It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

1	Beyond the medical file: a scoping review on patients' perspectives on guideline-oriented	
2	depression treatment in primary care	
3	Biersack, Katharina ¹ , Sattel, Heribert ¹ , Schönweger, Petra ^{2,3} , Kaspar, Lea ¹ , Lehnen, Nadine ¹ ,	
4	Gensichen, Jochen ⁴ , Henningsen, Peter ¹	
5	for the POKAL group*	
6		
7	1 Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, University Hospital of Technical University of Munich,	
8	Germany	
9	2 Institute of Medical Information Processing, Biometry, and Epidemiology – IBE, Chair of Public Health and Health Services	
10	Research, Munich, Germany	
11	3 Pettenkofer School of Public Health, Munich, Germany	
12	4 Institute of General Practice and Family Medicine, University Hospital of Ludwig-Maximilian-University Munich, Germany	
13		
14	Corresponding author at Katharina Biersack, Langerstr. 3, 81667 Munich, Germany,	
15	+498941409239, katharina.biersack@mri.tum.de	
16		
17	Abstract	
18	Objectives: Depressive disorders are common in the primary care setting. Primary care practitioners	
19	must deal with different disorders and keep up with evidence-based treatment. Clinical practice	
20	guidelines (CPG) offer accessible information about up-to-date care but are poorly implemented.	
21	Research on the implementation of CPGs has focused on the practitioners' perspective but has	
22	neglected the patients' perspective largely.	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.27.23297265; this version posted December 27, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

This scoping review aimed to identify terms related to the concept of 'patients' perspectives on
depression treatment in primary care building a comprehensive framework and to identify
researched barriers and facilitators to partaking in care.
Methods: We conducted a scoping review on Medline and Psycinfo. Eligible publications contained

information from the patients' point of view on depression treatment in primary care in OECD
member states. We used the PCC-framework to obtain inclusion criteria. Publications until August
2nd 2023 were considered.

30 Results: We included 232 publications. Current literature focuses on behavioral and easily collected 31 measures like satisfaction and on patient-sided barriers and facilitators to adherence. Other 32 treatment-related behaviors are less researched. Patients often report exclusively or mainly physical 33 symptoms in their visits which can impede diagnosis.

34 **Conclusion:** This review provides a comprehensive framework for the concept. Research on the 35 patients' perspective on depression treatment in primary care is still inconclusive.

36 **Registration**: This review is registered via OSF (<u>https://osf.io/p9rnc</u>).

37 Keywords: scoping review, clinical practice guideline, primary care, depression, patient perspective

38

39

40 **1.** Introduction

Depressive disorders are very common in the community and often reason for disability and absenteeism [1,2]. Undetected and inadequately treated cases of depression are a burden to patients, health system, and society [3]. Most patients are not seen and treated in specialist care but at the office of their local GP [2]. Still, depression is often undiagnosed and, even after diagnosis, still untreated [4]. To this day, the reasons for these gaps are not completely clear, nor are there tailored and effective strategies. medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.27.23297265; this version posted December 27, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) have long been used to bridge the gap between best practice and real-world primary care. Most high and middle-income countries have national guidelines for depression treatment, developed by specialists and stakeholders [5]. However, many guidelines are not adequately implemented in primary care settings over long periods of time [6]. Despite their aim of improving accessibility to information and effective treatment strategies, CPGs face implementation challenges.

Primary care professionals deal with a wide range of disorders and must stay updated on numerous CPGs. As a result, not all guidelines are equally known and implemented. This can be attributed, in part, to guidelines themselves, as only a few provide effective implementation guidance. For example, the German national CPG for depression treatment mentions "implementation" only twice in its 257 pages with no clear guidance on the topic [7]. A systematic review by Lee et al. in 2020 concluded that so far guideline implementation is inadequately planned, reported, and measured globally [8].

On the practitioners' side, barriers naturally emerge when striving for evidence-based treatment for all patients and disorders in primary care. Barriers to CPG usage in primary care, such as lack of education or limited consultation time, have been a subject of interest and research among clinicians and stakeholders [9]. A recent simulation study revealed that primary care professionals would require more than 26 hours per day to implement, use, and document according to all current CPGs. 1.6 hours would be solely dedicated to mood disorders [10].

To improve the implementation of evidence-based treatment for depressed patients in primary care, various reviews highlight systemic problems requiring large-scale policies [8,9,11]. However, it takes considerable time before these policies effectively enhance patient care. In the meantime, research should focus on identifying gaps and exploring alternative approaches to aid implementation.

One significant gap lies in understanding patients' perspectives on treatment. Patient-reported and relevant outcomes are a topic of growing interest as patient-centered care is required to decide what

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

72	is meaningful and valuable to the individual patient [12]. Patients' perspectives, their values and
73	preferences should be considered in the clinical decision-making process [13]. Up to now, patient-
74	reported outcomes often consist in symptom-related questionnaires but do neglect patients' point of
75	view on domains such as satisfaction, expectations and contextual information [14]. Moreover,
76	patient-relevant outcomes are underrepresented in the current literature despite being important in
77	the treatment [15]. Currently, there are no frameworks or reviews available on how patients, as key
78	stakeholders, perceive their care. Just recently, a review emphasized the need for increased patient
79	participation in guideline development and to date only few guidelines involve patients in the
80	process [5].

Could patients' perspectives serve as the missing link between evidence and practice? Patients' experiences with depression treatment in primary care, barriers to help-seeking, and factors affecting their understanding of and engagement in treatment are vital for effective implementation strategies. With this scoping review we provide a systematic overview to help guide future research.

85

86 **2.** Aim

This scoping review aimed at identifying concepts related to 'patients' perspectives on primary care' in a systematic manner, to provide a comprehensive framework with domains and superordinate domains.

90 Primary aim was to identify the scientific methods and concepts related to patients' perspectives on
91 depression treatment in primary care.

92 Secondary we aimed to identify already researched barriers and facilitators towards evidence-based
93 treatment of depression in primary care.

94

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

96 **3. Methods:**

97 3.1. Search strategy

98 We conducted a scoping review searching the databases of Medline and Psycinfo with no limitation 99 to publication dates up to August 2nd, 2023. By choosing these sources we felt confident to identify 100 and screen all relevant publications to meet our research goals. We conducted our scoping review in 101 accordance with the JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis [16]. We registered the review and gave 102 details of our method via Open Science Framework (https://osf.io/p9rnc).

103

104 3.2. Inclusion criteria

105 For the design of our review and the research questions we used the PCC-Framework (population,

106 context, concept) recommended for scoping reviews by JBI which is applicable for quantitative,

107 qualitative, and mixed-methods studies [16]. See table 1 for details. For the applied search terms see

108 appendix 1. We included publications available in English, German, Spanish or French as we felt

109 confident enough to judge and extract information in these languages.

110

111 Table 1. PCC-Framework.

Population	Adult currently clinically depressed patients in OECD member	
	states	
Context	Primary care as defined by the authors, all available studies	
Concept	Patients' perspectives on depression care i.e., their behavior,	
	preconception, and experience	

112

113

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

115 *3.2.1. Population*

We included studies with adult persons suffering from depression when being studied. We decided not to include studies solely on children and adolescents as treatment and needs are very specific and may be tailored more to age than to the disorder itself. We defined 'depression' as an, up-todate, ICD or DSM based diagnosis before conducting the review.

We changed our approach to defining depression compared to our study protocol. It proved too exclusive to include only publications with a clear stated definition of the diagnosis of depression, as many publications included subjects diagnosed by their PCP without further specification. To tackle our goal to provide a scope to the field we decided to include all studies that stated how they diagnosed depression. We excluded several qualitative studies on the grounds that they refused to define depression because it contradicted their approach.

126 If the study included both depressed and dysthymic patients, it was still included for reasons of 127 practicality. For the same reason, we included studies conducted in patients who were selected 128 because of an antidepressant prescription if the authors stated that the prescription was for the 129 treatment of depression and not for some other disorder e.g., anxiety. We also included publications 130 in which the diagnosis was given by the PCP even if their approach was not clearly stated. We 131 narrowed the search to OECD-member states for means of comparability of the studied context.

132

133 *3.2.2.* Context

Because we were interested in the context of primary care i.e., how patients and other stakeholders are understanding and using it, we tried to be as inclusive as possible with the concept of primary care. We selected papers that referred to the setting as 'primary care' and defined the setting. Patients did not necessarily have to be recruited in primary care but had to give information about their diagnosis or treatment in that context. We included all ambulatory settings meeting our criteria.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

140

141 *3.2.3. Concept*

142	To define the concept of patients' perspectives on care we scoped accessible and recent literature.
143	We decided to include papers that contained information from the patients' own account of their
144	care and had to relate not just to symptomatology but also to the care itself. To find search terms
145	beyond 'perspective' we looked for related topics which we balanced with existing Mesh-terms (See
146	also registration). After finding subcategories for our main concept, we divided these into three
147	conceptual domains: patients' behavior, patients' preconception, and patients' experience.

148

149 *3.2.3.1. Preconception:*

We sought to include patients' own concept of depression and treatment and its importance for the beginning and continuity of care. After scoping the literature, we identified various pre-existing concepts describing aspects of the mind related to action e.g., 'attitude', 'belief', 'knowledge', or 'expectation'. To bring these different terms and concepts together, we agreed on the term 'preconception'. The chosen term points to the idea of conditions preceding the patient-doctorencounter we were interested in.

156

157 *3.2.3.2. Experience:*

To conceptualize terms describing the patients' point of view while in treatment we used the term (Experience'. We included studies in which patients were a distinguishable group and their information added to the concept.

161

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

163 3.2.3.3. *Behavior*:

164 We decided to include behavioral means into our definition of the concept because behavior is 165 directly related to motivation and can hint to potential barriers and facilitators. It is also easy to 166 detect and describe from a research point of view. Among the terms we searched for were 167 'consultation' or 'help seeking'. To look for patterns of symptom report, we included studies that 168 used open ended measures to describe the complaints with which patients initially presented. We 169 excluded studies in which symptoms were given via broad psychometric testing because these 170 findings tend to be pre-empted by the questionnaires and are not indicative for spontaneous 171 accounts. We used the search terms 'symptoms' and 'complaints' for that purpose.

172

173 3.3. Barriers, facilitators, and subpopulations

The second and third research question did not directly impact the search strategy or PCCframework. By defining all above-mentioned concepts as inclusive as possible and as exclusive as needed we felt comfortable that we included all relevant publications to answer the remaining questions.

We defined 'barriers and facilitators to depression treatment in primary care from the patients' point of view' as factors that impede or help with therapy-related patient behaviors. We sought to include all studies using the terms 'barrier' or 'facilitator' but did not want to exclude relevant papers researching outcomes falling under our definition which used different terms.

182

183 *3.4. Review and synthesis process*

We evaluated every step of the review process within our research group following a mixed deductive and inductive approach. After prescoping we decided on the superordinate domains i.e., 'preconception', 'experience', and 'behavior' which helped build the research strategy. After

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.27.23297265; this version posted December 27, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

187 inclusion we extracted and grouped arising topics within domains and superordinate domains

188 inductively.

We uploaded our searches of Medline and Psycinfo into the software of Rayyan and conducted the abstract screening via this platform. Screening was conducted by three independent raters continuously evaluating adherence to inclusion criteria, adapting them when needed.

192

193 **4. Results**

194 4.1. Search results

195 The conducted search of the databases identified 16.830 results. After the removal of 2771 196 duplicates, we included 14059 studies in the screening of title and abstract. Following the mentioned 197 PCC-scheme we could exclude 13534 abstracts. Within the remaining 525 studies, 14 papers could not be retrieved even after directly contacting authors and libraries. During screening of full texts, we 198 199 excluded 34 studies because they did not offer new data, 1 study because it lacked peer-review, 141 200 studies due to wrong population, 56 because of wrong context and 47 because of wrong concept. We 201 included 232 studies into our data synthesis. The results are presented in a Preferred Reporting Items 202 for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) flow diagram [17]. See 203 figure 1.

204 Figure 1. Flow diagram describing search strategy and results.

205

206 4.2. Studies' characteristics and methodology

207 Within included articles, the grand majority (41%, n=95) were conducted in the US, 24% (n=54) in EU

countries, 25% (n=57) in the UK and 6% (n=13) in Australia. The remaining studies were conducted in

209 Canada (n=3), Israel (n=3), Japan (n=2), New Zealand (n=2) and Norway (n=1). Two studies included

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.27.23297265; this version posted December 27, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

210 data from more than one location [18,19]. Because we excluded non-OECD states as context in our

211 review showing the local distribution of included reports bears limited information.

212 Sixty three percent of included publications used exclusively quantitative measures for the looked-for

outcomes, while 37% used a qualitative or mixed methods approach. For the distribution by year of

214 publication see figure 2.

215

216 Figure 2. Temporal distribution of publications.

217

```
218 4.3. Population
```

219 Most publications (38,8%) used self-rating scales to define depression and identify subjects, most

220 studies used the PHQ-9, PHQ-8, or PHQ-2. Twenty six percent defined 'depressed patient' as

221 diagnosed by their primary care practitioner. Other publications used the prescription of

antidepressants for depression, patient chart, or patient history, or gave details on used checklists for

diagnosis. See also table 2.

224

Table 2. Description of depression diagnosis in included publications. Total numbers andpercentages.

Concept of depressed patient	Number of publications	%
Diagnosis by PCP	61	26,29
Diagnostic interview	47	20,26
Self-rating	46	19,83
PHQ	44	18,96
Prescription of an antidepressant	15	6,46
Chart	9	3,88
Patient history	7	3,02
Checklist	2	0,86
Diagnosis by Author	1	0,43

227

228 4.4. Context

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

- 229 We defined primary care as all outpatient settings dedicated to primary and generalist care. Table 3
- 230 gives details on used terms in the included publications. The most used name for the primary care
- setting was 'general practice' and 'general practitioner' (44%). Less used but trending in more recent
- 232 publications was 'collaborative care' (2%).
- 233

234 Table 3. Description of primary care context in included publications. Total numbers and

235 percentages.

Concept of primary care	Number of publications	%
General practice	96	41,38
Primary care clinic	33	14,22
Primary care practice	19	8,19
Health center	11	4,74
Family practice	10	4,31
Veteran care	8	3,45
Collaborative care	1	0,43
Aged-care facility	1	0,43
Family medicine clinic	6	2,59
Other	10	4,31
PC	37	15,95

236

237 4.5. Patients' perspectives on depression treatment in primary care

238 4.5.1. Identified terms and domains

239 In an inductive process we identified relevant domains for the concept and the premeditated

superordinate categories, i.e., preconception, experience, and behavior, served well as a framework

- for the established research terms. See table 4 for the grouped domains and superordinate domains
- as well as the number of their occurrences among the included publications.

243

Table 4. Patients' perspectives on depression treatment in primary care: Number of occurrences of named topics, grouped into domains and superordinate domains within the premeditated

246 framework i.e., 'preconception', 'experience' and 'behavior'.

Patients' perspectives: Number of publications on the Sources

Domain and topic	topic	
Domain: Preconception	105	
1. Attitude to care	18	[20–37]
2. Concept of depression	45	[27,31,33,37–78]
3. Stigma	9	[35,62,64,70,71,79–82]
4. Entitlement to time	1	[83]
5. Confidence	2	[22,84]
6. Treatment expectations	5	[32,44,85–87]
7. Knowledge	3	[71,81,88]
8. Treatment preference	22	[24,60,70,73,86,89–105]
Domain: Experience	128	
1. Satisfaction	18	[31,68,88,99,101,106–118]
2. Receiving information	3	[33,43,119]
3. Reported relationship	17	[20,50,58,68,70,106,109,111,1 13,114,120–126]
 Acceptance of diagnosis and care 	9	[32,68,71,127–132]
5. Reported quality of care	9	[21,109,111,128,133–137]
6. Experience of depression	7	[65,66,120,138–141]
7. Experience of treatment	41	[31,32,38,40,41,44,58,63,67,6 8,70,85,90,101,112– 115,119,129,130,134– 136,142–158]
8. Barriers to care	14	[37,41–43,64,121,157,159– 165]
9. Facilitators to care	5	[26,138,162,164,166]
10. Participation in treatment decisions	5	[43,167–170]
Domain: Behavior	150	
1. Health service use	45	[19,69,106,110,116,126,127,1 30,143,171–206]
2. Presenting complaints	14	[18,62,67,82,184,195,207– 214]
3. Coping	21	[22,47,84,92,105,112,114,140, 176,178,206,215–224]
4. Adherence	38	[20,22,23,27,28,33,37,47,53,5 8,59,70,85,86,112,116,119,133 ,134,140,157,167,169,188,193, 197,225–236]
5. Help seeking	13	[62,64,71,126,160,165,190,23 7–242]
6. Initiation of treatment	9	[35,53,86,121,157,193,197,24 3,244]
7. Disclosure	9	[42,162,231,245–250]
8. Decisions concerning treatment	1	[88]

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

We identified 105 occurrences within 'preconception', 128 total occurrences within 'experience' and 150 occurrences within 'behavior'. The most frequently occurring single domains were 'concept of depression' (45), 'health service use' (45), 'experience of depression' (41), and 'adherence' (38). See also figure 3.

252

Figure 3. Patients' perspectives on depression treatment in primary care: number of occurrences of domains and superordinate domains i.e., 'preconception' (orange), 'experience' (green) and 'behavior' (yellow).

256

Some terms e.g., 'preference', were difficult to group as a whole because of heterogenous scientific approaches. While some studies asked for treatment preferences in more naïve patients, other educated their participants thoroughly before asking to decide. Even other publications did not specify how informed their subjects were when asked for treatment preference or studied a heterogenous group in respect to that.

262

263 4.5.2. Identified Barriers and Facilitators

We screened all included studies for named barriers and facilitators of treatment. We defined 'barrier' or 'facilitator' as given means by which patients' behavior is subjectively or observably impeded or helped. Included papers necessarily included details on barriers and facilitators, which we categorized as 'experience', as well as behaviors they were seen in relation too. Many of the publications used for this specific synthesis also contained terms from the domain 'preconception' e.g., 'stigma' was given as a barrier to seeking help, while 'attitude to drugs' was commonly mentioned as impeding adherence to treatment.

Overall, we identified 61 publications testing and exploring barriers and facilitators to care. We grouped the matches using a chronological approach as to which stage of treatment is impeded o.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

helped with i.e., diagnosis, treatment, and maintenance. Secondly, we grouped the mentioned
behaviors according to our prior approach landing on 'help-seeking', 'disclosure', 'initiation',
'adherence', 'weaning-off medication' and 'self-management'. Most publications (34 in total) dealt
with 'adherence' and its barriers and facilitators. Only few publications addressed help-seeking and
the maintenance of treatment. For full results see table 5.

278

279 Table 5. Publications on barriers and facilitators to depression treatment in primary care from the

280 patients' point of view.

Treatment stage	Behavior	Description	Publication
		Time to initial medical	Gormley, O ['] Leary,
		presentation	1998
		Help seeking patterns	Van Hook, 1999
		Help seeking behaviors	Shin et al., 2002 **
	p seeking		Lawrence et al., 2006
		Help seeking	*
		Barriers to help seeking	Callister et al., 2011 **
	Hel	Reasons to not present in PC	Chew-Graham et al.,
		with depression	2012 **
		Help seeking pathways	Hansen et al., 2012 **
		Uptake of GP referral	Holloway et al., 2015
N.S.		Intention to seek help	Schomerus et al., 2019
Ö		Informing the physician of	
liag		discontinuing	Demyttenaere et al.,
		antidepressants	2001
		Symptom disclosure	O'Connor et al., 2001
		Discuss depression	Coorigan et al., 2003
	l		Chew-Graham et al.,
	Disclosu	Hindering disclosure	2009 **
			Malpass et al., 2009
		Unvoiced agenda	**
		Reasons for not disclosing	
		depression	Bell et al., 2011 *
		Factors hindering or	
		facilitating disclosing	
		symptoms	Keller et al., 2016 **
		Time to initial medical	Gormley, O'Leary,
t t		presentation	1998
nei	а Пreatmer а а а	Perceived barriers to	
Satr		psychotherapy	Wohr et al., 2006-12
L Tr		Barriers to treatment and	C
			Saver et al., 2007 **
		Filling prescription/	Stecker et al., 2007

	initiation of psychotherapy	
	Initiation of psychotherapy	
	Initiation of and adherence	
	to treatment (escitalopram	D
	or interpersonal therapy)	Raue et al., 2009
	Reasons that prevent	
	individuals from	
	participating in a depression	
	treatment program	Kwong et al., 2012 *
	Factors influencing	
	treatment uptake	Horevitz et al., 2015 *
		Waitzfelder et al.,
	Initiation of treatment	2018
	Initiation of treatment	Cornwell et al., 2021
	Compliance to treatment	,
	(medication)	Johnson 1981
	Adherence to medication	Linetal 1995
	Adherence to treatment	Katon et al 1005
	Adherence to readiantian	Katon et al., 1990
	Adherence to medication	Katon et al., 2000
	Compliance to	Demyttenaere et al.,
	antidepressants	2001
	Adherence	Brown et al., 2001
	Why patients stop	
	medication	Manning, Marr, 2003
	Adherence to medication	Solberg et al., 2003
	Difficulty with continuation	
	of care	Gask et al., 2003 **
	Adherence to maintenance	
	pharmacotherapy	Lin et al., 2003
	Adherence to medication	Brook et al., 2005
	Discontinuation	Ruoff et al., 2005
e e	Adherence	Bogner et al., 2006
ence	Adherence to sertraline	Akerblad et al. 2006
le re	Medication concordance	Badger Nolan 2006 *
dh dh	Adherence to medication	Brook at al 2006
L	Treatment adherence	
	Adhamman ta dia dia dia dia dia dia dia dia dia di	LOTT et al., 2007
	Adherence to medication	weich et al., 2007
	Compliance to paroxetine	Dernovsek et al., 2008
	Adherence to medication	Russel, Kazantzis, 2008
	Filling prescriptions	van Geffen et al., 2009
	Compliance to	
	antidepressants	Hérique, Kahn, 2009
	Completion of online-CBT	Beattie et al., 2009 *
	Initiation of and adherence	
	to treatment (escitalopram	
	or interpersonal therapy)	Raue et al., 2009
	Initiation and continuation	
	of antidepressant treatment	van Geffen et al 2010
	Reasons for non-adherence	Fortney et al 2011
	Barriers to adherence	Hansen et al 2012
	Adherence	Kales et al 2012
	Adherence	Sarrana at al. 2013
	Autherence to medication	Serrano et al., 2014

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

			*
		Care engagement	Campbell et al., 2016
		Initiation of and adherence	
		to Treatment	Vuorilehto et al., 2016
		Adherence to medication	Moise et al., 2017
		Adherence to	
		antidepressants	Green et al., 2017 *
		Discontinuation	Jeffery et al., 2023
<u> </u>	– ø	Barriers and facilitators to	
nan	ion ii	discontinuation of	
ce	of price	antidepressants	Leydon et al., 2007 **
1air	isco on edi	Barriers to discontinuation	Dickinson et al., 2010
2	크로즈	of antidepressants	**

^{281 *:} publications including gualitative data, **: publications limited to gualitative data

282

283 Further we extracted, categorized, and grouped specific barriers and facilitators and synthetized

them according to which behavior they are influencing. Tables 6 to 9 show the results. We assigned

the extracted items to the categories 'personal', 'contextual', and 'socioeconomic'.

Table 5 contains all publications dealing with barriers and facilitators. For the synthesis in table 6 to 9

287 we only included quantitative results from mentioned publications. To comprehensively extract

288 qualitative findings conducting a detailed qualitative meta-synthesis would have been needed.

289 Overall, most barriers and facilitators were grouped as either illness- or treatment-related or

attitudinal. Socioeconomic factors, the impact of practitioners' characteristics and the doctor-patient

291 relationship were less researched.

292

Table 6. Barriers to help seeking. Synthesis of data drawn from publications in table 2, limited to

Domain	Barriers to help seeking	Facilitators to help seeking
1. Personal		
Characteristics	N/A	N/A
Illness related	Neuroticism [239]	More severe depression [237]
Medical history	N/A	N/A
Attitudinal	Perceived separation between mental health and general health [237]	Desire for emotional support [238]
	Stigma [237]	Stigma of psychological care [238]

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

	Thinking that it did not affect their	Self-identification [71]
	health [237]	More knowledge [71]
	Blaming persons with mental illness for their problem [71]	
2. Contextual		
Relationship	Not being asked [237]	N/A
	Thinking that the staff is unhelpful	
	and uninterested [237]	
Treatment related	N/A	N/A
Interventions	N/A	N/A
3. Socioeconomic		
Cultural background	N/A	N/A
Financial resources	N/A	N/A
Social inclusion	N/A	N/A
Other	Too busy [237]	N/A
	Support for discrimination [71]	

295

296 Table 7. Barriers to disclosure. Synthesis of data drawn from publications in table 2, limited to

	·	
Domain	Barriers to disclosure	Facilitators to disclosure
1. Personal		
Characteristics	Female [161]	Female gender [245]
Illness related	More severe symptoms [161]	Higher depressive scores
		[161,245]
Medical history	No history of depression [161]	N/A
Attitudinal	Belief that depression is	N/A
	stigmatizing [161]	
	Belief that one should be in control	
	of depression [161]	
	[Depression] not doctor's job[161]	
	[Mood] private information [161]	
2. Contextual		
Relationship	Fear of loss of emotional control	Better relationship [231]
	[161]	
	Unsure how to introduce topic	
	[161]	
	Fear to distract doctor [161]	
	Fear of being thought less of [161]	
Characteristics of PCP	N/A	N/A
Treatment related	Medication aversion [161]	Previous contact with a
	Medical records possibly unsafe	psychiatrist [245]
	[161]	
	Fear of psychiatric or counselling	
	referral [161]	
	Fear of being a 'psychiatric patient'	

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

	[161]	
Interventions	N/A	N/A
3. Socioeconomic		
Cultural background	Hispanic [161]	N/A
Financial resources	Less income [161]	N/A
Social inclusion	Satisfaction with others [247]	N/A
	Better social support [247]	
Other	Less education [161]	N/A

298

299 Table 8. Barriers to initiation. Synthesis of data drawn from publications in table 2, limited to

Domain	Barriers to initiation	Facilitators to initiation	Investigated without significant results
1. Personal			
Demographics	Asian, African-American or Hispanic [243] Senior patients [243,244] Male [244]	Male [243] Hispanic [244]	N/A
Illness related	Lower baseline PHQ-2 [244]	More severe depression [243]	N/A
Medical history	N/A	Fewer comorbidities [243]	N/A
Attitudinal	Discomfort talking about personal issues with someone unknown [159] Concerns what others might think [159]	Strong preference for received treatment [86]	Stigma [35] Belief that psychotherapy weakens the chance of obtaining a job [35]
Other	More neuroticism [239] Prior mental health diagnosis [244]	Prior mental health treatment [243]	N/A
2. Contextual			
Relationship	'Warm handoff' (for Spanish-speaking Latinos) [121]	N/A	N/A
Characteristics of PCP	N/A	N/A	N/A
Treatment related	Cost of psychotherapy [159] Prior visit to clinic [244]	Same day mental health appointment [244]	N/A
Interventions	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Socioeconomic			
Cultural background	N/A	N/A	N/A
Financial resources	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social inclusion	Married [244]	N/A	N/A
Other	Time constraints [159]	N/A	N/A

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Transportation difficulties [159] Care for child or other	
[159]	

301

302 Table 9. Barriers to adherence. Synthesis of data drawn from publications in table 2, limited to

Domain	Barriers to adherence	Facilitators to adherence	
1. Personal			
Demographics	Female [188,193,230] Non-western immigrants [193] Age above 60 years [193] African-American [230]	White [230] Female [230]	
Illness related	Feeling better [140,197,231,233] Poor to moderate self-rated health [193] Unspecific symptoms [53] Less severe depression [53] Elevated depressive symptoms [197]	More severe symptoms [47] Longer illness [23] Fewer symptoms [59]	
Medical history	Coexisting personality disorder [228] Drug abuse[228]	N/A	
Attitudinal	Attitude to/ beliefs about drugs [20,27,53,59,197,231] Beliefs about depression [27,47,53] Less agreement with treatment [53,197,233] Internalized stigma [70]	Attitude to drugs [22,23,59] Confidence in managing side effects [22] Beliefs about depression [27] Strong preference for received treatment [86]	
2. Contextual			
Relationship	Failure of communication [20] Lower perceived norm ('my GP thinks that I need to take medication') [53]	Empowering patients [133] More patient participation [167]	
Characteristics of PCP	Male physician [188] Full time physician [188]	N/A	
Treatment related	Side effects [20,23,197,231–233] Being told to stop by GP [231] Lack of efficacy [197,231,233] Treatment worked quickly [140] Shorter half-life of drug [23] High price of drugs [197]	Occurrence of adverse effects [23] Early treatment response [23] Good effect of medication [28] Number of prescribed medications [236]	
Interventions	N/A	Educating patients [133,225,226,234] Telemedicine-based collaborative care [37]	
3. Socioeconomic			
Cultural background Financial resources	N/A Unemploved [228]	White [227] N/A	

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Social inclusion	Living alone [228] Less social support [23]	N/A
Other	Lower educational level [193]	Higher educational level [23]

304

305 4.5.3. Presenting Complaints

306 Building on the concept of 'barriers and facilitators' we looked for other relevant topics that might 307 influence treatment. We decided to take a closer look at presenting complaints as to which 308 symptoms patients report when depression is present or even diagnosed. While psychological 309 complaints such as 'low mood' point practitioners into the right direction, the primary presentation 310 of physical symptoms might on the other hand impede diagnosis.

We included all publications on 'presenting complaints' with the exception of two [208,210] which did not report the relevant data in a manner that appeared useful for the synthesis. Tylee et al. studied number and timing symptom report within the consultation [214]. We included the publication into the following table for an overview over the methodology without extracting the 'percentage of somatic complaints'.

Due to our inclusion criteria, all outcomes for this category derived from open-ended questions. The reported symptoms were further categorized by the authors. We defined 'somatic complaints' as physical, vegetative, or somatic symptoms. Table 10 provides an overview of included publications and extracted data.

Between 44 and 100% of studied patients presented only with physical symptoms. The percentage of patients also reporting physical symptoms when seeking help for depression was given between 22 and 85%. Some publications also studied the correlation between depression state and number of symptoms given, which we did not further synthesize [82,214].

324 Table 10. Publications covering presenting complaints.

Publication	Outcome	Number of Participants	Results	Percentage of somatic
		•		complaints
Widmer et	Chief	154	At time of diagnosis 23% of depressed	64

al., 1978	complaint		patients gave low mood, 33% sleep	
			disturbances, 31% fatigue, 4%	
			anxiousness or agitation and 9% other	
		67	symptoms as their chief complaint.	60
Diamond et	Reason for	67	The reasons for visit given by patients	60
al., 1987	VISIT		were 34,5% somatic complaints, 19,5%	
M/illiamson	Initial	7	In the family practice center depressed	100
ot al 1989	complaint	/	nationts gave following initial	100
et al., 1989	complaint		complaints: "General vuck" Physical	
			exam. Kidney problem. Injured finger.	
			Severe headaches. "Multiple	
			complaints", Arthritis.	
Kirmayer et	Presenting	202	Of the patients with CES-D scones of	85*/50
al., 1993	complaints		16 or higher, 14% (N=31) were	
			psychosocial presenters, 32% (N=69)	
			were initial somatizers, 24% (N=52)	
			were facultative somatizers, and 23%	
			(N=50) were true somatizers.	
			The presentations of 6% (N=13) were	
			classified as health maintenance visits.	
			These patients were excluded from	
			true comparisons. Facultative and	
			complaints	
Tylee et al	Mentioning	72	A total of 13 mentioned between 10	Ν/Δ
1995	of	(women)	and 19 physical symptoms and four	
	symptoms	(patients mentioned between 20 and	
			29 physical symptoms. The first	
			mention of a psychiatric symptom was	
			within the first four mentions of any	
			symptoms for 30 women. 41 women	
			first mentioned a psychiatric symptom	
			after the first four mentions or	
			mentioned no psychiatric symptom.	60*/00*
Cornwell et	Presenting	90	Within the South Asian group 27	68*/ 22*
al., 1998	complaints	(40 South	(67,5%) presented with physical	
		White	nsychological complaints (n=40). In the	
		persons)	White group 11 (22%) presented with	
		persons,	physical complaints, 50 (100%)	
			presented with psychological	
			complaints (n=50)	
Waza et al.,	Symptom	189	USA (N=85): 74.1% also reported	74*/ 69*
1999	report	(85 USA,	physical symptoms, 9.4% reported only	
		104 Japan)	physical symptoms, 16.5% reported	
			only psychological symptoms.	
			Japan(n=104): 69.3% also reported	
			physical symptoms, 26.9% reported	
			only physical symptoms, 3.8% reported	
Makabuayat	Droconting	1057	Of the patients with a CES D above the	77
ivickelvey et	riesenting	1021	I OF THE PATIENTS WITH A CES-D above the	11

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

al., 2001	complaints		cut-off 77% (813) presented with physical complaints, 23% (244) presented with psychological complaints.	
Yeung et al., 2004	Presenting complaints	29	The most common presenting complaints were fatigue (N=5), insomnia (N=5), headache (N=4), cough (N=2), pain (N=2), dizziness (N=2), cervical problems (N=1), and sexual dysfunction (N=1). Four complained of psychological symptoms, 2 described feelings of nervousness. One did not spontaneously report any symptoms.	76
Menchetti et al., 2009	Reason for consultation	250	110 depressed patients (44.0%) consulted their PCP for psychological or family problems, 102 subjects (40.8%) for physical illness, and 38 subjects (15.2%) for pain symptoms.	56
Chen et al., 2015	Chief complaint	190	Subjects were most likely to report a chief complaint in the category of Depressed mood/ unhappiness/mood problems (52.1%), followed by Psychosocial stressors (38.9%), Depressive neurovegetative symptoms (31.6% — e.g., poor sleep, poor appetite, low energy), and Depressive psychological symptoms (24.2% — e.g., rumination, difficulty concentrating, agitation). Multiple responses were allowed.	32*
Heinz et al., 2021	Reporting complaints	430	190 (44.2%) patients with depression reported physical complaints only, 42 (9.8%) reported mental complaints only, 124 (28.8%) consulted the GP due to mental and physical complaints, 19 (4,4%) stated no complaints and 55 (12.8%) gave other reasons.	44/ 29*

325

* Percentage of participants also, not necessarily exclusively, reporting physical

326 complaints.

327

328 **5. Discussion**

329 5.1. Characteristics of publications and overall findings

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

330 Even though depression is very common and often treated exclusively in the primary care context, 331 still most research towards depression care is conducted in specialized contexts [251]. Looking at the 332 patients' perspective of care beyond symptoms is sometimes a secondary topic, when conducting a 333 randomized-controlled-trial, but has also been a researched outcome since at least the 1970s. The 334 majority of publications related to our research questions were published in the early 2000s. 335 Including patients as important stakeholders not just as subjects but also in planning and conducting 336 research has been a growing trend in recent years [252]. It is to be expected that this will lead to 337 more publications on the patient's perspective in years to come.

Even though we limited our findings to OECD member states for the screening process it is still notable that most publications stemmed from the Anglo-American region. Our research zooms in on a very distinct context that depends on not only individual but also social on political parameters. We cannot say if findings from the US apply for German primary care settings or vice versa. On the other hand, primary care itself and its research is a heterogenous field with a multitude of settings and scientific approaches.

Based on the literature we provide a comprehensive framework for the concept 'patients' perspective' which can be used for future studies, applied internationally, and be built on creating networks and foci for research and intervention. The implementation of the patients' perspectives in future guidelines and policy is essential to work towards more accessible care for all patients with depression. The primary care context provides an ideal setting for impactful research and intervention [253].

350

351 5.2. Patients' perspectives on depression treatment in primary care

352 With this work we provide an overview of current literature on patients' perspectives on depression 353 treatment in primary care. We defined and evaluated a structured approach to the concept trying to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.27.23297265; this version posted December 27, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

354 grasp its width and depth. By evaluating the method throughout the entire process, we ensured an

- 355 objective and understandable approach of literature synthesis.
- 356 We identified and grouped domains we consider relevant to the concept of patients' perspective.
- 357 The premeditated superordinate domains seemed useful for that process.
- Comparing superordinate domains, their terms, and their numbers of occurrences, we found that research approaches and scientific research towards them varies. Behavioral terms have been studied since at least the 1970s and provide clear comparable quantitative measures. The fact that patients' behavior is observable and close to a physician-centered approach is a possible explanation.
- Apart from behavioral terms we found that 'satisfaction' and 'preference' are commonly studied outcomes. They rarely constitute main outcomes of studies but are easily retrievable data derived from longitudinal and cross-sectional studies. After quality appraisal these publications can be included in separate systematic reviews. Based on our review, we recommend that these outcomes should be included in intervention studies. We also recommend to precisely state how these outcomes were generated to provide accessible and comparable data for evidence synthesis and future research.

Surprisingly, we did not find many publications researching patients' expectations [44,86]. Even though many terms grouped in 'preconception' are related to 'expectation' it is rarely directly addressed in studies. Terms like 'acceptability', 'preference' and 'satisfaction' are possibly dependent on expectations and could be predicted by them. Further research could close that gap and lead to an early intervention instead of hindsight, as measured by 'satisfaction'. Current research stresses the link between patient-provider-relationship and satisfaction [254].

The terms 'experience of depression' and 'experience of treatment' are broad and more complex to be synthesized. Publications on those terms used a qualitative approach. We did not perform a qualitative meta synthesis, which would be an interesting next step regarding these domains.

378

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.27.23297265; this version posted December 27, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

379 5.3. Barriers and facilitators to treatment faced by patients.

- According to our definition of 'barriers and facilitators' i.e., conditions and interventions impeding and helping with care, we identified and grouped related topics. 'Barriers and facilitators' showed an overlap between all three superordinate domains. While by our definition closely linked to behavior, we categorized 'barriers and facilitators' themselves as 'experience'. Certain terms we categorized as 'preconception' were also identified as specific barriers e.g., 'stigma' and 'attitude to care'.
- 385 For scoping, we found this framework to be adequately clear and inclusive.
- 386 Most research focused on adherence and lack thereof, often contributing as a secondary outcome to
- 387 large-scaled medication studies. While drug-associated barriers like 'side effects' may not be specific
- to the primary care setting, barriers and facilitators describing the patient-provider-relationship may
- be. This has been shown for other medical issues and drugs [255].

398

- Preference for the prescribed treatment [86], participation [167], and education [234] have been
 described as helpful to improve patients' motivation for and therefore adherence to care.
 Nevertheless, more research is needed to build from these findings.
- We did not find research on geographic and other community factors as a barrier to help-seeking and care, even though we believe it to be a challenge faced especially by under-served communities, who might be underrepresented in current research [256]. Among our inclusions, socioeconomic factors are less researched than personal and contextual factors.
- 397 Overall, factors that were not directly related to treatment and illness e.g., attitudinal or relationship

aspects, were less researched. With the rise of integrated concepts like collaborative care [257], we

- 399 believe these aspects as important to be included into future research and policy.
- 400 Among our included publications there are also other topics arising that are related to barriers and 401 facilitators to care even though they do not clearly frame it that way. Not just if patients disclose 402 symptoms but also the type of presenting complaints influences if they are diagnosed correctly.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

403 Among publications studying the quality and quantity of complaints there is a trend towards patients 404 reporting physical symptoms. Three of the identified studies correlated the quality (physical vs. 405 psychological), quantity and timing of complaints with the correct recognition of the depressive state 406 by the GP and found that the report of physical symptoms as well as the delayed report of 407 psychological symptoms reduce the chances of being diagnosed with depression [195,213,214]. 408 Reporting mainly physical complaints might also be a contextual effect. Williamson et al. compared 409 patients visiting a family practice with those consulting a psychiatric clinic and found this to be a 410 distinction i.e., primary care patients reporting more somatic symptoms [209]. Other contextual 411 effects such as sociocultural aspects, national and regional, might also play a role on patients' 412 symptom report [18]. It is important to further research presenting complaints and behaviors with 413 the questions whether and to what degree they can impede proper diagnosis.

Data about patient-sided barriers and facilitators towards depression treatment in primary care still seems inconclusive with contradictory and heterogenous approaches and results. More research and synthesis are needed for which we provided a framework.

417

418 5.4. Strengths and limitations

To our knowledge, this is the first literature review on patients' perspectives on depression
treatment in primary care offering a comprehensive framework for future studies.

421 We conducted a premeditated and thorough search for all relevant studies. Because the findings 422 were limited to studies set in the primary care context, we naturally excluded other designs and 423 studies that may have contributed to the question of patients' perspectives, especially 424 preconceptions.

425 We limited our search to MEDLINE and Psycinfo, not specifically looking for grey literature. We 426 believe our data to be representative of current research and our framework to be applicable for 427 possibly missed publications.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.27.23297265; this version posted December 27, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

We still think that the identified research topics point out important factors for treatment. We
conceptualized the abstract term 'perspective' for the purpose of our research and found it
sufficiently broad and specific. We do not claim our concept of 'perspective' to be the only valid one.
We encourage future investigators to critique and build from our concept.
In the planning stage of this project, we were advised on its design by patients from the *Munich Alliance Against Depression* (Münchner Bündnis gegen Depression e.V.) an interest group organized

in Germany for patients with a history of depression.

435

436 **6.** Conclusion

This scoping review conceptualizes 'patients' perspective on depression treatment in primary care' as a scientific field with its domains, subdomains, and research approaches and provides a comprehensive overview of current literature, providing a framework for future studies. Patients' behavioral measures are an easy way to implement patients' perspectives as a secondary outcome into prospective and cross-sectional study designs.

Findings about specific barriers and facilitators of care which are already described and studied are summarized as well as grouped in an approachable and systematic manner to help guide future research. While illness- and treatment-related barriers and facilitators are commonly researched, there is less data on how the patient-provider-relationship impacts patient behavior. While adherence is focused on most commonly, there is little evidence on what hinders or encourages help-seeking, disclosure, or maintenance. Patients often present with physical symptoms when seeking help for depression which can hinder or delay diagnosis.

449 Patients' perspectives on depression treatment in primary care are an essential aspect of the 450 maintenance and improvement of evidence-based care and should be included in guidelines and 451 policies.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

452

453 **7.** Clinical implications

Even though there is a trend towards more patient participation in research [258], clinical practice guidelines still rarely consult patients and their experiences in the process of making decisions on recommendations and implementation.

The purpose of this framework is three-fold: First, it can be used as a base for questionnaires researching the concept of patients' perspectives on depression treatment in primary care. Quantifiable measures, such as satisfaction, adherence, or preference, are easy to integrate into clinical trials and offer the possibility for comparison and meta-analysis.

461 Second, patient-related measures about their experience and expectations can help to guide policies, 462 to validate and evaluate models of quality of care, to improve guideline development processes, and 463 consequently can make treatment more patient-centered, targeted and efficient. Effective 464 implementation of guidelines and changes in heuristics in primary care require research that includes 465 perspectives of all relevant stakeholders along with contextual factors. To create and test tailored 466 implementation strategies it is crucial to comprehensively understand contextual barriers and 467 facilitators [259]. Especially barriers to help-seeking are an important gap. A better understanding of 468 help-seeking and facilitating interventions seems promising to help with early diagnosis in primary 469 care, prevent chronicity, and reduce the burden of depression.

Third, the synthesis of barriers and facilitators offers a theoretical base on which networks can be built to better understand what the possible targets for intervention are. In particular, an understanding of contextual barriers from the patients' point of view can help to tailor effective implementation strategies.

Figure 4 shows a theoretical model of how patients' perspectives on care can be included into the forming and implementation of clinical practice guidelines to help with evidence-based and effective treatment of depression in primary care.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

477

- 478 Figure 4. Model of impact of patients' perspectives on clinical practice guidelines, primary care, and
- 479 vice-versa.

480

481 Acknowledgements

482 *The POKAL-Group (PrädiktOren und Klinische Ergebnisse bei depressiven ErkrAnkungen in der 483 hausärztLichen Versorgung (POKAL, DFG-GrK 2621)) consists of the following principle investigators: 484 Tobias Dreischulte, Peter Falkai, Jochen Gensichen, Peter Henningsen, Markus Bühner, Caroline Jung-485 Sievers, Helmut Krcmar, Karoline Lukaschek, Gabriele Pitschel-Walz and Antonius Schneider. The 486 following doctoral students are members of the POKAL-Group: Katharina Biersack, Constantin Brand, 487 Vita Brisnik, Christopher Ebert, Julia Eder, Feyza Gökce, Carolin Haas, Lisa Hattenkofer, Lukas Kaupe, 488 Jonas Raub. Philipp Reindl-Spanner, Hannah Schillok, Petra Schönweger, Clara Teusen, Marie Vogel, 489 Victoria von Schrottenberg, Jochen Vukas and Puya Younesi.

490

491 **References**

- Vandeleur CL, Fassassi S, Castelao E, Glaus J, Strippoli M-PF, Lasserre AM, et al. Prevalence and correlates of DSM-5 major depressive and related disorders in the community. Psychiatry Res.
 2017; 250:50–8. Epub 2017/01/23. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2017.01.060 PMID: 28142066.
- Stahmeyer JT, Märtens C, Eidt-Koch D, Kahl KG, Zeidler J, Eberhard S. The State of Care for
 Persons With a Diagnosis of Depression. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2022; 119:458–65.
 doi: 10.3238/arztebl.m2022.0204 PMID: 35506291.
- Johnston KM, Powell LC, Anderson IM, Szabo S, Cline S. The burden of treatment-resistant
 depression: A systematic review of the economic and quality of life literature. J Affect Disord.
 2019; 242:195–210. Epub 2018/06/27. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2018.06.045 PMID: 30195173.
- Trautmann S, Beesdo-Baum K. The Treatment of Depression in Primary Care. Dtsch Arztebl Int.
 2017; 114:721-8. doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2017.0721 PMID: 29143731.
- Zafra-Tanaka JH, Goicochea-Lugo S, Villarreal-Zegarra D, Taype-Rondan A. Characteristics and
 quality of clinical practice guidelines for depression in adults: a scoping review. BMC Psychiatry.
 2019; 19:76. Epub 2019/02/20. doi: 10.1186/s12888-019-2057-z PMID: 30786870.
- 506 6. Jin Y-H, Tan L-M, Khan KS, Deng T, Huang C, Han F, et al. Determinants of successful guideline
 507 implementation: a national cross-sectional survey. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2021; 21:19.
 508 Epub 2021/01/14. doi: 10.1186/s12911-020-01382-w PMID: 33446198.
- 509 7. Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Neuropsychopharmakologie und Pharmakopsychiatrie e.V.,
- 510 Arzneimittelkommission der deutschen Ärzteschaft, Arzneimittelkommission der Deutschen 511 Apotheker, Bundesarbeitsgemeinschaft Selbsthilfe von Menschen mit Behinderung,
- 512 chronischer Erkrankung und ihren Angehörigen e. V., Bundespsychotherapeutenkammer,
- 513 Bundesverband der Angehörigen psychisch erkrankter Menschen e. V., et al. Nationale
- 514 VersorgungsLeitlinie Unipolare Depression Langfassung. Bundesärztekammer (BÄK);
- 515 Kassenärztliche Bundesvereinigung (KBV); Arbeitsgemeinschaft der Wissenschaftlichen
- 516 Medizinischen Fachgesellschaften (AWMF); 2022.
- 517 8. Lee Y, Brietzke E, Cao B, Chen Y, Linnaranta O, Mansur RB, et al. Development and
- 518 implementation of guidelines for the management of depression: a systematic review. Bull

519 520		World Health Organ. 2020; 98:683-697H. Epub 2020/08/27. doi: 10.2471/BLT.20.251405 PMID: 33177758
520	a	Corres VC Lugo-Agudelo I H Aguirre-Acevedo DC Contreras IAP Borrero AMP Patiño-Lugo DE
521	5.	et al. Individual health system, and contextual harriers and facilitators for the implementation
522		of clinical practice guidelines: a systematic metareview. Health Res Policy Syst. 2020: 18:74
523		Epub 2020/06/29 doi: 10.1186/s12961-020-00588-8 PMID: 32600/17
525	10	Porter I Boyd C Skandari MR Laiteeranong N Revisiting the Time Needed to Provide Adult
526	10.	Primary Care. J Gen Intern Med. 2023; 38:147–55. Epub 2022/07/01. doi: 10.1007/s11606-022-
527		07707-x PMID: 35776372.
528	11.	Wood E, Ohlsen S, Ricketts T. What are the barriers and facilitators to implementing
529 530		Collaborative Care for depression? A systematic review. J Affect Disord. 2017; 214:26–43. Epub 2017/02/22. doi: 10.1016/i iad.2017.02.028.PMID: 28266319
531	12	Enstein RM Street RL The values and value of natient-centered care. Ann Fam Med. 2011:
532	12.	9:100–3. doi: 10.1370/afm.1239 PMID: 21403134.
533	13.	Kersting C, Hülsmann J, Weckbecker K, Mortsiefer A. Patients' perspective on supposedly
534		patient-relevant process and outcome parameters: a cross-sectional survey within the 'PRO
535		patients study'. BMC Health Serv Res. 2022; 22:72. Epub 2022/01/14. doi: 10.1186/s12913-021-
536		07437-6 PMID: 35031052.
537	14.	Pilkonis PA, Yu L, Dodds NE, Johnston KL, Maihoefer CC, Lawrence SM. Validation of the
538		depression item bank from the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System
539		(PROMIS) in a three-month observational study. J Psychiatr Res. 2014; 56:112–9. Epub
540		2014/05/29. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2014.05.010 PMID: 24931848.
541	15.	Kersting C, Kneer M, Barzel A. Patient-relevant outcomes: what are we talking about? A scoping
542		review to improve conceptual clarity. BMC Health Serv Res. 2020; 20:596. Epub 2020/06/29.
543		doi: 10.1186/s12913-020-05442-9 PMID: 32600321.
544	16.	Aromataris E, Munn Z. JBI manual for evidence synthesis. Adelaide, Australia: Joanna Briggs
545		Institute; 2020.
546	17.	Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for
547		Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. Ann Intern Med. 2018; 169:467–73.
548	10	Epub 2018/09/04. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850 PMID: 30178033.
549	18.	waza K, Granam AV, Zyzański SJ, inoue K. Comparison of symptoms in Japanese and American
550		DMID: 10522052
552	19	McCracken C. Dalgard OS. Avuso-Mateos II. Casey P. Wilkinson G. Lehtinen V. et al. Health
552	19.	service use by adults with depression: community survey in five European countries. Evidence
554		from the ODIN study. Br I Psychiatry, 2006; 189:161–7. doi: 10.1192/bin.bn.105.015081.PMID
555		16880487.
556	20.	Johnson DA. Depression: treatment compliance in general practice. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl.
557		1981; 290:447–53. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.1981.tb00751.x PMID: 6939323.
558	21.	Cooper LA, Brown C, Vu HT, Palenchar DR, Gonzales JJ, Ford DE, et al. Primary care patients'
559		opinions regarding the importance of various aspects of care for depression. Gen Hosp
560		Psychiatry. 2000; 22:163–73. doi: 10.1016/s0163-8343(00)00073-6 PMID: 10880709.
561	22.	Lin EHB, Korff M von, Ludman EJ, Rutter C, Bush TM, Simon GE, et al. Enhancing adherence to
562		prevent depression relapse in primary care. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2003; 25:303–10.
563		doi: 10.1016/s0163-8343(03)00074-4 PMID: 12972220.
564	23.	Brook OH, van Hout HP, Stalman WA, Haan M de. Nontricyclic Antidepressants. Journal of
565		Clinical Psychopharmacology. 2006; 26:643–7. doi: 10.1097/01.jcp.0000246217.34024.53.

566 567	24.	Mergl R, Henkel V, Allgaier A-K, Kramer D, Hautzinger M, Kohnen R, et al. Are treatment preferences relevant in response to serotonergic antidepressants and cognitive-behavioral
568		therapy in depressed primary care patients? Results from a randomized controlled trial
569		including a patients' choice arm. Psychother Psychosom. 2011; 80:39–47. Epub 2010/10/23.
570		doi: 10.1159/000318772 PMID: 20975325.
571	25.	Jaffray M, Cardy AH, Reid IC, Cameron IM. Why do patients discontinue antidepressant therapy
572		early? A qualitative study. Eur J Gen Pract. 2014; 20:167–73. Epub 2013/10/25.
573		doi: 10.3109/13814788.2013.838670 PMID: 24160364.
574	26.	Vannoy S, Park M, Maroney MR, Unützer J, Apesoa-Varano EC, Hinton L. The Perspective of
575		Older Men With Depression on Suicide and Its Prevention in Primary Care. Crisis. 2018; 39:397-
576		405. Epub 2018/04/05. doi: 10.1027/0227-5910/a000511 PMID: 29618265.
577	27.	Weich S, Morgan L, King M, Nazareth I. Attitudes to depression and its treatment in primary
578		care. Psychol Med. 2007; 37:1239–48. Epub 2007/06/11. doi: 10.1017/S0033291707000931
579		PMID: 17559705.
580	28.	Serrano MJ, Vives M, Mateu C, Vicens C, Molina R, Puebla-Guedea M, et al. Therapeutic
581		adherence in primary care depressed patients: a longitudinal study. Actas Esp Psiquiatr. 2014;
582		42:91-8.
583	29.	Pyne JM, Rost KM, Farahati F, Tripathi SP, Smith J, Williams DK, et al. One size fits some: the
584		impact of patient treatment attitudes on the cost-effectiveness of a depression primary-care
585		intervention. Psychol Med. 2005; 35:839–54. doi: 10.1017/s0033291704003332 PMID:
586		15997604.
587	30 .	Rost K, Nutting P, Smith J, Coyne JC, Cooper-Patrick L, Rubenstein L. The role of competing
588		demands in the treatment provided primary care patients with major depression. Arch Fam
589		Med. 2000; 9:150–4. doi: 10.1001/archfami.9.2.150 PMID: 10693732.
590	31.	Deen TL, Fortney JC, Pyne JM. Relationship between satisfaction, patient-centered care,
591		adherence and outcomes among patients in a collaborative care trial for depression. Adm
592		Policy Ment Health. 2011; 38:345–55. doi: 10.1007/s10488-010-0322-z PMID: 20978932.
593	32.	Finucane A, Mercer SW. An exploratory mixed methods study of the acceptability and
594		effectiveness of Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy for patients with active depression and
595		anxiety in primary care. BMC Psychiatry. 2006; 6:14. Epub 2006/04/07. doi: 10.1186/1471-
596		244X-6-14 PMID: 16603060.
597	33.	Maidment R, Livingston G, Katona C. Just keep taking the tablets: adherence to antidepressant
598		treatment in older people in primary care. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2002; 17:752–7.
599	24	dol: 10.1002/gps.688 PMID: 12211126.
600	54.	Givens JL, Datto CJ, Ruckdeschei K, Knott K, Zubritsky C, Oslin DW, et al. Older patients aversion
601		to antidepressants. A qualitative study. J Gen Intern Med. 2006; 21:146–51. Epub 2005/12/07.
602	25	uol. 10.1111/J.1525-1497.2005.00296.X PMID. 10556620.
604	55.	depression lisues Mont Health Nurs 2007; 29:911, 20, doi: 10.1090/01612940701415967
605		DMID: 17664112
606	26	PMD. 17034113. Regner HP. Cabill E. Erauenhoffer C. Barg EK. Older primary care patient views regarding
607	50.	antidepressants: A mixed methods approach. I Mental Health. 2009; 18:57–64
608		doi: 10.1080/09638230701677795 PMID: 19693280
609	37	Fortney IC Pyne IM Edlund MI Stecker T Mittal D Robinson DE et al Reasons for
610	<i>.</i>	antidepressant nonadherence among veterans treated in primary care clinics. I Clin Psychiatry
611		2011: 72:827–34 Epub 2010/11/16 doi: 10.4088/ICP.09m05528hlu PMID: 21208579
612	38	Stark A Kaduszkiewicz H Stein Maier W Heser K Weverer S et al A qualitative study on
613		older primary care patients' perspectives on depression and its treatments - notential harriers
		energy sale parents perspectives on appression and its reachents - perential barners

614		to and opportunities for managing depression. BMC Fam Pract. 2018; 19:2. Epub 2018/01/03.
615		doi: 10.1186/s12875-017-0684-3 PMID: 29295706.
616	39.	Körner H, Newman C, Limin M, Kidd MR, Saltman D, Kippax S. 'The black dog just came and sat
617		on my face and built a kennel': Gay men making sense of 'depression'. Health (London). 2011;
618		15:417–36. Epub 2010/12/15. doi: 10.1177/1363459310372511 PMID: 21169202.
619	40.	Gask L, Aseem S, Waquas A, Waheed W. Isolation, feeling 'stuck' and loss of control:
620		understanding persistence of depression in British Pakistani women. J Affect Disord. 2011;
621		128:49–55. Epub 2010/07/14. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2010.06.023 PMID: 20633932.
622	41.	Dickinson R, Knapp P, House AO, Dimri V, Zermansky A, Petty D, et al. Long-term prescribing of
623		antidepressants in the older population: a qualitative study. Br J Gen Pract. 2010; 60:e144-55.
624		doi: 10.3399/bjgp10X483913 PMID: 20353660.
625	42 .	Chew-Graham CA, Sharp D, Chamberlain E, Folkes L, Turner KM. Disclosure of symptoms of
626		postnatal depression, the perspectives of health professionals and women: a qualitative study.
627		BMC Fam Pract. 2009; 10:7. Epub 2009/01/21. doi: 10.1186/1471-2296-10-7 PMID: 19159478.
628	43.	Saver BG, Van-Nguyen V, Keppel G, Doescher MP. A qualitative study of depression in primary
629		care: missed opportunities for diagnosis and education. J Am Board Fam Med. 2007; 20:28–35.
630		doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2007.01.060026 PMID: 17204732.
631	44.	Keeley RD, West DR, Tutt B, Nutting PA. A qualitative comparison of primary care clinicians' and
632		their patients' perspectives on achieving depression care: implications for improving outcomes.
633		BMC Fam Pract. 2014; 15:13. Epub 2014/01/15. doi: 10.1186/1471-2296-15-13 PMID:
634		24428952.
635	45.	Gordon I, Ling J, Robinson L, Hayes C, Crosland A. Talking about depression during interactions
636		with GPs: a qualitative study exploring older people's accounts of their depression narratives.
637		BMC Fam Pract. 2018; 19:173. Epub 2018/11/03. doi: 10.1186/s12875-018-0857-8 PMID:
638		30390637.
639	46.	'You're depressed'; 'no I'm not': GPs' and patients' different models of depression. UMDS MSc
640		in General Practice Teaching Group. Br J Gen Pract. 1999; 49:123–4.
641	47.	Brown C, Dunbar-Jacob J, Palenchar DR, Kelleher KJ, Bruehlman RD, Sereika S, et al. Primary
642		care patients' personal illness models for depression: a preliminary investigation. Fam Pract.
643		2001; 18:314–20. doi: 10.1093/fampra/18.3.314 PMID: 11356741.
644	48.	Bogner HR, Dahlberg B, Vries HF de, Cahill E, Barg FK. Older patients' views on the relationship
645		between depression and heart disease. Fam Med. 2008; 40:652–7.
646	49.	Wittink MN, Joo JH, Lewis LM, Barg FK. Losing faith and using faith: older African Americans
647		discuss spirituality, religious activities, and depression. J Gen Intern Med. 2009; 24:402–7. Epub
648		2009/01/21. doi: 10.1007/s11606-008-0897-1 PMID: 19156471.
649	50.	Gabbay M, Shiels C, Bower P, Sibbald B, King M, Ward E. Patient-practitioner agreement: does
650		it matter. Psychol Med. 2003; 33:241–51. doi: 10.1017/s0033291702006992 PMID: 12622303.
651	51.	Cornford CS, Hill A, Reilly J. How patients with depressive symptoms view their condition: a
652		qualitative study. Fam Pract. 2007; 24:358–64. Epub 2007/07/13.
653		doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmm032 PMID: 17630269.
654	52.	Hansson M, Chotai J, Bodlund O. Patients' beliefs about the cause of their depression. J Affect
655		Disord. 2010; 124:54–9. Epub 2009/11/18. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2009.10.032 PMID: 19923007.
656	53.	van Geffen ECG, Heerdink ER, Hugtenburg JG, Siero FW, Egberts ACG, van Hulten R. Patients'
657		perceptions and illness severity at start of antidepressant treatment in general practice. Int J
658		Pharm Pract. 2010; 18:217–25. doi: 10.1111/j.2042-7174.2010.00035.x PMID: 20636673.
659	54.	Lynch J, Moore M, Moss-Morris R, Kendrick T. Are patient beliefs important in determining
660		adherence to treatment and outcome for depression? Development of the beliefs about

661		depression questionnaire Affect Disord 2011: 133:29-41 Epub 2011/04/19
662		doi: 10 1016/i iad 2011 03 019 PMID: 21507489
663	55.	Ivnch J. Moore M. Moss-Morris R. Kendrick T. Do patients' illness beliefs predict depression
664		measures at six months in primary care: a longitudinal study. J Affect Disord. 2015:
665		174:665–71. Epub 2014/12/11. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2014.12.005 PMID: 25590645.
666	56.	Aznar-Lou I, Iglesias-González M, Rubio-Valera M, Peñarrubia-Maria MT, Mendive JM,
667		Murrugarra-Centurión AG, et al. Diagnostic accuracy and treatment approach to depression in
668		primary care: predictive factors. Fam Pract. 2019; 36:3–11. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmy098 PMID:
669		30423158
670	57.	Brown C, Battista DR, Bruehlman R, Sereika SS, Thase ME, Dunbar-Jacob J. Beliefs about
671		antidepressant medications in primary care patients: relationship to self-reported adherence.
672		Med Care. 2005; 43:1203–7. doi: 10.1097/01.mlr.0000185733.30697.f6 PMID: 16299431.
673	58.	Badger F, Nolan P. Concordance with antidepressant medication in primary care. Nurs Stand.
674		2006; 20:35–40. doi: 10.7748/ns2006.09.20.52.35.c4492 PMID: 16989339.
675	59.	Russell J, Kazantzis N. Medication beliefs and adherence to antidepressants in primary care. N Z
676		Med J. 2008; 121:14–20.
677	60.	Turner KM, Sharp D, Folkes L, Chew-Graham C. Women's views and experiences of
678		antidepressants as a treatment for postnatal depression: a qualitative study. Fam Pract. 2008;
679		25:450–5. Epub 2008/09/30. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmn056 PMID: 18826994.
680	61 .	Danielsson U, Bengs C, Lehti A, Hammarström A, Johansson EE. Struck by lightning or slowly
681		suffocating - gendered trajectories into depression. BMC Fam Pract. 2009; 10:56. Epub
682		2009/08/11. doi: 10.1186/1471-2296-10-56 PMID: 19671133.
683	62 .	Yeung A, Chang D, Gresham RL, Nierenberg AA, Fava M. Illness beliefs of depressed Chinese
684		American patients in primary care. The Journal of nervous and mental disease. 2004; 192:324–
685		7. doi: 10.1097/01.nmd.0000120892.96624.00 PMID: 15060408.
686	63.	Saito M, Kawabata H, Murakami M, Maezawa M. Factors in the awareness of depression,
687		focusing on perceptual dissimilarities between PCPs and patients: an exploratory and
688		qualitative research. Hokkaido Igaku Zasshi. 2011; 86:79–83.
689	64.	Kwong K, Chung H, Cheal K, Chou JC, Chen T. Disability beliefs and help-seeking behavior of
690		depressed Chinese-American patients in a primary care setting. J Soc Work Disabil Rehabil.
691		2012; 11:81–99. doi: 10.1080/1536710X.2012.677602 PMID: 22630598.
692	65.	Cornford CS, Umeh K, Manshani N. Heroin users' experiences of depression: a qualitative study.
693		Fam Pract. 2012; 29:586–92. Epub 2012/02/22. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cms014 PMID: 22357579.
694	66.	Simmonds RL, Tylee A, Walters P, Rose D. Patients' perceptions of depression and coronary
695		heart disease: a qualitative UPBEAT-UK study. BMC Fam Pract. 2013; 14:38. Epub 2013/03/19.
696	67	doi: 10.1186/14/1-2296-14-38 PMID: 23509869.
697	67.	Chen JA, Hung GC-L, Parkin S, Fava M, Yeung AS. Illness beliefs of Chinese American Immigrants
698		with major depressive disorder in a primary care setting. Asian J Psychiatr. 2015; 13:16–22.
699	<u> </u>	Epub 2014/12/22. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2014.12.005 PMID: 25563074.
700	68.	Fremont P, Gerard A, Sechter D, Vanelle JM, Vidal M. L'alliance therapeutique au debut d'une
701		prise en charge pour depression par le generaliste. Encephale. 2008; 34:205–10. Epub
702	60	2008/04/14. doi: 10.1016/J.encep.2008.03.001 PMID: 1859/730.
703	69.	van den Boogaard TM, verhaak PFM, van Dyck R, Spinnoven P. The Impact of Causal
/04 705		Autobutions on diagnosis and successful referral of depressed patients in primary care. Soc Sci Mod. 2011; 72:1722, 40, Epub 2011 (10/12, doi: 10.1016 () accessing of 2011 (00.027 DMUD)
705 706		wea. 2011, 75.1755–40. Epub 2011/10/15. aoi: 10.1016/J.socscimea.2011.09.027 PMID:
700	70	22030103. Camphall DG Rannar I M Ralkan CP Lanta AR Zivin K Waltz TL at al Stigma Products
707	70.	Treatment Preferences and Care Engagement Among Vistorans Affairs Primary Care Patients
/00		meatiment mererences and care engagement Anong veterans Analis Primary Care Patients

709		with Depression. Ann Behav Med. 2016; 50:533–44. doi: 10.1007/s12160-016-9780-1 PMID:
710	71	20333310. Schemerus G. Stelzenburg S. Ereitag S. Speerfersk S. Janewitz D. Evans Laske S. et al. Stigma as
712	/ 1.	a harrier to recognizing personal mental illness and seeking beln: a prospective study among
713		untreated persons with mental illness. Fur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2019: 269:469–79
714		Enub 2018/04/20. doi: 10.1007/c00406-018-0896-0 PMID: 29679153
714	72	Brown C. Schulberg HC. Madenia ML Clinical presentations of major depression by African
716	12.	Amoricans and whites in primary modical care practice. LAffect Disord, 1996; 41:181–91
717		doi: 10.1016/c0165-0327/96/00085-7 PMID: 8988450
718	72	Schneider A. Körner T. Mehring M. Wensing M. Elwyn G. Szecsenyi I. Impact of age health
710	73.	Jocus of control and neychological comorbidity on nationts' proforances for shared desicion
720		making in general practice. Patient Educ Course 2006: 61:292–8
720		$\frac{1}{10} \frac{1016}{i} \frac{1000}{i} $
721	74	uor. 10.1010/J.pec.2005.04.008 PMID. 15896945.
722	74.	responsible of depression and applicate in primary care, DML 1000; 218:426, 0
725		dei: 10.1126 /bmi 218.7181.426 DMID: 0074461
724	75	uol. 10.1150/Dillj.516./161.450 Pivild. 5574401.
725	75.	trootmont Aust N.Z. Lowshistery 2002: 27:460, 74, doi: 10.1046/j.1440.1614.2002.01205.y
720		ITEALMENT. AUST N Z J PSychiatry. 2005, 57.409–74. 001. 10.1040/J.1440-1014.2005.01205.X
727	76	PIMID. 12075555.
720	70.	depression: a cross cultural study of the beliefs of older adults. Correntologist, 2006; 46:22, 22
725		dei: 10.1002/gerent/46.1.22 DMID: 16452221
730	77	UDI. 10.1055/getont/40.1.25 PMID. 10452261.
731	//.	to Examine the Pole of Veterans' Illness Dercentions on Depression Treatment Utilization and
732		LEDIS Concordance, Mod Care, 2016; E4:o2E 42, doi: 10.1007/MLP.00000000000000E6. DMID:
733		AEDIS CONCOLUTICE. MEU CATE. 2010, 54.855-42. 001. 10.1097/IMER.000000000000000000000000000000000000
734	78	24374423. Saint Arnault D. Woo S. The Importance of Perceived Need in Help Seeking for Japanese
736	70.	Women: A Preliminany Investigation of Sociocultural Contributions, Arch Psychiatr Nurs, 2017:
730		31:572-7 Enub 2017/07/28 doi: 10.1016/j.appu.2017.07.006 PMID: 20179823
738	79	Vega WA Rodriguez MA Ang A Addressing stigma of depression in Latino primary care
739	13.	natients Gen Hosn Psychiatry 2010: 32:182–91 Enub 2009/12/05
740		doi: 10.1016/i.genhosphsych 2009 10.008 PMID: 20302993
741	80	Chen IA Shanero BG Trinh N-HT Chang TE Parkin S Alpert IE et al Association Between
742	00.	Stigma and Depression Outcomes Among Chinese Immigrants in a Primary Care Setting 1 Clin
743		Psychiatry 2016: 77:e1287-e1292 doi: 10.4088/JCP.15m10225.PMID: 27631145
744	81	Lonez V. Sanchez K. Killian MO. Eghanevan BH. Depression screening and education: an
745	•=.	examination of mental health literacy and stigma in a sample of Hispanic women BMC Public
746		Health, 2018: 18:646. Epub 2018/05/22. doi: 10.1186/s12889-018-5516-4 PMID: 29788998.
747	82.	Heinz I. Baldofski S. Beesdo-Baum K. Knappe S. Kohls F. Rummel-Kluge C. "Doctor. my back
748		hurts and I cannot sleep." Depression in primary care patients: Reasons for consultation and
749		perceived depression stigma PLoS One 2021; 16:e0248069 Epub 2021/03/05
750		doj: 10.1371/journal.pone.0248069 PMID: 33667268.
751	83.	Pollock K. Grime J. Patients' perceptions of entitlement to time in general practice consultations
752		for depression: gualitative study. BMJ. 2002: 325:687.
753	84.	Taylor AK. Palmer VJ. Davidson S. Fletcher S. Gunn J. Patient reported self-help strategies and
754		the perceived benefits for managing sub-threshold depressive symptoms: A nested qualitative
755		study of Australian primary care attendees. Health Soc Care Community 2022: 30:e2097-
756		e2108. Epub 2021/11/12. doi: 10.1111/hsc.13646 PMID: 347666664.

757	85.	Beattie A, Shaw A, Kaur S, Kessler D. Primary-care patients' expectations and experiences of
758		online cognitive behavioural therapy for depression: a qualitative study. Health Expect. 2009;
759		12:45–59. doi: 10.1111/j.1369-7625.2008.00531.x PMID: 19250152.
760	86.	Raue PJ, Schulberg HC, Heo M, Klimstra S, Bruce ML. Patients' depression treatment
761		preferences and initiation, adherence, and outcome: a randomized primary care study.
762		Psychiatr Serv. 2009; 60:337–43. doi: 10.1176/ps.2009.60.3.337 PMID: 19252046.
763	87.	Palmer V. Gunn J. Kokanovic R. Griffiths F. Shrimpton B. Hurworth R. et al. Diverse voices.
764		simple desires: a conceptual design for primary care to respond to depression and related
765		disorders. Fam Pract. 2010; 27:447–58. Epub 2010/04/08. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmg016 PMID:
766		20378630.
767	88.	Wills CE, Holmes-Rovner M. Preliminary validation of the Satisfaction With Decision scale with
768		depressed primary care patients. Health Expect. 2003; 6:149–59. doi: 10.1046/j.1369-
769		6513.2003.00220.x PMID: 12752743.
770	89.	Dwight-Johnson M, Sherbourne CD, Liao D, Wells KB. Treatment preferences among depressed
771		primary care patients. J Gen Intern Med. 2000; 15:527–34. doi: 10.1046/j.1525-
772		1497.2000.08035.x PMID: 10940143.
773	90.	Bedi N, Chilvers C, Churchill R, Dewey M, Duggan C, Fielding K, et al. Assessing effectiveness of
774		treatment of depression in primary care. Partially randomised preference trial. Br J Psychiatry.
775		2000; 177:312–8. doi: 10.1192/bjp.177.4.312 PMID: 11116771.
776	91.	Dwight-Johnson M, Unutzer J, Sherbourne C, Tang L, Wells KB. Can quality improvement
777		programs for depression in primary care address patient preferences for treatment. Med Care.
778		2001; 39:934–44. doi: 10.1097/00005650-200109000-00004 PMID: 11502951.
779	92.	Löwe B, Schulz U, Gräfe K, Wilke S. Medical patients' attitudes toward emotional problems and
780		their treatment. What do they really want. J Gen Intern Med. 2006; 21:39–45.
781		doi: 10.1111/j.1525-1497.2005.0266.x PMID: 16423121.
782	93.	Gum AM, Areán PA, Hunkeler E, Tang L, Katon W, Hitchcock P, et al. Depression treatment
783		preferences in older primary care patients. Gerontologist. 2006; 46:14–22.
784		doi: 10.1093/geront/46.1.14 PMID: 16452280.
785	94.	Backenstrass M, Joest K, Frank A, Hingmann S, Mundt C, Kronmüller K-T. Preferences for
786		treatment in primary care: a comparison of nondepressive, subsyndromal and major depressive
787		patients. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2006; 28:178–80. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2005.10.001
788		PMID: 16516070.
789	95.	Johnson MD, Meredith LS, Hickey SC, Wells KB. Influence of patient preference and primary
790		care clinician proclivity for watchful waiting on receipt of depression treatment. Gen Hosp
791		Psychiatry. 2006; 28:379–86. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2006.07.006 PMID: 16950372.
792	96.	Dobscha SK, Corson K, Gerrity MS. Depression treatment preferences of VA primary care
793		patients. Psychosomatics. 2007; 48:482–8. doi: 10.1176/appi.psy.48.6.482 PMID: 18071094.
794	97.	Hodges L, Butcher I, Kleiboer A, McHugh G, Murray G, Walker J, et al. Patient and general
795		practitioner preferences for the treatment of depression in patients with cancer: how, who,
796		and where. J Psychosom Res. 2009; 67:399–402. Epub 2009/04/24.
797		doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2009.03.008 PMID: 19837202.
798	98.	Dwight Johnson M, Apesoa-Varano C, Hay J, Unutzer J, Hinton L. Depression treatment
799		preferences of older white and Mexican origin men. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2013; 35:59–65.
800		Epub 2012/11/06. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2012.08.003 PMID: 23141027.
801	99.	Waltz TJ, Campbell DG, Kirchner JE, Lombardero A, Bolkan C, Zivin K, et al. Veterans with
802		depression in primary care: provider preferences, matching, and care satisfaction. Fam Syst
803		Health. 2014; 32:367–77. Epub 2014/08/04. doi: 10.1037/fsh0000071 PMID: 25090611.

804	100 .	Magnani M, Sasdelli A, Bellino S, Bellomo A, Carpiniello B, Politi P, et al. Treating Depression:
805		What Patients Want; Findings From a Randomized Controlled Trial in Primary Care.
806		Psychosomatics. 2016; 57:616–23. Epub 2016/05/13. doi: 10.1016/j.psym.2016.05.004 PMID:
807		27393388.
808	101.	Davis TD, Campbell DG, Bonner LM, Bolkan CR, Lanto A, Chaney EF, et al. Women Veterans with
809		Depression in Veterans Health Administration Primary Care: An Assessment of Needs and
810		Preferences. Womens Health Issues. 2016; 26:656–66. Epub 2016/09/30.
811		doi: 10.1016/j.whi.2016.08.001 PMID: 27697494.
812	102 .	Avey JP, Dirks LG, Dillard DA, Manson SM, Merrick M, Smith JJ, et al. Depression management
813		interests among Alaska Native and American Indian adults in primary care. J Affect Disord.
814		2018; 239:214–9. Epub 2018/06/07. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2018.05.075 PMID: 30025310.
815	103.	Backhaus L, Pabst A, Löbner M, Riedel-Heller S, Luppa M. Behandlungspräferenzen depressiver
816		Allgemeinarztpatienten. Psychiatr Prax. 2020; 47:39–42. Epub 2019/08/14. doi: 10.1055/a-
817		0961-1628 PMID: 31412368.
818	104.	Dorow M, Löbner M, Pabst A, Stein J, Riedel-Heller SG. Preferences for Depression Treatment
819		Including Internet-Based Interventions: Results From a Large Sample of Primary Care Patients.
820		Front Psychiatry. 2018; 9:181. Epub 2018/05/17. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00181 PMID:
821		29867605
822	105.	Winklerfelt Hammarberg S af, Björkelund C, Nejati S, Magnil M, Hange D, Svenningsson I, et al.
823		Clinical effectiveness of care managers in collaborative primary health care for patients with
824		depression: 12- and 24-month follow-up of a pragmatic cluster randomized controlled trial.
825		BMC Prim Care. 2022; 23:198. Epub 2022/08/09. doi: 10.1186/s12875-022-01803-x PMID:
826		35945493.
827	106.	Johnson DA. Treatment of depression in general practice. Br Med J. 1973; 2:18–20.
828		doi: 10.1136/bmj.2.5857.18 PMID: 4695689.
829	107 .	Scott Al, Freeman CP. Edinburgh primary care depression study: treatment outcome, patient
830		satisfaction, and cost after 16 weeks. BMJ. 1992; 304:883–7. doi: 10.1136/bmj.304.6831.883
831		PMID: 1392754.
832	108 .	Gilmore KA, Hargie O. Quality issues in the treatment of depression in general practice. Int J
833		Health Care Qual Assur Inc Leadersh Health Serv. 2000; 13:34–41.
834		doi: 10.1108/09526860010311071 PMID: 11183226.
835	109.	Meredith LS, Orlando M, Humphrey N, Camp P, Sherbourne CD. Are better ratings of the
836		patient-provider relationship associated with higher quality care for depression. Med Care.
837		2001; 39:349-60. doi: 10.1097/00005650-200104000-00006 PMID: 11329522.
838	110 .	Webster J, Pritchard MA, Linnane JW, Roberts JA, Hinson JK, Starrenburg SE. Postnatal
839		depression: use of health services and satisfaction with health-care providers. J Qual Clin Pract.
840		2001; 21:144–8. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1762.2001.00432.x PMID: 11856412.
841	111.	Orlando M, Meredith LS. Understanding the causal relationship between patient-reported
842		interpersonal and technical quality of care for depression. Med Care. 2002; 40:696–704.
843		doi: 10.1097/00005650-200208000-00009 PMID: 12187183.
844	112.	Solberg LI, Fischer LR, Rush WA, Wei F. When depression is the diagnosis, what happens to
845		patients and are they satisfied. Am J Manag Care. 2003; 9:131–40.
846	113.	Mellor D, Davison T, McCabe M, Kuruvilla G, Moore K, Ski C. Satisfaction with general
847		practitioner treatment of depression among residents of aged care facilities. J Aging Health.
848		2006; 18:435–57. doi: 10.1177/0898264306286199 PMID: 16648395.
849	114 .	DeJesus RS, Howell L, Williams M, Hathaway J, Vickers KS. Collaborative care management
850		effectively promotes self-management: patient evaluation of care management for depression

851		in primary care. Postgrad Med. 2014; 126:141–6. doi: 10.3810/pgm.2014.03.2750 PMID:
852		
853	115.	Edwards L, Thomas C, Gregory A, Yardiey L, O Cathain A, Montgomery AA, et al. Are people
854 0FF		with chronic diseases interested in using telenearing A cross-sectional postal survey. J Med
833 956	116	Aragonàs E. Dombla C. Lánaz Cortagons C. Tomé Dires C. Sánshaz Bodríguez E. Coholloro A. et
050	110.	Alagones E, Rambia C, Lopez-Cortacans G, Tome-Pires C, Sanchez-Rounguez E, Caballero A, et
027		al. Effectiveness of a contaborative care intervention for managing major depression and
0J0 950		Dicord 2019: 252:221–9 Epub 2019/04/08 doi: 10.1016/i.iod.2019.04.004.0MID: 20986737
860	117	Saur CD. Steffens DC. Harpole LH. Ean M-V. Oddone E7. Unitzer L. Satisfaction and Outcomes of
861	11/.	Depressed Older Adults With Psychiatric Clinical Nurse Specialists in Primary Care, J Am
862		Psychiatr Nurses Assoc. 2007: 13:62–70. doi: 10.1177/1078390307301938
863	118	Pitrou L Berbiche D. Vasiliadis H-M. Mental health and satisfaction with primary care services in
864	110.	older adults: a study from the patient perspective on four dimensions of care. Fam Pract. 2020:
865		37.459–64 doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmaa019.PMID: 32201895
866	119	Green BL, Watson MR, Kaltman SL, Serrano A, Talisman N, Kirkpatrick L, et al. Knowledge and
867		Preferences Regarding Antidepressant Medication Among Depressed Latino Patients in Primary
868		Care. The Journal of nervous and mental disease. 2017; 205:952–9.
869		doi: 10.1097/NMD.0000000000000754 PMID: 29076955.
870	120.	Lee King PA, Cederbaum JA, Kurzban S, Norton T, Palmer SC, Coyne JC. Role of patient
871		treatment beliefs and provider characteristics in establishing patient-provider relationships.
872		Fam Pract. 2015; 32:224–31. Epub 2015/01/01. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmu085 PMID: 25556196.
873	121 .	Horevitz E, Organista KC, Arean PA. Depression Treatment Uptake in Integrated Primary Care:
874		How a "Warm Handoff" and Other Factors Affect Decision Making by Latinos. Psychiatr Serv.
875		2015; 66:824–30. Epub 2015/04/15. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201400085 PMID: 25873022.
876	122 .	Newman C, Kippax S, Mao L, Saltman D, Kidd M. Roles ascribed to general practitioners by gay
877		men with depression. Aust Fam Physician. 2010; 39:667–71.
878	123 .	Nichols GA, Brown JB. Following depression in primary care: do family practice physicians ask
879		about depression at different rates than internal medicine physicians. Arch Fam Med. 2000;
880		9:478–82. doi: 10.1001/archfami.9.5.478 PMID: 10810955.
881	124.	Pollock K. Maintaining face in the presentation of depression: constraining the therapeutic
882		potential of the consultation. Health (London). 2007; 11:163–80.
883		doi: 10.1177/1363459307074692 PMID: 17344270.
884	125.	Sternke EA, Abrahamson K, Bair MJ. Comorbid Chronic Pain and Depression: Patient
885		Perspectives on Empathy. Pain Manag Nurs. 2016; 17:363–71. Epub 2016/10/19.
886		doi: 10.1016/j.pmn.2016.07.003 PMID: 27769812.
887	126.	Gartland D, Hegarty K, Papadopoullos S, Brown S. Patterns of health service utilisation of
888		mothers experiencing mental health problems and intimate partner violence. Ten-year follow-
889		up of an Australian prospective mother and child conort. PLoS One. 2022; 17:e0269626. Epub
890	4 9 7	2022/06/15. doi: 10.13/1/journal.pone.0269626 PMID: 35/04627.
000 071	127.	van voornees BW, Cooper LA, Kost KW, Nutting P, Rubenstein LV, Meredith L, et al. Primary
077 002		care patients with depression are less accepting of treatment than those seen by mental health
070 801		specialists, J Gen Intern Wieu, 2003, 16,331–1000, 001, 10,1111/J,1525-1437,2003,21060.X
894 895	170	TMD. 14007257. Johnston O. Kumar S. Kendall K. Peveler P. Gabbay J. Kendrick T. Qualitative study of depression
896	120.	management in primary care: GP and patient goals and the value of listening Br I Gon Brast
897		2007: 57:872–9 doi: 10.3399/096016407782318026 PMID: 17976282
894 895 896 897	1 28 .	PMID: 14687257. Johnston O, Kumar S, Kendall K, Peveler R, Gabbay J, Kendrick T. Qualitative study of depression management in primary care: GP and patient goals, and the value of listening. Br J Gen Pract. 2007; 57:872–9. doi: 10.3399/096016407782318026 PMID: 17976282.

898	1 29 .	Wittkampf KA, van Zwieten M, Smits FT, Schene AH, Huyser J, van Weert HC. Patients' view on
899		screening for depression in general practice. Fam Pract. 2008; 25:438–44. Epub 2008/10/03.
900		doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmn057 PMID: 18836095.
901	130.	Andrews G, Carter GL. What people say about their general practitioners' treatment of anxiety
902		and depression. Med J Aust. 2001; 175:S48-51. doi: 10.5694/j.1326-5377.2002.tb04287.x PMID:
903		11556437
904	131.	Prins MA, Verhaak PFM, van der Meer K, Penninx BWJH, Bensing JM. Primary care patients with
905		anxiety and depression: need for care from the patient's perspective. J Affect Disord. 2009;
906		119:163–71. Epub 2009/05/05. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2009.03.019 PMID: 19419771.
907	132.	Prins M, Bosmans J, Verhaak P, van der Meer K, van Tulder M, van Marwijk H, et al. The costs of
908		guideline-concordant care and of care according to patients' needs in anxiety and depression. J
909		Eval Clin Pract. 2011; 17:537–46. Epub 2010/06/25. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2753.2010.01490.x
910		PMID: 20586845.
911	133.	Katon W, Korff M von, Lin E, Walker E, Simon GE, Bush T, et al. Collaborative management to
912		achieve treatment guidelines. Impact on depression in primary care. JAMA. 1995; 273:1026–31.
913	134.	Gask L, Rogers A, Oliver D, May C, Roland M. Qualitative study of patients' perceptions of the
914		quality of care for depression in general practice. Br J Gen Pract. 2003; 53:278–83.
915	135.	Rossom RC, Solberg LI, Vazquez-Benitez G, Crain AL, Beck A, Whitebird R, et al. The effects of
916		patient-centered depression care on patient satisfaction and depression remission. Fam Pract.
917		2016; 33:649–55. Epub 2016/08/17. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmw068 PMID: 27535330.
918	136.	Badger F, Nolan P. Attributing recovery from depression. Perceptions of people cared for in
919		primary care. J Clin Nurs. 2007; 16:25–34. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2006.01581.x PMID:
920		17518866.
921	137.	Hansson M, Chotai J, Bodlund O. What made me feel better? Patients' own explanations for the
922		improvement of their depression. Nord J Psychiatry. 2012; 66:290–6. Epub 2012/01/03.
923		doi: 10.3109/08039488.2011.644807 PMID: 22211274.
924	138.	Canty HR, Sauter A, Zuckerman K, Cobian M, Grigsby T. Mothers' Perspectives on Follow-up for
925		Postpartum Depression Screening in Primary Care. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2019; 40:139–43.
926		doi: 10.1097/DBP.000000000000628 PMID: 30422838.
927	139.	Poole L, Frost R, Rowlands H, Black G. Experience of depression in older adults with and without
928		a physical long-term condition: findings from a qualitative interview study. BMJ Open. 2022;
929		12:e056566. Epub 2022/02/24. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-056566 PMID: 35210344.
930	140.	Manning C, Marr J. 'Real-life burden of depression' surveysGP and patient perspectives on
931		treatment and management of recurrent depression. Curr Med Res Opin. 2003; 19:526–31.
932		doi: 10.1185/030079903125002117 PMID: 14594525.
933	141.	Arve S, Lauri S, Lehtonen A, Tilvis RS. Patient's and general practitioner's different views on
934		patient's depression. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 1999; 28:247–57. doi: 10.1016/s0167-
935		4943(99)00012-6 PMID: 15374086.
936	142.	Izquierdo A, Sarkisian C, Ryan G, Wells KB, Miranda J. Older depressed Latinos' experiences with
937		primary care visits for personal, emotional and/or mental health problems: a qualitative
938	_	analysis. Ethn Dis. 2014; 24:84–91.
939	143.	Jones AL, Mor MK, Haas GL, Gordon AJ, Cashy JP, Schaefer JH, et al. The Role of Primary Care
940		Experiences in Obtaining Treatment for Depression. J Gen Intern Med. 2018; 33:1366–73. Epub
941		2018/06/08. doi: 10.1007/s11606-018-4522-7 PMID: 29948804.
942	144.	Knowles SE, Lovell K, Bower P, Gilbody S, Littlewood E, Lester H. Patient experience of
943		computerised therapy for depression in primary care. BMJ Open. 2015; 5:e008581. Epub
944		2015/11/30. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008581 PMID: 26621513.

945 946	145.	Templeton L, Velleman R, Persaud A, Milner P. The experiences of postnatal depression in women from black and minority ethnic communities in Wiltshire, UK. Ethn Health. 2003; 8:207–
947	1.40	21. dol: 10.1080/1355785032000136425 PMID: 14577996.
948	146.	Barg FK, Mavandadi S, Givens JL, Knott K, Zubritsky C, Oslin DW. when late-life depression
949		Improves: What do older patients say about their treatment. Am J Gerlatr Psychiatry, 2010;
950		18:596-605. doi: 10.109//Jgp.0b013e3181D/f0f9 PMID: 20593539.
951	147.	Holopainen D. The experience of seeking help for postnatal depression. Aust J Adv Nurs. 2002;
952	1 40	19.59-44. Caldarán Cámaz C. Patalaza Balastagui A. Paya Cardan I. La Bagigaluna Da Hara A. Zalla
955	140.	Atvutagi E. Mosquera Matsalfa I. Derepetivas de los pasientos diagnosticados de depresión y
055		atandidas nor módicos do familio y neiguiotros. Atan Brimaria, 2012: 44:E0E, 602, Enub
955		atendidos por medicos de familia y psiquiatras. Aten Primaria, 2012, 44.555 $-$ 002. Epub
950	1/0	Eograry CE Davidson AS Dationts' perspectives on antidepressant treatment in consultations
957	149.	with physicians Qual Hoalth Post 2014; 24:641–53, Epub 2014/04/08
950		doi: 10.1177/10/072221/528813 DMID: 2/71/618
960	150	Wikherg C Bettersson & Westman L Biörkelund C Betersson E-L Batients' perspectives on the
961	150.	use of the Montgomony Ashers depression rating scale solf assessment version in primary care
962		Scand Prim Health Care, 2016: 31/131–12, Epub 2016/11/02
963		doi: 10.1080/02813432.2016.1248635.0MID: 27804312
964	151	Malnass A Shaw A Kessler D Sharn D Concordance between PHO-9 scores and natients'
965	191.	experiences of depression: a mixed methods study. Br L Gen Pract. 2010: 60:e231-8
966		doi: 10.3399/bign10X502119 PMID: 20529486
967	15 2	Gensichen I. Guethlin C. Sarmand N. Siyakumaran D. Jäger C. Mergenthal K. et al. Patients'
968	192.	perspectives on depression case management in general practice - a qualitative study. Patient
969		Educ Couns, 2012: 86:114–9. Epub 2011/04/06. doi: 10.1016/i.pec.2011.02.020 PMID:
970		21474266.
971	153.	Knowles SE, Chew-Graham C, Adeyemi I, Coupe N, Coventry PA. Managing depression in people
972		with multimorbidity: a qualitative evaluation of an integrated collaborative care model. BMC
973		Fam Pract. 2015; 16:32. Epub 2015/03/05. doi: 10.1186/s12875-015-0246-5 PMID: 25886864.
974	154.	Taylor AK, Gilbody S, Bosanquet K, Overend K, Della Bailey, Foster D, et al. How should we
975		implement collaborative care for older people with depression? A qualitative study using
976		normalisation process theory within the CASPER plus trial. BMC Fam Pract. 2018; 19:116. Epub
977		2018/07/18. doi: 10.1186/s12875-018-0813-7 PMID: 30021506.
978	155.	Richards JE, Hohl SD, Whiteside U, Ludman EJ, Grossman DC, Simon GE, et al. If You Listen, I
979		Will Talk: the Experience of Being Asked About Suicidality During Routine Primary Care. J Gen
980		Intern Med. 2019; 34:2075–82. Epub 2019/07/25. doi: 10.1007/s11606-019-05136-x PMID:
981		31346911.
982	156.	Rogers A, May C, Oliver D. Experiencing depression, experiencing the depressed: The separate
983		worlds of patients and doctors. J Mental Health. 2001; 10:317–33.
984		doi: 10.1080/09638230020023840.
985	157.	Bennett M, Walters K, Drennan V, Buszewicz M. Structured pro-active care for chronic
986		depression by practice nurses in primary care: a qualitative evaluation. PLoS One. 2013;
987		8:e75810. Epub 2013/09/12. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0075810 PMID: 24069451.
988	158.	Grung I, Anderssen N, Haukenes I, Ruths S, Smith-Sivertsen T, Hetlevik Ø, et al. Patient
989		experiences with depression care in general practice: a qualitative questionnaire study. Scand J
990		Prim Health Care. 2022; 40:253–60. Epub 2022/05/23. doi: 10.1080/02813432.2022.2074069
991		PMID: 35603990.

992	159.	Mohr DC, Hart SL, Howard I, Julian L, Vella L, Catledge C, et al. Barriers to psychotherapy among
993		depressed and nondepressed primary care patients. Ann Behav Med. 2006; 32:254–8.
994		doi: 10.1207/s15324796abm3203_12 PMID: 17107299.
995	160.	Chew-Graham C, Kovandžić M, Gask L, Burroughs H, Clarke P, Sanderson H, et al. Why may
996		older people with depression not present to primary care? Messages from secondary analysis
997		of qualitative data. Health Soc Care Community. 2012; 20:52–60. Epub 2011/07/12.
998		doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2524.2011.01015.x PMID: 21749528.
999	161.	Bell RA, Franks P, Duberstein PR, Epstein RM, Feldman MD, Fernandez y Garcia E, et al.
1000		Suffering in silence: reasons for not disclosing depression in primary care. Ann Fam Med. 2011;
1001		9:439–46. doi: 10.1370/afm.1277 PMID: 21911763.
1002	162.	Keller AO, Valdez CR, Schwei RJ, Jacobs EA. Disclosure of Depression in Primary Care: A
1003		Qualitative Study of Women's Perceptions. Womens Health Issues. 2016; 26:529–36. Epub
1004		2016/08/13. doi: 10.1016/j.whi.2016.07.002 PMID: 27531601.
1005	163.	Robinson J, Khan N, Fusco L, Malpass A, Lewis G, Dowrick C. Why are there discrepancies
1006		between depressed patients' Global Rating of Change and scores on the Patient Health
1007		Questionnaire depression module? A qualitative study of primary care in England. BMJ Open.
1008		2017; 7:e014519. Epub 2017/05/04. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014519 PMID: 28473513.
1009	164 .	Leydon GM, Rodgers L, Kendrick T. A qualitative study of patient views on discontinuing long-
1010		term selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. Fam Pract. 2007; 24:570–5. Epub 2007/11/20.
1011		doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmm069 PMID: 18032401.
1012	165.	Hansen MC, Cabassa LJ. Pathways to depression care: help-seeking experiences of low-income
1013		Latinos with diabetes and depression. J Immigr Minor Health. 2012; 14:1097–106.
1014		doi: 10.1007/s10903-012-9590-x PMID: 22367667.
1015	166 .	Bair MJ, Matthias MS, Nyland KA, Huffman MA, Stubbs DL, Kroenke K, et al. Barriers and
1016		facilitators to chronic pain self-management: a qualitative study of primary care patients with
1017		comorbid musculoskeletal pain and depression. Pain Med. 2009; 10:1280–90.
1018		doi: 10.1111/j.1526-4637.2009.00707.x PMID: 19818038.
1019	167.	Loh A, Leonhart R, Wills CE, Simon D, Härter M. The impact of patient participation on
1020		adherence and clinical outcome in primary care of depression. Patient Educ Couns. 2007;
1021		65:69-78. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2006.05.007 PMID: 17141112.
1022	168 .	Clever SL, Ford DE, Rubenstein LV, Rost KM, Meredith LS, Sherbourne CD, et al. Primary care
1023		patients' involvement in decision-making is associated with improvement in depression. Med
1024		Care. 2006; 44:398–405. doi: 10.1097/01.mlr.0000208117.15531.da PMID: 16641657.
1025	169.	Moise N, Ye S, Alcántara C, Davidson KW, Kronish I. Depressive symptoms and decision-making
1026		preferences in patients with comorbid illnesses. J Psychosom Res. 2017; 92:63–6. Epub
1027		2015/12/02. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2015.12.001 PMID: 26682488.
1028	170.	Solberg LI, Crain AL, Rubenstein L, Unützer J, Whitebird RR, Beck A. How much shared decision
1029		making occurs in usual primary care of depression. J Am Board Fam Med. 2014; 27:199–208.
1030		doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2014.02.130164 PMID: 24610182.
1031	171.	Katon W, Korff M von, Lin E, Lipscomb P, Russo J, Wagner E, et al. Distressed high utilizers of
1032		medical care. DSM-III-R diagnoses and treatment needs. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 1990; 12:355–62.
1033	_	doi: 10.1016/0163-8343(90)90002-t PMID: 2245919.
1034	17 2 .	Callahan CM, Hui SL, Nienaber NA, Musick BS, Tierney WM. Longitudinal study of depression
1035		and health services use among elderly primary care patients. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1994; 42:833–8.
1036		doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.1994.tb06554.x PMID: 8046192.
1037	173.	Ford JD, Trestman RL, Steinberg K, Tennen H, Allen S. Prospective association of anxiety,
1038		depressive, and addictive disorders with high utilization of primary, specialty and emergency

1039 1040		medical care. Soc Sci Med. 2004; 58:2145–8. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2003.08.017 PMID:
1040	17/	Shyartzman P. Weiner 7. Vardy D. Friger M. Sherf M. Biderman A. Health services utilization by
1041	1/4.	depressive nations identified by the MINI questionnaire in a primary care setting. Scand I Prim
1042		Health Care 2005: 23:18-25 doi: 10.1080/02813/30510018383 PMID: 16025869
1045	175	Rhodes AE Bethell I Bondy SI Suicidality, depression, and mental health service use in Canada
1044	175.	Cap Psychiatry 2006; 51:35–41, doi: 10.1177/070674370605100107 PMID: 16491982
1045	176	Glaesmer H. Gunzelmann T. Martin A. Brähler F. Rief W. Die Bedeutung nsychischer
1047	170.	Beschwerden für die medizinische Inanspruchnahme und das Krankheitsverhalten Alterer
1048		Psychiatr Prax. 2008: 35:187–93. doi: 10.1055/s-2008-1067367 PMID: 18478502.
1049	177.	Busch MA. Neuner B. Aichberger MC. Hapke U. Riedel-Heller SG. Luppa M. Depressive
1050		Symptomatik und Inanspruchnahme von Gesundheits- und Pflegeleistungen bei Personen im
1051		Alter ab 50 Jahren in Deutschland. Ergebnisse einer bevölkerungsbasierten Ouerschnittstudie.
1052		Psychiatr Prax. 2013: 40:214–9. Epub 2013/03/25. doi: 10.1055/s-0032-1333026 PMID:
1053		23529658.
1054	178.	Gunn J, Cameron J, Densley K, Davidson S, Fletcher S, Palmer V, et al. Uptake of mental health
1055		websites in primary care: Insights from an Australian longitudinal cohort study of depression.
1056		Patient Educ Couns. 2018; 101:105–12. Epub 2017/07/11. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2017.07.008
1057		PMID: 28739180.
1058	179.	Liu M, McCurry SM, Belza B, Dobra A, Buchanan DT, Vitiello MV, et al. Effects of Osteoarthritis
1059		Pain and Concurrent Insomnia and Depression on Health Care Use in a Primary Care Population
1060		of Older Adults. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2019; 71:748–57. Epub 2019/05/10.
1061		doi: 10.1002/acr.23695 PMID: 30067892.
1062	180 .	Andrew JE, Wileman SM, Howie FL, Cameron IM, Naji SA, Eagles JM. Comparison of
1063		consultation rates in primary care attenders with and without seasonal affective disorder. J
1064		Affect Disord. 2001; 62:199–205. doi: 10.1016/s0165-0327(00)00148-8 PMID: 11223107.
1065	181 .	Ronalds C, Kapur N, Stone K, Webb S, Tomenson B, Creed F. Determinants of consultation rate
1066		in patients with anxiety and depressive disorders in primary care. Fam Pract. 2002; 19:23–8.
1067		doi: 10.1093/fampra/19.1.23 PMID: 11818346.
1068	1 82 .	Menchetti M, Cevenini N, Ronchi D de, Quartesan R, Berardi D. Depression and frequent
1069		attendance in elderly primary care patients. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2006; 28:119–24.
1070		doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2005.10.007 PMID: 16516061.
1071	183.	Ferrari S, Galeazzi GM, Mackinnon A, Rigatelli M. Frequent attenders in primary care: impact of
1072		medical, psychiatric and psychosomatic diagnoses. Psychother Psychosom. 2008; 77:306–14.
1073	_	Epub 2008/07/04. doi: 10.1159/000142523 PMID: 18600036.
1074	184.	Widmer RB, Cadoret RJ. Depression in primary care: changes in pattern of patient visits and
1075		complaints during a developing depression. J Fam Pract. 1978; 7:293–302.
1076	185.	Widmer RB, Cadoret RJ. Depression in family practice: changes in pattern of patient visits and
10//	4.00	complaints during subsequent developing depressions. J Fam Pract. 1979; 9:1017–21.
1078	186.	Cadoret RJ, Widmer RB, Troughton EP. Somatic complaints harbinger of depression in
1079		primary care. J Affect Disord. 1980; 2:61–70. doi: 10.1016/0165-0327(80)90022-1 PMID:
1080	107	6448881.
1002	191	depression in a family practice. I Affect Disord, 1982; 5:100, 207, day 10,1010 (01.05)
1002		0227/02/00042 2 DMID: 6224022
1003	1 9 9	USZ/(03)50042-3 FIVIID. 0224032. Katon W. Rutter C.M. Lin F. Simon G. Korff M. von Ruch T. at al. Arathara datastable differences
1085	100.	in quality of care or outcome of depression across primary care providers. Mod Care 2000:
1086		38:552–61 doi: 10.1097/00005650-200006000-00002 PMID: 108/3308
1000		51.552 51.46h 16.1657/55555555 26666666 66662 FMID. 100-5566.

1087	189.	Eilat-Tsanani S, Merom A, Romano S, Reshef A, Lavi I, Tabenkin H. The effect of postpartum
1088		depression on women's consultations with physicians. Isr Med Assoc J. 2006; 8:406–10.
1089	190.	Romans-Clarkson SE, Walton VA, Dons DJ, Mullen PE. Which women seek help for their
1090		psychiatric problems. N Z Med J. 1990; 103:445–8.
1091	191.	Arroyo Cardona E, Auquer Framis F, Buñuel Álvarez JC, Rubio Montañés ML, Adalid Villar C,
1092		Cordón Granados F, et al. Hiperfrecuentación en atención primaria: estudio de los factores
1093		psicosociales. Aten Primaria. 1998; 22:627–30. Available from: https://www.elsevier.es/es-
1094		revista-atencion-primaria-27-articulo-hiperfrecuentacion-atencion-primaria-estudio-factores-
1095		14986.
1096	192.	Druss BG, Rask K, Katon WJ. Major depression, depression treatment and quality of primary
1097		medical care. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2008; 30:20–5. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2007.08.015
1098		PMID: 18164936.
1099	193.	van Geffen ECG, Gardarsdottir H, van Hulten R, van Dijk L, Egberts ACG, Heerdink ER. Initiation
1100		of antidepressant therapy: do patients follow the GP's prescription. Br J Gen Pract. 2009;
1101		59:81–7. doi: 10.3399/bjgp09X395067 PMID: 19192372.
1102	194 .	Larsen KK, Vestergaard CH, Schougaard LMV, Larsen LP, Jessen A, May O, et al. Contacts to
1103		general practice and antidepressant treatment initiation after screening for anxiety and
1104		depression in patients with heart disease. Dan Med J. 2016; 63.
1105	195.	Menchetti M, Belvederi Murri M, Bertakis K, Bortolotti B, Berardi D. Recognition and treatment
1106		of depression in primary care: effect of patients' presentation and frequency of consultation. J
1107		Psychosom Res. 2009; 66:335–41. Epub 2008/12/16. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2008.10.008
1108		PMID: 19302892.
1109	196.	Suija K, Kalda R, Maaroos H-I. Patients with depressive disorder, their co-morbidity, visiting rate
1110		and disability in relation to self-evaluation of physical and mental health: a cross-sectional
1111		study in family practice. BMC Fam Pract. 2009; 10:38. Epub 2009/06/01. doi: 10.1186/1471-
1112		2296-10-38 PMID: 19486534.
1113	197 .	Vuorilehto MS, Melartin TK, Riihimäki K, Isometsä ET. Pharmacological and psychosocial
1114		treatment of depression in primary care: Low intensity and poor adherence and continuity. J
1115		Affect Disord. 2016; 202:145–52. Epub 2016/05/24. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2016.05.035 PMID:
1116		27262636.
1117	198 .	Pirard P, Baubet T, Motreff Y, Rabet G, Marillier M, Vandentorren S, et al. Use of mental health
1118		supports by civilians exposed to the November 2015 terrorist attacks in Paris. BMC Health Serv
1119		Res. 2020; 20:959. Epub 2020/10/20. doi: 10.1186/s12913-020-05785-3 PMID: 33076901.
1120	199.	Gomà-I-Freixanet M, Calvo-Rojas V, Portell M. Psychosocial characteristics and affective
1121		symptomatology associated with patient self-initiated consultations in Spanish general practice.
1122		Health Soc Care Community. 2020; 28:2312–9. Epub 2020/06/08. doi: 10.1111/hsc.13052
1123		PMID: 32511850.
1124	200.	Oxman TE, Harrigan J, Kues J. Diagnostic patterns of family physicians for somatoform,
1125		depressive, and anxiety disorders. J Fam Pract. 1983; 17:439–46.
1126	201 .	Katon W, Berg AO, Robins AJ, Risse S. Depressionmedical utilization and somatization. West J
1127		Med. 1986; 144:564–8.
1128	202.	Karlsson H, Lehtinen V, Joukamaa M. Psychiatric morbidity among frequent attender patients in
1129		primary care. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 1995; 17:19–25. doi: 10.1016/0163-8343(94)00059-m
1130		PMID: 7737490.
1131	203.	Yamada K, Maeno T, Ono M, Sato T, Otsubo T, Isse K. Depression in a family practice in Japan:
1132		doctor shopping and patient complaints. Prim Care Psych. 2005; 10:7–12.
1133		doi: 10.1185/135525705X40355.

licine use, and
on. J
16198186.
ersistent
-30. Epub
ent attenders in
to low
nological
actitioners.
11795548.
ifs en
e and
1.
lationship of
. J Gen Intern
atients. Fam
_
ment of
_
n of
.150.5.734
_
t the
ct. 1995;
es among
008;31:301-7.
essed elders
3941263.
al. The role of
1510U.
o
rolatos of
reidles Of
ad 2008.
eu. 2000,
ion and quality
ion anu uuality
- 2013 10 015

1182 1183	221.	Dowrick C, Kokanovic R, Hegarty K, Griffiths F, Gunn J. Resilience and depression: perspectives from primary care. Health (London). 2008; 12:439–52. doi: 10.1177/1363459308094419 PMID:
1184		18818274.
1185	222.	Pung A, Fletcher SL, Gunn JM. Mobile App Use by Primary Care Patients to Manage Their
1186		Depressive Symptoms: Qualitative Study. J Med Internet Res. 2018; 20:e10035. Epub
1187		2018/09/27. doi: 10.2196/10035 PMID: 30262449.
1188	223.	Faber M von, van der Geest G, van der Weele GM, Blom JW, van der Mast RC, Reis R, et al.
1189		Older people coping with low mood: a qualitative study. Int Psychogeriatr. 2016; 28:603–12.
1190		Epub 2015/12/17. doi: 10.1017/S1041610215002264 PMID: 26674197.
1191	224.	Kloppe T, Pohontsch NJ, Scherer M. Types of Utilization and Types of Treatment Response in a
1192		Collaborative Care Approach for Depressive Disorders in Old Age in Primary Care. Front
1193		Psychiatry. 2020; 11:565929. Epub 2020/10/23. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.565929 PMID:
1194		33192688.
1195	225.	Lin EH, Korff M von, Katon W, Bush T, Simon GE, Walker E, et al. The role of the primary care
1196		physician in patients' adherence to antidepressant therapy. Med Care. 1995; 33:67–74.
1197		doi: 10.1097/00005650-199501000-00006 PMID: 7823648.
1198	226.	Brook OH, van Hout H, Stalman W, Nieuwenhuyse H, Bakker B, Heerdink E, et al. A pharmacy-
1199		based coaching program to improve adherence to antidepressant treatment among primary
1200		care patients. Psychiatr Serv. 2005; 56:487–9. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.56.4.487 PMID: 15812103.
1201	227.	Bogner HR, Lin JY, Morales KH. Patterns of early adherence to the antidepressant citalopram
1202		among older primary care patients: the prospect study. Int J Psychiatry Med. 2006; 36:103–19.
1203		doi: 10.2190/DJH3-Y4R0-R3KG-JYCC PMID: 1692/582.
1204	228.	Akerblad A-C, Bengtsson F, Knorring L von, Ekselius L. Response, remission and relapse in
1205		relation to adherence in primary care treatment of depression: a 2-year outcome study. Int Clin
1206		Psychopharmacol. 2006; 21:117–24. doi: 10.1097/01.yic.0000199452.16682.b8 PMID:
1207	220	10421404. Knorring Lyon Akerblad & C. Bengtsson F. Carlsson & Ekselius L. Cast of depressions offect of
1200	229.	Adherence and treatment response. Fur Prychiatry, 2006; 21:249, E4, Enub 2006 (06/12)
1205		doi: 10.1016/i.ourpey 2006.04.005.0MID: 16777285
1210	230	Kales HC, Nease DE, Sirey IA, Zivin K, Kim HM, Kayanagh L, et al. Racial differences in adherence
1211	230.	to antidepressant treatment in later life. Am I Geriatr Psychiatry, 2013; 21:999–1009. Enub
1212		2013/02/06_doi: 10.1016/j.jago.2013.01.046.PMID: 23602306
1213	231	Demyttenaere K Enzlin P. Dewé W. Boulanger B. Bie I de Trover W. de et al. Compliance with
1215		antidepressants in a primary care setting. 1: Beyond lack of efficacy and adverse events. I Clin
1216		Psychiatry, 2001: 62 Suppl 22:30–3.
1217	232.	Dernovsek MZ. Taycar R. Sajovic M. Observation of treatment of depression in primary care
1218		setting in first week - what happens in first week of treatment with antidepressants. Psychiatr
1219		Danub. 2008; 20:227–30.
1220	233.	Hérique A, Kahn J-P. Réalités et recommandations dans la prescription et l'observance des
1221		antidépresseurs en médecine générale : évaluation des pratiques dans le traitement de la
1222		dépression en Lorraine et Champagne-Ardenne. Encephale. 2009; 35:73–9. Epub 2008/05/16.
1223		doi: 10.1016/j.encep.2007.12.010 PMID: 19250997.
1224	234.	Ruoff G. A method that dramatically improves patient adherence to depression treatment. J
1225		Fam Pract. 2005; 54:846–52.
1226	235.	Harrison P, Carr E, Goldsmith K, Young A, Ashworth M, Fennema D, et al. Antidepressant
1227		Advisor (ADeSS): a decision support system for antidepressant treatment for depression in UK
1228		primary care - a feasibility study. BMJ Open. 2023; 13:e060516. Epub 2023/03/03.
1229		doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-060516 PMID: 36868594.

1230	236.	Jeffery A, Bhanu C, Walters K, Wong IC, Osborn D, Hayes JF. Polypharmacy and antidepressant
1231		acceptability in comorbid depression and type 2 diabetes: a cohort study using UK primary care
1232		data. Br J Gen Pract. 2023; 73:e392-e398. Epub 2023/04/27. doi: 10.3399/BJGP.2022.0295
1233		PMID: 37105749.
1234	237.	van Hook MP. Women's help-seeking patterns for depression. Soc Work Health Care. 1999;
1235		29:15–34. doi: 10.1300/J010v29n01_02 PMID: 10482127.
1236	238.	Holloway EE, Sturrock BA, Lamoureux EL, Keeffe JE, Rees G. Help seeking among vision-
1237		impaired adults referred to their GP for depressive symptoms: patient characteristics and
1238		outcomes associated with referral uptake. Aust J Prim Health. 2015; 21:169–75.
1239		doi: 10.1071/py13085 PMID: 26509203.
1240	239.	Gormley N, O'Leary D. Time to initial medical presentation in a first-admission group with
1241		depression. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 1998; 97:166–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.1998.tb09981.x
1242		PMID: 9517913.
1243	240.	Shin JK. Help-seeking behaviors by Korean immigrants for depression. Issues Ment Health Nurs.
1244		2002; 23:461–76. doi: 10.1080/01612840290052640 PMID: 12079599.
1245	241.	Lawrence V, Banerjee S, Bhugra D, Sangha K, Turner S, Murray J. Coping with depression in later
1246		life: a qualitative study of help-seeking in three ethnic groups. Psychol Med. 2006; 36:1375–83.
1247		Epub 2006/07/20. doi: 10.1017/S0033291706008117 PMID: 16854247.
1248	242.	Callister LC, Beckstrand RL, Corbett C. Postpartum depression and help-seeking behaviors in
1249		immigrant Hispanic women. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs. 2011; 40:440–9. Epub
1250		2011/06/03. doi: 10.1111/j.1552-6909.2011.01254.x PMID: 21639863.
1251	243.	Waitzfelder B, Stewart C, Coleman KJ, Rossom R, Ahmedani BK, Beck A, et al. Treatment
1252		Initiation for New Episodes of Depression in Primary Care Settings. J Gen Intern Med. 2018;
1253		33:1283–91. Epub 2018/02/08. doi: 10.1007/s11606-017-4297-2 PMID: 29423624.
1254	244.	Cornwell BL, Szymanski BR, Bohnert KM, McCarthy JF. Treatment Initiation Following Positive
1255		Depression Screens in Primary Care: a Propensity Score-Weighted Analysis of Integrated Mental
1256		Health Services. J Gen Intern Med. 2021; 36:561–3. Epub 2020/06/03. doi: 10.1007/s11606-
1257		020-05694-5 PMID: 32495097.
1258	245.	O'Connor DW, Rosewarne R, Bruce A. Depression in primary care. 1: elderly patients' disclosure
1259		of depressive symptoms to their doctors. Int Psychogeriatr. 2001; 13:359–65.
1260		doi: 10.1017/s1041610201007748 PMID: 11768382.
1261	246.	Blanchard MR, Waterreus A, Mann AH. The nature of depression among older people in inner
1262		London, and the contact with primary care. Br J Psychiatry. 1994; 164:396–402.
1263		doi: 10.1192/bjp.164.3.396 PMID: 8199793.
1264	247.	Corrigan PW, Swantek S, Watson AC, Kleinlein P. When do older adults seek primary care
1265		services for depression. The Journal of nervous and mental disease. 2003; 191:619–22.
1266		doi: 10.109//01.nmd.000008/190.09305.b6 PMID: 14504573.
1267	248.	Sleath B, Tulsky JA, Peck BM, Thorpe J. Provider-patient communication about antidepressants
1268		among veterans with mental health conditions. Am J Geriatr Pharmacother. 2007; 5:9–17.
1269		doi: 10.1016/j.amjopharm.2007.03.002 PMID: 17608243.
1270	249.	Malpass A, Kessler D, Sharp D, Shaw A. 'I didn't want her to panic': unvoiced patient agendas in
1271		primary care consultations when consulting about antidepressants. Br J Gen Pract. 2011;
1272		61:e63-71. doi: 10.3399/bjgp11X556218 PMID: 21276326.
12/3	250	Ghods BK, Roter DL, Ford DE, Larson S, Arbelaez JJ, Cooper LA. Patient-physician
1274		communication in the primary care visits of African Americans and whites with depression. J
1275		Gen Intern Med. 2008; 23:600–6. Epub 2008/02/09. doi: 10.1007/s11606-008-0539-7 PMID:
1276		18264834.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

1277 1278 1279	251.	Steffen A, Thom J, Jacobi F, Holstiege J, Bätzing J. Trends in prevalence of depression in Germany between 2009 and 2017 based on nationwide ambulatory claims data. J Affect Disord. 2020; 271:239–47. Epub 2020/04/18. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.03.082 PMID: 32479322.
1280 1281 1282	252.	Domecq JP, Prutsky G, Elraiyah T, Wang Z, Nabhan M, Shippee N, et al. Patient engagement in research: a systematic review. BMC Health Serv Res. 2014; 14:89. Epub 2014/02/26.
1283 1284 1285	253.	Gensichen J, Lukaschek K, Jung-Sievers C, Falkai P, Schmitt A, Henningsen P, et al. Predictors and outcomes in primary depression care (POKAL) - a research training group develops an innovative approach to collaborative care. BMC Prim Care. 2022; 23:309. Epub 2022/12/02.
1286 1287 1288 1289 1290	254.	doi: 10.1186/s12875-022-01913-6 PMID: 36460965. Chandra S, Ward P, Mohammadnezhad M. Factors Associated With Patient Satisfaction in Outpatient Department of Suva Sub-divisional Health Center, Fiji, 2018: A Mixed Method Study. Front Public Health. 2019; 7:183. Epub 2019/07/02. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2019.00183 PMID: 31312630.
1291 1292 1293 1294	255.	Chang T-J, Bridges JFP, Bynum M, Jackson JW, Joseph JJ, Fischer MA, et al. Association Between Patient-Clinician Relationships and Adherence to Antihypertensive Medications Among Black Adults: An Observational Study Design. J Am Heart Assoc. 2021; 10:e019943. Epub 2021/07/09. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.120.019943. PMID: 34238022
1294 1295 1296	256. 257	Guagliardo MF. Spatial accessibility of primary care: concepts, methods and challenges. Int J Health Geogr. 2004; 3:3. Epub 2004/02/26. doi: 10.1186/1476-072X-3-3 PMID: 14987337.
1297 1298 1299 1300	237.	Guideline-based stepped and collaborative care for patients with depression in a cluster- randomised trial. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:9389. Epub 2018/06/20. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-27470-6 PMID: 29925893.
1301 1302 1303	258.	McCarron TL, Clement F, Rasiah J, Moran C, Moffat K, Gonzalez A, et al. Patients as partners in health research: A scoping review. Health Expect. 2021; 24:1378–90. Epub 2021/06/21. doi: 10.1111/hex.13272 PMID: 34153165.
1304 1305 1306 1307	259.	Baker R, Camosso-Stefinovic J, Gillies C, Shaw EJ, Cheater F, Flottorp S, et al. Tailored interventions to address determinants of practice. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015; 2015:CD005470. Epub 2015/04/29. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD005470.pub3 PMID: 25923419.
1308		
1309 1310	S 1 A	ppendix
1311 1312	S2 Pr Revie	eferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping ews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist







