

1 **The counterpart congenital overgrowth syndromes Beckwith-Wiedemann**  
2 **Syndrome in human and large offspring syndrome in bovine involve alternations**  
3 **in DNA methylation, transcription, and chromatin configuration.**

4  
5 Yahan Li <sup>1</sup>, Ping Xiao <sup>2,a</sup>, Frimpong Boadu <sup>3,a</sup>, Anna K. Goldkamp <sup>2,a</sup>, Snehal Nirgude <sup>4</sup>,  
6 Jianlin Cheng <sup>3</sup>, Darren E. Hagen <sup>2,\*</sup>, Jennifer M. Kalish <sup>4,5,\*</sup>, Rocío Melissa Rivera <sup>1,\*</sup>

7  
8  
9 <sup>a</sup> All contributed equally to the work

10 <sup>1</sup>Division of Animal Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 65211, USA

11 <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal and Food Sciences, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK  
12 74078, USA

13 <sup>3</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Missouri,  
14 Columbia, MO 65211, USA

15 <sup>4</sup>Division of Human Genetics and Center for Childhood Cancer Research, Children's  
16 Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

17 <sup>5</sup>Departments of Pediatrics and Genetics, Perelman School of Medicine at the  
18 University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

19  
20 \* Correspondence: riverarm@missouri.edu, kalishj@chop.edu,

21 darren.hagen@okstate.edu

22  
23 **Short Title: Molecular analyses of the counterpart overgrowth syndromes BWS**  
24 **and LOS**

25 **Abstract**

26 Beckwith-Wiedemann Syndrome (BWS, OMIM #130650) is a congenital  
27 epigenetic disorder in humans which affects approximately 1 in 10,340 children. The  
28 incidence is likely an underestimation as the condition is usually recognized based on  
29 observable phenotypes at birth. BWS children have up to a 28% risk of developing  
30 tumors and currently, only 80% of patients can be corroborated molecularly  
31 (epimutations/variants). It is unknown how the subtypes of this condition are molecularly  
32 similar/dissimilar globally, therefore there is a need to deeply characterize the syndrome  
33 at the molecular level. Here we characterize the methylome, transcriptome and  
34 chromatin configuration of 18 BWS individuals together with the animal model of the  
35 condition, the bovine large offspring syndrome (LOS). Sex specific comparisons are  
36 performed for a subset of the BWS patients and LOS. Given that this epigenetic  
37 overgrowth syndrome has been characterized as a loss-of-imprinting condition, parental  
38 allele-specific comparisons were performed using the bovine animal model. In general,  
39 the differentially methylated regions (DMRs) detected in BWS and LOS showed  
40 significant enrichment for CTCF binding sites. Altered chromosome compartments in  
41 BWS and LOS were positively correlated with gene expression changes, and the  
42 promoters of differentially expressed genes showed significant enrichment for DMRs,  
43 differential topologically associating domains, and differential A/B compartments in  
44 some comparisons of BWS subtypes and LOS. We show shared regions of  
45 dysregulation between BWS and LOS, including several HOX gene clusters, and also  
46 demonstrate that altered DNA methylation differs between the clinically epigenetically  
47 identified BWS patients and those identified as having DNA variants (i.e. *CDKN1C*

48 microdeletion). Lastly, we highlight additional genes and genomic regions that have the  
49 potential to serve as targets for biomarker development to improve current molecular  
50 methodologies. In summary, our results suggest that genome-wide alternation of  
51 chromosome architecture, which is partially caused by DNA methylation changes, also  
52 contribute to the development of BWS and LOS.

53

54

55 Keywords: Beckwith-Wiedemann Syndrome, large offspring syndrome, DNA methylation,  
56 chromosome architecture, Hi-C sequencing

57

58

## 59 **Introduction**

60 Beckwith-Wiedemann Syndrome (BWS, OMIM #130650) in humans and its  
61 bovine counterpart large/abnormal offspring syndrome (LOS/AOS) are well-known  
62 congenital epigenetic disorders [1–3]. The incidence of spontaneous BWS has been  
63 reported to be approximately 1 in 10,340 live births but the incidence of spontaneous  
64 LOS is currently unknown [4–6]. BWS and LOS share a broad spectrum of clinical  
65 features including macrosomia, macroglossia, abdominal wall defects (umbilical  
66 hernia/omphalocele), lateralized overgrowth, ear malformation, organomegaly, and  
67 placentomegaly [2,7–19]. In addition, BWS is also associated with increased embryonal  
68 tumor incidence, hyperinsulinism, and facial nevus simplex [2], and LOS often results in  
69 dystocia and demise of offspring and dam due to offspring oversize [20]. BWS and LOS  
70 share epigenetic defects in the form of loss-of-imprinting at *IGF2*, *KCNQ1*, *IGF2R*,  
71 *PLAGL1*, *PEG3*, and *DLK1* [2,6–8,21–30]. In addition to primary epimutations, subtypes  
72 of BWS involve genetic defects which lead to loss of gene function or secondary  
73 epimutations that result in loss-of-imprinting [31,32].

74 The development of the mammalian embryo is a finely regulated process and  
75 adverse external insults during this window can have permanent influence on the health  
76 of the individual long after the exposure, a phenomenon known as Developmental  
77 Origins of Health and Disease [33,34]. Both BWS and LOS have been reported to occur  
78 more frequently in offspring conceived using assisted reproductive technologies (ART).  
79 Children conceived using ART have over a 10-fold increased risk (1 in 1,126 live births)  
80 for developing BWS [35], while in the bovine genetic improvement industry, the  
81 incidence of overgrowth was recently reported to be 10% for males and 24% for

82 females [36]. The causal relationship between ART and the increased incidence of  
83 these syndromes is considered to be achieved by inducing errors in the epigenome of  
84 oocytes and/or embryos since ART manipulations overlap with critical developmental  
85 windows for epigenetic reprogramming [37–41].

86 We and others have shown that alterations in epigenetic mechanisms (*i.e.*  
87 genomic imprinting, DNA methylation, and locus-specific chromosome architecture) and  
88 the resultant altered expression of small/long non-coding RNAs are detectable during  
89 gestation and after birth in these syndromes [42–44]. However, whether genome wide  
90 alteration of chromosome architecture exist in these conditions is not known, nor is how  
91 altered genome organization is involved in the observed global alterations in methylome  
92 and transcriptome and vice versa. Our hypothesis is that genome-wide alteration of  
93 chromosome architecture occurs in the counterpart overgrowth syndromes BWS and  
94 LOS that lead to regional and global gene expression changes and that some of these  
95 topological aberrations are shared between the two species. In this study, we  
96 systematically analyzed the alterations of DNA methylation, chromosome architecture,  
97 and gene expression in fibroblast primary cells from human BWS patients and bovine  
98 LOS fetuses and explored the association among these changes.

99

## 100 **Results and Discussion**

101 For human, five controls (CON\_H) and 18 BWS individuals were used for  
102 analyses. The BWS group is divided into three subgroups according to molecular  
103 subtypes, namely imprinting center 1 gain of methylation (BWS\_IC1\_GOM, n=3),  
104 imprinting center 2 loss of methylation (BWS\_IC2\_LOM, n=12), and *CDKN1C* variant

105 (BWS\_CDKN1C\_Mut, n=3). For bovine, the experimental groups were control (CON\_B,  
106 n=5) and LOS (LOS, n=5). It should be noted that one of the LOS samples, LOS\_#1, is  
107 considered an outlier according to all the sequencing results, therefore it is excluded  
108 from the results presented in the main document. Data including LOS\_#1 is available in  
109 the supplement (LOS\_All group, n=6). For each statistical test, overall (non-sex-specific)  
110 and sex-specific comparisons between groups were conducted for human and bovine.  
111 The sex of human patient BWS\_16\_Mut shows conflict between all the sequencing  
112 results (female) and hospital record (male), so it was excluded from the sex-specific  
113 comparisons. Given that BWS and LOS have been characterized as loss-of-imprinting  
114 conditions [2,22], parental allele-specific comparisons were performed using the bovine  
115 animal model as this type of analysis is not possible with the human samples. A  
116 summary of performed comparisons and numbers of statistically significant differences  
117 for all the analyses can be found in Table 1 (index 1-36).

## 118 **DNA methylation profile in BWS and LOS**

119 Whole genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) was conducted for human and  
120 bovine fibroblast samples to determine CpG methylation status. Read alignment  
121 information can be found in Table S1. Differentially methylated regions (DMR) were  
122 determined for all the comparisons in Table 1 and the DMR details can be found in Table  
123 S1.

124 Principal component analysis (PCA) clusters the majority of BWS samples while  
125 the control samples are more dispersed (Figure S1A), perhaps due to limitations in  
126 obtaining age matched healthy human samples. Similarly, hierarchical cluster analysis

127 groups most of the BWS samples irrespective of subtype (Figure 1A). 5,708 DMRs were  
128 detected when comparing all the BWS samples together with the control group, and ~66%  
129 of these DMRs were hypermethylated (Figure 1A). A greater number of DMRs were  
130 detected between BWS and controls when each subtype was analyzed independently,  
131 and hypermethylation was observed in 67% of DMRs in IC2 LOM group, 30% in IC1  
132 GOM group and 96% in the *CDKN1C* variant group (Figure S2A, B, C). We next  
133 compared the methylomes of the three BWS subtypes and identified clear and specific  
134 differences between them (Figure S2D, E, F). For bovine samples, 10,860 DMRs were  
135 identified in LOS with ~75% of those being hypermethylated (Figure 1B), as per the  
136 overall BWS analysis.

137

138

139 **Figure 1. CpG methylation heatmap and genomic context enrichment for**  
140 **differentially methylated regions (DMR). (A) and (B) DMR for human BWS group**  
141 **compared with control group (A), and bovine LOS group compared with control group**  
142 **(B). The left panel is the heatmap with hierarchical clustering and sex annotation which**  
143 **include male (M), female (F), and conflict (C). The right panels are the distribution of**  
144 **genomic contexts at the DMR (centered) and surrounding regions. Genomic contexts**  
145 **include 1kb promoter, exon, intron, CpG island, predicted CTCF binding sites, public**  
146 **CTCF ChIP-seq peaks, and repetitive sequences. The line chart on top of each panel is**  
147 **the average enrichment score for all the DMRs. (C) Number of unique and overlapped**  
148 **DMRs between BWS IC2 LOM subtypes and LOS.**

149

150

151 The predominant epimutation in BWS is loss of methylation (LOM) on maternal  
152 allele at the imprinting control region (ICR) of *KCNQ1OT1*, namely imprinting center 2

153 (IC2; i.e. KvDMR1), which accounts for ~50% of documented cases [2]. Normal levels of  
154 DNA methylation at this ICR should be ~50%, since only the maternal allele is normally  
155 methylated. Average DNA methylation at this locus (i.e. chr11:2719755-2722554) was  
156 17.59% in fibroblasts from IC2 patients and 45.18% in control samples, indicating LOM  
157 in this BWS subtype. This LOM at IC2 is not complete (0%), because the epimutations  
158 in BWS are mosaic which lead to a mixture of affected and not affected cells [2].  
159 Hierarchical clustering based on these DMRs separated most BWS with IC2 LOM  
160 samples away from controls (8/12; Figure S2B). No clustering based on sex was  
161 observed. To further investigate whether the other four IC2 LOM samples that  
162 intermixed with controls could be considered a novel IC2 subgroup, we subdivided the  
163 IC2 LOM group into subgroup 1 (BWS\_IC2\_LOM\_S1, n=8) and 2 (BWS\_IC2\_LOM\_S2,  
164 n=4) and compared them with the control group and each other. Subgroup-specific  
165 comparisons against controls identified a larger number of DMRs (23,582) in subgroup  
166 1 than in subgroup 2 (1,915). 672 DMRs were identified as shared between the two IC2  
167 LOM subgroups (Figure 1C). We then compared DMRs between LOS and the two IC2  
168 LOM subgroups and found that 2.89% (683) DMRs of subgroup 1 and 1.93% (37)  
169 DMRs of subgroup 2 are shared with LOS (Figure 1C and Table S1). In total, 17 DMRs  
170 were shared between the two IC2 LOM subgroups and LOS, including at the promoters  
171 of *KCNQ1OT1* (i.e., KvDMR1) and *HOXA-AS2* and gene bodies of the epigenetic  
172 modifiers *HDAC4* and *PRDM8* (Figure 1C and Table S1). Further, one of the shared  
173 DMRs is in the gene body of *NR2F1-AS1*, a gene associated with tumors reported in  
174 BWS, namely rhabdomyosarcoma and hepatocellular carcinoma [45].

175 The second most common epimutation in BWS (~5% of cases [2]) is gain of



176 methylation (GOM) at the *H19/IGF2* imprinted domain (i.e. IC1). Compared to controls,  
177 fibroblasts from BWS IC1 samples have 19.09% more DNA methylation at  
178 chr11:2015075-2022914, which encompass the IC1 and the entire *H19* gene body. This  
179 synchronized DNA methylation change in IC1 and *H19* gene body has also been  
180 reported in recurrent spontaneous abortion patients [46]. Cluster analysis of the DMRs  
181 grouped the three BWS samples with IC1 epimutation away from controls (Figure S2A).  
182 No clustering based on sex was observed. Heritable genetic mutations (including large-  
183 scale chromosomal rearrangement but not uniparental disomy) caused loss-of-  
184 imprinting accounts for up to 9% of BWS cases [2]. Specifically, genetic mutations at the  
185 *CDKN1C* gene occur in ~5% of BWS cases [2]. Figure S2C shows the cluster analysis  
186 of DMRs between BWS with *CDKN1C* variant and controls. Of note, no IC1 or IC2  
187 epimutations were detected in this subgroup.

188         Sex-specific comparisons of the IC2 LOM subtype identified a larger number of  
189 DMRs in males than females when compared to controls (53,488 vs. 26,459,  
190 respectively). As per BWS, LOS males have twice the number of methylome  
191 epimutations than females (75,370 and 34,270 DMRs, respectively). Interestingly,  
192 DMRs in male LOS are mainly hypermethylated while DMRs in female LOS are mainly  
193 hypomethylated (Figure S3B and S3C).

194         Allele-specific methylation analysis in the bovine control group clearly separates  
195 the maternal and paternal alleles in the PCA plot (Figure S1C) and identified 10,143  
196 DMRs between the parental alleles, with the majority (>99%) being hypomethylated on  
197 the paternal allele (Figure S3D). Some of the identified DMRs overlap with known  
198 imprinted genes and exhibit the expected allelic patterns. For example, lower paternal

199 methylation at *IGF2R* ICR (89.37%; chr9:96222606-96223965), lower paternal  
200 methylation at IC2 (89.55%; chr29:48908847-48909926), and higher paternal  
201 methylation at IC1 (68.16% and 73.02% at chr29:49504687-49505606 and  
202 chr29:49507607-49510366, respectively). Interestingly, there seems to be a spread of  
203 DNA methylation on the paternal allele from the IC1 to *H19*, creating allelic specific  
204 methylation over the gene body (chr29:49511527-49512886) with 9.70% methylation on  
205 maternal allele and 55.24% on paternal allele. Allele-specific comparisons between LOS  
206 and controls, identified that both alleles are mainly hypermethylated in LOS, which is  
207 similar to the finding of the overall comparison (Figure S3E and S3F). However, the  
208 LOS-associated allele-specific DMRs are, for the most part (>80%), not shared between  
209 the parental alleles. As expected, the normally methylated maternal allele of the *IGF2R*  
210 ICR (chr9:96222606-96223885) is hypomethylated in LOS when compared to controls,  
211 similar to what has been previously published by us and others [21,24]. We have  
212 previously reported maternal LOM at IC2 in some LOS fetuses [7]. In the current study,  
213 one LOS fetus (LOS\_#3, the heaviest female) shows a 54.36% decrease in DNA  
214 methylation at maternal IC2 (chr29:48908887-48909846) than the control group mean  
215 (90.98%). However, the group mean difference between LOS and control is 14.7% at  
216 maternal IC2 which does not pass the 15% filtering threshold and is not included in the  
217 results, although it indeed shows some level of hypomethylation. Similar to our previous  
218 findings, maternal hypermethylation at IC1, was not observed in LOS, however, we  
219 detected hypermethylation at IC1 (chr29:49504927-49505566, 17.98%) and the *H19*  
220 gene body (chr29:49512727-49512926, 21.02%) on the paternal allele, which is a novel  
221 finding of unknown significance.

222 Overall, hypomethylated DMRs in BWS and LOS (including overall, sex-specific,  
223 and allelic-specific comparisons) are significantly enriched for gene body, exon, intron,  
224 CpG island, CpG shore, CpG shelf, predicted CTCF binding sites, and public CTCF  
225 ChIP-seq peaks, and depletion for repetitive sequence according to permutation tests  
226 (Figure 1, S2, S3, and Table S1). Hypomethylated DMRs also show significant  
227 enrichment for gene promoter (1kb) in BWS related comparisons and LOS allele-  
228 specific comparisons. For hypermethylated DMRs in most of the LOS and BWS  
229 comparisons, there is also enrichment for CpG island, CpG shore, CpG shelf, and  
230 CTCF binding sites. However, the pattern is varied for gene promoter, exon, intron, and  
231 repetitive sequence based on sex and BWS subtype. For example, BWS with IC2 LOM  
232 and BWS with IC1 GOM show enrichment at these elements but BWS with *CDKN1C*  
233 variant shows depletion.

234 Interestingly, among the top 50 more severely dysregulated regions (both  
235 hyper- and hypomethylated) in the BWS with IC2 LOM group, 11 hypomethylated DMRs  
236 overlap the gene promoter and/or body of several *HOX* genes, including *HOXA3*, *A4*,  
237 *A5*, *A6*, *A7*, *B7*, *B9*, *C4*, *C10*, and *D4* from four *HOX* clusters located on chromosome 7  
238 (A), 17 (B), 12 (C), and 2 (D) (Figure 2 and Table S1). Most of these genes also had  
239 hypomethylated DMRs in the BWS with IC1 GOM group. For example, the gene body of  
240 *HOXC4* (chr12:54446778-54448977) had a 41.17% decrease in the BWS IC2 LOM  
241 group and 58.58% decrease in the BWS IC1 GOM group. Further, the promoter of  
242 *HOXD4* (chr2:177015615-177017974) also had reduced DNA methylation in these  
243 groups (30.26% and 36.05%, respectively). In LOS, *HOXA3*, *A5*, *A6*, *B3*, *C4*, *C10*, *D4*,  
244 and *D11*, also have modest alterations (15%-20%) in DNA methylation when compared

245 to controls. *HOX* genes play roles as both oncogenes and tumor suppressors in  
246 regulating cell proliferation and differentiation [47]. Both hyper- and hypomethylated  
247 *HOX* genes are frequently observed in cancer, including Wilms tumor, which is the most  
248 commonly diagnosed tumor in BWS [47,48]. *HOX* genes are important transcription  
249 factors during embryonic development and exhibit spatial and temporal-specific  
250 expression patterns [49]. In human, the number in the name of *HOX* genes are based  
251 on their order of spatial expression pattern with *HOX 1* being expressed in the most  
252 anterior side (i.e. the head) and *HOX 13* is the most posterior side (i.e. the trunk) [47].  
253 *HOX 1* to 4, expressed in the head region, are more frequently associated with DMRs in  
254 BWS, especially *HOX 4*. This observation may be partially the result of the source of our  
255 BWS fibroblast cells as some samples were collected from the skin behind the ear;  
256 further studies with various sources of fibroblasts will be needed to validate this finding.  
257 Importantly, hypomethylated DMRs were identified in the top DMR lists in the IC2 LOM  
258 and IC1 GOM when compared to BWS with *CDKN1C* variant. Identified DMRs in BWS  
259 reflect altered *HOX* expression during embryonic development and have the potential to  
260 serve as molecular markers to diagnose and distinguish different subtypes.

261  
262 **Figure 2. DNA methylation alterations at *HOX* gene clusters in BWS and**  
263 **LOS. (A) *HOXA* cluster. (B) *HOXB*. (C) *HOXC*. (D) *HOXD*.** Top panel is human genome  
264 and bottom panel is bovine genome for each plot. Additional gene annotation from NCBI  
265 RefSeq is added since some *HOX* genes are not annotated in the R package for UCSC  
266 annotation. All the *HOX* genes from the same cluster are located on the same strand.  
267 The order of *HOX* genes may be opposite for human and bovine.

268

269

270

271 In summary, the abovementioned findings demonstrate methylome distinctions  
272 between the epigenetically- and also the genetically-identified syndrome beyond the  
273 signature DMRs or deletions. BWS children have up to a 28% risk of developing tumors  
274 and currently, only 80% of patients can be corroborated molecularly, future studies will  
275 need to be performed to determine their usefulness in diagnosing these children better  
276 and more specifically.

### 277 **Gene expression profile and alternative splicing events in BWS and LOS**

278 Ribosomal RNA depleted total RNA sequencing was conducted to determine  
279 the global transcript profile in human and bovine fibroblast samples. In accordance with  
280 the cell-type used here, extracellular matrix genes show high expression level in both  
281 species, including collagen (*COL1A1/2*, *COL3A1*, *COL5A1/2*, *COL6A1/2/3*, and  
282 *COL12A1*), thrombospondin (*THBS1*), vimentin (*VIM*), filamin (*FLNA*), fibronectin (*FN1*),  
283 and tenascin (*TNC*). Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were determined for all the  
284 comparisons in Table 1 and the DEG details can be found in Table S2.

285 The transcriptomes of the human fibroblasts are not distinct between them and  
286 as a result the samples are intermixed in the PCA (Figure S1D). Twelve DEGs were  
287 detected when all BWS samples were compared to controls and were all downregulated  
288 in BWS. Those genes were *LINGO2*, *MDGA2*, *NGFR*, *MIR92A1*, *FLG*, *BMP2*,  
289 *SIGLEC15*, *SLAMF7*, *LIPH*, and three novel genes. Downregulation of *MDGA2*, *NGFR*  
290 and *BMP2* have been reported in tumorigenesis [50–52]. DEG based hierarchical  
291 clustering separates each of the BWS subtypes from the control samples (Figure 3B);

292 however, no obvious clustering based on sex was observed in the IC2 LOM group.  
293 Subtype-specific analyses revealed that 23 genes were different from controls in IC1  
294 GOM, 8 in IC2 LOM and 10 in *CDKN1C* variant (Figure 3A, 3B, and 3C). When the  
295 subgroups of IC2 LOM were taken into consideration, 742 transcripts were identified as  
296 different from controls in subgroup 1 and two in subgroup 2. Nine DEGs were shared  
297 between IC2 LOM subgroup 1 and IC1 GOM (Table S2), making them potential  
298 subtype-specific biomarkers. Those were the upregulated *GRID1*, *KCNA4*, *SESN3*,  
299 *GOLGA8CP*, *NTRK3*, *NKD1*, and the downregulated *PTPRE*, *GPRC5A*, and  
300 *SERPINB2*. The other DEGs were unique to each BWS subtype. Some of the DEGs are  
301 associated with tumorigenesis, including downregulation of *LOXL4* in BWS with IC1  
302 GOM, upregulation of *PECAM1* in BWS with IC2 LOM, and downregulation of *TAP2* in  
303 BWS with *CDKN1C* variant [53–55]. In addition, *SESN3* is involved in insulin sensitivity  
304 and in tumorigenesis [56,57]. There was no significant group difference, however, in  
305 transcript abundance for the signature imprinted genes of BWS (e.g. *H19*, *IGF2*,  
306 *CDKN1C*, and *KCNQ10T1*) in these comparisons. High variations in transcript level  
307 were observed for *H19* and *IGF2* in the control group (more than 70-fold difference  
308 between the highest and lowest individual). *IGF2* transcript abundance was very high in  
309 BWS\_#11 (IC2 LOM) and #16 (*CDKN1C* variant), more than four times higher than the  
310 highest control sample, and *KCNQ10T1* level was three-fold higher than controls in  
311 BWS\_#7 and #11 (IC2 LOM).

312

313 **Figure 3. Expression heatmap and genomic context enrichment for**  
314 **differentially expressed gene (DEG).** DEG for human BWS subtypes compared with  
315 control group **(A-C)**, and bovine LOS group compared with control group **(D)**. The left

316 panel is the heatmap with hierarchical clustering and sex annotation which include male  
317 (M), female (F), and conflict (C). Gene expression is shown as log<sub>2</sub> transformed  
318 difference between sample and row average. The right panels are the distribution of  
319 genomic contexts at the DEG (centered at 1kb promoter or gene body) and surrounding  
320 regions. Genomic contexts include differentially methylated regions (DMR), differential  
321 interacting regions (DIR), differential topologically associating domains (diff\_TAD), and  
322 differential compartments identified in corresponding group comparisons. The line chart  
323 on top of each panel is the average enrichment score for all the DEGs.

324

325

326

327 For bovine, PCA separates the samples based on treatment (Figure S1E)  
328 although not by parental allele (Figure S1F) indicating similar expression profiles from  
329 both alleles. Transcriptome comparison between LOS and controls identified 112 DEGs  
330 and hierarchical clustering clearly separated the two groups (Figure 3D). As expected,  
331 the signature imprinted gene of LOS (*IGF2R*) was found downregulated two-fold in the  
332 LOS group. *IGF2R* is normally highly expressed from the maternal allele and its protein  
333 can bind to IGF2 protein with high affinity to mediate the degradation of excess IGF2  
334 [58]. Studies in mice have shown that maternal inheritance of *Igf2r* null variant causes  
335 increase of IGF2 and IGF binding proteins, ~25%-30% increase of body weight,  
336 proportionately increased organ size, and perinatal death [59]. The overgrowth  
337 phenotype in LOS could be largely attributed to changes in the IGF2 signaling pathway.  
338 In addition, several DEGs in LOS have also been reported in tumor studies in human,  
339 such as downregulation of *AOX1*, *CYGB*, and *TMOD2*, and upregulation of *BCL11A*,  
340 *AGRN*, and *LEF1* [60–65]. Four DEGs were shared between LOS and BWS IC2 LOM

341 subgroup 1, namely the upregulated *EMID1*, and the downregulated *SERPINE2*,  
342 *LRRRC8D*, *GPX1*. When analyzing the transcriptome in a sex-specific manner, male LOS  
343 has 109 DEGs when compared to controls while the number of DEGs is doubled in  
344 female LOS (213). Of those DEGs, eight were detected in both male and female LOS,  
345 namely *FBLN7* (down/down in male/female), *CYGB* (down/down), *LOC112446407*  
346 (up/up), *ABLIM3* (down/down), *IGF2R* (down/down), and three novel genes  
347 MSTRG.7897 (up/up), MSTRG.13383 (up/down), and MSTRG.22799 (down/up).  
348 Downregulation of *ABLIM3* has also been reported in hepatocellular carcinoma [66].

349 Allele-specific comparison in the bovine control group identified 122 genes with  
350 significant biased expression from one allele, including *IGF2R* which has about three-  
351 fold higher expression from the maternal allele than the paternal allele. In addition,  
352 *GSTK1*, *MAN1B1*, *GSTZ1*, *ARSG*, *SLC25A29*, *TLDC1*, *PDIA4*, *RWDD2A*, and *NARS*  
353 have been reported as imprinted genes or to have biased allelic expression in various  
354 mammalian species by other researchers[67–75]. The allelic expression pattern of  
355 *Gstz1* is associated with expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) in mice [67].  
356 *SLC25A29*, together with our previously reported *BEGAIN* [76], show biased paternal  
357 expression and are located close to the *DLK1-DIO3* imprinted domain, which suggest  
358 broader regulatory impacts of this domain in bovine. *TLDC1* is associated with gamete-  
359 specific promoter methylation patterns in human [77]. Although not possible to  
360 determine the imprinting status of the remaining genes in this study, as we only have F1  
361 samples with one parental cross (*i.e. B.t. indicus X B.t. taurus*), other genes in the list  
362 should be further characterized to determine if they are imprinted or rather they behave  
363 as eQTL as we have previously shown [78].



364 Allele-specific DEGs were identified in LOS. *IGF2R* shows a significant  
365 decrease (~2.4 fold) from the maternal allele making it similar in expression level to the  
366 paternal allele. The expression of this gene from the paternal allele in LOS was similar  
367 to control. In addition, among the 122 genes with biased allelic expression in controls,  
368 *ECHDC2* has higher paternal expression in controls and is downregulated on the  
369 paternal allele in the LOS group, and *IGF1R* has lower maternal expression in controls  
370 and is upregulated on the maternal allele in LOS group. *ECHDC2* is involved in  
371 branched chain amino acid metabolism and its lower expression increases cell viability,  
372 and *IGF1R* encodes a membrane receptor for the IGF signaling and is positively  
373 correlated with growth [79,80].

374 Regarding the association between changes in gene expression and DNA  
375 methylation, upregulated DEGs in female BWS with IC2 LOM group showed enrichment  
376 for hypomethylated DMRs at promoter and gene body, and downregulated DEGs in this  
377 group showed enrichment for both hypo- and hypermethylated DMRs at promoter and  
378 gene body according to permutation tests (Table S2). In addition, upregulated DEGs in  
379 LOS group showed enrichment for both hypo- and hypermethylated DMRs at promoter  
380 and hypomethylated DMRs at gene body, and downregulated DEGs in this group  
381 showed enrichment for hypermethylated DMRs at promoter and gene body. Given that  
382 DMRs overlapping exons can influence alternative splicing, we analyzed the total RNA  
383 sequencing data to identify alternative splicing (AS) events in BWS and LOS [81]. For  
384 humans, 1,183, 1,292, and 1,151 AS events were identified in BWS with IC1 GOM, IC2  
385 LOM, and *CDKN1C* variant groups when compared to the control group (Figure 4A,  
386 S4A, S4B, and Table S2). For bovine, 746 AS events were detected in the LOS group

387 when compared to the control group (Figure 4B and Table S2). Regardless of species or  
388 subtypes, most AS events were skipped exons with an average of 43.5% across  
389 pairwise comparisons. AS events appeared to be evenly distributed across  
390 chromosomes in BWS and LOS. Few genes shared alternative splicing events between  
391 BWS and LOS, however, we did find instances of shared splicing events in pathways  
392 relevant to overgrowth. For example, genes involved in the WNT signaling pathway  
393 (*TCF7L2*, *HDAC1*, *PRKC1*) had skipped exons in both BWS with *CDKN1C* variant and  
394 LOS groups. WNT signaling pathway regulates cell proliferation and differentiation, and  
395 is associated with Hippo pathway to control organ size [82]. YAP1, which is a  
396 transcription co-activator in Hippo pathway, has been reported with increased non-  
397 phosphorylated (active) protein level in skeletal muscle of LOS fetuses by us [26].  
398 Although none of the exons of the mentioned genes were differentially methylated,  
399 these data suggest alternative splicing as a contributor or outcome of the overgrowth  
400 phenotype.

401

402

403 **Figure 4. Identification of alternative splicing events in Beckwith-**  
404 **Wiedemann Syndrome (BWS) and Large Offspring Syndrome (LOS) and**  
405 **correlation network in BWS. (A) and (B)** The genomic distribution, numbers, and  
406 types of alternative splicing events identified in human BWS IC2 LOM (A) and bovine  
407 LOS (B) groups when compared to their control groups. Manhattan plots show  
408 alternatively spliced genes aligned along the x-axis by their position on the chromosome  
409 and on the y-axis by their  $\log_{10}$ (false discovery rate). The horizontal dashed black line  
410 represents a significance threshold of 0.05. **(C)** Correlation between gene expression  
411 profile in 15 modules and BWS diagnosis and scores determined by weighted gene co-  
412 expression network analysis (WGCNA). Numbers in the heatmap represent correlation

413 coefficient. Significance is shown as \* (<0.05), \*\* (<0.01), and ns ( $\geq$ 0.05) in parentheses.  
414 (D) Gene interaction network within purple module and hub genes were highlighted.

415

416

417 To understand the correlation between transcriptional regulation and phenotypic  
418 characteristics of human BWS features, weighted correlation network analysis (WGCNA)  
419 was performed to determine gene clusters with high correlation in BWS. As a result,  
420 genes were grouped into 15 modules based on similarity of expression. The grey and  
421 purple modules showed a positive correlation (p-value < 0.05) with macroglossia and  
422 omphalocele (Figure S4C) suggesting that genes with high expression in these modules  
423 may serve as biomarkers of these BWS pathologies. Other suggestive features such as  
424 a birthweight greater than two standard deviations (Birthweight\_2SDs), facial nevus  
425 simplex, and ear creases or pits also showed higher correlation with purple modules  
426 (Figure S4D). Based on the standard of BWS scoring from Wang's study [83], a  
427 comprehensive BWS score was generated for each individual and expressional trends  
428 of purple module showed a significantly positive correlation with BWS score compared  
429 to other modules (Figure 4C). The 94 genes in this module showed enriched functions  
430 in RNA processing and regulation of immune system process (Table S2). Among the  
431 genes within the purple module, 21 hub genes with high BWS score correlation (BWS  
432 correlation  $\geq$  0.5) and module membership score (MM\_purple  $\geq$  0.8) were identified  
433 (Figure 4D and Table S2), and one of these genes, Myb-Like, SWIRM And MPN  
434 Domain-Containing Protein 1 (*MYSM1*) is a transcription coactivator and participates in  
435 chromatin remodeling and repression of innate immunity and autoimmunity [84].  
436 *KCNQ10T1*, a long-noncoding RNA implicated in BWS, was also enriched [83]. In

437 addition, *MIR145* which encodes has-miR-145-5p was enriched in this module, which  
438 has been reported to be differentially expressed in BWS patients with macroglossia by  
439 us [26].

#### 440 **Characterization of chromosome architecture in human and bovine**

441 Hi-C sequencing was performed to determine genome-wide chromosome  
442 architecture and its relationship with the methylome and transcriptome in human and  
443 bovine fibroblasts. Read alignment information can be found in Table S3.

444 We first characterized the two fundamental elements of chromosome  
445 architecture, namely chromosome compartments and topologically associating domains  
446 (TAD), in the human and bovine control groups (Table S3). For chromosome  
447 compartment, the A (positive eigenvalue, enriched in euchromatin) and B (negative  
448 eigenvalue, heterochromatin) compartments each roughly occupies half of the  
449 sequenceable autosomal genome in human (49.4% for A and 50.6% for B) and bovine  
450 (47.3% and 52.7%). Sex-specific and allele-specific analyses show a similar ratio (Table  
451 S3). 13,912 and 12,699 TADs (including sub-TADs) were found in the autosomes of  
452 human and bovine control groups, respectively, with similar TAD length distributions  
453 (Figure 5A, 6A, and Table S3). BWS, LOS, and sex-specific groups have similar TAD  
454 length distribution as their corresponding control group. For bovine allele-specific  
455 groups, the TAD length is decreased likely due to largely reduced read coverage after  
456 filtering for the parental alleles. The TAD boundaries in both species and all groups  
457 show enrichment for predicted CTCF binding sites, public CTCF ChIP-seq peaks,  
458 transcription start sites, and CpG islands, and depletion for repetitive sequences (Figure

459 5B and 6B).

460

461 **Figure 5.** Characterization of human chromosome architecture and alterations in  
462 Beckwith-Wiedemann Syndrome (BWS). (A) Length distribution of topologically  
463 associating domains (TAD) detected in control group. Level 1 TAD refers to the outmost  
464 TADs. The red line marks the median length. (B) Genomic context enrichment at control  
465 group TAD and subTAD boundaries (centered) and flanking regions. Genomic contexts  
466 include predicted CTCF binding sites, CTCF public ChIP-seq peaks, transcription start  
467 sites (TSS), CpG island, and repetitive sequences. The line chart on top of each panel  
468 is the average enrichment score for all the boundaries. (C) Genome-wide distribution of  
469 differentially methylated regions (DMR), differential compartments (diff\_compartment),  
470 differential TAD (diff\_TAD), differential interacting regions (DIR) frequency, and  
471 differentially expressed genes (DEG) identified when comparing BWS group with control  
472 group. Red dots indicate an increase in CpG methylation, eigenvalue (towards A  
473 compartment), and expression level, and black dots indicate decrease. The vertical  
474 location of dots indicates the level of changes. Mb = megabases. (D) Correlation  
475 between chromosome compartment changes (measured by eigenvalue) at gene  
476 promoters and log<sub>2</sub> transformed fold change (logFC) of protein-coding genes and  
477 lncRNA. The left panel shows genome-wide correlations, and the right panel shows  
478 correlation at regions of differential compartment. (E) Examples of alterations of  
479 chromosome architecture associated with gene expression changes in BWS patients.  
480 The top matrix plot shows the Hi-C contacts at 10kb resolution. A deeper blue color  
481 indicates higher physical contact frequency. Red lines mark the TADs. All the statistical  
482 analyses are conducted by comparing the bottom right group with the top left group.  
483 Tracks below the matrix show the location of different elements along this genomic  
484 region. The compartment track shows the A/B compartment represented by eigenvalue.  
485 The blue and red lines indicate the top left and bottom right group, respectively. The  
486 grey area marks significantly differential compartments between groups detected at  
487 different resolutions which may overlap and show deeper color. The diff\_TAD tracks

488 show the differential TADs in the bottom right group. The DIR tracks show the DIRs that  
489 gain or lose contact. The DIRs are also marked in the matrix with purple or black  
490 squares. The DMR tracks show DMRs that gain or lose CpG methylation. The DEG  
491 tracks show up- or down-regulated DEGs. The DMR\_CTCF\_pre track shows predicted  
492 CTCF binding sites that overlap with DMRs. The CTCF\_pub\_ChIP track shows the  
493 public CTCF ChIP-seq peaks. The UCSC annotation tracks show the UCSC gene  
494 annotation. (F) Contact frequency changes between DEG *SESN3* and super-enhancers  
495 on Chromosome 11 (position denoted on the X axis) in BWS IC1 GOM group. Two  
496 genes (not DEG) surrounding *SESN3* are also plotted for comparisons.

497

## 498 **Chromosome architecture disruption in BWS and LOS**

499 Differential chromosome compartments were detected between groups at 1 mb,  
500 500 kb, 250 kb, 100 kb, 50 kb, and 25 kb resolutions for all the comparisons in Table 1  
501 (Table S4). Differential TADs were detected between groups at 25kb resolution for all  
502 the comparisons in Table 1 (Table S5). The group mean Hi-C contact matrix was used  
503 for the differential TAD analysis instead of all individual samples in each group, due to  
504 software limitations. Types of differential TAD between groups are categorized as loss,  
505 strength change, merge, split, zoom, and complex (for description refer to Methods). In  
506 addition, differentially interacting regions (DIR) were detected for binned genomic  
507 regions between groups at 1 mb, 500 kb, 250 kb, 100 kb, and 50 kb resolutions for all  
508 the comparisons in Table 1 (Table S6), and changes are shown as gain and loss in  
509 contact frequency. Changes in chromosome architecture were associated with DEGs  
510 according to permutation tests. For example, upregulated DEGs in BWS with IC1 GOM  
511 group showed enrichment for differential compartment with increased eigenvalue

512 (change towards A compartment) at promoters, downregulated DEGs in female BWS  
513 with IC1 GOM group showed enrichment for differential TAD at promoters, and  
514 downregulated DEGs in LOS group showed enrichment for differential compartment  
515 with decreased eigenvalue at promoters (Table S2). Together with the previously  
516 mentioned association between DEGs and DMRs, these observations indicate that the  
517 causes of gene misregulation in BWS and LOS are diverse and there is not a single  
518 dominant mechanism.

519 For human BWS subtypes, BWS with IC1 GOM group has higher number of  
520 unique differential compartments with longer length (50 and 500 kb for 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup>  
521 percentile, same format below) than BWS with IC2 LOM (50 and 300 kb) and BWS with  
522 CDKN1C variant (50 and 400 kb) groups when compared to the control group (Table 1  
523 and S4). Increased number and decreased length of unique differential compartments  
524 were found in sex-specific comparisons, including male BWS with IC2 LOM (25 and 200  
525 kb), female BWS with IC1 GOM (25 and 250 kb), female BWS with IC2 LOM (25 and  
526 125 kb), and female BWS with CDKN1C variant (25 and 250 kb). For bovine, the  
527 lengths of unique differential compartments in the LOS group (250 kb and 1 mb) are  
528 longer than any of the human BWS subtypes. Unique differential compartments in male  
529 LOS (100 kb and 1 mb) are lower in number but longer in length than female LOS (25  
530 and 250 kb), and in LOS maternal allele (25 and 500 kb) are also lower in number but  
531 longer in length than LOS paternal allele (25 and 75 kb).

532 Given the prevalence of differential compartments in BWS and LOS, Pearson  
533 correlation tests were performed between eigenvalue changes at gene promoters,  
534 which reflect A/B compartment changes, and expression changes of all protein-coding

535 genes and lncRNA (not just DEGs). Small RNA genes were not included in the test due  
536 to the uncertainty of their promoter locations. For genome-wide test, a very weak  
537 positive correlation was observed for both BWS (correlation coefficient equals to 0.078)  
538 and LOS (0.13; Figure 5D and 6D). The positive correlation increased in BWS (0.32)  
539 and LOS (0.29) when only considering the differential compartment regions, which  
540 indicates that the compartment changes have impacts on gene expression regulation in  
541 the differential compartment regions (Figure 5D and 6D). The same trend was detected  
542 in most other BWS and LOS involved comparisons excluding BWS with IC2 LOM,  
543 female LOS, and female LOS paternal allele (figure not shown). These exceptions have  
544 similar weak correlation at differential compartment regions as their genome-wide  
545 correlation, which indicates the compartment changes have less impact on gene  
546 expression in these groups. Interestingly, the differential compartments in these  
547 exceptions have a relatively shorter length as mentioned before. The Pearson  
548 correlations between eigenvalue changes and methylation changes at DMRs are  
549 generally very low (correlation coefficient absolute values are less than 0.05) in BWS  
550 and LOS involved comparisons (figure not shown).

551 BWS with *CDKN1C* variant group had the highest number (286) of differential  
552 TAD among BWS subtypes, while in LOS there were 369 differential TADs when  
553 compared to the control group (Table 1 and S5). For sex-specific comparisons, both  
554 female BWS with IC2 LOM (695) and female LOS (526) showed a higher differential  
555 TAD number than male BWS with IC2 LOM (239) and male LOS (231) respectively,  
556 when compared to their controls. This pattern could be related to the genome-wide sex-  
557 specific DNA methylation changes. As previously mentioned in bovine, DMRs are



558 mainly hypermethylated in male LOS and occur at regions that have intermediate to  
559 high DNA methylation level in the control group. Although these regions are enriched for  
560 predicted CTCF binding sites, the normally high DNA methylation level is expected to  
561 block the CTCF binding [85] which is seen as depletion of public CTCF ChIP-seq peaks  
562 in the heatmap (Figure S3B). And further hypermethylation could have minor impact on  
563 CTCF binding change in male LOS. In female LOS, DMRs also mainly occur at regions  
564 that have high DNA methylation level in the control group, and have enrichment for  
565 predicted CTCF binding sites and depletion for public CTCF ChIP-seq peaks (Figure  
566 S3C). However, the global loss of methylation could lead to activation of these potential  
567 CTCF binding sites and change the CTCF binding profile and TADs, which is reflected  
568 by the higher number of differential TAD detected in female LOS than male LOS.  
569 Similarly, male BWS with IC2 LOM also has a higher ratio of hypermethylated DMR than  
570 female BWS with IC2 LOM. Another interesting observation is that the boundaries for  
571 differential compartments are usually also the boundaries for TADs or sub-TADs, which  
572 means TAD is the unit for compartment changes. So, the more frequently disrupted  
573 TADs in female LOS may explain the observed higher number but shorter length of  
574 differential compartments in female LOS than male LOS, and that the potentially  
575 increased active CTCF binding sites in female LOS leads to smaller TADs and further  
576 causes fragmentation or length decrease of nearby differential compartments. These  
577 shortened differential compartments in female LOS have a much lower impact on gene  
578 expression change (correlation coefficient = 0.027) than male LOS (0.27).

## 579 **Vulnerable chromosomes and chromosomal regions in BWS and LOS**

580           The comparative genomic distribution of DMRs, differential compartments, DIRs,  
581 and DEGs are summarized in Figure 5C, 6C, S5, and S6. For humans, when ordering  
582 chromosomes by the ratio of total differential compartment length to chromosome length,  
583 chr2, 3, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, and 20 are often in the top ten list in BWS (Figure  
584 5C and S5). It is worth noting that the differential compartments detected on chr9 and  
585 18 are mainly around the unmappable region at the centromere. In sex-specific  
586 comparisons, chrX and Y also exhibit frequent compartment changes (figure not shown).  
587 For bovine, chr27, 21, 4, 10, 28, 8, 1, 14, 23, and 13 are the top ten in LOS group when  
588 ordered by the ratio of total differential compartment length to chromosome length  
589 (Figure 6C). Sex-specific comparisons show a somewhat different top ten list for male  
590 LOS (chr27, X, 26, 21, 28, 8, 1, 13, 4, 14) and female LOS (chr27, 4, 7, 16, 10, 14, 18, 5,  
591 8, 22; Figure S6B and S6C). When comparing paternal allele to maternal allele in the  
592 control group, chr27, 9, 4, and 6 are the most different chromosomes regarding  
593 compartment (Figure S6D). Chr27 is largely altered in LOS paternal allele (Figure S6F)  
594 and male LOS paternal allele group (figure not shown). Compartment changes on chr9  
595 become frequently detected in LOS involved sex-specific and allele-specific  
596 comparisons that female LOS paternal allele shows a similar pattern of change as in  
597 Figure S6D, and male LOS maternal allele shows an opposite pattern (figure not  
598 shown). Chr4 is largely altered in LOS paternal allele (Figure S6F) and female LOS  
599 paternal allele group (figure not shown). Interestingly, the differential compartment  
600 pattern on chr4 in LOS paternal allele is almost the opposite of the pattern of allelic  
601 comparison in control group (Figure S6D), which suggests the paternal allele of chr4 in  
602 LOS has a level of maternalization in chromosome compartment. Chr6 is largely altered

603 in LOS maternal allele (Figure S6E), male LOS maternal allele, female LOS maternal  
604 allele, and female LOS paternal allele group (figure not shown).

605

606

607 **Figure 6. Characterization of bovine chromosome architecture and**  
608 **alterations in large offspring syndrome (LOS). (A)** Length distribution of topologically  
609 associating domains (TAD) detected in control group. **(B)** Genomic context enrichment  
610 at control group TAD and subTAD boundaries (centered) and surrounding regions. **(C)**  
611 Genome-wide distribution of differentially methylated regions (DMR), differential  
612 compartments (diff\_compartment), differential TAD (diff\_TAD), differential interacting  
613 regions (DIR) frequency, and differentially expressed genes (DEG) identified when  
614 comparing LOS group with control group. **(D)** Correlation between chromosome  
615 compartment changes (measured by eigenvalue) at gene promoters and log<sub>2</sub>  
616 transformed fold change (logFC) of protein-coding genes and lncRNA. **(E)** Examples of  
617 alterations of chromosome architecture associated with gene expression changes in  
618 LOS fetuses. Detailed descriptions refer to Figure 5.

619

620

621 The maternal and paternal alleles show a similar large scale (chromosome-wide)  
622 genomic distribution of allele-specific DMRs in LOS (Figure S6E and S6F). For example,  
623 over 61% of DMRs on chr1 are found in the last 30 mb (19%) of this chromosome in  
624 LOS maternal allele and LOS paternal allele. This enrichment is not caused by a biased  
625 distribution of genomic variants since the methylation differences between control  
626 paternal allele and control maternal allele are evenly distributed on this chromosome  
627 (Figure S6D). However, as mentioned before, the precise genomic coordinates of the  
628 DMRs are largely not shared (>80%) between maternal and paternal alleles. This

629 observation indicates that the two alleles of certain chromosomes or parts of a  
630 chromosome are vulnerable for DNA methylation alterations in LOS, but the alterations  
631 are largely not driven by the DNA sequence. The chromosome territory, which refers to  
632 the special location of chromosomes in the nucleus, could be involved in this  
633 vulnerability. According to human and mouse three-dimensional fluorescence in situ  
634 hybridization (3D-FISH) images, many chromosomes have their two alleles separated  
635 from each other [86,87]. Evidence have also shown that the two alleles of each  
636 chromosome have a symmetrical relative location to other chromosomes in mouse [88].  
637 Assuming bovine has the same features, if changes of microenvironment at symmetrical  
638 spaces occur, they could have impacts on the same part of the two alleles. Other large  
639 scale chromosome markers may also directly or indirectly contribute to this vulnerability,  
640 such as histone modifications, which undergo rapid and dynamic reprogramming during  
641 early embryo development, and are known to regulate the *de novo* establishment of  
642 DNA methylation [89–91]. Future studies on chromosome territories in bovine will be  
643 needed to understand this process.

644         Given the very low correlation between DMRs and differential compartments  
645 and generally similar genomic distribution pattern of DMRs between maternal and  
646 paternal alleles in LOS, the distinct distribution pattern of differential compartments  
647 between maternal and paternal alleles suggests that other allele-specific alterations  
648 exist in LOS and are involved in the regulation of chromosome compartment, such as  
649 histone modifications and non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs). Chromosome compartment is  
650 considered mainly regulated by phase separation mechanism that chromatin regions  
651 with different physicochemical properties form distinct phases; phases with different

652 properties are spatially separated and phases with similar properties are clustered  
653 [92,93]. Histone modifications and ncRNAs have been found to attract binding proteins  
654 with intrinsically disordered domains that can lead to phase separation and  
655 compartment change [94–96]. In addition, studies in mouse have shown that the  
656 maternal and paternal alleles exhibit distinct histone modification profiles in early  
657 embryo, which may account for possible allele-specific disruptions [89,91]. Future  
658 studies on the histone modification profiles and ncRNA nuclear localization in BWS and  
659 LOS will help build the connection and improve the understanding of the underlying  
660 mechanisms.

## 661 **Association among DMRs, altered chromosome architectures, and DEGs in BWS** 662 **and LOS**

663 Several genomic regions are shown to illustrate the possible impact of DNA  
664 methylation and chromosome architecture on gene expression changes in BWS and  
665 LOS (Figure 5E, 6E, S7, and S8). For humans, gene sestrin 3 (*SESN3*) is upregulated  
666 and located within a differential compartment region that changes toward A  
667 compartment in BWS with IC1 GOM group, and no DMR is found within gene body or  
668 promoter of *SESN3* (Figure 5E). Downregulated gene platelet derived growth factor  
669 subunit A (*PDGFA*) resides in an A compartment region that gains contact with a B  
670 compartment region in female BWS with IC2 LOM (Figure S7A). A small change toward  
671 B compartment is observed although not significant, and two hypomethylated DMRs  
672 overlap the gene body and promoter of *PDGFA*. Gene IQCJ-SCHIP1 readthrough  
673 (*IQCJ-SCHIP1*) is downregulated but located within a differential compartment region

674 that changes toward A compartment in female BWS with CDKN1C variant group, and  
675 the promoter and first exon of *IQCJ-SCHIP1* overlap with a hypermethylated DMR  
676 (chr3:158785716-158788035, 12.33% increase) which was excluded in Table S1 due to  
677 the 15% difference cutoff (Figure S7B). These changes are also shown in female  
678 comparison with the other two BWS subtypes (figure not shown), which indicates the  
679 alterations around *IQCJ-SCHIP1* are specific to this BWS subtype.

680 For bovine, gene KIT ligand (*KITLG*) is downregulated and located within a  
681 differential compartment region that changes toward B compartment in LOS group, and  
682 no DMR is found within gene body or promoter of *KITLG* (Figure 6E). The 5 mb  
683 upstream region of the *DLK1-DIO3* imprinted domain shows different patterns of  
684 chromosome compartment in male control and female control, with sex-specific  
685 changes in male LOS and female LOS (Figure S8). A gigantic TAD (4 mb) is observed  
686 mainly belonging to the B compartment in male control but not in female control. The  
687 upregulated gene BCL11 transcription factor B (*BCL11B*) resides in this 4 mb TAD  
688 which changes toward A compartment in male LOS group, and overlaps with multiple  
689 DMRs (mainly hypomethylation) in the gene body (Figure S8A). In female LOS, the 4  
690 mb TAD is not altered, but a small region that changes toward B compartment overlaps  
691 with downregulated gene bradykinin receptor B2 (*BDKRB2*), and a hypermethylated  
692 DMR is found in its gene body (Figure S8B). We have previously reported dysregulation  
693 of multiple microRNAs in the *DLK1-DIO3* imprinted domain in both BWS and LOS [26],  
694 and further investigation will be needed to validate whether this is a consequence of  
695 compartment changes.

696 As mentioned before, DNA methylation at promoters and enhancers have

697 repressive impacts on gene expression through blocking binding sites for transcription  
698 factors [97]. Chromosome compartments also have impacts on gene expression. The B  
699 compartments are enriched for heterochromatin, mainly localized in the peripheral  
700 regions in the nucleus or surrounding the nucleoli, and isolated from areas with  
701 enrichment of transcription machineries (such as transcription factories) [98–102].  
702 Oppositely, the A compartments are enriched for euchromatin, mainly localized in the  
703 interior of nucleus between the two B compartment regions and associated with  
704 transcription factories. The example loci above suggest a model that changes of DNA  
705 methylation and chromosome compartment in BWS and LOS can simultaneously affect  
706 gene expression in an independent manner. In the DEG examples of human *SESN3*  
707 and bovine *KITLG*, *BCL11B*, and *BDKRB2*, no DMR was found at the promoters of  
708 these DEGs, and their expression changes follow the alterations of their chromosome  
709 compartments that changing towards A compartment leads to upregulation and  
710 changing towards B compartment leads to downregulation. In the example of human  
711 DEG *PDGFA*, the hypomethylated DMR at its promoter will further open the promoter  
712 for transcription factor binding, however, its spatial change towards B compartment will  
713 reduce the availability of transcription machineries in its surrounding microenvironment.  
714 In the opposite example of human DEG *IQCJ-SCHIP1*, its spatial change towards A  
715 compartment will provide more transcription machineries, but the hypermethylated DMR  
716 at its promoter will prevent their binding. So, in these two cases, DNA methylation and  
717 chromosome compartment form an antagonistic situation where the one with more  
718 severe change or stronger effect might overcome the effect of the other on gene  
719 expression regulation. This model could explain some of the conflicts between promoter

720 DNA methylation changes and gene expression changes observed in our BWS and  
721 LOS datasets. In addition, alterations in TAD and chromosome compartment in BWS  
722 and LOS could change enhancer availability and contacts with gene promoters, and  
723 further affect gene expression. To investigate this mechanism, we embarked on the  
724 identification of long-range contacts between super-enhancers from dbSUPER  
725 database and promoter of the DEG *SESN3* in BWS IC1 GOM group as an example.  
726 *SESN3* showed increased promoter contacts with the super-enhancer region  
727 chr11:86430802-86457226, chr11:86168452-86236631, and chr11:85855833-85863446  
728 from the closest upstream broad A compartment (Figure 5F). These super-enhancers  
729 could also contribute to the upregulation of *SESN3* in BWS.

### 730 **Conservation between human and bovine**

731 Next, we investigated the conservation of TAD and chromosome compartment  
732 between human and bovine genomes. For TAD in the control groups (with both sexes  
733 included) a corresponding genomic region of the majority of human TADs can be found  
734 in bovine (13,425 out of 13,912). Over these regions, 2,065 (~15.4%) human TADs  
735 were found conserved in bovine with greater than 90% overlapping in both species  
736 (Table S7). For sex specific analyses that include chrX, 1,960 (~13.7%) and 2,032  
737 (~13.9%) human TADs were conserved in bovine for male and female control group,  
738 respectively (Figure 7A and Table S7). For chromosome compartments in the control  
739 groups (with both sexes included), continuous 25 kb bins with the same A or B  
740 compartment assignment were merged that results in 4,086 regions in human, and a  
741 corresponding genomic region can be found in bovine for most of them (3,713). Over



742 these regions, 1,813 (~48.8%) human compartments were found conserved in bovine  
743 with greater than 90% overlapping in any species (Table S7). For sex specific analyses  
744 that include chrX, 2,569 (~47.6%) and 1,989 (~46.8%) human compartments were  
745 conserved in bovine for male and female control group, respectively (Figure 7C and  
746 Table S7). For both TAD and chromosome compartment, the conservation pattern is  
747 similar between male and female for autosomes but is different for chromosome X,  
748 which is expected for inactivated X to show megadomain (lose TADs) and change  
749 towards B compartment in female.

750

751 **Figure 7. Chromosome architecture conservations between human and**  
752 **bovine. (A)** Genome-wide conservation of control group topologically associating  
753 domains (TAD) between human and bovine. Each line indicates a conserved TAD.  
754 Different colors refer to different human chromosomes. Mb = megabases. **(B)**  
755 Overlapped differential TAD identified in some comparisons of Beckwith-Wiedemann  
756 Syndrome (BWS) and large offspring syndrome (LOS). Information regarding  
757 comparisons used refer to Methods. Each line indicates a shared differential TAD  
758 between combined BWS and LOS results with greater than 90% overlapping in both  
759 species. Green and black refer to shared differential TAD with same and different type  
760 between BWS and LOS, respectively. **(C)** Genome-wide conservation of control group  
761 A/B compartment between human and bovine. Each line indicates a conserved  
762 compartment. Red and blue refer to A and B compartment, respectively. **(D)** Overlapped  
763 differential compartments identified in BWS and LOS. Each line indicates an overlapped  
764 differential compartment. Green and black refer to differential compartments with same  
765 or opposite direction of change between BWS and LOS, respectively. **(E)** and **(F)** An  
766 example region of conserved chromosome architecture in control group between human  
767 and bovine, and shared compartment changes between BWS and LOS. Detailed  
768 descriptions refer to Figure 5E.

769

770  
771           Similar approaches were used to find shared differential compartments between  
772 BWS and LOS. For humans, the lists of differential compartments from BWS involved  
773 comparisons (index 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12) were combined, which resulted in  
774 2,117 records (allowing overlap and identical records), and a corresponding genomic  
775 region can be found in bovine for 1,689 records. The combined bovine list from LOS  
776 involved comparison (index 16, 18, and 19) contains 1,118 records. In total, 772  
777 (~45.7%) records of differential compartments from human overlap with bovine records  
778 without a cutoff for overlap percentage and regardless of direction of change (Figure 7D  
779 and Table S7). If the overlap cutoff in both species is set to 20%, 50% or 80%, 193  
780 (~11.4%), 80 (~4.7%) or 28 (~1.7%) records will remain, respectively. Chromosome X, 6,  
781 10, 9, 7, and 2 in human have the highest number of overlapped differential  
782 compartments, and in bovine the most frequent chromosomes are X, 8, 4, 23, 13, and  
783 28 (Figure 7D). Similarly for differential TADs, 2,739 and 1,126 records were combined  
784 for BWS and LOS involved comparisons, respectively, and 2,635 human records have a  
785 corresponding genomic region found in bovine. And the overlapped record number is  
786 153 (5.8%) with a 90% overlap cutoff in both species. Chromosome 1, 5, 3, 2, and 14 in  
787 human have the highest number of overlapped differential TADs, and in bovine the most  
788 frequent chromosomes are 7, 16, 1, 10, and 2 (Figure 7B). A 6 mb region is shown as  
789 an example to illustrate the TAD and compartment conservation and shared  
790 compartment changes between human and bovine (Figure 7E and 7F). In control  
791 groups, TADs and compartments are largely conserved in the right half of this region,  
792 and a 500 kb differential compartment region that changes toward B compartment is

793 shared by BWS (chr2:231000000-231500000) and LOS (chr2:118000000-118500000)  
794 groups. These shared changes of chromosome compartment and TAD between BWS  
795 and LOS will be worthwhile for further detailed investigation and have the potential to  
796 serve as molecular biomarkers for this syndrome.

797         The differential compartments identified in BWS and LOS show preference for  
798 conservation on certain chromosomes, and this preference indicates these  
799 chromosomes have some similar features that lead to vulnerabilities. As discussed  
800 before, the territories of chromosome could be a source of vulnerability for molecular  
801 disruptions. Among the human chromosomes that have the highest number of  
802 conserved differential compartments in BWS, chr6, 10, 9, and 7 have relatively close  
803 chromosome territories according to the human fibroblast 3D-FISH image [87].  
804 Elucidating whether the corresponding chromosomes in bovine have such spatial  
805 closeness requires future studies on bovine chromosome territories.

## 806 **Conclusion**

807         In summary, our results suggest that genome-wide alternation of chromosome  
808 architecture, which is partially caused by DNA methylation changes, also contribute to  
809 the development of BWS and LOS. We show shared regions of dysregulation between  
810 BWS and LOS, including several *HOX* gene clusters, and also demonstrate that altered  
811 DNA methylation differs between the clinically epigenetically identified BWS patients  
812 and those identified as having DNA variants (i.e. *CDKN1C* microdeletion). Importantly,  
813 we demonstrate, for the first time, that subpopulations of IC2 LOM patients exists and  
814 can be further separated molecularly into two subpopulations. We also highlight

815 additional genes and genomic regions that have the potential to serve as targets for  
816 biomarker development to improve current molecular methodologies. With the advent of  
817 personalized medicine, the bovine model of overgrowth will be invaluable to be able to  
818 perform animal and in vitro studies, such as CRISPR/Cas9-based epigenetic editing, of  
819 regions identified as altered, in order to develop methodologies to ameliorate the clinical  
820 features and treat BWS.

## 821 **EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

### 822 **Human patients**

823 Patient skin fibroblast samples and clinical information were collected through  
824 the BWS Registry, under the oversight of the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP)  
825 Institutional Review Board protocol (IRB 13-010658) and in accordance with the  
826 Declaration of Helsinki. In brief, consent was obtained from all patients and/or legal  
827 guardians to collect longitudinal clinical information, in addition to samples that became  
828 available through clinical care and publish the findings. Patients were identified from the  
829 registry cohort and selected based on the availability of skin fibroblasts and their genetic  
830 or epigenetic type of BWS. Non-BWS samples (control) were collected for consideration  
831 of other growth difference (i.e. have an omphalocele but do not have BWS).

832 Patient skin fibroblasts were cultured as previously described [43]. Briefly, skin  
833 samples collected from regions either behind the ear or abdomen were split to process  
834 one chemically and other mechanically. Chemical disruption was performed using  
835 collagenase and the other was mechanically minced using a scalpel blade. Both  
836 explants were seeded into a T25 flask and supplemented with RPMI skin media (RPMI

837 with fetal bovine serum, penicillin–streptomycin antibiotic, and a final concentration of 2  
838 mM L-glutamine). All flasks were incubated at 37°C for up to one month, with periodic  
839 media changes. Successful explant cultures were passaged for sustained growth and  
840 trypsinized to freeze and stored in liquid nitrogen. Clinical testing for BWS was  
841 performed at the University of Pennsylvania Genetic Diagnostic Laboratory as  
842 previously described [103].

843 The identifier and sex of patient included in this study were as follows; 1) control:  
844 CON\_H\_#1 to #3 (female), CON\_H\_#4 and #5 (male); 2) BWS with IC2 loss of  
845 methylation: BWS\_#1\_IC2 to BWS\_#6\_IC2 (female), BWS\_#7\_IC2 to BWS\_#12\_IC2  
846 (male); 3) BWS with IC1 gain of methylation: BWS\_#13\_IC1 to BWS\_#15\_IC1 (female);  
847 4) BWS with *CDKN1C* variant: BWS\_#16\_Mut (male according to record but female  
848 according to sequencing results), BWS\_#17\_Mut and BWS\_#18\_Mut (female). The age  
849 of fibroblast sample collection (in days with gestational age correction) for each group  
850 are control (average 139, range 1-505), BWS with IC2 loss of methylation (327, 1-1283),  
851 BWS with IC1 gain of methylation (1274, 116-3459), BWS with *CDKN1C* variant (206, 6-  
852 488).

### 853 **Bovine animals**

854 Day 105 *Bos taurus indicus* (*B. t. indicus*; Brahman breed) x *Bos taurus taurus*  
855 (*B. t. taurus*; Angus breed) F1 hybrid fetuses were generated by our laboratory in 2019  
856 and used as tissue donors [19,44]. This breeding strategy introduced genetic variants  
857 between the maternal and paternal alleles in the for allele-specific analyses. The control  
858 group was generated using artificial insemination (AI) and the ART group was generated

859 by *in vitro* production procedures. The LOS group was defined as individuals from the  
860 ART group with body weight greater than 97<sup>th</sup> centile of controls (548g). Conceptuses  
861 were collected by caesarean section to maintain nucleic acid integrity. Fetal skin  
862 fibroblast primary cell lines were established and maintained as described in our  
863 previous study [44]. The identifier, original ID, sex, and body weight of fetuses used in  
864 this study were as follows; 1) control fetuses: CON\_B\_#1 (original ID 533, female, 388g),  
865 CON\_B\_#2 (647, female, 396g), CON\_B\_#3 (640, male, 448g), CON\_B\_#4 (648, male,  
866 466g), and CON\_B\_#5 (527, male, 514g); 2) LOS fetuses: LOS\_#1 (616, female, 638g),  
867 LOS\_#2 (656, female, 704g), LOS\_#3 (604B, female, 986g), LOS\_#4 (512, male, 648g),  
868 LOS\_#5 (602, male, 752g), and LOS\_#6 (664, male, 1080g).

869 All the animal procedures were approved by the University of Missouri Animal  
870 Care and Use Committee under protocol 9455. Trained personnel and Veterinarians  
871 performed all animal handling and surgeries.

872

## 873 **METHOD DETAILS**

874 In this manuscript, all the chromosomal coordinates for bovine genome refers to  
875 assembly ARS-UCD1.2, and for human genome refers to GRCh37 (hg19) [104].

### 876 **Genomic DNA extraction**

877 Genomic DNA from human skin fibroblasts was isolated using the AllPrep  
878 DNA/RNA Micro Kit (QIAGEN) per the manufacturer's instructions, as previously  
879 described [43]. Briefly, the cells were lysed in the Buffer RLT Plus. The lysate was then  
880 homogenized into a QIAshredder spin column. The homogenized lysate was then

881 transferred to an AllPrep DNA spin column, washed with washing buffer and then DNA  
882 was eluted using elution buffer. The concentration of DNA was measured by using a  
883 NanoDrop® ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Genomic DNA  
884 samples were stored at -20°C.

885 Bovine fibroblast cells were lysed in lysis buffer (0.05 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.1 M  
886 EDTA, and 0.5% (w/v) SDS) with proteinase K (Fisher BioReagents, BP1700) at 55°C  
887 for four hours. Genomic DNA was extracted with Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamyl Alcohol  
888 (SIGMA, P3803) following the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of DNA  
889 was measured by using a NanoDrop® ND-1000 Spectrophotometer and DNA integrity  
890 was confirmed by electrophoresis on a 0.7% agarose gel. Genomic DNA samples were  
891 stored at -20°C.

## 892 **RNA isolation**

893 Total RNA from human skin fibroblasts was isolated using the AllPrep DNA/RNA  
894 Micro Kit (QIAGEN) per the manufacturer's instructions, as previously described [43].  
895 Briefly, the cells were lysed in the Buffer RLT Plus. The lysate was then homogenized  
896 into a QIAshredder spin column. The homogenized lysate was then transferred to an  
897 AllPrep DNA spin column, and the flowthrough was used for RNA extraction using 70%  
898 ethanol. The concentration of RNA was measured by using a NanoDrop® ND-1000  
899 Spectrophotometer and RNA samples were stored at -80°C.

900 Total RNA was isolated from bovine fibroblast cells using TRIzol™ Reagent  
901 (Invitrogen, 15596026) following the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of  
902 RNA was measured by using a NanoDrop® ND-1000 Spectrophotometer. RNA samples

903 were stored at -80°C.

#### 904 **CTCF binding site prediction**

905 Potential CTCF binding sites were predicted globally for human and bovine  
906 genome using TFBSTools 1.26.0 [105] with database JASPAR2020 [106]. CTCF motifs  
907 of ‘vertebrates’ were used for prediction and min.score was set to 81%.

#### 908 **Hi-C sequencing and data analyses**

909 Hi-C sequencing for fibroblast cells was conducted by CD Genomics.  
910 Information on library preparation and sequencing obtained from the company is as  
911 follows: Cells were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde at room temperature and  
912 quenched with glycine. The cross-linked cells were subsequently lysed. Endogenous  
913 nucleases were inactivated with 0.3% SDS, then chromatin DNA were digested by MboI  
914 (NEB), marked with biotin-14-dCTP (Invitrogen), and ligated by T4 DNA ligase (NEB).  
915 After reversing cross-links, the ligated DNA was extracted through QIAamp DNA Mini Kit  
916 (Qiagen) according to manufacturers’ instructions. Purified DNA was sheared to 300-  
917 500 bp fragments and were further blunt-end repaired, A-tailed and adaptor-added,  
918 followed by purification and PCR amplification. Finally, equimolar pooling of libraries  
919 was performed based on QC values and sequenced on Novaseq6000 sequencer  
920 (Illumina) with a read length configuration of 150 PE for 1,000M PE reads per sample  
921 (1,000M in each direction).

922 The processing of Hi-C raw sequencing reads was based on the ‘Hi-C  
923 Processing Pipeline’ from 4D Nucleome Data Portal



924 ([https://data.4dnucleome.org/resources/data-analysis/hi\\_c-processing-pipeline](https://data.4dnucleome.org/resources/data-analysis/hi_c-processing-pipeline)). Briefly,  
925 reads were aligned to the corresponding reference genome using bwa 0.7.17 with  
926 parameters 'mem -SP5M' [107]. Reads with mapping quality (MAPQ) equal or greater  
927 than 30 were kept for analyses. Then, pairtools 0.3.0 was used to parse, sort, and  
928 deduplicate aligned reads, and pair types 'UU', 'UR', and 'RU' were selected [108].  
929 Cooler and Juicer were used to convert contact matrix to .cool and .hic files [109,110].  
930 Hi-C data was also used to identify genomic variants following the pipeline for  
931 1000 bull genome project [111]. Briefly, Read groups were added using  
932 AddOrReplaceReadGroups function of picard 2.25.5 [112] for aligned Hi-C sequencing  
933 reads. Duplicated reads were marked using MarkDuplicates function of picard with  
934 parameter '--OPTICAL\_DUPLICATE\_PIXEL\_DISTANCE 2500'. For human, the dataset  
935 of known variants was acquired from GATK resource bundle  
936 (<https://gatk.broadinstitute.org/hc/en-us/articles/360035890811-Resource-bundle>). For  
937 bovine, the dataset of known variants was acquired from the 1000 bull genome project,  
938 namely ARS1.2PlusY\_BQSR\_v3.vcf.gz. GATK 4.2.1.0 [113] was used to recalibrate  
939 base quality and identify genomic variants in the Hi-C data with the known variant  
940 dataset as reference. Parameters used for BaseRecalibrator were '-bqsr-baq-gap-  
941 open-penalty 45 --read-filter MappingQualityReadFilter --minimum-mapping-quality 20'  
942 for bovine. For human, the same settings were used except with default value for --bqsr-  
943 baq-gap-open-penalty. In addition, for bovine, our previously generated genomic  
944 sequencing data for semen DNA of the bull used to sire all the fetuses in this study was  
945 included for joint genotyping in order to assign alleles for the genomic variants (GEO:  
946 GSE197130) [44]. HaplotypeCaller was used with parameter '-ERC GVCF', and

947 GenomicsDBImport and GenotypeGVCFs were used with default settings. For bovine,  
948 raw SNP and INDEL were separately scored using VariantRecalibrator with parameter '-  
949 -resource:1000G,known=false,training=true,truth=true,prior=10.0 known\_variant -an QD  
950 -an MQ -an MQRankSum -an ReadPosRankSum -an FS -an SOR' was used. For  
951 human, parameter '--resource:hapmap,known=false,training=true,truth=true,prior=15.0  
952 known\_variant1 --resource:omni,known=false,training=true,truth=true,prior=12.0  
953 known\_variant2 --resource:1000G SNP,known=false,training=true,truth=true,prior=10.0  
954 known\_variant3 --  
955 resource:1000G INDEL,known=false,training=true,truth=true,prior=10.0 known\_variant4  
956 --resource:dbsnp,known=true,training=false,truth=false,prior=2.0 known\_variant5 -an  
957 QD -an MQ -an MQRankSum -an ReadPosRankSum -an FS -an SOR' was used.  
958 Scored variants were filtered using ApplyVQSR with parameter '--truth-sensitivity-filter-  
959 level 99.0'.

960 For bovine, variants used for allele assignment met the following criteria: 1)  
961 marked as PASS by ApplyVQSR, 2) GQ $\geq$ 40 in the bull and the fetus, 3) DP $\geq$ 10 in the  
962 bull, 4) no read supports not assigned alleles in the bull and the fetus, 5) only one allele  
963 of the bull is shown in the fetus. In addition, variants on chrX identified in male fetuses  
964 were excluded from analyses, since which is likely due to the lack of chrY in the  
965 reference genome. Alleles were assigned for bovine Hi-C reads based on qualified  
966 variants using custom Perl scripts. For SNP, the nucleotide in the reads at the SNP  
967 location was directly used for allele assignment. For INDEL, local maternal and paternal  
968 allele pseudo genomes were generated, and the reads were realigned to both pseudo  
969 genomes. The reads were assigned to the alleles with more aligned bases, or less

970 mismatches if aligned base numbers were the same. Next, variants with biased allelic  
971 ratio of Hi-C reads (one allele is less than 15%) were excluded from analyses. Lastly,  
972 reads that cover multiple variants and have conflicting allele assignments were  
973 excluded from the analyses.

974 Statistical analyses for detecting differentially interacting regions (DIR),  
975 differential TAD, and differential chromosome compartments were performed. The sex  
976 chromosomes were only analyzed in sex-specific comparisons to circumvent  
977 confounding created by X chromosome inactivation. R package multiHiCcompare  
978 1.12.0 was used to identify DIR at 1mb, 500kb, 250kb, 100kb, and 50kb resolutions  
979 between groups [114]. The make\_hicexp function was used with default parameters  
980 except 'remove.regions = NULL', and cyclic\_loess and hic\_exactTest functions were  
981 used with default settings. False discovery rate (FDR) was controlled at 0.05 for  
982 significance.

983 For visualization and TAD detection for each group, the size of contact matrix  
984 was normalized to the first sample in the Control group for both human (CON\_H\_#1)  
985 and bovine (CON\_B\_#1), and group mean contact matrix was calculated based on  
986 normalized matrix of each sample in the group. R package SpectralTAD 1.10.0 was  
987 used with parameter 'qual\_filter = TRUE, z\_clust = FALSE, levels = level, resolution =  
988 25000, window\_size = window\_size, gap\_thresh = 0.2, min\_size = 6' to identify TAD  
989 structure ranging from 150kb to 4mb at 25kb resolution [115]. Level and window\_size  
990 was initially set to 10 and 120, respectively, and reduced by 1 and 30 each time if error  
991 reported. In addition, diffDomain was used with function and parameter 'dvsd multiple --  
992 min\_nbin 1 --hicnorm NONE' and 'adjustment fdr\_bh' to detect differential TAD

993 boundaries at 25kb resolution between groups based on TAD identified by SpectralTAD  
994 [116]. Since SpectralTAD and diffDomain only support single sample comparison  
995 instead of group comparison, to reduce the impacts of sample variations within each  
996 group and obtain more consistent differences between groups, filtering for inconsistent  
997 TADs in the control groups was performed for detected differential TADs. Inconsistent  
998 TADs were obtained by applying diffDomain between each sample and its group mean  
999 contact matrix and merging all the differential results from each sample. It is worth  
1000 noting that TAD comparisons between BWS subtypes were not filtered and resulted in  
1001 much larger number of differential TAD since there was no control group involved.  
1002 Types of differential TAD include loss, strength change, merge, split, zoom, and  
1003 complex. Strength change refers to the situation that the same TAD is detected in the  
1004 two groups for comparing but the chromosomal contact frequency is different within the  
1005 TAD. TAD with strength change could reflect forming or losing small sub-TADs and  
1006 loops within it that requires higher resolution and cannot be properly annotated with the  
1007 sequencing depth in this study. TAD with strength change could also be a result of  
1008 chromosome compartment change of the sub-TADs within it. Zoom refers to the  
1009 extension or shrink of a TAD in another group. Complex refers to the situation that  
1010 cannot be simply categorized into any other type.

1011 dcHiC was used to assign chromosome compartments and identify differential  
1012 compartments at 1mb, 500kb, 250kb, 100kb, 50kb, and 25kb resolutions between  
1013 groups [117]. Default settings for cis, select, analyze, and subcomp functions of dcHiC  
1014 were used, and FDR was controlled at 0.05 for significance during the analyze step.  
1015 The quantile normalized principal component (eigenvalue) calculated at 25kb resolution

1016 was used for assigning compartments and plotting.

## 1017 **Whole genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) and data analyses**

1018 WGBS for fibroblast cells was conducted by CD Genomics. Information on  
1019 library preparation and sequencing obtained from the company is as follows: Genomic  
1020 DNA was fragmented by sonication to a mean size of approximately 200-400 bp.  
1021 Fragmented DNA was end-repaired, 5'-phosphorylated, 3'-dA-tailed and then ligated to  
1022 methylated adapters. The methylated adapter-ligated DNAs were purified using 0.8x  
1023 Agencourt AMPure XP magnetic beads and subjected to bisulfite conversion by ZYMO  
1024 EZ DNA Methylation-Gold Kit (Zymo Research). The converted DNAs then have the  
1025 addition of 8-bp index primers to create the final cDNA library. Equimolar pooling of  
1026 libraries was performed based on QC values and sequenced on Novaseq6000  
1027 sequencer (Illumina) with a read length configuration of 150 PE for 300M PE reads per  
1028 sample (300M in each direction). 0.1-1% lambda DNA were added during the library  
1029 preparation to monitor bisulfite conversion rate.

1030 For WGBS data, raw sequencing reads were deduplicated using the clumpify  
1031 function of BBMap 38.90 [118] and trimmed for adapter sequences and low quality  
1032 bases using trimmomatic 0.39 [119] with parameters  
1033 'ILLUMINACLIP:adapter\_seq:2:30:10:1:true LEADING:20 TRAILING:20 AVGQUAL:20  
1034 MAXINFO:0:0.5'. Trimmed reads were aligned to the corresponding reference genome  
1035 using BSBolt 1.5.0 [120] with default setting. Trimmed reads were also aligned to  
1036 lambda phage genome to determine bisulfite conversion rates. Samtools 1.13 [121] was  
1037 used to convert, sort, filter, and index bam files. MarkDuplicates function of picard

1038 2.25.5 [112] was used with parameter '--OPTICAL\_DUPLICATE\_PIXEL\_DISTANCE  
1039 2500' to further remove duplicated reads after alignment. CpG methylation information  
1040 was extracted from the bam files using the function CallMethylation of BSBolt with  
1041 parameter '-CG -min 1 -BQ 20'. For both human and bovine samples, CpG methylation  
1042 at C/T SNPs detected in their corresponding Hi-C data were excluded for sense strand  
1043 reads, and CpG methylation at G/A SNPs were excluded for antisense strand reads. For  
1044 bovine samples, SNPs identified from Hi-C dataset were also used to assign alleles for  
1045 WGBS reads using custom Perl scripts. C/T SNPs (based on sense strand sequence)  
1046 were only applied for antisense strand reads, and G/A SNPs were only applied for  
1047 sense strand reads. Other types of SNP were applied for both strands. Reads that cover  
1048 multiple SNPs and have conflicting allele assignments were excluded. For both overall  
1049 and allele-specific comparisons, statistical analyses were conducted using R package  
1050 hummingbird [122] with parameter 'minCpGs = 10, minLength = 100, maxGap = 300' to  
1051 identify differentially methylated regions (DMRs) between groups. DMRs with at least 15%  
1052 difference in methylation level (both gain and loss of methylation) and at least 4 mean  
1053 read coverage at CpG sites in all the samples were reported. For allele-specific  
1054 analyses, the read coverage filtering was not applied since only about 20% of the  
1055 usable SNPs are shared by all the sample. The sex chromosomes were only analyzed  
1056 in sex-specific comparisons to circumvent confounding created by X chromosome  
1057 inactivation.

## 1058 **Total RNA sequencing (total RNA-seq) and data analyses**

1059 Total RNA-seq for fibroblast cells was conducted by CD Genomics. Information

1060 on library preparation and sequencing obtained from the company is as follows: The  
1061 first step involves the removal of ribosomal RNA using RiboZero kit (Illumina).  
1062 Subsequently, the RNA is fragmented into small pieces using divalent cations under  
1063 elevated temperatures. The cleaved RNA fragments are copied into first strand cDNA  
1064 using reverse transcriptase and random primers, followed by second strand cDNA  
1065 synthesis. These cDNA fragments then have the addition of a single 'A' base and  
1066 subsequent ligation of the adapter. The products are purified and enriched with PCR to  
1067 create the final cDNA library. This results in libraries with inserts ranging in size from  
1068 120–200 bp with a median size of 150 bp. Equimolar pooling of libraries was performed  
1069 based on QC values and sequenced on Novaseq6000 sequencer (Illumina) with a read  
1070 length configuration of 150 PE for 33M PE reads per sample (33M in each direction).

1071 All read data was assessed for quality with FastQC 0.11.7 and low-quality  
1072 bases (Phred scores  $\leq 20$ ) were trimmed using the dynamictrim function of SolexaQA++  
1073 3.1.7.1. Reads less than 60 bases in length were removed using SolexaQA++  
1074 LengthSort. Known splice sites were extracted from the gtf file of each reference  
1075 genome using the Python script hisat2\_extract\_splice\_sites.py. Hisat2 2.1.0 was used  
1076 to establish a genome index with the hisat2-build and also to align the quality trimmed  
1077 reads to the reference genome with the following adjusted parameters: --mp 6,6; –  
1078 score-min L,0,-0.2; known-splicesite-infile. Sam files were converted to bam files and  
1079 coordinate-sorted using Samtools 1.8. Then, Stringtie 2.1.4 was used with the sorted  
1080 bam files to assemble transcriptomes for each sample. Stringtie merge was used to  
1081 combine the assembled transcripts from all samples into a reference assembly.  
1082 Assembled transcripts were extracted using the gffread module in cufflinks 2.2.1. An

1083 index was built for the merged transcriptome and reads were quantified using Salmon  
1084 0.11.1. IsoformSwitchAnalyseR 1.17.04 was used to rescue stringtie annotations and  
1085 generate a gene count matrix.

1086 For alternative splicing (AS) event analyses, the merged Stringtie annotation file  
1087 and coordinate-sorted bam files were used, and AS events were called using rMATS  
1088 4.0.1 with parameters '-t paired -readlength 150 -cstat 0.0001 -libType fr-firststrand'.  
1089 FDR was controlled at 0.05 for significance. Manhattan plots were generated using R  
1090 package Sushi [123].

1091 To assign allele specificity for total RNA-seq reads in bovine, variants were  
1092 called from the aligned data according to GATK's Best Practices for Calling Variants in  
1093 RNA-seq data. Duplicate reads were removed and read groups were assigned using  
1094 Picard 2.22.4. A dictionary was created for the reference genome using GATK's  
1095 CreateSequenceDictionary. GATK SplitNCigarReads was used to hard clip sequences  
1096 extending into intronic regions and GATK BaseRecalibrator to recalibrate base quality  
1097 scores. Then, HaplotypeCaller was run on calibrated data and called variants were  
1098 filtered with adjusted parameters ( $AB < 0.2$  &  $MQ0 > 50$ ). Variants that were shared  
1099 between genomic and RNA-seq data for each sample were kept. Variants that were  
1100 heterozygous in the fetus and homozygous in the bull were used to assign alleles. A  
1101 variant annotation file was created using the annotate function of bcftools 1.8 and gene  
1102 annotations were added using custom scripts. Custom R scripts were used to retrieve  
1103 maternal and paternal allele depth read counts from VCF files in order to quantify allele-  
1104 specific expressions.

1105 Statistical analyses were conducted using R package edgeR 3.36.0 [124] to



1106 identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between groups. Parameters used  
1107 include 'method = "TMM"' for calcNormFactors function and 'robust = TRUE' for glmFit  
1108 function. FDR was controlled at 0.05 for significance.

### 1109 **Functional correlation between gene expression and BWS clinical diagnosis**

1110 To determine the co-expressional network that gathered by genes showing  
1111 similar trends across samples, WGCNA was performed in R [125]. Briefly, gene  
1112 abundance matrix (normalized expression matrix) from IsoformSwitchAnalyseR was log<sub>2</sub>  
1113 transformed and only genes with high expressional variability values (top 60%, 15304  
1114 genes retained) were remained for identification of co-expression network and a soft  
1115 threshold  $\beta$  value was set as 10 according to the criterion of approximate scale-free  
1116 topology. BWS specific clinical diagnosis was generated through the evaluated features  
1117 from Wang et al reported study [83]. Clinical BWS score consists of the combination of  
1118 cardinal and suggestive features, with one more point (plus two points) was given for  
1119 each diagnosed cardinal feature, while one point was given for each diagnosed  
1120 suggestive feature. The correlation between eigengene (a representative of the gene  
1121 expression profiles in a module) in each module and clinical diagnoses was calculated  
1122 using Pearson's correlation method, and only relationships with p-value < 0.05 were  
1123 considered as significantly positive/negative correlation. Hub genes within BWS-related  
1124 module were identified with both absolute gene-BWS score significance  $\geq 0.5$  and  
1125 absolute module membership  $\geq 0.8$ .

### 1126 **Correlation analyses between gene expression and chromosome compartment**

1127 Pearson correlation analyses between gene expression changes and  
1128 eigenvalue changes at gene promoter were performed using the R base function 'cor'.

### 1129 **Genomic content overlap and enrichment analyses**

1130 Gene annotation information was obtained from NCBI  
1131 (GCF\_002263795.1\_ARS-UCD1.2\_genomic.gtf and  
1132 GCF\_000001405.25\_GRCh37.p13\_genomic.gtf) [126]. Repeated and overlapped  
1133 exons were merged for each gene, and introns were calculated based on merged exons.  
1134 Promoters (1kb) were calculated based on transcription start sites annotation and only  
1135 included protein coding genes and long non-coding RNAs. Annotation of CpG islands  
1136 and repeated sequences were obtained from UCSC Genome Browser [127]. Locations  
1137 of CpG shores (flanking 2kb from CpG islands) and shelves (flanking 2-4kb from the  
1138 CpG island) were calculated based on CpG island annotation. Human public CTCF  
1139 ChIP-seq data of fibroblast primary cells from different tissues were obtained from the  
1140 ENCODE portal with the following identifiers: ENCFF148VQH (lung), ENCFF337WIE  
1141 (foreskin), ENCFF344UBE (cardiac), ENCFF438XHB (mammary gland),  
1142 ENCFF570FLB (lung), ENCFF638OUB (dermis), ENCFF652MLT (foreskin),  
1143 ENCFF734DZF (lung), ENCFF738CXX (villous mesenchyme), ENCFF859PRV (aortic  
1144 adventitia), and ENCFF933VBD (pulmonary artery) [128]. Peaks from these files were  
1145 merged to use as common CTCF sites. Bovine public CTCF ChIP-seq data of different  
1146 tissues (no fibroblast primary cell data available) were obtained from the Gene  
1147 Expression Omnibus (GEO) database with the following identifiers: GSE158430  
1148 (replicate for adipose, cerebellum, cortex, hypothalamus, liver, lung, muscle, and spleen)

1149 and GSE129423 (control rumen epithelial primary cells) [129]. GSE129423 was based  
1150 on genome build UMD3.1.1 and was converted to ARS-UCD1.2 using liftOver from  
1151 UCSC Genome Browser with parameter '-minMatch=0.1'. Due to lower quality (i.e.  
1152 occasionally very broad peaks) of these data than the human data, peak regions found  
1153 in at least four files were kept as common CTCF sites. Bedtools and custom Perl scripts  
1154 were used to identify overlapped genomic contexts for regions with altered DNA  
1155 methylation, gene expression, and chromosome architecture, and calculate observed  
1156 frequencies (Obs) [130]. Permutation tests were performed to determine expected  
1157 frequencies (Exp) of overlapping by randomly shuffling genomic contexts across the  
1158 genome for 10,000 times. For each test, the p value was calculated as  $p = n(|\text{Exp} -$   
1159  $\text{mean}(\text{Exp})| \geq |\text{Obs} - \text{mean}(\text{Exp})|)/10,000$ . EnrichedHeatmap was also used for  
1160 enrichment visualization in the heatmaps and different flanking distance was chosen  
1161 based on the length of genomic content examined to best show the background level  
1162 and enrichment pattern [131].

1163         The genomic coordinates of human super-enhancers were obtained from  
1164 database dbSUPER and used for finding enhancer-promoter contact for gene *SESN3* at  
1165 10kb resolution with Python library bioframe [132,133].

## 1166 **Conservation analyses**

1167         For TAD/compartment conservation analyses between human and bovine  
1168 control groups, the genomic coordinates of human TAD/compartment were converted to  
1169 bovine coordinates using liftOver from UCSC Genome Browser with parameter '-  
1170 minMatch=0.1'. Then, custom Perl scripts were used to identify bovine

1171 TAD/compartments overlapping with human TAD/compartments that the overlapped  
1172 region is greater than 90% in both species for TAD and in any species for compartments.

1173 To identify shared differential compartments between BWS and LOS, for each  
1174 comparison, bedtools was first used to merge significant results of different resolutions  
1175 into non-overlapping regions [130]. Merged results of comparisons that compare  
1176 BWS/LOS to control group were combined for each species. For human, BWS vs  
1177 Control (index 1 in Table 1), BWS\_IC1\_GOM vs Control (2), BWS\_IC2\_LOM vs Control  
1178 (3), BWS\_CDKN1C\_Mut vs Control (4), BWS\_IC2\_LOM\_Male vs Control\_Male (8),  
1179 BWS\_Female vs Control\_Female (9), BWS\_IC1\_GOM\_Female vs Control\_Female (10),  
1180 BWS\_IC2\_LOM\_Female vs Control\_Female (11), BWS\_CDKN1C\_Mut\_Female vs  
1181 Control\_Female (12) were used for analyses. For bovine, LOS vs Control (16),  
1182 LOS\_Male vs Control\_Male (18), and LOS\_Female vs Control\_Female (19) were used  
1183 for analyses. Then human coordinates were converted to bovine using liftOver and  
1184 regions overlapped with bovine differential compartments were identified using custom  
1185 Perl scripts.

## 1186 **Plot generation**

1187 R package ComplexHeatmap, EnrichedHeatmap, plotgardener, circlize,  
1188 methylKit, ggpubr, ggfortify, ggplot2, and Python library matplotlib were used for making  
1189 plots [131,134–141].

## 1191 **Data availability**

1192 All the raw sequencing data for human samples, including whole genome

1193 bisulfite sequencing, total RNA sequencing, and Hi-C sequencing, are available in the  
1194 database of Genotypes and Phenotypes (dbGaP) with accession number  
1195 (phs001794.v1.p1). The subject ID of each sample used in dbGaP can be found in  
1196 Table S8. Some of the patients in this study were also included in our previous study  
1197 and deposited under the same dbGaP subject ID [26]. All the raw sequencing data for  
1198 bovine samples, including whole genome bisulfite sequencing, total RNA sequencing,  
1199 and Hi-C sequencing, are available in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database  
1200 with accession number (pending).

1201

## 1202 **Acknowledgements**

1203 We acknowledge the ENCODE Consortium and the John Stamatoyannopoulos  
1204 (UW) and Bradley Bernstein (Broad) laboratories that generated the human CTCF  
1205 ChIP-seq datasets. This research was partially funded by the United States Department  
1206 of Agriculture-Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (USDA-AFRI), grant 2018-  
1207 67015-27598. This work was partially supported by National Cancer Institute [K08  
1208 CA193915], Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation, a Damon Runyon Clinical Investigator  
1209 Award provided by the Damon Runyon Cancer Research Foundation (105–19), the  
1210 Lorenzo “Turtle” Sartini Jr. Endowed Chair in Beckwith-Wiedemann Syndrome  
1211 Research, and the Victoria Fertitta Fund through the Lorenzo “Turtle” Sartini Jr.  
1212 Endowed Chair in Beckwith-Wiedemann Syndrome Research (J.M.K.). The funders had  
1213 no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation  
1214 of the manuscript.

1215

1216 **Author contributions**

1217 Y.L., J.M.K, D.E.H., J.C., and R.M.R. designed research; Y.L. and S.N.  
1218 performed research; Y.L., P.X., F.B., A.K.G., analyzed data; Y.L., P.X., F.B., A.K.G., S.N.,  
1219 and R.M.R. wrote the paper; all authors edited the paper.

1220

1221 **Declaration of interests**

1222 The authors declare no competing interests.

1223

1224 **Authors' ORCID**

1225 Yahan Li, yl5c7@mail.missouri.edu, ORCID 0000-0003-3062-4626  
1226 Ping Xiao, ping.xiao@okstate.edu, ORCID 0000-0001-5678-501X  
1227 Frimpong Boadu, fbqc9@missouri.edu, ORCID 0000-0002-4464-6191  
1228 Anna Goldkamp, anna.goldkamp@okstate.edu, ORCID 0000-0002-1510-465X  
1229 Snehal Nirgude, nirgudes@chop.edu, ORCID 0000-0001-8471-1384  
1230 Jianlin Cheng, chengji@missouri.edu, ORCID 0000-0003-0305-2853  
1231 Darren Hagen, darren.hagen@okstate.edu, ORCID 0000-0001-8295-020X  
1232 Jennifer Kalish, kalishj@chop.edu, ORCID 0000-0003-1500-9713  
1233 Rocío Melissa Rivera, riverarm@missouri.edu, ORCID 0000-0002-9832-9618

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241 **References**

- 1242 1. Rivera RM, Donnelly CG, Patel BN, Li Y, Soto-Moreno EJ. Abnormal Offspring  
1243 Syndrome. *Bovine Reproduction*. 2021; 876–895.
- 1244 2. Brioude F, Kalish JM, Mussa A, Foster AC, Bliet J, Ferrero GB, et al. Clinical and  
1245 molecular diagnosis, screening and management of Beckwith–Wiedemann  
1246 syndrome: an international consensus statement. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology*.  
1247 2018;14: 229–249.
- 1248 3. Farin PW, Piedrahita JA, Farin CE. Errors in development of fetuses and  
1249 placentas from in vitro-produced bovine embryos. *Theriogenology*. 2006;65: 178–  
1250 91. doi:10.1016/j.theriogenology.2005.09.022
- 1251 4. Li Y, Donnelly CG, Rivera RM. Overgrowth syndrome. *Veterinary Clinics: Food  
1252 Animal Practice*. 2019;35: 265–276.
- 1253 5. Mussa A, Russo S, De Crescenzo A, Chiesa N, Molinatto C, Selicorni A, et al.  
1254 Prevalence of Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome in north west of Italy. *American  
1255 journal of medical genetics Part A*. 2013;161: 2481–2486.
- 1256 6. Li Y, Sena Lopes J, Fuster PC, Rivera RM. Spontaneous and ART-induced large  
1257 offspring syndrome: similarities and differences in DNA methylome. *Epigenetics*.  
1258 2022; 1–20.
- 1259 7. Chen Z, Robbins KM, Wells KD, Rivera RM. Large offspring syndrome: a bovine  
1260 model for the human loss-of-imprinting overgrowth syndrome Beckwith-  
1261 Wiedemann. *Epigenetics*. 2013;8: 591–601. doi:10.4161/epi.24655
- 1262 8. Hori N, Nagai M, Hirayama M, Hirai T, Matsuda K, Hayashi M, et al. Aberrant CpG  
1263 methylation of the imprinting control region KvDMR1 detected in assisted  
1264 reproductive technology-produced calves and pathogenesis of large offspring  
1265 syndrome. *Animal reproduction science*. 2010;122: 303–312.
- 1266 9. Lazzari G, Wrenzycki C, Herrmann D, Duchi R, Kruip T, Niemann H, et al. Cellular  
1267 and molecular deviations in bovine in vitro-produced embryos are related to the  
1268 large offspring syndrome. *Biology of reproduction*. 2002;67: 767–75.
- 1269 10. Behboodi E, Anderson GB, BonDurant RH, Cargill SL, Kreuzer BR, Medrano JF,  
1270 et al. Birth of large calves that developed from in vitro-derived bovine embryos.  
1271 *Theriogenology*. 1995;44: 227–32.
- 1272 11. Farin PW, Farin CE. Transfer of bovine embryos produced in vivo or in vitro:  
1273 survival and fetal development. *Biology of reproduction*. 1995;52: 676–682.
- 1274 12. McEvoy TG, Sinclair KD, Broadbent PJ, Goodhand KL, Robinson JJ. Post-natal  
1275 growth and development of Simmental calves derived from in vivo or in vitro  
1276 embryos. *Reproduction, Fertility and Development*. 1998;10: 459–464.

- 1277 13. Farin PW, Crosier AE, Farin CE. Influence of in vitro systems on embryo survival  
1278 and fetal development in cattle. *Theriogenology*. 2001;55: 151–170.
- 1279 14. Hasler JF, Henderson WB, Hurtgen PJ, Jin ZQ, McCauley AD, Mower SA, et al.  
1280 Production, freezing and transfer of bovine IVF embryos and subsequent calving  
1281 results. *Theriogenology*. 1995;43: 141–152.
- 1282 15. van Wagendonk-de Leeuw AM, Aerts BJ, den Daas JH. Abnormal offspring  
1283 following in vitro production of bovine preimplantation embryos: a field study.  
1284 *Theriogenology*. 1998;49: 883–94.
- 1285 16. Sinclair KD, Broadbent PJ, Dolman DF. In vitro produced embryos as a means of  
1286 achieving pregnancy and improving productivity in beef cows. *Animal Science*.  
1287 1995;60: 55–64.
- 1288 17. Kruip TA, Den Daas JHG. In vitro produced and cloned embryos: effects on  
1289 pregnancy, parturition and offspring. *Theriogenology*. 1997;47: 43–52.
- 1290 18. van Wagendonk-de Leeuw AM, Mullaart E, de Roos AP, Merton JS, den Daas JH,  
1291 Kemp B, et al. Effects of different reproduction techniques: AI MOET or IVP, on  
1292 health and welfare of bovine offspring. *Theriogenology*. 2000;53: 575–97.
- 1293 19. Rivera RM, Goldkamp AK, Patel BN, Hagen DE, Soto-Moreno EJ, Li Y, et al.  
1294 Identification of large offspring syndrome during pregnancy through  
1295 ultrasonography and maternal blood transcriptome analyses. *Scientific Reports*.  
1296 2022;12: 10540. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-14597-w
- 1297 20. Nava-Trujillo H, Rivera RM. Large offspring syndrome in ruminants: current status  
1298 and prediction during pregnancy. *animal*. 2023;17: 100740.
- 1299 21. Chen Z, Hagen DE, Ji T, Elsik CG, Rivera RM. Global misregulation of genes  
1300 largely uncoupled to DNA methylome epimutations characterizes a congenital  
1301 overgrowth syndrome. *Scientific reports*. 2017;7: 12667.
- 1302 22. Chen Z, Hagen DE, Elsik CG, Ji T, Morris CJ, Moon LE, et al. Characterization of  
1303 global loss of imprinting in fetal overgrowth syndrome induced by assisted  
1304 reproduction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015;112: 4618–23.  
1305 doi:10.1073/pnas.1422088112
- 1306 23. Sangalli JR, Chiaratti MR, De Bem THC, de Araujo RR, Bressan FF, Sampaio RV,  
1307 et al. Development to term of cloned cattle derived from donor cells treated with  
1308 valproic acid. *PLoS One*. 2014;9: e101022.
- 1309 24. Su J, Wang Y, Liu Q, Yang B, Wu Y, Luo Y, et al. Aberrant mRNA expression and  
1310 DNA methylation levels of imprinted genes in cloned transgenic calves that died of  
1311 large offspring syndrome. *Livestock Science*. 2011;141: 24–35.



- 1312 25. Su H, Li D, Hou X, Tan B, Hu J, Zhang C, et al. Molecular structure of bovine Gtl2  
1313 gene and DNA methylation status of Dlk1-Gtl2 imprinted domain in cloned  
1314 bovines. *Animal reproduction science*. 2011;127: 23–30.
- 1315 26. Li Y, Hagen DE, Ji T, Bakhtiarzadeh MR, Frederic WM, Traxler EM, et al. Altered  
1316 microRNA expression profiles in large offspring syndrome and Beckwith-  
1317 Wiedemann syndrome. *Epigenetics*. 2019; 1–27.
- 1318 27. Krzyzewska IM, Alders M, Maas SM, Bliet J, Venema A, Henneman P, et al.  
1319 Genome-wide methylation profiling of Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome patients  
1320 without molecular confirmation after routine diagnostics. *Clinical epigenetics*.  
1321 2019;11: 53.
- 1322 28. Tee L, Lim DH, Dias RP, Baudement M-O, Slater AA, Kirby G, et al. Epimutation  
1323 profiling in Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome: relationship with assisted  
1324 reproductive technology. *Clinical epigenetics*. 2013;5: 1–10.
- 1325 29. Tenorio J, Romanelli V, Martin-Trujillo A, Fernández G-M, Segovia M,  
1326 Perandones C, et al. Clinical and molecular analyses of Beckwith–Wiedemann  
1327 syndrome: Comparison between spontaneous conception and assisted  
1328 reproduction techniques. *American journal of medical genetics Part A*. 2016;170:  
1329 2740–2749.
- 1330 30. Rossignol S, Steunou V, Chalas C, Kerjean A, Rigolet M, Viegas-Pequignot E, et  
1331 al. The epigenetic imprinting defect of patients with Beckwith–Wiedemann  
1332 syndrome born after assisted reproductive technology is not restricted to the  
1333 11p15 region. *Journal of medical genetics*. 2006;43: 902–907.
- 1334 31. Cooper WN, Luharia A, Evans GA, Raza H, Haire AC, Grundy R, et al. Molecular  
1335 subtypes and phenotypic expression of Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome.  
1336 *European journal of human genetics*. 2005;13: 1025.
- 1337 32. Mussa A, Russo S, De Crescenzo A, Freschi A, Calzari L, Maitz S, et al. (Epi)  
1338 genotype–phenotype correlations in Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome. *European*  
1339 *journal of human genetics*. 2016;24: 183.
- 1340 33. Wadhwa PD, Buss C, Entringer S, Swanson JM. Developmental origins of health  
1341 and disease: brief history of the approach and current focus on epigenetic  
1342 mechanisms. *Seminars in reproductive medicine*. © Thieme Medical Publishers;  
1343 2009. pp. 358–368.
- 1344 34. Niakan KK, Han J, Pedersen RA, Simon C, Pera RAR. Human pre-implantation  
1345 embryo development. *Development*. 2012;139: 829–841.
- 1346 35. Mussa A, Molinatto C, Cerrato F, Palumbo O, Carella M, Baldassarre G, et al.  
1347 Assisted Reproductive Techniques and Risk of Beckwith-Wiedemann Syndrome.  
1348 *Pediatrics*. 2017;140. doi:10.1542/peds.2016-4311

- 1349 36. Erik Mullaart, Geart Ludema, Helga Flapper, John Hepburn, Björn Oback.  
1350 Birthweight data of IVP calves. 39th Annual Meeting of the Association of Embryo  
1351 Technology in Europe Animal Reproduction. 2023;2. Available: [https://animal-](https://animal-reproduction.org/article/64dfa00da953954a9b16f7a5)  
1352 [reproduction.org/article/64dfa00da953954a9b16f7a5](https://animal-reproduction.org/article/64dfa00da953954a9b16f7a5)
- 1353 37. Fauser BC, Devroey P, Diedrich K, Balaban B, Bonduelle M, Delemarre-van de  
1354 Waal HA, et al. Health outcomes of children born after IVF/ICSI: a review of  
1355 current expert opinion and literature. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2014;28: 162–82.  
1356 doi:10.1016/j.rbmo.2013.10.013
- 1357 38. Urrego R, Rodriguez-Osorio N, Niemann H. Epigenetic disorders and altered  
1358 gene expression after use of assisted reproductive technologies in domestic cattle.  
1359 *Epigenetics*. 2014;9: 803–815.
- 1360 39. Sunderam S, Kissin DM, Zhang Y, Jewett A, Boulet SL, Warner L, et al. Assisted  
1361 reproductive technology surveillance—United States, 2017. *MMWR Surveillance*  
1362 *Summaries*. 2020;69: 1.
- 1363 40. Joao Viana. 2020 Statistics of embryo production and transfer in domestic farm  
1364 animals. *Embryo Technology Newsletter*. 2021;39.
- 1365 41. Rivera RM, Stein P, Weaver JR, Mager J, Schultz RM, Bartolomei MS.  
1366 Manipulations of mouse embryos prior to implantation result in aberrant  
1367 expression of imprinted genes on day 9.5 of development. *Human molecular*  
1368 *genetics*. 2008;17: 1–14.
- 1369 42. Rovina D, La Vecchia M, Cortesi A, Fontana L, Pesant M, Maitz S, et al. Profound  
1370 alterations of the chromatin architecture at chromosome 11p15.5 in cells from  
1371 Beckwith-Wiedemann and Silver-Russell syndromes patients. *Scientific reports*.  
1372 2020;10: 1–19.
- 1373 43. Naveh NSS, Deegan DF, Huhn J, Traxler E, Lan Y, Weksberg R, et al. The role of  
1374 CTCF in the organization of the centromeric 11p15 imprinted domain interactome.  
1375 *Nucleic Acids Research*. 2021;49: 6315–6330.
- 1376 44. Li Y, Boadu F, Highsmith MR, Hagen DE, Cheng J, Rivera RM. Allele-specific  
1377 aberration of imprinted domain chromosome architecture associates with large  
1378 offspring syndrome. *iScience*. 2022;25: 104269. doi:10.1016/j.isci.2022.104269
- 1379 45. Li X, Li Y, Bai S, Zhang J, Liu Z, Yang J. NR2F1-AS1/miR-140/HK2 axis regulates  
1380 hypoxia-induced glycolysis and migration in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer*  
1381 *Management and Research*. 2021; 427–437.
- 1382 46. Wen X, Zhang Q, Zhou L, Li Z, Wei X, Yang W, et al. Intrachromosomal Looping  
1383 and Histone K27 Methylation Coordinately Regulates the lncRNA H19-Fetal  
1384 Mitogen IGF2 Imprinting Cluster in the Decidual Microenvironment of Early  
1385 Pregnancy. *Cells*. 2022;11: 3130.

- 1386 47. Paço A, de Bessa Garcia SA, Freitas R. Methylation in HOX clusters and its  
1387 applications in cancer therapy. *Cells*. 2020;9: 1613.
- 1388 48. Brzezinski J, Choufani S, Romao R, Shuman C, Chen H, Cunanan J, et al.  
1389 Clinically and biologically relevant subgroups of Wilms tumour defined by genomic  
1390 and epigenomic analyses. *British Journal of Cancer*. 2021;124: 437–446.
- 1391 49. Mallo M, Alonso CR. The regulation of Hox gene expression during animal  
1392 development. *Development*. 2013;140: 3951–3963.
- 1393 50. Wang K, Liang Q, Li X, Tsoi H, Zhang J, Wang H, et al. MDGA2 is a novel tumour  
1394 suppressor cooperating with DMAP1 in gastric cancer and is associated with  
1395 disease outcome. *Gut*. 2016;65: 1619–1631.
- 1396 51. Yang Z, Chen H, Huo L, Yang Z, Bai Y, Fan X, et al. Epigenetic inactivation and  
1397 tumor-suppressor behavior of NGFR in human colorectal cancer. *Molecular  
1398 Cancer Research*. 2015;13: 107–119.
- 1399 52. Vishnubalaji R, Yue S, Alfayez M, Kassem M, Liu F-F, Aldahmash A, et al. Bone  
1400 morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP2) induces growth suppression and enhances  
1401 chemosensitivity of human colon cancer cells. *Cancer cell international*. 2016;16:  
1402 1–12.
- 1403 53. Choi SK, Kim HS, Jin T, Moon WK. LOXL4 knockdown enhances tumor growth  
1404 and lung metastasis through collagen-dependent extracellular matrix changes in  
1405 triple-negative breast cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8: 11977.
- 1406 54. Abraham V, Cao G, Parambath A, Lawal F, Handumrongkul C, Debs R, et al.  
1407 Involvement of TIMP-1 in PECAM-1-mediated tumor dissemination. *International  
1408 Journal of Oncology*. 2018;53: 488–502.
- 1409 55. Henle AM, Nassar A, Puglisi-Knutson D, Youssef B, Knutson KL. Downregulation  
1410 of TAP1 and TAP2 in early stage breast cancer. *PloS one*. 2017;12: e0187323.
- 1411 56. Tao R, Xiong X, Liangpunsakul S, Dong XC. Sestrin 3 protein enhances hepatic  
1412 insulin sensitivity by direct activation of the mTORC2-Akt signaling. *Diabetes*.  
1413 2015;64: 1211–1223.
- 1414 57. Chen Y, Huang T, Yu Z, Yu Q, Wang Y, Hu J, et al. The functions and roles of  
1415 sestrins in regulating human diseases. *Cellular & Molecular Biology Letters*.  
1416 2022;27: 1–24.
- 1417 58. Denley A, Cosgrove LJ, Booker GW, Wallace JC, Forbes BE. Molecular  
1418 interactions of the IGF system. *Cytokine & growth factor reviews*. 2005;16: 421–  
1419 439.

- 1420 59. Lau MM, Stewart CE, Liu Z, Bhatt H, Rotwein P, Stewart CL. Loss of the imprinted  
1421 IGF2/cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor results in fetal  
1422 overgrowth and perinatal lethality. *Genes & development*. 1994;8: 2953–2963.
- 1423 60. Vantaku V, Putluri V, Bader DA, Maity S, Ma J, Arnold JM, et al. Epigenetic loss of  
1424 AOX1 expression via EZH2 leads to metabolic deregulations and promotes  
1425 bladder cancer progression. *Oncogene*. 2020;39: 6265–6285.
- 1426 61. Khaled WT, Choon Lee S, Stingl J, Chen X, Raza Ali H, Rueda OM, et al.  
1427 BCL11A is a triple-negative breast cancer gene with critical functions in stem and  
1428 progenitor cells. *Nature communications*. 2015;6: 5987.
- 1429 62. Shivapurkar N, Stastny V, Okumura N, Girard L, Xie Y, Prinsen C, et al.  
1430 Cytoglobin, the newest member of the globin family, functions as a tumor  
1431 suppressor gene. *Cancer research*. 2008;68: 7448–7456.
- 1432 63. Wu X, Li C, Wang Z, Zhang Y, Liu S, Chen S, et al. A bioinformatic analysis study  
1433 of m7G regulator-mediated methylation modification patterns and tumor  
1434 microenvironment infiltration in glioblastoma. *BMC cancer*. 2022;22: 1–17.
- 1435 64. Zhang H, Liang J, Lu T, Li M, Shan G, Bi G, et al. AGRN promotes lung  
1436 adenocarcinoma progression by activating Notch signaling pathway and acts as a  
1437 therapeutic target. *Pharmacological Research*. 2023; 106819.
- 1438 65. Yang X, Liu H, Ye T, Ye Z. High expression of LEF1 correlates with poor  
1439 prognosis in solid tumors, but not blood tumors: a meta-analysis. *Bioscience  
1440 Reports*. 2020;40: BSR20202520.
- 1441 66. Wang T, Dang N, Tang G, Li Z, Li X, Shi B, et al. Integrating bulk and single-cell  
1442 RNA sequencing reveals cellular heterogeneity and immune infiltration in  
1443 hepatocellular carcinoma. *Molecular Oncology*. 2022;16: 2195–2213.
- 1444 67. Lu L, Pandey AK, Houseal MT, Mulligan MK. The genetic architecture of murine  
1445 glutathione transferases. *PLoS One*. 2016;11: e0148230.
- 1446 68. Lim K-S, Chang S-S, Choi B-H, Lee S-H, Lee K-T, Chai H-H, et al. Genome-Wide  
1447 analysis of allele-specific expression patterns in seventeen tissues of Korean  
1448 Cattle (Hanwoo). *Animals*. 2019;9: 727.
- 1449 69. Li SM, Valo Z, Wang J, Gao H, Bowers CW, Singer-Sam J. Transcriptome-wide  
1450 survey of mouse CNS-derived cells reveals monoallelic expression within novel  
1451 gene families. *PloS one*. 2012;7: e31751.
- 1452 70. de Souza MM, Zerlotini A, Rocha MIP, Bruscardin JJ, Diniz WJ da S, Cardoso TF,  
1453 et al. Allele-specific expression is widespread in *Bos indicus* muscle and affects  
1454 meat quality candidate genes. *Scientific Reports*. 2020;10: 10204.

- 1455 71. Edwards CA, Watkinson WM, Telerman SB, Hulsmann LC, Hamilton RS,  
1456 Ferguson-Smith AC. Reassessment of weak parent-of-origin expression bias  
1457 shows it rarely exists outside of known imprinted regions. *Elife*. 2023;12: e83364.
- 1458 72. Huo H-N, Zhang C, Wang K, Chen W-N, Zhang Y-J, Yu W-L, et al. A novel  
1459 imprinted locus on bovine chromosome 18 homologous with human Chromosome  
1460 16q24. 1. 2023.
- 1461 73. Lim K-S, Kim H-C, Choi B-H, Son J-W, Lee K-T, Choi T-J, et al. Identification of  
1462 Monoallelically Expressed Genes Associated with Economic Traits in Hanwoo  
1463 (Korean Native Cattle). *Animals*. 2021;12: 84.
- 1464 74. Cao W, Douglas KC, Samollow PB, VandeBerg JL, Wang X, Clark AG. Origin and  
1465 evolution of marsupial-specific imprinting clusters through lineage-specific gene  
1466 duplications and acquisition of promoter differential methylation. *Molecular Biology  
1467 and Evolution*. 2023;40: msad022.
- 1468 75. Huang W-C, Ferris E, Cheng T, Hörndli CS, Gleason K, Tamminga C, et al.  
1469 Diverse non-genetic, allele-specific expression effects shape genetic architecture  
1470 at the cellular level in the mammalian brain. *Neuron*. 2017;93: 1094-1109. e7.
- 1471 76. Xie J, Ji T, Ferreira MA, Li Y, Patel BN, Rivera RM. Modeling allele-specific  
1472 expression at the gene and SNP levels simultaneously by a Bayesian logistic  
1473 mixed regression model. *BMC bioinformatics*. 2019;20: 530.
- 1474 77. Schulze KV, Szafranski P, Lesmana H, Hopkin RJ, Hamvas A, Wambach JA, et al.  
1475 Novel parent-of-origin-specific differentially methylated loci on chromosome 16.  
1476 *Clinical Epigenetics*. 2019;11: 1–10.
- 1477 78. Chen Z, Hagen DE, Wang J, Elisk CG, Ji T, Siqueira LG, et al. Global assessment  
1478 of imprinted gene expression in the bovine conceptus by next generation  
1479 sequencing. *Epigenetics*. 2016;11: 501–16. doi:10.1080/15592294.2016.1184805
- 1480 79. Du J, Li Z, Li Q-Z, Guan T, Yang Q, Xu H, et al. Enoyl coenzyme a hydratase  
1481 domain-containing 2, a potential novel regulator of myocardial ischemia injury.  
1482 *Journal of the American Heart Association*. 2013;2: e000233.
- 1483 80. Riedemann J, Macaulay VM. IGF1R signalling and its inhibition. *Endocrine-related  
1484 cancer*. 2006;13: S33–S43.
- 1485 81. Maunakea AK, Chepelev I, Cui K, Zhao K. Intragenic DNA methylation modulates  
1486 alternative splicing by recruiting MeCP2 to promote exon recognition. *Cell  
1487 research*. 2013;23: 1256–1269.
- 1488 82. Heallen T, Zhang M, Wang J, Bonilla-Claudio M, Klysik E, Johnson RL, et al.  
1489 Hippo pathway inhibits Wnt signaling to restrain cardiomyocyte proliferation and  
1490 heart size. *Science*. 2011;332: 458–461.

- 1491 83. Wang KH, Kupa J, Duffy KA, Kalish JM. Diagnosis and management of Beckwith-  
1492 Wiedemann syndrome. *Frontiers in pediatrics*. 2020;7: 562.
- 1493 84. Tian M, Liu W, Zhang Q, Huang Y, Li W, Wang W, et al. MYSM1 represses innate  
1494 immunity and autoimmunity through suppressing the cGAS-STING pathway. *Cell*  
1495 *Reports*. 2020;33.
- 1496 85. Wiehle L, Thorn GJ, Raddatz G, Clarkson CT, Rippe K, Lyko F, et al. DNA (de)  
1497 methylation in embryonic stem cells controls CTCF-dependent chromatin  
1498 boundaries. *Genome research*. 2019;29: 750–761.
- 1499 86. Markaki Y, Smeets D, Fiedler S, Schmid VJ, Schermelleh L, Cremer T, et al. The  
1500 potential of 3D-FISH and super-resolution structured illumination microscopy for  
1501 studies of 3D nuclear architecture: 3D structured illumination microscopy of  
1502 defined chromosomal structures visualized by 3D (immuno)-FISH opens new  
1503 perspectives for studies of nuclear architecture. *Bioessays*. 2012;34: 412–426.
- 1504 87. Bolzer A, Kreth G, Solovei I, Koehler D, Saracoglu K, Fauth C, et al. Three-  
1505 dimensional maps of all chromosomes in human male fibroblast nuclei and  
1506 prometaphase rosettes. *PLoS Biol*. 2005;3: e157.
- 1507 88. Han Z, Cui K, Placek K, Hong N, Lin C, Chen W, et al. Diploid genome  
1508 architecture revealed by multi-omic data of hybrid mice. *Genome research*.  
1509 2020;30: 1097–1106.
- 1510 89. Zhang B, Zheng H, Huang B, Li W, Xiang Y, Peng X, et al. Allelic reprogramming  
1511 of the histone modification H3K4me3 in early mammalian development. *Nature*.  
1512 2016;537: 553–557.
- 1513 90. Cedar H, Bergman Y. Linking DNA methylation and histone modification: patterns  
1514 and paradigms. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 2009;10: 295–304.
- 1515 91. Wang C, Liu X, Gao Y, Yang L, Li C, Liu W, et al. Reprogramming of H3K9me3-  
1516 dependent heterochromatin during mammalian embryo development. *Nature cell*  
1517 *biology*. 2018;20: 620–631.
- 1518 92. Ryu J-K, Hwang D-E, Choi J-M. Current understanding of molecular phase  
1519 separation in chromosomes. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2021;22:  
1520 10736.
- 1521 93. Hildebrand EM, Dekker J. Mechanisms and Functions of Chromosome  
1522 Compartmentalization. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*. 2020.
- 1523 94. Quinodoz SA, Jachowicz JW, Bhat P, Ollikainen N, Banerjee AK, Goronzy IN, et  
1524 al. RNA promotes the formation of spatial compartments in the nucleus. *Cell*.  
1525 2021.

- 1526 95. Wang L, Gao Y, Zheng X, Liu C, Dong S, Li R, et al. Histone modifications  
1527 regulate chromatin compartmentalization by contributing to a phase separation  
1528 mechanism. *Molecular cell*. 2019;76: 646-659. e6.
- 1529 96. Bian Q, Anderson EC, Yang Q, Meyer BJ. Histone H3K9 methylation promotes  
1530 formation of genome compartments in *Caenorhabditis elegans* via chromosome  
1531 compaction and perinuclear anchoring. *Proceedings of the National Academy of  
1532 Sciences*. 2020;117: 11459–11470.
- 1533 97. Li E, Zhang Y. DNA methylation in mammals. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in  
1534 biology*. 2014;6: a019133.
- 1535 98. Lieberman-Aiden E, Van Berkum NL, Williams L, Imakaev M, Ragoczy T, Telling  
1536 A, et al. Comprehensive mapping of long-range interactions reveals folding  
1537 principles of the human genome. *Science (New York, NY)*. 2009;326: 289–293.
- 1538 99. Rao SS, Huntley MH, Durand NC, Stamenova EK, Bochkov ID, Robinson JT, et al.  
1539 A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of  
1540 chromatin looping. *Cell*. 2014;159: 1665–1680.
- 1541 100. Karbassi E, Rosa-Garrido M, Chapski DJ, Wu Y, Ren S, Wang Y, et al. Direct  
1542 visualization of cardiac transcription factories reveals regulatory principles of  
1543 nuclear architecture during pathological remodeling. *Journal of molecular and  
1544 cellular cardiology*. 2019;128: 198–211.
- 1545 101. Robson MI, Jose I, Czapiewski R, Sivakumar A, Kerr AR, Schirmer EC.  
1546 Constrained release of lamina-associated enhancers and genes from the nuclear  
1547 envelope during T-cell activation facilitates their association in chromosome  
1548 compartments. *Genome research*. 2017;27: 1126–1138.
- 1549 102. Stevens TJ, Lando D, Basu S, Atkinson LP, Cao Y, Lee SF, et al. 3D structures of  
1550 individual mammalian genomes studied by single-cell Hi-C. *Nature*. 2017;544: 59–  
1551 64.
- 1552 103. Baker SW, Duffy KA, Richards-Yutz J, Deardorff MA, Kalish JM, Ganguly A.  
1553 Improved molecular detection of mosaicism in Beckwith-Wiedemann Syndrome.  
1554 *Journal of medical genetics*. 2021;58: 178–184.
- 1555 104. Rosen BD, Bickhart DM, Schnabel RD, Koren S, Elsik CG, Tseng E, et al. De  
1556 novo assembly of the cattle reference genome with single-molecule sequencing.  
1557 *Gigascience*. 2020;9: g1aa021.
- 1558 105. Tan G, Lenhard B. TFBSTools: an R/bioconductor package for transcription factor  
1559 binding site analysis. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*. 2016;32: 1555–1556.
- 1560 106. Fornes O, Castro-Mondragon JA, Khan A, Van der Lee R, Zhang X, Richmond PA,  
1561 et al. JASPAR 2020: update of the open-access database of transcription factor  
1562 binding profiles. *Nucleic acids research*. 2020;48: D87–D92.

- 1563 107. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler  
1564 transform. *bioinformatics*. 2009;25: 1754–1760.
- 1565 108. Open2C, Abdennur N, Fudenberg G, Flyamer IM, Galitsyna AA, Goloborodko A,  
1566 et al. Pairtools: from sequencing data to chromosome contacts. *bioRxiv*. 2023;  
1567 2023.02. 13.528389.
- 1568 109. Durand NC, Shamim MS, Machol I, Rao SS, Huntley MH, Lander ES, et al. Juicer  
1569 provides a one-click system for analyzing loop-resolution Hi-C experiments. *Cell*  
1570 *systems*. 2016;3: 95–98.
- 1571 110. Abdennur N, Mirny LA. Cooler: scalable storage for Hi-C data and other  
1572 genomically labeled arrays. *Bioinformatics*. 2020;36: 311–316.
- 1573 111. Hayes BJ, Daetwyler HD. 1000 bull genomes project to map simple and complex  
1574 genetic traits in cattle: applications and outcomes. *Annual review of animal*  
1575 *biosciences*. 2019;7: 89–102.
- 1576 112. Broad Institute. Picard toolkit. Broad Institute, GitHub repository. Broad Institute,  
1577 GitHub Repository; 2021. Available: <http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/>
- 1578 113. Van der Auwera GA, O'Connor BD. *Genomics in the Cloud: Using Docker, GATK,*  
1579 *and WDL in Terra*. O'Reilly Media; 2020.
- 1580 114. Stansfield JC, Cresswell KG, Dozmorov MG. multiHiCcompare: joint normalization  
1581 and comparative analysis of complex Hi-C experiments. *Bioinformatics*. 2019;35:  
1582 2916–2923.
- 1583 115. Cresswell KG, Stansfield JC, Dozmorov MG. SpectralTAD: an R package for  
1584 defining a hierarchy of topologically associated domains using spectral clustering.  
1585 *BMC bioinformatics*. 2020;21: 1–19.
- 1586 116. Hua D, Gu M, Du Y, Qi L, Du X, Bai Z, et al. DiffDomain enables identification of  
1587 structurally reorganized topologically associating domains. *Research in*  
1588 *Computational Molecular Biology: 26th Annual International Conference,*  
1589 *RECOMB 2022, San Diego, CA, USA, May 22–25, 2022, Proceedings*. Springer;  
1590 2022. pp. 302–303.
- 1591 117. Chakraborty A, Wang JG, Ay F. dcHiC detects differential compartments across  
1592 multiple Hi-C datasets. *Nature Communications*. 2022;13: 6827.
- 1593 118. Bushnell B. BBMap. 2021. Available: [sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/](https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/)
- 1594 119. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina  
1595 sequence data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*. 2014;30: 2114–2120.
- 1596 120. Farrell C, Thompson M, Tosevska A, Oyetunde A, Pellegrini M. BiSulfite Bolt: A  
1597 bisulfite sequencing analysis platform. *GigaScience*. 2021;10: giab033.



- 1598 121. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The sequence  
1599 alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*. 2009;25: 2078–2079.
- 1600 122. Ji T. A Bayesian hidden Markov model for detecting differentially methylated  
1601 regions. *Biometrics*. 2019;75: 663–673.
- 1602 123. Phanstiel DH, Boyle AP, Araya CL, Snyder MP. Sushi. R: flexible, quantitative and  
1603 integrative genomic visualizations for publication-quality multi-panel figures.  
1604 *Bioinformatics*. 2014;30: 2808–2810.
- 1605 124. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for  
1606 differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*  
1607 (Oxford, England). 2010;26: 139–140.
- 1608 125. Langfelder P, Horvath S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network  
1609 analysis. *BMC bioinformatics*. 2008;9: 1–13.
- 1610 126. O’Leary NA, Wright MW, Brister JR, Ciufo S, Haddad D, McVeigh R, et al.  
1611 Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic  
1612 expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic acids research*. 2016;44: D733-45.  
1613 doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1189
- 1614 127. Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, Zahler AM, et al. The  
1615 human genome browser at UCSC. *Genome research*. 2002;12: 996–1006.
- 1616 128. Consortium EP. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human  
1617 genome. *Nature*. 2012;489: 57.
- 1618 129. Edgar R, Domrachev M, Lash AE. Gene Expression Omnibus: NCBI gene  
1619 expression and hybridization array data repository. *Nucleic acids research*.  
1620 2002;30: 207–210.
- 1621 130. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic  
1622 features. *Bioinformatics*. 2010;26: 841–842.
- 1623 131. Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M, Ishaque N. EnrichedHeatmap: an R/Bioconductor  
1624 package for comprehensive visualization of genomic signal associations. *BMC*  
1625 *genomics*. 2018;19: 1–7.
- 1626 132. Khan A, Zhang X. dbSUPER: a database of super-enhancers in mouse and  
1627 human genome. *Nucleic acids research*. 2016;44: D164–D171.
- 1628 133. Open2C, Abdennur N, Fudenberg G, Flyamer I, Galitsyna AA, Goloborodko A, et  
1629 al. Bioframe: operations on genomic intervals in pandas dataframes. *bioRxiv*.  
1630 2022; 2022.02. 16.480748.
- 1631 134. Wickham H. ggplot2. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics*.  
1632 2011;3: 180–185.

- 1633 135. Gu Z. Complex heatmap visualization. *iMeta*. 2022;1: e43.
- 1634 136. Kramer NE, Davis ES, Wenger CD, Deoudes EM, Parker SM, Love MI, et al.  
1635 Plotgardener: Cultivating precise multi-panel figures in R. *Bioinformatics*. 2022;38:  
1636 2042–2045.
- 1637 137. Akalin A, Kormaksson M, Li S, Garrett-Bakelman FE, Figueroa ME, Melnick A, et  
1638 al. methylKit: a comprehensive R package for the analysis of genome-wide DNA  
1639 methylation profiles. *Genome biology*. 2012;13: 1–9.
- 1640 138. Gu Z, Gu L, Eils R, Schlesner M, Brors B. Circlize implements and enhances  
1641 circular visualization in R. *Bioinformatics*. 2014;30: 2811–2812.
- 1642 139. Kassambara A. ggpubr:“ggplot2” based publication ready plots. R package  
1643 version 04 0. 2020;438.
- 1644 140. Tang Y, Horikoshi M, Li W. ggfortify: unified interface to visualize statistical results  
1645 of popular R packages. *R J*. 2016;8: 474.
- 1646 141. Hunter JD. Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. *Computing in science &*  
1647 *engineering*. 2007;9: 90–95.
- 1648

Species	Type	Index	Comparison	DMR	DEG	DIR*	Diff_TAD	Raw*	Unique
Human	Overall	1	BWS vs Control	5708	12	1489	191	482	83
		2	BWS_IC1_GOM vs Control	21124	23	702	105	950	157
		3	BWS_IC2_LOM vs Control	7865	8	1399	144	743	125
		4	BWS_IC2_LOM_S1 vs Control	23582	742	54921	256	2050	328
		5	BWS_IC2_LOM_S2 vs Control	1915	2	116	55	1909	532
		6	BWS_CDKN1C_Mut vs Control	37122	10	833	286	551	105
		7	BWS_IC2_LOM vs BWS_IC1_GOM	6535	4	445	2197	471	148
		8	BWS_CDKN1C_Mut vs BWS_IC1_GOM	66575	53	2563	3706	1252	276
		9	BWS_CDKN1C_Mut vs BWS_IC2_LOM	7169	8	1149	1985	560	150
		10	BWS_IC2_LOM_S1 vs BWS_IC2_LOM_S2	20657	484	68396	2508	4592	750
	Sex specific	11	BWS_IC2_LOM_Male vs Control_Male	53488	12	289	239	2623	486
		12	BWS_Female vs Control_Female	12700	7	600	434	926	157
		13	BWS_IC1_GOM_Female vs Control_Female	26034	20	682	105	1529	314
		14	BWS_IC2_LOM_Female vs Control_Female	26459	43	7867	695	1743	448
		15	BWS_CDKN1C_Mut_Female vs Control_Female	12320	19	1719	540	996	242
		16	BWS_IC2_LOM_Female vs BWS_IC1_GOM_Female	13100	3	218	2783	952	354
		17	BWS_CDKN1C_Mut_Female vs BWS_IC1_GOM_Female	69325	31	437	3059	1441	289
		18	BWS_CDKN1C_Mut_Female vs BWS_IC2_LOM_Female	27140	56	598	1055	966	243
Bovine	Overall	19	LOS vs Control	10860	112	20200	369	2328	192
		20	LOS_All vs Control	4071	6	566	216	1010	129
	Sex specific	21	LOS_Male vs Control_Male	75370	109	10299	231	3941	294
		22	LOS_Female vs Control_Female	34270	213	4671	526	2737	632
		23	LOS_All_Female vs Control_Female	26189	23	495	339	1489	471
	Allele specific	24	LOS_Maternal vs Control_Maternal	17611	29	1000	681	716	231
		25	LOS_Paternal vs Control_Paternal	15708	16	1115	651	1550	624
		26	Control_Paternal vs Control_Maternal	10143	122	4940	105	2367	1038
		27	LOS_All_Maternal vs Control_Maternal	15405	2	275	709	307	147
		28	LOS_All_Paternal vs Control_Paternal	14061	0	291	689	1112	511
	Sex and allele specific	29	LOS_Male_Maternal vs Control_Male_Maternal	26690	28	899	339	2146	838
		30	LOS_Male_Paternal vs Control_Male_Paternal	21682	25	1177	261	1566	520
		31	LOS_Female_Maternal vs Control_Female_Maternal	9286	19	1980	96	2609	1491
		32	LOS_Female_Paternal vs Control_Female_Paternal	7364	9	1737	66	4681	2830
33		Control_Male_Paternal vs Control_Male_Maternal	1322	54	2262	23	1063	542	
34		Control_Female_Paternal vs Control_Female_Maternal	1302	14	1104	16	3551	2171	
35		LOS_All_Female_Maternal vs Control_Female_Maternal	11118	4	1035	138	1674	1139	
36		LOS_All_Female_Paternal vs Control_Female_Paternal	8819	0	956	92	3799	2440	

DMR = differentially methylated regions; DEG = differentially expressed genes; DIR = differential interacting regions; diff\_TAD = differential topologically associating domains; Diff\_compartment = differential compartments; Unique = after merging overlapped and continuous regions with the same direction of change; \*Count includes results from all the bin sizes

**Table 1**




Figure 1




Figure 2






Figure 3

**A**





**BWS\_IC2\_LOM vs Control**




**B**


**LOS vs Control**



**C**



**D**



**Figure 4**




Figure 5



