), leaving 22% as unvaccinated.³

Vaccine Hesitancy (VH) defined as “the reluctance or refusal to vaccinate despite the availability of vaccines”, was recognized by the WHO in 2019 as one of the top ten health threats.² According to the WHO vaccine advisory group, VH is affected by: convenience, confidence and complacency. Convenience refers to the ease of obtaining the service, considering external barriers, such as vaccine availability, affordability and quality. Confidence relates to the degree of trust placed in the safety and effectiveness of the vaccine, competence, and reliability of those who apply it and the policy makers. Complacency includes the perception of risk level from contracting a disease vs. risks associated with getting vaccinated. Despite of including convenience, the Strategic Advisory Group of Experts Working Group (SAGE WG) has noted that the scope of vaccine hesitancy excludes external factors on the public health level associated with vaccine accessibility.⁴

In the case of the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, its acceptance varies across countries. Among 81 studies reviewed by Shakeel at al.⁵ acceptance levels ranged from 97% in Ecuador to 21% in Lebanon. Lazarus at al.⁶, based on a survey conducted in June 2020, reported a world average of 71.5%, and, in Mexico, 75%. A better understanding of the reasons behind VH would allow the design of more effective vaccination campaigns. Although several studies have already estimated the levels and factors associated with VH worldwide, and specifically in Mexico, the majority of these were conducted prior to SARS-Cov-2 vaccine availability. The objective of this study was to identify the

level of COVID-19 non-vaccination in Mexico and the predictors of remaining unvaccinated. The secondary objective was to identify reasons given for not getting vaccinated.

Materials and methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted analyzing data collected between September and October 2021 via a telephone- survey of individuals living in Mexico. Telephone numbers were randomly selected using prefixes to approximate the geographical distribution of the population in the country (non-proportional stratified random sampling). Sample size (n) was estimated based on the formula $n = \frac{p(100-p)z^2}{E^2}$, p representing the percentage occurrence of a condition, E the percentage maximum error required, and z the value corresponding to level of confidence required. For this study the following values were applied: p=50%, z=1.96, E=2%, assuming a response rate of 50%, so a total of 6000 numbers were selected to ensure 3000 complete interviews.

The questionnaire was designed *ad hoc* based on other instruments, with variables grouped into four sections:

1. **Socio-demographics and socio-economic status (SES):** age, sex, educational level, marital status, region of residence.
2. **Vaccination against COVID-19 status:** first dose only, complete vaccination, non-vaccination; type of vaccine received, reasons behind not getting vaccinated with multiple response options.
3. **COVID-19:** testing and report of the disease from the individual and other in her/his household. with items: Have you had any tests done to find out if you have COVID-19? Have you had a positive COVID-19 test result? Have you been diagnosed with COVID-19 by a doctor? Do you think you have had COVID-19? Has anyone in your household other than you had COVID-19? And perception of COVID-19 with items: Do you think COVID-19 is real? with response options: yes/no; How serious do you consider COVID-19 on a scale from 1 to 5? 5 meaning very severe.

4. **Other health status items:** comorbidities (previous diagnosis of diabetes and hypertension from a health professional); Body Mass Index (BMI), calculated with self-reported weight and height; smoking; hand washing frequency on the day of the interview and the number of facemasks owned.

Individuals were grouped into the following geographical regions, defined to assure similar numbers of participants per region: North, State of Mexico, Mexico City, Other central states, and South.⁷ A socioeconomic status (SES) index with 5 categories was calculated as the sum of five items: having a desktop computer, a laptop, a car, paid TV and internet connection at home.

Informed consent was obtained from each participant. The protocol for the research reported in this paper was approved by the Ethics Committee of the School of Medicine, National Autonomous University of Mexico (FMED/CEI/PMSS/153/2023).

Analysis

Data from the participants who provided complete information (n=3126) were analyzed with a chi-square test to compare all characteristics for the vaccinated and unvaccinated. Two logistic models were built using forced-entry logistic regression. The first model assessed the associations between getting vaccinated with a first dose against COVID-19 (dependent variable, with response options yes/no) and other variables. In the second model the dependent variable was not getting vaccinated due to internal barriers vs. external barriers. The same independent variables were applied as in the first model. There were no issues of multicollinearity between the independent variables. Adjusted odds ratios (AORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated adjusting for age (introduced as a continuous variable), marital status (nominal dichotomous), residence area (nominal dummy coded, with Mexico City as a reference), educational level (ordinal), sex (nominal dichotomous) and socio economic status (ordinal variable). In the first model, only the first four variables were significant, and in the second only age and education.

All statistical analyses were performed with IBM® SPSS version 25, with a p value of 0.05 taken as the level of significance.

Results

A total of 3,126 adults completed the survey (65% women), from 18 to 92 years old (mean 43 +/-16). Of those, 56% were married or lived together, 32% had education level below secondary or secondary, 34% high school, 34% undergraduate or graduate. The sample covered all 32 states of Mexico, with a predominant representation from Mexico City 24% and State of Mexico 19%.

A total of 1294 individuals (41% of the sample) reported having been tested for COVID-19, with 444 (34% out of those tested) obtaining a positive result. Reported prevalence of COVID-19 in the total sample was 30% (n=923), this included those with a positive test result, diagnosed by a doctor and/or those who considered having had COVID-19.

Regarding COVID-19 vaccination, 68% (n=2125) reported having received two doses (or a single-shot vaccine like Cansino) and 21% (n=654) only a first dose, while 11% (n=347) were unvaccinated. The two most frequently applied vaccines were AstraZeneca (42%) and Pfizer (28%), followed by Sinovac (11%), Sputnik V (9%), and Cansino (6%). With respect to the participants' beliefs, only 3% considered COVID-19 as unreal and only 4% considered it little severe. The number of facemask that participants owned on average was 23 (+/-54), and the frequency of hands washing on the day of the interview on average was 10 (+/-8).

The sample consisted predominantly of those with overweightedness and obesity (65%), the group with normal weight represented 34%, and those with underweightedness 2%. 15% indicated having been diagnosed with diabetes and 18% with hypertension (Table I).

Table I
Descriptive characteristics for the whole sample n= 3126

Group of variables	Variable (M , SD)	Category	n	%
Socio-demographics and socio-economic status	Sex	Men	1086	35
		Women	2040	65
	Age M=43 +/-16	18-29	670	21
		30-39	790	25
		40-49	609	20
		50-59	490	16
		60+	567	18
	Marital status	Not in a relationship	1378	44
		In a relationship	1727	55
		no response	21	1
	Educational level	Secondary or lower	997	32
		High school	1046	34
		University	1070	34
		no response	13	0
	SES	0	260	8
		1	352	11
		2	552	18
3		753	24	
4		799	26	
Region of residence	5	410	13	
	North	558	18	
	State of Mexico	583	19	
	Mexico City	742	24	
	Other central states	520	17	
Vaccination against COVID-19 status	Vaccination status	South	723	23
		First dose only	654	21
		Complete vaccination	2125	68
	Type of vaccine received	Non-vaccination	347	11
		AstraZeneca	1161	42
		Pfizer	783	28
		Sinovac	311	11
		Sputnik V	250	9
		Cansino	171	6
		Other	103	4
COVID-19	Tested for COVID-19	Yes	1294	41
		No	1832	59
	Positive COVID-19 test result	Yes	444	34
		No	850	66
	COVID-19 diagnosed by health professional	Yes	546	18
		No	2580	82
	Thinks had COVID-19	Yes	373	15
		No	2207	85
	Cohabitant had COVID-19	Yes	795	25
		No	2331	75
	COVID-19 severe	A little	128	4
		Somewhat	349	11
Very		2649	85	
COVID-19 real	Yes	3047	97	
	No	79	3	
Other health status items	Diabetes	Diagnosed	452	15
		Not diagnosed	2674	85

Hypertension	Diagnosed	559	18
	Not diagnosed	2544	81
	no response	23	1
BMI M=27.0 +/-4.8	Underweight	46	2
	Normal weight	1071	34
	Overweight	1306	42
	Obese	703	23
Smokes	Yes	727	23
	No	2399	77
Number of facemasks owned	≤ 3	874	28
	4-7	690	22
	8-20	868	28
	>20	694	22
Hand washing frequency	≤ 5	1057	34
	6-8	694	22
	9-12	675	22
	>12	700	22

A comparison between vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals is reported in Table II. Being younger, male, without a partner, low SES, residing in the State of Mexico or Other Central State, not having comorbidities, smoking, not considering COVID-19 a real or severe disease, and adopting COVID-19 protective behaviors less frequently were all statistically significant predictors for not getting vaccinated.


Within the group of the unvaccinated (n=347), 63% (n= 220) of the individuals reported “external” barriers for non-vaccination. Those barriers included not being able to attend a vaccination appointment, while 37% (n=127) reported “internal” reasons, such as beliefs that the vaccine was harmful or ineffective, or there was a lack of interest (Figure I).

Not getting vaccinated for internal reasons vs. external ones was associated with being between 50-59 years of age; with educational level no higher than secondary or having a university degree; residing in northern states; not living with someone who had COVID-19, and perceiving COVID-19 as not severe or not real (Table II).

When asked for reasons for not getting vaccinated, participants could elaborate if they considered that none of the provided response options applied to them. Within the additional responses several

could fit the provided options. Additional reasons for not getting vaccinated encompassed e.g., health problems such as allergies, being pregnant, skepticism as to the virus existence, personal/religious beliefs. Some provided specific reasons for not being able to assist, such as not having a valid ID, not knowing how to register, or not being able to walk - see Figure I.

Figure I
Reasons for (not) getting vaccinated



NOTE: Participants could choose multiple response options; * if all reasons summed up

Other reasons for getting vaccinated (n=65)	Other reasons for not getting vaccinated (n=62)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RECO FROM IMPORTANT OTHER: doctor's advice, recommendation, pressure from children. • REQUIREMENT: to work, to go to gym, it will be a requirement. • ETHICS: responsibility, solidarity, ethics, respect for others. • RULES: to comply with rules, with society, duty. • NECESSITY: it is necessary, wanted, believing that the disease is real. • HABIT: always get vaccinated. • RISK MANAGEMENT: to avoid getting severely sick (again), reduce risks, decrease mortality. • PROTECTION: protect yourself and others, for health / safety /security your own and of others. • FEAR: fear of getting infected, fear of dying. • EXPERIENCE: to see what it feels like, to get the experience. • FREEDOM: to travel. • HOPE: hope. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • VACCINE UNAVAILABILITY: vaccine unavailable for a given age range, vaccines did not arrive, no access to vaccine, waiting for stragglers. • LACK OF INFORMATION: no information. • MOMENT SPECIFIC HEALTH CONCERN: sick with COVID, with flu, in treatment, hospitalized, pregnancy. • PERSONAL PHYSICAL BARRIERS: disabled, cannot walk, lives alone, do not know how to register, without official id. • BROADER HEALTH CONCERN: allergy, health problems, doctor advice. • DISTRUST TOWARDS VACCINE: vaccine is unsafe, risky; distrust, fear, want to wait and see. • COVID SCEPTICISM: do not believe that the virus exists, doing own research. • DISLIKE OF VACCINES: do not like vaccines. • BELIEFS: religious beliefs, personal reasons. • NO MOTIVATION: lack of time, laziness, don't know.

Table II
Comparison between: 1. vaccinated with one or more doses and the unvaccinated; and 2. unvaccinated due to internal barriers vs. external barriers, applying a chi squared test.

Variable	Categories	All Vaccinated 1 st dose at least				P	All Unvaccinated due to:				P
		Yes		No			Internal reasons		External reasons		
		n=2779 (89%)		n=347 (11%)			n= 127 (37%)		n= 220 (63%)		
Sex	Men	940	34%	146	42%	0.002	60	47%	86	39%	0.138
	Women	1839	66%	201	58%		67	53%	134	61%	
Age	18-29	498	18%	172	50%	<.001	33	26%	139	63%	<.001
	30-39	715	26%	75	22%		37	29%	38	17%	
	40-49	560	20%	49	14%		23	18%	26	12%	
	50-59	468	17%	22	6%		17	13%	5	2%	
	60+	538	19%	29	8%		17	13%	12	6%	
Marital status	Not in a relationship	1175	43%	203	59%	<.001	59	47%	144	65%	0.001
	In a relationship	1585	57%	142	41%		66	53%	76	35%	
Educational level	Secondary or lower	877	32%	120	35%	0.074	55	44%	65	30%	<.001
	High school	922	33%	124	36%		28	22%	96	44%	
	University	971	35%	99	29%		42	34%	57	26%	
SES	0	220	8%	40	11%	0.006	17	13%	23	11%	0.244
	1	305	11%	47	13%		20	16%	27	12%	
	2	477	17%	75	22%		26	20%	49	22%	
	3	676	24%	77	22%		21	17%	56	25%	
	4	728	26%	71	21%		25	20%	46	21%	
	5	373	14%	37	11%		18	14%	19	9%	
Region of residence	North	518	19%	40	11%	<.001	19	15%	21	10%	0.079
	State of Mexico	502	15%	81	26%		22	32%	59	22%	
	Mexico City	673	24%	69	20%		23	18%	46	20%	
	Other central states	431	24%	89	20%		40	18%	49	21%	
	South	655	18%	68	23%		23	17%	45	27%	
Diabetes	Diagnosed	421	15%	31	9%	0.002	16	13%	15	7%	0.069
	Not diagnosed	2358	85%	316	91%		111	87%	205	93%	
Hypertension	Diagnosed	520	19%	39	11%	0.001	21	17%	18	8%	0.016
	Not diagnosed	2240	81%	304	89%		104	83%	200	92%	
BMI	Underweight	32	1%	14	4%	<.001	3	2%	11	5%	0.633
	Normal weight	917	33%	154	44%		56	44%	98	44%	
	Overweight	1192	43%	114	33%		42	33%	72	33%	
	Obese	638	23%	65	19%		26	21%	39	18%	
Smokes	Yes	627	23%	100	29%	0.009	42	33%	58	26%	0.184
	No	2152	77%	247	71%		85	67%	162	74%	
Tested for COVID-19	Yes	1197	43%	97	28%	<.001	25	20%	72	33%	0.009
	No	1582	57%	250	72%		102	80%	148	67%	
Cohabitant had COVID-19	Yes	721	26%	74	21%	0.062	21	17%	53	24%	0.098
	No	2058	74%	273	79%		106	83%	167	76%	
COVID-19 severe	A little	68	2%	60	17%	<.001	47	37%	13	6%	<.001
	Somewhat	278	10%	71	21%		33	26%	38	17%	
	Very	2433	88%	216	62%		47	37%	169	77%	
COVID-19 real	Yes	2739	99%	308	89%	<.001	94	74%	214	97%	<.001

	No	40	1%	39	11%		33	26%	6	3%	
Number of facemasks owned	≤ 3	755	27%	119	34%	<.001	49	39%	70	32%	0.089
	4-7	598	22%	92	27%		31	24%	61	28%	
	8-20	776	28%	92	26%		26	20%	66	30%	
	>20	650	23%	44	13%		21	17%	23	10%	
Hand washing frequency	≤ 5	898	33%	159	46%	<.001	67	53%	92	42%	0.164
	6-8	617	22%	77	22%		21	16%	56	25%	
	9-12	617	22%	58	17%		20	16%	38	17%	
	>12	647	23%	53	15%		19	15%	34	16%	

In logistic regression analysis Model 1 with getting vaccinated as a dependent variable (yes/no response options) and Model 2 with not get vaccinated due to internal vs. external reasons as a dependent variable, were significantly reliable and adequately fit the data. See Table II for the model characteristics and AORs. Region of residence (Other central state OR 1.95, 95 CI 1.44, 2.65; State of Mexico OR 1.49, 95 CI 1.10, 2.03) and COVID-19 related beliefs (COVID-19 unreal OR 2.92, 95 CI 1.63, 5.23; COVID-19 severe OR 0.61, 95 CI 0.54, 0.69) were the strongest significant predictors, followed by marital status (OR 1.45, 95 CI 1.12, 1.87), getting tested for COVID-19 (OR 1.71, 95 CI 1.30, 2.23), and education level (OR 0.76, 95 CI 0.67, 0.87). Residing in the State of Mexico or Other Central States were associated with not getting vaccinated. Those who considered COVID-19 as not real were almost 3 times more likely not to get vaccinated; and for every unit increase in perceiving COVID-19 as severe there was a 39% reduction in the probability to not get vaccinated. Singles were more likely by 45% not to get vaccinated, and with every unit increase in educational level there was a 24% reduction in the probability not to get vaccinated. Younger age (OR 0.95, 95 CI 0.94, 0.96) and lower BMI (OR 0.97, 95 CI 0.94, 1.00) were also associated with not getting vaccinated. In Model 2 only age (OR 1.07, 95 CI 1.05, 1.09), education level (OR 1.61, 95 CI 1.21, 2.15) and factors related to the perception of COVID-19 were statistically significant (COVID-19 unreal OR 3.46, 95 CI 1.17, 10.22; COVID-19 severe OR 0.43, 95 CI 0.33, 0.57).

Table III
Model characteristics

	Model 1		Model 2					
	DV got vaccinated (yes/no)		DV did not get vaccinated due to internal vs external reasons					
Predicion for the class:	Unvaccinated		Unvaccinated due to internal reasons					
Model reliability	$\chi^2=370.530$, df=9, p<0.001		$\chi^2=126.516$, df=4, p<0.001					
Hosmer and Lemeshow test	$\chi^2=4.046$, df=8, p=.853		$\chi^2=4.933$, df=8, p=.765					
Variance explained								
Cox & Snell	0.11		0.31					
Negelkerke	0.23		0.42					
Total correct predictions	0.89		0.79					
Prediction class 1	98.9% of vaccinated		89.9% of unvaccinated due to external barriers					
Prediction class 2	11.7% of unvaccinated		60.8% of unvaccinated due to internal barriers					
	B	Standard Error	Wald	df	p value	OR	95 CI	
							Inferior	Superior
Model 1								
Region of residence								
Other Central States	0.67	0.16	18.27	1	0.00	1.95	1.44	2.65
State of Mexico	0.40	0.16	6.64	1	0.01	1.49	1.10	2.03
Age	-0.05	0.01	88.62	1	0.00	0.95	0.94	0.96
Educational level	-0.27	0.07	16.76	1	0.00	0.76	0.67	0.87
Marital Status <i>Single</i>	0.37	0.13	8.00	1	0.01	1.45	1.12	1.88
BMI	-0.03	0.01	4.68	1	0.03	0.97	0.94	1.00
No test for COVID-19	0.54	0.14	15.09	1	0.00	1.71	1.30	2.24
COVID-19 is unreal	1.07	0.30	12.95	1	0.00	2.92	1.63	5.23
COVID-19 is severe	-0.50	0.06	60.56	1	0.00	0.61	0.54	0.69
Model 2								
Age	0.07	0.01	38.24	1	0.00	1.07	1.05	1.09
Educational level	0.48	0.15	10.57	1	0.00	1.61	1.21	2.15
COVID-19 is unreal	1.24	0.55	5.07	1	0.02	3.46	1.17	10.22
COVID-19 is severe	-0.83	0.14	36.24	1	0.00	0.44	0.33	0.57

Note: DV dependent variable

Discussion

In this study, 11% of respondents indicated not having been vaccinated, with the reasons for not getting vaccinated divided into two groups: external barriers that accounted for 63% of the reasons mentioned and internal barriers 37%. **External barriers** encompassed vaccine limited availability and factors, such as not being able to attend an appointment or not having information about

vaccination, which could indicate that either the information was not provided, or that the person did not pay attention. **Internal barriers** included considering the vaccine as harmful or ineffective and not being interested.

Although the percentage of unvaccinated individuals is lower than the percentage reported by the government (22%) in the comparable period, this difference could be attributed to the methodology of telephone survey. Also, our data included a larger share of women, who were more likely to get vaccinated, and inhabitants of Mexico City (24%), the region with a higher level of vaccination compared to other regions of Mexico.

When combining the vaccinated with the unvaccinated due to external barriers (i.e. individuals that may be vaccinated if having access) the level of vaccination would reach 97%, in line with the prior research conducted in Mexico where 3% answered that they would not get vaccinated.⁸

Significant predictors for not getting vaccinated were, in the order of their strength: region of residence, COVID-19 related beliefs, marital status, previous testing for COVID-19, education level, age and BMI.

Regarding the **area of residence**, the highest prevalence of vaccination was registered in northern states (93%) and the lowest in the State of Mexico (86%) and Other central states (83%), results that are consistent with those from the ENSANUT (national survey conducted by Secretaria de Salud, called Encuesta Nacional de Salud y Nutrición⁷). Lower vaccination in the Other central states could be related to access to the vaccine. As reported for Colombia, Ecuador, and Venezuela structural barriers to vaccination, specifically the difficulties of getting vaccinated in rural areas, may decrease vaccination rates.⁹ Beyond accessibility, availability factors e.g., lack of vaccination infrastructure, services, cold chain supply and distance-related factors, were mentioned in a review conducted in Latin America prior to the COVID pandemic as barriers to getting vaccinated.¹⁰

In this study 45% reported being **single**, and this group was almost 1.5 times less probable to be vaccinated compared to couples, although being single is associated with younger age, so age could be an underlying confounder for a lower vaccination rate in this group.

Lower **education level** was associated with higher rates of non-vaccination. Yet, analyzing the group of unvaccinated due to internal reasons, the percentages were similar at or below high school level and at the university level, pointing to a nonlinear relationship between the variables. In Mexico some studies, conducted prior to the vaccine availability, or at its early stage, indicated no effect of education level on the willingness to get vaccinated¹¹ (data was collected between December 2020 and February 2021). Others suggested that those without a high school diploma or with a vocational-technical degree show a significantly high proportion of low VH and a low proportion of no VH⁸ (data was collected between June and July, 2021,). The relation between education and VH requires further research.

The **age** group 18-29 had the highest percentage of the unvaccinated compared to the older groups, a result that is contrary to some studies realized prior to or at early stages of the vaccine availability, where the youngest group expressed the highest levels of vaccine acceptance and the oldest showed highest hesitancy.^{8,11-13} Also, earlier reviews conducted in Latin America, including Mexico, that assessed VH for other vaccines indicated that advanced age was associated with vaccination concerns and refusal.¹⁰ However, vaccination prevalence for SARS-CoV-2 shows quite a contrary picture. Evidence from Mexico indicates that there are more unvaccinated among young adults, than within the oldest age group.⁷ Higher vaccination rates in older age groups can be explained via earlier eligibility for getting vaccinated, their greater risk of severe consequences, including higher mortality, which was mediatized, and past experience with vaccines.

Higher **BMI** was associated with higher rates of vaccination. Similar results were found in the UK.¹⁴ In Mexico, Ramonfaur et al.¹² found that important variables associated with a higher vaccine acceptance included having any comorbidity (a web-based nationwide survey was conducted in

early December 2020). Quite early in the development of the pandemic, obesity was identified as one of the key factors that increased the risk of being hospitalized or dying from COVID-19.¹⁵

Not taking the **COVID-19 test** was other significant predictor for not getting vaccinated. This association seems to have been less studied, yet we could assume that getting tested and vaccinated fits a profile of a person who is more concerned about their health and might perceive themselves at risk of COVID-19. On the other hand, those who do not **perceive COVID as severe** and do not perceive themselves in danger of getting sick might consider the prevention measures less necessary. After controlling for all the other variables, perceiving COVID-19 as being unreal (3% of the sample) and little or not at all severe (4% of the sample) were strong predictors of not getting vaccinated. Karlsson et al.¹⁶ found that those who perceived COVID-19 as a severe disease were also slightly more willing to receive a COVID-19 vaccination.

Some **limitations** of the study need to be mentioned. Sampling was random yet non-proportionally stratified. Collecting data via telephone might have skewed the profile of participants. Some variables, that were indicated in other studies as significant predictors for non-vaccination, were not included: vaccination history, health insurance, trust in government and the healthcare system. Participants were presented with a list of potential reasons for not being vaccinated, and although we allowed for other reasons to be mentioned, there may be still other motives that were not identified.

Conclusions

Decision making regarding whether or not to get vaccinated is a complex phenomenon, with multiple factors involved. The main ones identified in this study included area of residence, socio-demographics (marital status, education level, age), health related reasons (comorbidities) and beliefs related to risk perception of COVID-19. Although this study was cross-sectional, reviewing the obtained results in the context of earlier studies, provided some evidence regarding the dynamic

character of VH. Also, comparing results of this study, that focused on vaccine uptake, with studies that investigated acceptance or intention to get vaccinated prior to vaccine availability, highlight the gap between intention and behavior, calling for further research. Furthermore, this study adds to the evidence that accessibility barriers and lack of information play an important role in Mexico, that represents the global south. This study provides further evidence that structural solutions are necessary in Latin America, specifically in Mexico, to ensure –and maintain—high levels of vaccination.

References

1. Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. Mortality analyses: Mortality in the most affected countries. [Internet]. Johns Hopkins University & Medicine. [cited March 2, 2023]. Available from: <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/data/mortality>
2. World Health Organization. Ten threats to global health in 2019. [Internet] [cited April 2, 2023]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/spotlight/ten-threats-to-global-health-in-2019>
3. Gobierno de México. Secretaria de Salud. 78% de población adulta en México cuenta con al menos una vacuna contra COVID-19. 20 de octubre de 2021. [Internet] [cited April 2, 2023]. Available from: <https://www.gob.mx/salud/prensa/78-de-poblacion-adulta-en-mexico-cuenta-con-al-menos-una-vacuna-contra-covid-19>
4. World Health Organization. Report of the SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy. Geneva 2014. Available from: https://www.asset-scienceinsociety.eu/sites/default/files/sage_working_group_revised_report_vaccine_hesitancy.pdf
5. Shakeel CS, Mujeeb AA, Mirza MS, Chaudhry B, Khan SJ. Global COVID-19 vaccine acceptance: A systematic review of associated social and behavioral factors. *Vaccines*. 2022;10(1):110. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10010110>
6. Lazarus JV, Ratzan SC, Palayew A. et al. A global survey of potential acceptance of a COVID-19 vaccine. *Nat Med*. 2021;27:225–228. Available from: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41591-020-1124-9>
7. Secretaria de Salud & Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública. Encuesta Nacional de Salud y Nutrición 2021 sobre Covid-19. Resultados nacionales. México 2022. Available from: https://ensanut.insp.mx/encuestas/ensanutcontinua2021/doctos/informes/220804_Ensa21_digital_4ago.pdf
8. Echánove-Cuevas DA, Mejía-Domínguez NR, Castañeda-Sortibrán AN. Is Mexico's population hesitant towards COVID-19 vaccines? A 2021 survey on different levels of hesitancy and its determinants. *SSM Popul. Health*. 2022;19:101207. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352827322001860>

9. Bates BR, Villegas-Botero A, Costales JA, Moncayo AL, Tami A, Carvajal A, Grijalva MJ. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in three Latin American countries: reasons given for not becoming vaccinated in Colombia, Ecuador, and Venezuela. *Health Commun.* 2022;37(3):1-11. Available from: <https://sostelemedicina.ucv.ve/covid19/manuales/Reticencia%20a%20la%20vacuna%20contra%20el%20COVID-19%20en%20tres%20países%20de%20America%20Latina.pdf>
10. Guzman-Holst A, DeAntonio R, Prado-Cohrs D, Juliao P. Barriers to vaccination in Latin America: A systematic literature review. *Vaccine.* 2020;38,(3):470-481. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0264410X1931477X>
11. Delgado-Gallegos JL, Padilla-Rivas GR., Zúñiga-Violante E, Avilés-Rodríguez G, Arellanos-Soto D, Gastelum-Arias LJ., Franco Villareal H, Cosío-León MA, Romo-Cardenas GS, Moreno-Treviño MG, Moreno-Cuevas JE, Islas JS. Determinants of COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy: A Cross-Sectional Study on a Mexican Population Using an Online Questionnaire (COV-AHQ). *Front. Public Health.* 2021;9. Available from: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2021.728690/full>
12. Ramonfaur D, Hinojosa-González DE, Rodriguez-Gomez GP, Iruegas-Nuñez DA, Flores-Villalba E. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and acceptance in Mexico: a web-based nationwide survey. *Rev Panam Salud Publica.* 2021;45:e133. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8529997/>
13. Carnalla M, Basto-Abreu A, Stern D, Bautista-Arredondo S, Shamah-Levy T, Alpuche-Aranda CM, Rivera-Dommarco J, Barrientos-Gutiérrez T. Acceptance, refusal, and hesitancy of Covid-19 vaccination in Mexico: Ensanut 2020 Covid-19. *Salud Publica Mex.* 2021;63(5):598-606. <https://doi.org/10.21149/12696>
14. Piernas C, Patone M, Astbury NM, Gao M, Sheikh A, Khunti K, Shankar-Hari M, Dixon S, Coupland C, Aveyard P, Hippisley-Cox J, Jebb SA. Associations of BMI with COVID-19 vaccine uptake, vaccine effectiveness, and risk of severe COVID-19 outcomes after vaccination in England: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2022;10(8):571-580. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587\(22\)00158-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(22)00158-9)
15. Astbury NM, Piernas C. COVID vaccines work well for people of all body weights – but underweight and obesity remain risk factors for severe disease. *The Conversation.* 2022. Available from: <https://theconversation.com/covid-vaccines-work-well-for-people-of-all-body-weights-but-underweight-and-obesity-remain-risk-factors-for-severe-disease-184677>
16. Karlsson LC, Soveri A, Lewandowsky S, Karlsson L, Karlsson H, Nolvi S, Karukivi M, Lindfelt M, Antfolk J. Fearing the disease or the vaccine: The case of COVID-19. *Pers. Individ. Differ.* 2021;172:110590. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0191886920307819>