

Supplementary materials

A polygenic risk score identifies undiagnosed cases of diabetes

Chris German, PhD¹; James Ashenurst, PhD¹; Wei Wang, PhD¹; 23andMe Research Team¹;
Julie M. Granka, PhD¹; Bertram L. Koelsch, PhD¹; Noura S. Abul-Husn, MD PhD¹; Stella
Aslibekyan, PhD¹; Adam Auton, PhD¹; Joyce Tung, PhD¹; Suyash S. Shringarpure, PhD¹;
Michael V. Holmes, MD PhD¹

Author Affiliations:

1. 23andMe, Sunnyvale, CA, USA

Correspondence to:


Chris German, PhD (chrisg@23andme.com)

Michael V Holmes, MD PhD (michaelhol@23andme.com)

Supplementary Figures and Tables

Figure S1. Undiagnosed and diagnosed diabetes by strata of T2D PRS: (A) absolute counts; (B) as a proportion to total diabetes cases

A:



B:





Figure S2. Undiagnosed and diagnosed diabetes by strata of measured BMI: (A) absolute counts; (B) as a proportion to total diabetes cases

A:



B:




Figure S3. 2D bar chart plot of undiagnosed diabetes by T2D PRS and BMI. Error bars relate to 95% confidence intervals.




Figure S4. Proportion of undiagnosed diabetes cases detected by a T2D PRS and BMI, displayed by a heatmap plot.




Figure S5. Proportion of prediabetes cases detected by a T2D PRS and BMI, displayed by a heatmap plot.





Figure S6. Probability of undiagnosed diabetes by strata of T2D PRS, stratified by (A) sex and (B) age.

A:



B:




Figure S7. Density plot of T2D PRS values in the UK Biobank by diabetes status.




Figure S8. Plot of the empirical cumulative distribution function (eCDF) of HbA1c among individuals without doctor-diagnosed diabetes at study baseline. The blue dashed line indicates the threshold for prediabetes levels and the red dashed line indicates the threshold for diabetes.




Table S1. Data field definitions. Definitions of data fields used from the first occurrence data (UK Biobank Category 1712).

Data field ID	Data field concept
130706	Date E10 first reported (insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus)
130707	Source of report of E10 (insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus)
130708	Date E11 first reported (non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus)
130709	Source of report of E11 (non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus)
130710	Date E12 first reported (malnutrition-related diabetes mellitus)
130711	Source of report of E12 (malnutrition-related diabetes mellitus)
130712	Date E13 first reported (other specified diabetes mellitus)
130713	Source of report of E13 (other specified diabetes mellitus)
130714	Date E14 first reported (unspecified diabetes mellitus)
130715	Source of report of E14 (unspecified diabetes mellitus)

Footnote: E10-E14 refer to ICD-10 codes (defined in the brackets)

Table S2. Additive effect of T2D PRS in addition to overweight/obesity to identify individuals with undiagnosed diabetes. Analyses were restricted to individuals who self-report an absence of doctor diagnosed diabetes and who have an HbA1c measurement, both at baseline.

BMI	T2D PRS	Undiagnosed diabetes (% total undiagnosed)
≥25 kg/m ²	-	93%
≥25 kg/m ²	Top 5%	94%
≥25 kg/m ²	Top 14%	95%
≥25 kg/m ²	Top 26%	96%
≥25 kg/m ²	Top 41%	97%
≥25 kg/m ²	Top 54%	98%
≥25 kg/m ²	Top 69%	99%

Table S3. Comparison of measured BMI and a T2D PRS at detecting undiagnosed diabetes when normalized to the same number of individuals that have a BMI ≥25kg/m². Analyses were restricted to individuals who self-report an absence of doctor diagnosed diabetes and who have an HbA1c measurement, both at baseline

	BMI ≥25kg/m ² (BMI in the top 65.6%)	T2D PRS in the top 65.6%
Number with HbA1c ≥ 6.5% at instance 0	2,735	2,504
Number with HbA1c < 6.5% at instance 0	267,758	267,989
Detection rate (%)	1.01	0.93%
Proportion (%) of undiagnosed diabetes detected	93.2%	85.3%

